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Introduction
Introduction

The Philadelphia Stormwater Management Guidance Manual (the Manual, or SMGM) is a comprehensive resource for the development community in complying with the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations). The Stormwater Regulations require on-site stormwater management for development projects above a certain size, helping to improve the health and vitality of Philadelphia’s waterways along with the City’s own sizable clean water investments. Other types of construction activities may also trigger portions of the Stormwater Regulations, including demolition and voluntary stormwater retrofit projects.

At its core, the Manual provides detailed guidance for the applicant on how to most quickly and efficiently comply with the Stormwater Regulations for development and other construction projects. Using this Manual, the applicant will be able to do the following:

- Determine if a project is regulated under the Stormwater Regulations and, if so, what specific requirements need to be met;
- Learn about new ways to incorporate green approaches to stormwater management that provide benefits for development projects and expedite the stormwater approval process;
- Design specific stormwater management practices (SMPs) to meet PWD’s standards;
- Prepare and submit application materials;
- Learn how to ensure proper installation and protection of SMPs during construction activity; and
- Obtain information on post-construction and operations and maintenance (O&M) requirements.
Manual Organization and Purpose

The Manual provides the development community with detailed guidance on designing stormwater management systems to meet the Stormwater Regulations, understanding PWD’s stormwater-related requirements and approval processes, and preparing submissions to PWD. The Manual also provides guidance on topics relating to the proper construction and maintenance of SMPs.

The Manual has six Chapters and a series of Appendices. Chapters 1 through 4 focus on the stormwater design, submittal, and approval process, while Chapters 5 and 6 discuss construction and post-construction topics. As design, submittal, and review processes are closely related, the applicant will find cross-referencing throughout the Manual, particularly between Chapters 2 and 3. The applicant should use each Chapter as follows:
### Table 1: How to Use the Chapters in this Manual

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>How to Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 1 – Regulatory Requirements</td>
<td>Learn about the Stormwater Regulations, determine if a project is subject to the Stormwater Regulations, and find out which requirements need to be met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 2 – Submission, Review, and Approval Procedures</td>
<td>Understand the submission and review process for a project and get detailed guidance in preparing submissions to PWD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 3 – Site Design and Stormwater Management Integration</td>
<td>Learn how to perform site assessments, including infiltration testing, and design stormwater management controls, including disconnected impervious cover and SMPs, to comply with the Stormwater Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 4 – Stormwater Management Practice Guidance</td>
<td>Obtain SMP-specific guidance on use, applicability, components, design requirements, materials specifications, construction sequencing, and O&amp;M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 5 – Construction Guidance</td>
<td>Understand PWD’s construction inspection process, identify common problems with SMP and Erosion and Sediment Control (E&amp;S) construction, and prepare a Construction Certification Package and Record Drawings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 6 – Post-Construction and Operations &amp; Maintenance Guidance</td>
<td>Understand PWD’s SMP maintenance requirements, learn how to inspect, operate, and maintain SMPs and other stormwater controls, get detailed guidance on O&amp;M Agreements, and apply for stormwater billing credits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Appendices contain additional resources, including watershed and Flood Management District mapping, submission checklists, a sample Record Drawing, and landscape guidance. A list of Appendices is provided in the Manual table of contents.
Stormwater Regulations

PWD requires that many development sites in the City implement stormwater management controls. The Stormwater Regulations define the specific requirements that need to be met for various types of development in the City. PWD’s Stormwater Regulations fall into two categories, Post-Construction Stormwater Management (PCSM) Requirements and an E&S Requirement.

PCSM Requirements regulate how stormwater runoff leaves a project site in the built, or post-development, condition.

There are four components of PWD’s PCSM Requirements:

- **Water Quality**, to recharge the groundwater table and reduce pollution in stormwater runoff;
- **Channel Protection**, to minimize channel erosion resulting from stormwater runoff by controlling the peak flow rates for medium-sized storms;
- **Flood Control**, to prevent, through peak flow rate control, flooding caused by large storm events that could cause damage to life or property; and
- **Public Health and Safety Release Rate**, to minimize the impact of flooding in areas of the City with infrastructure capacity restrictions through peak flow rate control.

The E&S Requirement stipulates that practices be employed during construction to reduce any erosion and sedimentation that occur as a result of development activities.
Private Development Services

Private Development Services is a program within the PWD Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI) Implementation Unit. Private Development Services is responsible for administering the Department’s Stormwater Regulations through the review, construction inspection, and maintenance inspection of development sites. Within Private Development Services are two programs: Stormwater Plan Review and Stormwater Inspections. Private Development Services provides a range of services relating to the enforcement and implementation of the Stormwater Regulations, including the following:

- Reviewing development plans for compliance.
- Ensuring that SMPs are correctly designed, installed, and maintained in accordance with the Stormwater Regulations.
- Coordinating with other PWD programs involved in the development review process to establish consistency across the Department and ensure required approvals are obtained.
- Acting as PWD’s link to the larger development process in the City, most critically the Zoning and Building Permit process administered by the City of Philadelphia Department of Licenses and Inspections (L&I). The applicant must receive approvals from PWD before a Zoning or Building Permit may be issued.
- Coordinating with other reviewing entities such as the Philadelphia City Planning Commission (PCPC), Philadelphia Streets Department (Streets Department), and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP), to ensure that consistent information is provided by the applicant to all agencies.
- Administering inspection activities to ensure that SMPs are installed according to the approved plans.
- Conducting inspections at the close of construction to record information about the project’s as-built conditions, which the applicant must incorporate into a Record Drawing.
- Conducting post-construction inspections to ensure the property owner maintains SMPs to design function.

Contacting Private Development Services

PWD encourages the applicant to contact staff throughout the project lifecycle, from preliminary planning through O&M. If additional clarity or discussion is required, the applicant is encouraged to request in-person meetings. Staff can be reached during normal business hours (8 am to 5 pm) at (215) 685-6387 or pwp.planreview@phila.gov. The general phone line and email account are both monitored regularly by staff. Before a reviewer has been assigned to the project, the applicant should use the general email account for all
inquiries to ensure an efficient response time.

Website

The Stormwater Plan Review website (www.pwdplanreview.org) is geared toward the applicant and the development community at large and is the best place to find all applicant resources. Using the website, the applicant can access technical resources, such as process flow charts, Standard Details, the Online Technical Worksheet, and informational fact sheets. Copies of the Manual can be downloaded from the website. The applicant may use the website to submit their application for review and monitor the review status.
Stormwater Management in Philadelphia

Unmanaged stormwater runoff—rainfall in developed areas that quickly “runs off” of impervious surfaces rather than soaking into the ground—negatively affects the aquatic and streamside habitats of streams and rivers in Philadelphia. These water bodies suffer from a variety of problems and as a result, many of Philadelphia’s streams do not support healthy aquatic communities. Similarly, pollution from unmanaged stormwater that reaches the drinking water intakes on the Schuylkill and Delaware Rivers threatens the City’s potable water supply.

In contrast, healthy streams and rivers have lower rates of erosion, plenty of flow during dry periods for fish and aquatic life, high water quality that supports both recreational uses such as swimming and fishing and potable water use, and flood less frequently and less severely. Clean, healthy rivers are a valuable community amenity that attracts residents and enhances the overall quality of life for residents. The goal of healthy, clean rivers in Philadelphia is an ambitious one, but one that PWD and its partners are aggressively working toward.

Stormwater and Land Development

Land development activities, such as the construction of new buildings, roads, driveways, and parking lots, can lead to increased stormwater runoff and pollution. As land is developed, increases in impervious areas (hard surfaces like rooftops, roads, and parking lots) limit the amount of rainfall infiltrating into the ground. Rates of evaporation are also reduced due to a lack of vegetation. Conversely, in "natural," undeveloped conditions, the majority of rainfall either infiltrates or evaporates back into the air. As rainfall flows across the developed land surfaces, it picks up pollutants, such as sediment, fertilizers, pesticides, bacteria, metals, and oils, and flows directly into streams, rivers, or other bodies of water. Every acre of impervious cover in Philadelphia produces about 1 million gallons of polluted runoff per year, causing sewer overflows, degraded stream habitat, and water quality problems.
These problems are not unique to Philadelphia. Stormwater regulations are changing around the country to address these and similar problems. These changing regulations include new approaches to stormwater management, which often require improvements in stormwater quality prior to discharge, reductions in the volume and rate of runoff, and reductions in stormwater-related erosion and sedimentation. Through these regulations, cities and developers are working together to prevent additional pollution and damage to waterways by controlling stormwater. The updated Stormwater Regulations in Philadelphia ensure that the City has an effective, comprehensive stormwater program that meets State and Federal requirements and is adaptable to a changing regulatory context.
PWD’s Regulatory Context

The City of Philadelphia is required, by a series of State and Federal regulations and mandates, to clean up its waterways. While PWD is investing billions of dollars to implement the bulk of the required upgrades, PWD, through the Stormwater Regulations, also requires the development community to do its part to help manage stormwater. Table 2 provides an overview of the many State and Federal laws that require PWD to work toward cleaning up Philadelphia’s waterways.

**Clean Water Act:** The Clean Water Act (CWA) of 1972 aims to restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation’s waterways. PWD is primarily charged with ensuring CWA compliance in Philadelphia and does so through a variety of activities, such as building and maintaining public stormwater infrastructure, regulating development, implementing municipal pollution prevention best practices, meeting pollutant discharge standards at sewage treatment plants, and monitoring industrial and commercial dischargers.

**Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) Control Policy:** Published by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in 1994, this policy established a national approach for controlling CSOs through the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit program. CSOs occur when combined sewers (sewers that convey both stormwater and sewage in the same pipe) reach capacity as a result of stormwater runoff entering the pipe network during rain and snowmelt events. When this happens, the PA DEP permits Philadelphia, as is common with other cities containing combined sewer systems, to discharge excess untreated wastewater into nearby waterbodies. Communities with combined sewer systems are required to develop a Long Term Control Plan to outline steps toward full compliance with the CWA. PWD submitted its original Long Term Control Plan in 1997. In 2006, PWD enacted Stormwater Regulations that included requirements to assist the City in addressing CSOs. Then, in June 2011, PWD and PA DEP entered into a Consent Order and Agreement (CO&A), a binding legal agreement that outlines the water quality targets the City needs to meet to reduce CSOs.

PWD prepared and submitted to PA DEP a Long Term Control Plan Update (LTCPU) known as Green City, Clean Waters, to outline specific steps needed to implement the provisions of the CO&A. Green City, Clean Waters...
Waters outlines a 25-year, $2.4 billion plan to protect and enhance Philadelphia’s combined sewer watersheds by managing stormwater with innovative green stormwater infrastructure. PWD’s approach differs from the methods used by other cities to address CSOs, which typically involves building large, underground tunnels and storage tanks to temporarily hold combined sewer water so that it can eventually be treated by wastewater plants. In Philadelphia, this approach was found to be cost prohibitive for residents; it also did not meet restoration goals for the City’s waterways.

Quick Tip

To determine if a project lies within a CSO area of the City, visit phillywatersheds.org.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>How PWD Meets These Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Clean Water Act of 1972 | Restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation’s waterways | • Implementing CSO control and Municipal Separate Stormwater Sewer Systems (MS4) permit programs (see descriptions below)  
• Reducing the quantity of sediment to Wissahickon Creek to under the siltation Total Maximum Daily Load |
| The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 | To ensure safe drinking water for the public by establishing standards for water quality | • Investing in identifying and reducing major potential sources of contamination, including stormwater runoff, which could affect the drinking water supply  
• Reducing the amount of pollutants entering Philadelphia’s source waters and treatment facilities through implementation of the Stormwater Regulations |
| The Pennsylvania Stormwater Management Act of 1978 | Develop county-based stormwater management plans to ensure that stormwater is managed properly, particularly with regard to flooding | • Requiring that development activities comply with the Stormwater Regulations and other measurable benchmarks  
• Aligning Stormwater Regulations with Act 167 Plan requirements for local waterways |
| NPDES MS4 Stormwater Regulations | Reduce and eliminate sources of pollution coming from municipally-owned separate sewer systems into the Nation’s water bodies | • Implementing public water quality improvement projects such as SMPs and stream restoration projects  
• Implementing illicit discharge elimination programs  
• Implementing public outreach and education programs  
• Regulating development and Industrial dischargers  
• Monitoring industrial and commercial dischargers |
| CSO Control Policy | Eliminate discharges of untreated wastewater through combined sewer overflows into the nation’s waterbodies | • Implementing green stormwater practices, wastewater upgrades, and other improvements through Green City, Clean Waters, PWD’s LTCPU  
• Regulating development |
Applicability and Submission Process

PWD's Stormwater Regulations cover a wide spectrum of development and construction projects. Under the Stormwater Regulations, not all projects are subject to the same requirements. Some projects may need to meet multiple requirements, while others may be subject to only certain portions of the Stormwater Regulations (Figure 2). The application and review process are different for different types of projects, as described below.

Figure 2: Where to Find Further Information on Applications and the Review Process

Understanding Applicability

Stormwater Regulations applicability refers to which projects are subject to the Stormwater Regulations, which may not necessarily require on-site stormwater management. The regulatory applicability factors include the amount of earth disturbance associated with a project, its development type, and its watershed. Understanding the Stormwater Regulations' applicability to a project directly impacts the type of review PWD conducts and the subsequent submission requirements. The applicant should thoroughly review Chapter 1 to understand PWD's definitions of these factors to determine if the Stormwater Regulations are applicable to a project, and to obtain guidance on how the Stormwater Regulations may be applicable (i.e., the requirements of the Stormwater Regulations to which a project would be subject).

Understanding the Submission and Review Process

The final piece of understanding the Stormwater Regulations focuses on preparing submissions and
navigating the review process. PWD organizes the different submission procedures and review processes into four Review Paths. Review Paths are a linear series of submission and review steps (Review Phases) taken to obtain stormwater management approval or exemption. There are four Review Paths, which are discussed in greater detail in Section 2.2. Figure 3 provides additional detail on the steps in PWD’s stormwater review and approval process that occur during the design process. These steps are discussed in more detail within Section 2.3.

Figure 3: Stormwater Approval Process for Projects on the Development Compliance Review Path Showing Relationship to Major City Approvals

Review Process Timelines and Milestones

It is understandable that the applicant would be interested in how long the review process will take. As with many other aspects of PWD’s program, it depends strongly on the type of project and the associated stormwater management requirements, submissions, and reviews. Upon submission of an Existing Resources and Site Analysis (ERSA) Application and depending on the project’s Review Path, there will be different
Review Phases. For the Conceptual Review Phase, PWD strives to review all complete Conceptual Review Phase Submission Packages within five calendar days. For projects undergoing a Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP), E&S, PCSMP field change, or Record Drawing review, a review of complete Submission Packages within 15 calendar days is targeted. The fastest way to get a project through the review process is to provide PWD with a high-quality submission that meets all of the submission requirements. Other strategies to streamline the process are to meet with PWD prior to submissions of larger and more complicated projects and to provide as much detail as possible about the project early in the review process.

Preferred Design Approach

Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 provide detailed guidance to the applicant in designing stormwater management systems that comply with the Stormwater Regulations. PWD offers the designer a standardized and systematic design process that is consistent for every project. This Section provides a first look at PWD's preferred approach to stormwater management design.

SMP Design Approach

The SMPs discussed in this Manual incorporate a variety of technologies designed to manage stormwater. While non-structural options, such as reducing the amount of impervious cover and designing for disconnected impervious cover (DIC), are preferred strategies that the designer should consider before proposing SMPs, PWD recognizes that many development projects will need to use SMPs to comply with the Stormwater Regulations. In Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 of the Manual, PWD provides guidance for an array of SMPs that offer design solutions for many different types of sites.

Chapter 4 details nine SMPs, along with pretreatment, inlet control, and outlet control components. It provides SMP-specific information on applicability and uses, components, design requirements, material specifications, and construction and maintenance guidance.

Infiltration First

When using SMPs to meet PWD’s Water Quality requirement, the applicant must use infiltrating SMPs, which allow water to soak into the ground rather than holding and releasing it. Infiltration is the main focus of the Stormwater Regulations, as these SMPs also filter out pollutants and thus are the most beneficial for improving water quality. Infiltration SMPs must be utilized unless it is demonstrated that they are not feasible due to poor soils, bedrock, soil contamination or other site constraints. PWD requires that the applicant provide documentation of these conditions and submit an infiltration waiver for review. Specific requirements for soil and infiltration testing, a waiver request form, and other related issues are discussed in Section 3.3.
Not All SMPs are Created Equal

To help developers select SMPs, PWD developed a hierarchy that uses ranking factors to prioritize acceptable SMPs. The SMP Hierarchy uses factors important to PWD’s efforts to clean up Philadelphia’s waterways as well as those of primary concern to developers such as ease of maintenance, cost, and impact on buildable area. A full discussion of the SMP Hierarchy is found in Section 3.2.4, but a brief discussion of high, medium, and low-preference SMPs is provided below.

- **Highest-preference SMPs** are bioinfiltration and bioretention basins, porous pavement, and green roofs. Projects using only highest-preference SMPs are eligible for an Expedited PCSMP Review (Section 2.4) and may elect to postpone infiltration testing until construction or be exempt from testing.

- **Medium-preference SMPs** (subsurface infiltration, cisterns, blue roofs, and ponds and wet basins) often provide fewer triple bottom line benefits and may not last as long as more highly-preferred SMPs.

- **Lowest-preference SMPs** include various types of subsurface detention and media filter systems that are non-infiltrating and provide little-to-no triple bottom line benefits. Lowest-preference SMPs also tend to have relatively high O&M costs and may malfunction more frequently than other SMPs.
A subsurface detention basin represents one of the Philadelphia Water Department’s least preferred SMPs.
1 Regulatory Requirements
1.0 Introduction

The negative impacts of unmanaged stormwater runoff present a challenge to the City of Philadelphia. Such negative impacts include increased runoff pollutant concentrations, reduced groundwater recharge, increased stream channel and bank erosion, loss of aquatic habitat, increased flood frequency, and increased quantity, frequency, and duration of combined sewer overflows. To confront these challenges, the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) has developed the PWD Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations) to ensure the City has an up-to-date and effective stormwater management program that meets State and Federal requirements and can be coordinated with the evolving regulations being adopted by upstream municipalities. The Philadelphia Stormwater Management Guidance Manual provides detailed information on how to efficiently comply with the Stormwater Regulations for development projects and other construction projects. Through compliance with the Stormwater Regulations, each project helps to improve the health of Philadelphia’s waterways.

Chapter 1, Regulatory Requirements, provides an overview of the Stormwater Regulations and allows the applicant to determine if a project is regulated, and if so, which requirements apply to a particular project, based on the project’s characteristics. Once the Stormwater Regulations’ applicability to a project is determined, the applicant can find guidance on the necessary submission, review, and approval procedures in Chapter 2.

Section 1.1 contains guidance on the project characteristics that determine if a project is regulated, and if so, which requirements of the Stormwater Regulations apply to an applicant’s project. The three key applicability factors that determine whether and which specific aspects of the Stormwater Regulations apply to a project are the following:

- Development Type - Section 1.1.1
- Watershed - Section 1.1.2
- Earth Disturbance - Section 1.1.3
Section 1.2 provides guidance on how the Stormwater Regulations may be applicable to a project, allowing the applicant to determine the specific requirement(s) of the Stormwater Regulations to which a project would be subject. It contains an overview of the Stormwater Regulations, their objectives, and project-specific exemptions. Specific requirements and Section references within this Chapter are as follows:

- Post-Construction Stormwater Management Requirements - Section 1.2.1
  - Water Quality requirement
  - Channel Protection requirement
  - Flood Control requirement
  - Public Health and Safety Release Rate requirement

- Erosion and Sediment Control Requirement - Section 1.2.2

After determining the project’s development type, watershed, and earth disturbance area using Section 1.1, the applicant will use this information in conjunction with the requirement-specific exemptions detailed in Section 1.2 to determine which portions of the Stormwater Regulations apply to the project.
1.1 Applicability Factors

This Section contains guidance on the project factors that determine which portions of the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations) apply to an applicant’s project. PWD requires submissions for all projects in the City of Philadelphia that generate earth disturbance of 5,000 square feet or more, yet not all projects will need to comply with all requirements of the Stormwater Regulations. There are three main factors that determine which requirements of the Stormwater Regulations apply to a project:

- Development Type,
- Watershed, and
- Earth Disturbance.

These three project characteristics play an important role in determining how the Stormwater Regulations discussed in Section 1.2 are applied to a project. The applicant will use this Section to identify the project’s development type, watershed, and earth disturbance area. This information, in conjunction with the requirement-specific exemptions in Section 1.2, is necessary for determining applicability and the project’s Review Path in Chapter 2.

Of the three key applicability factors, one - earth disturbance - can change during the course of design and construction. If the earth disturbance threshold changes, the applicant must return to Section 1.1.3 to verify whether the project’s applicability determinations have changed.

1.1.1 Development Type

Development type plays a key role in determining if and how Post-Construction Stormwater Management (PCS M) Requirements (Section 1.2.1) will apply to a project.

*Development* is defined in the Stormwater Regulations as *any human-induced change to improved or unimproved real estate, whether public or private. Development encompasses, but is not limited to, New Development, Redevelopment, Demolition, and Stormwater Retrofit. It includes the entire Development Site, even when the project is performed in phases.* The development types listed below are types that PWD recognizes, and it is incumbent on the applicant to determine under which type his or her project falls. The applicant is encouraged to contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review for assistance in determining the project’s development type if they are uncertain or believe that their project may fall under more than one category.
**New Development**

New Development is defined in the Stormwater Regulations as development on a tract of land where structures or impervious surfaces never existed or were removed before January 1, 1970. The improved tract of land refers to the area of on-site earth disturbance.

**Redevelopment**

Redevelopment is defined in the Stormwater Regulations as development on a tract of land that includes, but is not limited to, the demolition or removal of existing structures or impervious surfaces and replacement with new impervious surfaces. This includes replacement of impervious surfaces that have been removed on or after January 1, 1970. The improved tract of land refers to the area of on-site earth disturbance.

**Demolition**

Demolition is defined in the Stormwater Regulations as a project that is limited to the razing, or destruction, whether entirely or in significant part, of a building, structure, site, or object; including the removal of a building, structure, site, or object from its site or the removal or destruction of the façade or surface.

**Stormwater Retrofit**

Stormwater Retrofit is defined in the Stormwater Regulations as a project that is limited to the voluntary rehabilitation and/or installation of stormwater management practices (SMPs) on a property to better manage stormwater runoff. Often, the motivation to initiate a Stormwater Retrofit project is to reduce the applicant’s monthly stormwater bill. In addition, these projects often involve stormwater grants.

In most circumstances, projects classified as Demolition or Stormwater Retrofits will be exempt from PCSM Requirements, regardless of size. However, these types of projects must still comply with the Erosion & Sediment Control (E&S) requirement. The applicant is referred to Chapter 2 for more information.

Due to historic urbanization, New Development projects are uncommon in Philadelphia and must comply with the most stringent PCSM Requirements. The vast majority of development projects in Philadelphia are classified as Redevelopment projects. The applicant can submit supporting documentation (e.g., photographs, past permits, inspection reports, etc.) to confirm a redevelopment classification. If a Redevelopment project meets certain conditions, it may be exempt from the Flood Control and Channel Protection requirements. The applicant is referred to Section 1.2 for the Stormwater Regulations as well as requirement-specific exemptions.
Waterway Encroachments

Waterway encroachments are projects that occur within streambanks or rivers with the purpose of repairing the waterway or an object within the waterway. These projects include streambank stabilization, dam removal projects, and bridge abutment repairs. Earth disturbance that occurs within the waterway will be exempt from the PCSM Requirements. However, ancillary earth disturbance that occurs outside of the waterway, such as trail improvements or other development activities, will be applicable to the PCSM Requirements.

1.1.2 Watershed

The watershed in which a project site is located plays an important role in determining how PCSM Requirements (Section 1.2.1) are applied to a project. For example, ongoing watershed-wide Pennsylvania Stormwater Management Act (Act 167) planning studies determine Flood Management Districts for controlling peak rates of runoff, and watershed locations are also used to determine the applicability of the Channel Protection requirement for Redevelopment projects. For this reason, it is important that the applicant identify the correct watershed early in the design process. Watershed Maps in Appendix D provide a basic guide as well as PWD's "Find Your Watershed" website, but the applicant can also contact PWD to verify a site's watershed location.

There are seven major watersheds in Philadelphia:

- Darby and Cobbs Creeks,
- Delaware Direct,
- Lower Schuylkill River,
- Pennypack Creek,
- Poquessing Creek,
- Tookany/Tacony-Frankford, and
- Wissahickon Creek.
Watershed-based regulations are evolving to address stormwater challenges within Philadelphia. While the Stormwater Regulations apply to all projects that result in earth disturbance totals of 15,000 square feet or more (Section 1.1.3), watershed-specific regulations trigger the Stormwater Regulations at a lower disturbance threshold. Project sites located in the Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed and in the Wissahickon Creek Watershed are subject to additional watershed-specific stormwater management requirements. The latest information about watershed-specific regulations can be found on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review website.

**Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed**

Projects located in the Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed are subject to the provisions of the Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed Act 167 Stormwater Management Plan. Because the Stormwater Regulations were developed to comply with the plan for the Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed, all projects that propose 5,000 square feet or more of earth disturbance in the Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed are subject to the Stormwater Regulations and their associated PCSM Requirements (Section 1.2.1).

**Wissahickon Watershed Overlay**

To help reduce flooding, erosion, siltation, and channel enlargement resulting from development within the Wissahickon Creek Watershed, additional stormwater management requirements and impervious coverage limits may apply to projects within this watershed.

Projects located in the Wissahickon Creek Watershed are subject to the Philadelphia Code §14-510 / WWO, Wissahickon Watershed Overlay District. The applicability of these requirements depends on the location of the project within the watershed and the amount of impervious cover proposed in comparison to the existing impervious condition. A map of the Wissahickon Watershed Overlay (WWO) District can be found within the Code and can also be viewed using the City of Philadelphia online zoning map.

For projects located within the WWO District, the Philadelphia City Planning Commission (PCPC) will determine if additional stormwater management requirements are applicable; however, PWD Stormwater Plan Review will be responsible for review of the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP). The applicant is referred to Section 2.6 for more information on the WWO as it relates to project-specific requirements.
1.1.3 Earth Disturbance

Earth disturbance is the primary factor that determines whether a project is subject to the Stormwater Regulations. It is also a primary factor in determining the applicability of PCSM Requirements (Section 1.2.1) and the E&S requirement (Section 1.2.2). As such, applicants must properly and accurately assess the limits of earth disturbance associated with development projects to determine applicable requirements and the level of review required.

While earth disturbance of 15,000 square feet or more triggers the PCSM Requirements in most areas of the City, earth disturbance of 5,000 square feet or more triggers the PCSM Requirements in the Darby and Cobbs Creek Watershed. Projects located in the Wissahickon Creek Watershed may also be required to comply with PCSM Requirements at even lower earth disturbance totals. The applicant is referred to Section 1.1.2 above for specific requirements regarding projects located within the Wissahickon Creek Watershed or the Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed.

*Earth disturbance* is defined in the Stormwater Regulations as *any construction or other activity that disturbs the surface of land*. Examples of activities that consist of, or can commonly involve, earth disturbance include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Excavation;
- Embankments;
- Land development;
- Subdivision development;
- Moving, depositing, stockpiling, or storing of soil, rock, or earth materials, except as excluded below;
- Demolition activity that results in the disturbance of the land beneath or surrounding a structure, including foundation or building slab removal;
- Development above subsurface structures where earth, such as gravel or dirt, is exposed;
- Stormwater Retrofits that include ground-level SMP installation;
- Utility connections, including work in the public rights-of-way;

---

**Quick Tip**

A project may have multiple boundaries, each of which is significant when determining stormwater management applicability during the development process. For example, the parcel boundary, limits of earth disturbance, and area that must be managed for stormwater may all be different. Only the limit of earth disturbance is used to determine whether or not, and which portions of, the Stormwater Regulations apply to most projects.
• Installation of new Streets;

• Street Maintenance Activities;

• New paving and full depth pavement replacement;

• Installation of E&S controls and construction-related disturbance located over existing pervious areas, such as establishment of rock construction entrances, stockpiles, silt fencing, construction vehicle paths, staging, and fill areas;

• Grading;

• Clearing and grubbing; and

• Landscaping.

Activities that are not typically classified as earth disturbance include the following:

• Interior building renovations;

• Temporary stockpiles or rock construction entrances located over existing impervious surfaces;

• Restriping of paved areas; and

• Milling and repaving of existing paved areas, as long as the pavement subbase is not exposed during the milling process. The pavement subbase is defined as the layer of aggregate material laid on the subgrade, on which the base course layer is laid.

Some earth disturbance activities and their associated areas count toward the regulatory disturbance threshold for triggering PCSM Requirements, but are not required to be managed in the post-development condition. Such activities include the following:

• Demolition, provided the surface of the land is returned to a pervious condition;

• Waterway encroachment activities occurring within streambanks, rivers, or other waterways for the purpose of repairing the waterway. This can include streambank stabilization, dam removal, bridge abutment repairs, dredging, stream restoration, and erosion stabilization activities;

• Certain water features, as determined by PWD, such as spraygrounds, swimming pools, and fountains that will be chlorinated; and

• Earth disturbance located beneath an undisturbed existing impervious superstructure, such as a highway overpass.
There are other earth disturbance areas that do not count toward the regulatory disturbance threshold for triggering PCSM Requirements and are not required to be managed in the post-development condition. When calculating the total limit of earth disturbance for a development project, the earth disturbance area associated with the following activities should not be counted toward the total disturbance value triggering the PCSM Requirements. These areas include:

- Street Maintenance Activities within an existing Street that do not result in increased impervious areas. Examples include sidewalk replacement, asphalt repaving, utility trenching, curb cuts, street tree planting, and installation of associated street features such as ADA ramps, light poles, signs, benches, decorative planters, and green stormwater infrastructure (GSI).

- New sidewalk installation along an existing paved Street.

- Area disturbed for Stormwater Retrofit installation.

Applicants who wish to claim exemption from PCSM Requirements as a result of these earth disturbance areas should delineate them separately on E&S Plans submitted to PWD as part of the Conceptual Review Phase.

Earth disturbance activities that are exempt from PCSM Requirements are still required to comply with all appropriate E&S submission and review requirements, which may include PWD approval of an E&S Plan. The applicant is referred to Chapter 2 for more information on submission requirements. Exemption of a project from PWD's PCSM Requirements does not necessarily imply that the project is also exempt from PCSM Requirements from other City and State agencies. The applicant is referred to Section 2.6 and Section 2.7 for more information.

**Phased Projects, Common Plans of Development, and Contiguous Areas of Earth Disturbance**

It is not uncommon for large real estate projects to be developed and permitted in phases. When phasing is proposed, PWD will look at the earth disturbance associated with the entire Development Site to determine applicable requirements under the Stormwater Regulations. The Development Site is defined in the Stormwater Regulations as the land area where any Development activities are planned, conducted, or maintained, regardless of individual parcel ownership. It includes contiguous areas of disturbance across Streets and other rights of way, or private streets and alleys, during any stage of or on any portion of a larger common plan of development or sale.
A project may be considered a 'common plan of development' if is broadly considered any announcement or piece of documentation (including a sign, public notice, hearing, sales pitch, advertisement, website, drawing, zoning request, etc.) or physical demarcation (including boundary signs, lot stakes, surveyor marking, etc.) indicating construction activities may occur on a specific plot. As an example, a redevelopment of a former industrial site that lays out streets, public parks, schools, areas of commercial developeoment, and residential lots that may be sold to another developer are all considered part of the same development site.

**Being Conservative**

PWD often observes earth disturbances that occur during construction activity that exceed initial estimates provided on plans. To avoid costly delays, PWD recommends that the applicant be conservative when estimating the disturbance area at each stage of the review process. Should a site inspection reveal that 15,000 or more square feet of earth disturbance has occurred, the site will be required to comply with the Stormwater Regulations and will be subject to the enforcement actions outlined in the Stormwater Regulations.

Should a site inspection reveal that more than one acre of earth has been disturbed, the site will be required to apply for a Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit. The site will be subject to the enforcement actions outlined in the Stormwater Regulations until the applicant receives a NPDES Permit. The applicant is referred to Section 2.7 for more information on the interaction between PWD and PA DEP.

PWD should be contacted prior to plan submittal and before any construction activities whenever there are questions or a need for clarification regarding earth disturbance activities.
1.2 Stormwater Regulations

This Section provides an overview of the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations), their objectives, and project-specific exemptions. After determining the project’s development type, watershed, and earth disturbance area using Section 1.1, the applicant will use this information, in conjunction with the requirement-specific exemptions detailed in this Section, to determine which portions of the Stormwater Regulations apply to the project.

The Stormwater Regulations have been developed in accordance with the Philadelphia Code, §14-704(3), and they consist of four major Post-Construction Stormwater Management (PCSM) Requirements: Water Quality, Channel Protection, Flood Control, and Public Health and Safety (PHS) Release Rate. In addition, all earth disturbance activity must comply with the Erosion and Sediment Control (E&S) requirements of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP), as specified in 25 Pa. Code §102.4. The details of the Stormwater Regulations can be found within Chapter 6, Stormwater of PWD Regulations, which are available at the City of Philadelphia website.

1.2.1 Post-Construction Stormwater Management Requirements

PCSM Requirements regulate how stormwater runoff leaves a project site in the built or post-development condition. PCSM Requirements have four components: Water Quality, Channel Protection, Flood Control, and PHS Release Rate requirements. All projects in the City of Philadelphia that generate earth disturbance of 15,000 square feet or more, or 5,000 square feet in the Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed, are subject to the PCSM Requirements and will follow the Development Compliance Review Path. The applicant is referred to Section 2.1.2 for an explanation of, and further guidance regarding, Review Paths.

**Water Quality**

**Background**

The objectives of the Water Quality requirement are as follows:

1. Reduce pollution in runoff;

2. Recharge the groundwater table and increase stream base flows;

3. Restore more natural site hydrology; and

4. Reduce combined sewer overflows (CSOs) from the City’s combined sewer systems.
The Water Quality requirement focuses on the removal of pollutants from stormwater runoff and is similar to requirements in surrounding states and other major cities across the country. Water quality benefits are provided, in part, by slowing water down and allowing suspended solids to settle. Because some nutrients, metals, organics, and other contaminants are bound to these sediment particles, this basic treatment mechanism can have multiple benefits. Generally, the physical, chemical, and biological processes that take place in a system that incorporates soil, water, and plants provide the best water quality improvements.

Infiltration of stormwater runoff can significantly reduce pollutant loads reaching surface water and generally does not pose a threat to groundwater quality if there is sufficient separation from the water table. Infiltrating stormwater runoff also has a direct impact on reducing the quantity of water in the sewer system that can contribute to CSOs and pollution of receiving waters. As such, infiltration is a major focus of the Water Quality requirement.

Attenuation of stormwater flows also contributes to water quality goals. In combined sewer systems, CSOs must be reduced by maintenance of a slow release rate set to match the area-weighted wet weather treatment rate of PWD’s Water Pollution Control Plants. Therefore, when infiltration is not feasible, water quality improvement in combined sewer areas must be achieved not only by reducing runoff pollutant load concentrations, but also by managing the quantity and timing of stormwater discharge. Detention and slow release reduces peak flows in the combined sewer during wet weather events, thus reducing the frequency and magnitude of CSOs.

**Requirement**

The Water Quality requirement stipulates infiltration of the first 1.5 inches of runoff from all directly connected impervious area (DCIA) within the limits of earth disturbance. This volume of stormwater runoff is referred to as the Water Quality Volume (WQv). If infiltration is feasible on the project site, the Water Quality requirement must be met by infiltrating 100% of the WQv through stormwater management practices (SMPs).

One strategy to address the Water Quality requirement is to minimize the amount of DCIA, which reduces the WQv that must be treated on-site. DCIA can be reduced through the use of disconnected impervious cover (DIC), which includes green roofs, porous pavement, and rooftop, pavement, and tree disconnections, which are outlined in greater detail in Section 3.2. Projects that propose to disconnect 95% or more of their post-development impervious area qualify for an expedited Disconnection Green Review as described in Section 2.4.

Guidance for calculating the WQv and design requirements for DIC and SMPs can be found in Chapter 3.
If infiltration is infeasible, or where it can be demonstrated that infiltration would cause property or environmental damage, the method of compliance with the Water Quality requirement differs based on the type of sewershed in which a project is located. The applicant is referred to the sewershed maps in Appendix D to determine the type of sewershed in which their project is located. These maps are approximations of sewershed boundaries. The applicant must refer to their project’s point of stormwater discharge when determining which requirements apply to their project.

If the applicant believes that infiltration is not feasible, a waiver from the infiltration requirement must be submitted to PWD Stormwater Plan Review for approval. The applicant is referred to Section 3.3 for guidance on determining and documenting infiltration feasibility. For projects in which greater than one acre of earth is disturbed and an Infiltration Waiver Request Form is submitted due to soil or groundwater contamination, PA DEP must evaluate the waiver request concurrently with PWD.

**Non-Infiltrating Projects Located in Combined Sewer Areas**

For all areas served by a combined sewer and for which infiltration is infeasible for all or a portion of the WQv, 100% of the WQv that is not infiltrated must be routed through an acceptable pollutant-reducing practice and detained in each SMP for no more than 72 hours. 100% of the WQv that is not infiltrated must also be released from the site at a maximum rate of 0.05 cubic feet per second (cfs) per acre of associated DCIA.

**Non-Infiltrating Projects NOT Located in Combined Sewer Areas**

For all areas not served by a combined sewer — including separate sewer areas, direct discharge projects, and un-sewered areas — for which infiltration is infeasible for all or a portion of the WQv, 100% of the WQv that is not infiltrated must be routed through an acceptable pollutant-reducing practice and detained in each SMP for no more than 72 hours. Acceptable non-infiltrating pollutant-reducing practices are listed in Table 3.2-2.
The applicant is referred to Section 3.4.1 for detailed information on how to demonstrate a project’s compliance with the Water Quality requirement.

There are no exemptions from the Water Quality requirement.

**Channel Protection**

**Background**

In addition to having an effect on the quality of stormwater runoff, the rate and frequency of stormwater discharge also poses a threat to the downstream environment and infrastructure. Management of peak rates from smaller storm events is referred to as Channel Protection because one of its benefits is to reduce erosive flows in downstream channels.

The objectives of the Channel Protection requirement are as follows:

1. Protect the quality of stream channels and banks, fish habitat, and man-made infrastructure from the influence of the erosive forces and downstream sedimentation due to high stream velocities; and

2. Reduce the quantity, frequency, and duration of CSOs.
Requirement

The Channel Protection requirement stipulates the detention and release of runoff from the one-year, 24-hour Natural Resources Conservation Service Type II design storm event for all DCIA within the limits of earth disturbance at a maximum rate of 0.24 cfs per acre of associated DCIA in no more than 72 hours.

This requirement applies equally to rivers, streams, and sites discharging to drainage ditches, natural or man-made ponds, and sewers that ultimately discharge to receiving waters, even if this discharge is indirect.

The applicant is referred to Section 3.4.1 for detailed information on how to demonstrate a project’s compliance with the Channel Protection requirement.

Exemptions

Projects meeting the following characteristics are exempt from the Channel Protection requirement:

- Redevelopment projects with less than one acre of earth disturbance.

- Redevelopment projects which reduce impervious area within the limits of earth disturbance (excluding public right-of-way) by at least 20%, based on a comparison of predevelopment impervious area to post-development DCIA.

- Redevelopment projects located in the Delaware Direct or Lower Schuylkill Watersheds.

- Development of new Streets and Street Maintenance Activities.

For the purposes of calculating impervious area reduction, the predevelopment impervious area is determined by the dominant land use for the ten years preceding the date of the project’s Existing Resources and Site Analysis (ERSA) Application (Section 2.1) submission. To claim a predominant land use which differs from the existing condition, the applicant must submit a predominant land use plan, in addition to an Existing Conditions Plan, to PWD Stormwater Plan Review.

Quick Tip

The Water Quality and Channel Protection requirements are not additive; however, management of the WQv may reduce the storage volume needed to meet the Channel Protection requirement. The designer is referred to Chapter 3 for more information on stormwater management design strategies.
**Figure 1.2-2: Channel Protection Exemption Conditions**

**Flood Control**

**Background**

Uncontrolled large storm events have the potential to overwhelm the capacity of sewer infrastructure and receiving streams, particularly in areas that already experience high flows or have capacity limitations.

The objectives of the Flood Control requirement are as follows:

1. Reduce or prevent the occurrence of flooding in areas downstream of the development site, as may be caused by inadequate sewer capacity or stream bank overflow; and

2. Reduce the frequency, duration, and quantity of CSOs.

The Flood Control requirement is based upon the ongoing watershed-wide Pennsylvania Stormwater Management Act (Act 167) planning studies determining Flood Management Districts for controlling peak rates of runoff.
Requirement

The Flood Control requirement stipulates that a development project meet or reduce peak rates of runoff, as determined by its Flood Management District, from predevelopment to post-development conditions during certain storm events.

The applicant is referred to Table 3.4-1 for a listing of Flood Management Districts and their associated rate reductions by storm event. Detailed information on how to demonstrate a project’s compliance with the Flood Control requirement can also be found in the Section.

Exemptions

Projects meeting the following characteristics are exempt from the Flood Control requirement:

- Redevelopment projects that reduce impervious area within the limits of earth disturbance (excluding public right-of-way) by at least 20%, based on a comparison of predevelopment impervious area to post-development DCIA.

- Redevelopment projects located in Flood Management District C (see Appendix D) that discharge directly to the Delaware Direct or Lower Schuylkill main channels without the use of City infrastructure. Location within the District C boundary does not automatically exempt a project from this requirement. To apply for this exemption, the applicant must provide sufficient documentation regarding the proposed point of discharge as part of their application to PWD.

- Redevelopment projects located in District C-1 that discharge directly to the Tookany/Tacony-Frankford main channel or major tributaries without the use of City infrastructure. This exemption applies only to peak rates of runoff for storm events greater than the five-year storm. Location within the District C-1 boundary does not automatically exempt a project from this requirement. To apply for this exemption, the applicant must provide sufficient documentation regarding the proposed point of discharge as part of his or her application to PWD.

- Redevelopment projects located in the Delaware Direct Watershed or Lower Schuylkill Watershed, but situated outside of District C, that can discharge directly to the Delaware Direct or Lower Schuylkill main channels without the use of City infrastructure. Location within the Delaware Direct Watershed or Lower Schuylkill Watershed does not automatically exempt a project from this requirement. To apply for this exemption, the applicant must provide sufficient documentation regarding the proposed point of discharge as part of their application to PWD.

Quick Tip

One common tool used by the development community to meet the 20% reduction in impervious area exemption condition is installing a green roof. Green roofs help with reducing annual energy costs, typically last twice as long as conventional roofs, and increase rental values. The applicant is referred to Section 4.3 for more information on green roofs.
• Development of new Streets and Street Maintenance Activities.

For the purposes of calculating impervious area reduction, the predevelopment impervious area is determined by the dominant land use for the ten years preceding the date of a project’s ERSA Application (Section 2.1) submission. To claim a predominant land use which differs from the existing condition, the applicant must submit a predominant land use plan, in addition to an Existing Conditions Plan, to PWD Stormwater Plan Review.
Public Health and Safety Release Rate

Background

In some areas, sewer capacity limitations have the potential to impact public health and safety. To address this, peak flow control beyond the requirements of the Channel Protection and Flood Control requirements is necessary in accordance with the PHS Release Rate requirement.
Requirement

Sites located in certain combined sewer areas of the Delaware Direct and Lower Schuylkill River Watersheds where known flooding has occurred due to constraints in the sewer network are required to comply with a maximum release rate (cfs per acre) for the one-year through ten-year storm events. This rate is determined by PWD based upon analysis of available pipe capacity for the project within the sewershed and will differ depending on the location of the project’s sewer connection(s). If a PHS release rate is required for the site, it will be noted by PWD during the Conceptual Review Phase for projects in the Development Compliance Review Path. PHS rates will not be applied to projects in other Review Paths. The applicant is referred to Section 2.2 and Section 2.3 for information on Review Paths and Review Phases, respectively. A PHS Release Rate requirement applies to all areas within a project’s limit of earth disturbance, pervious and impervious alike.

An applicant with a project believed to be located within a designated PHS boundary, or wishing to learn more about whether a PHS Release Rate applies to the project, is advised to contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review prior to submittal.

1.2.2 Erosion and Sediment Control Requirement

Background

While the four previously discussed Stormwater Regulations relate to PCSM Requirements, effective stormwater management is also critical during the construction process. Clearing, grading, and other site development activities expose soil surfaces, leaving them vulnerable to erosion. Soil erosion and sediment loss not only affect the development site, but can also block downstream inlets and sewers, causing localized flooding, and carry sediment and associated pollutants to the City’s Water Pollution Control Plants or receiving waters. These impacts can contribute to flooding, maintenance concerns, and significant environmental issues.
Requirement

The owner of a development site is responsible for ensuring that active construction activities are not in violation of 25 Pa. Code Chapters 92 and/or 102 or the Clean Streams Law, the act of June 22, 1937, P.L. 1987, 35 P.S. §691.1 et seq. At minimum, all earth disturbance must comply with the E&S requirements of the PA DEP as specified in 25 Pa. Code §102.4.

Specific submittal preparation requirements vary depending on the limit of earth disturbance and project location. All E&S Plans must be prepared in accordance with PA DEP guidelines as laid out in the latest edition of the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual.

The applicant is referred to Section 2.3 for more information on E&S Plan preparation and review requirements. At minimum, all projects, regardless of size, must install E&S controls which are appropriate given the site layout, neighboring features, and proposed disturbance activities.
Submission, Review, and Approval Procedures
CHAPTER 2
Submission, Review, and Approval Procedures

2.0 Introduction

Chapter 2, Submission, Review, and Approval Procedures, outlines the steps required to obtain the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Plan Review approvals, where PWD certifies that a project complies with, or is exempt from, the PWD Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations). Before using Chapter 2, the applicant must review Chapter 1 to learn the basics of the Stormwater Regulations and understand the applicability factors that determine if the Stormwater Regulations apply to the project and, if so, which specific requirement(s) apply.

Using the three Stormwater Regulation applicability factors described in Section 1.1 along with the guidance in this Chapter, the applicant can determine a project’s required submission and review process to obtain stormwater management approval or exemption.

2.0.1 Understanding PWD’s Stormwater Plan Review Process

As stated in Chapter 1, PWD reviews all projects in the City of Philadelphia that generate earth disturbance of 5,000 square feet or more. A project’s Review Path varies depending on the type of project, the project’s location, and the project’s earth disturbance area. Projects will fall under one of four major Review Paths:

- Development Compliance
- Development Exemption
- Demolition
- Stormwater Retrofit

These Review Paths each have one or more Review Phases, and each Review Phase consists of the following:

1. A submission package from the applicant to PWD containing required information about the project
2. A PWD review of the applicant’s submission package
3. Issuance of approval by PWD for the applicant to proceed to the next Review Phase or final approval if in the terminal phase
OR
Issuance of review comments by PWD to the applicant that must be addressed through resubmission by the applicant to PWD

2.0.2 How to Use this Chapter

Chapter 2 consists of seven Sections, which are listed and described below. A first-time applicant is encouraged to read all Sections sequentially, while others should feel free to use only the Sections of Chapter 2 that are most appropriate for a given project.

- Section 2.0 - Introduction: The applicant can use this Section to obtain general information on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review process, understand how to use Chapter 2, and to learn about about ways to contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review throughout the process.

- Section 2.1 - Existing Resources and Site Analysis: The applicant can use this Section to understand the submission requirements for an Existing Resources and Site Analysis (ERSA) Application, which is the starting point for every applicant submitting to PWD Stormwater Plan Review. The applicant must use this Section first to determine which of four Review Paths is required for the project before proceeding to the following Sections of this Chapter.

- Section 2.2 - Review Paths: Once a project’s Review Path has been determined, the applicant can use this Section to find out more about the project’s Review Path, including all Review Phases within the Review Path.

- Section 2.3 - Review Phases: The applicant can use this Section to determine what materials need to be submitted to PWD at each Review Phase for each Review Path.

- Section 2.4 - Expedited Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Reviews: The applicant can use this Section to determine if, and how, their project can obtain approval more quickly.

- Section 2.5 - PWD’S Development Review Process: The applicant can use this Section to understand how other PWD units and PWD permit requirements may interact with the project’s PWD Stormwater Plan Review approval.
• Section 2.6 - PWD’S Role in Philadelphia’s Development Process: The applicant can use this Section to understand how other City departments and Zoning and Building Permit requirements may interact with the project’s PWD Stormwater Plan Review approval.

• Section 2.7 - PWD and Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection: The applicant can use this Section to understand how Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) requirements interact with the project’s PWD Stormwater Plan Review approval.

Having worked through Chapter 2 to gain an understanding of the applicable Review Path and submission requirements, the applicant may use Chapter 3 to develop a stormwater management strategy that meets the project’s stormwater management requirements.

If the applicant’s project is a Stormwater Retrofit, Chapter 2 can be used to understand the ERSA Application process for the project; however, the applicant is referred to the PWD Stormwater Retrofit Guidance Manual (2015 or latest version) for comprehensive guidance on Stormwater Retrofit requirements and stormwater credits.

---

### 2.0.3 Contacting PWD Stormwater Plan Review

#### Contact Information

At any step in the review process, PWD encourages the applicant to contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review staff for help. For further information, the applicant is invited to email pwd.planreview@phila.gov or call (215) 685-6387.

#### Electronic Submissions

After online submission of an ERSA Application (Section 2.1.1), which is the starting point for every applicant submitting to PWD Stormwater Plan Review, the applicant must subsequently submit all electronic files to: pwd.planreview@phila.gov.

All email correspondence must include the PWD-assigned project tracking number (Section 2.1.1) in the subject line. If the email includes an attachment that is part of a Review Phase, the applicant should identify the Review Phase, such as "Conceptual Review Phase Submission", in the subject line or body of the text.
Hard Copy Submissions

All hard copy submissions must include a letter of transmittal identifying the PWD project tracking number, type of submission package (PCSMP, PCSMP Field Change, Record Drawing, E&S, etc.) and the applicant's contact information. The applicants must submit all hard copy submission package documents, data CDs, fee payments, and other materials to:

Projects Control
Philadelphia Water Department
1101 Market Street, 2nd Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19107-2994

Walk-In Hours

The applicant may also speak with PWD Stormwater Plan Review staff in person by attending Walk-In Hours, which are held every Tuesday, except City holidays, from 11:00 am to 1:00 pm on the 5th Floor of 1101 Market Street, Philadelphia PA, 19107. Appointments are not necessary. However, if interested in meeting with a specific reviewer, the applicant is encouraged to contact the reviewer in advance of the Walk-In Hours. Applicants will be seen on a first-come basis.

Pre-Application Meetings

The applicant may request a meeting with PWD at any time to address more complex site constraints or innovative stormwater management approaches. For an applicant who wishes to meet prior to submitting an ERSA Application, an email request can be made to pwd.planreview@phila.gov using the subject line “Pre-Application Meeting.” As part of the request, applicants must include a completed Private Development Services Pre-Application Meeting Request Form along with a preliminary site plan.

If unprepared to discuss a particular project at this level of detail, the applicant is encouraged to attend Walk-In Hours instead. PWD Stormwater Plan Review will invite other PWD units as needed to the meeting. The applicant may extend the invitation to other City and State agencies if their presence is desired. All pre-application meetings will be held at PWD’s office, located at 1101 Market Street. If the applicant would like a written record of the items discussed during the meeting, the applicant is encouraged to take minutes and send the document to PWD within one week for review and comment.

Quick Tip

The applicant can generate a PWD Tracking Number prior to ERSA Application submission by logging into an existing account or creating a new user account, clicking the "Create New Project" button, filling in the "Project Information" on the first page, then clicking “Save & Continue”.
2.1 Existing Resources and Site Analysis

Every new regulated project, regardless of its Review Path, begins with the submission of the Existing Resources and Site Analysis (ERSA) Application to Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Plan Review. Section 2.1 describes the ERSA Application, lists all ERSA Application Submission Package components, and details the submission process. Furthermore, this section assists the applicant in determining their project’s applicable Review Path, which is a necessary step in the completion of an ERSA Application.

2.1.1 ERSA Application

The ERSA Application is the first submission for all projects that require PWD Stormwater Plan Review approval or exemption. The development of the ERSA Application requires the applicant to identify existing project site features, describe the proposed development site, identify all applicable PWD Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations), and determine the appropriate Review Path for the project. PWD Stormwater Plan Review uses the ERSA Application to define the existing conditions of the project site, to confirm the project’s applicability within, or exemption from, the Stormwater Regulations, and to confirm the project’s Review Path with the applicant. The applicant must note that design decisions that may occur after submission of the ERSA Application may impact a project’s applicability to, or exemption from, the Stormwater Regulations, as well as the project’s Review Path. If major changes are made to the project after the applicant submits an ERSA Application, the applicant must contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review to determine if a revised ERSA Application is needed before proceeding.

**ERSA Application Submission Package Components**

A complete ERSA Application Submission Package consists of the following components:
Figure 2.1-1: ERSA Application Submission Package Components Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ERSA APPLICATION SUBMISSION PACKAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Package Components Checklist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERSA Worksheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Photographs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Conditions Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Refer to Section 2.3 for Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package Requirements by Review Path

**ERSA Worksheet**

- The applicant must complete an online ERSA Worksheet on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review website. The online worksheet provides interactive guidance to assist the applicant in providing all required project, contact, and owner information. For reference, a static version of the ERSA Worksheet is included on the Stormwater Plan Review Resources page.

- The online ERSA Worksheet guides the applicant through the process of determining the project’s Review Path. Detailed information on determining a project’s Review Path is included in Section 2.1.2.

- If the applicant intends for the project to be considered for an Expedited PCSMP Review or a stormwater management based zoning height or density bonus, this intent is declared as part of the ERSA Worksheet. The applicant is referred to Section 2.4 for more information on Expedited PCSMP Reviews and Section 2.6 for zoning bonuses.

**Site Photographs**

A minimum of one color photograph from each accessible face of the parcel(s) looking into the site is required. The applicant is encouraged to submit additional photos as needed to best illustrate project site conditions to PWD Stormwater Plan Review.
Existing Conditions Plan

The Existing Conditions Plan contains information regarding the predevelopment state of the project site (i.e., site conditions at the time of ERSA Application). Proposed site and stormwater improvements are not depicted on the Existing Conditions Plan, as these are shown on the Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan. The specific requirements for Existing Conditions Plans are shown in Appendix E, Table E-2: Existing Conditions Plan Requirements. Existing Conditions Plans must also meet all PWD general plan sheet requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-1: General Plan Sheet Requirements.

Redevelopment projects that propose modifications to an existing project area that was subject to Stormwater Regulations are advised to contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review as early as possible in the design process to ensure the redevelopment will not impede regulatory compliance of the existing project. Even if no modifications are proposed to an existing SMP, changes in cover type, such as converting area that was previously constructed as landscape to hardscape, can have significant impacts on the site’s regulatory compliance. Applicants who are unsure whether their project site was previously subject to Stormwater Regulations may contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review for guidance.

Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package

Requirements of a Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package differ based on a project’s Review Path. The applicant must first determine the project’s Review Path, using Section 2.1.2, and then is referred to Section 2.3 for Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package requirements.

Submission Process

The ERSA Application submission is initiated online through PWD’s Stormwater Plan Review website. The online form guides the applicant through the ERSA Application submission process and allows the applicant to upload all necessary digital files: site photos, Existing Conditions Plan, and other plans required as part of the Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package.

Once projects are initiated online, a project tracking number is assigned, which is used by the applicant and PWD to track the review process as it proceeds. The applicant must reference this project tracking number for all subsequent submissions.

Project Tracking Number

An example of a project tracking number is "FY16-EXAM-1234-01" where "FY16" is an abbreviation for the fiscal year in which the ERSA Application submission was made, "EXAM" is the first four letters of the project’s name, "1234" is a unique numeric value, and "01" is associated with an initial project phase.
Throughout the submission process, the applicant is invited to contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review for assistance.

### 2.1.2 Determining Project Review Path

The path to obtaining a PWD Stormwater Plan Review approval varies depending on project characteristics. Determining whether an approval is required, and, if so, which of the four Review Paths is applicable, represents a critical step for every project and must be completed by the applicant prior to submission of an ERSA Application.

The applicant must identify the following three project characteristics to determine the appropriate review and submission requirements. These characteristics, used along with Figure 2.1-2 below, allow the applicant to determine the Review Path for their project. The applicant must input these characteristics when filling out the online ERSA Worksheet as part of the ERSA Application.

1. **Development Type** - Projects fall into one of four development types: New Development, Redevelopment, Demolition, or Stormwater Retrofit. The applicant is referred to Section 1.1.1 for the complete definition of each development type. A project falls into only one development type. If an applicant is uncertain which development type best defines a project, they can contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review for additional guidance.

2. **Watershed** - Seven major watersheds exist in Philadelphia: Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed, Delaware Direct Watershed, Lower Schuylkill River Watershed, Pennypack Creek Watershed, Poquessing Creek Watershed, Tookany/Tacony-Frankford Watershed, and Wissahickon Creek Watershed. The applicant is referred to Section 1.1.2 for more information on watersheds and to Appendix D for Watershed Maps. The applicant can also determine their watershed online or contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review for verification.

3. **Earth Disturbance** - PWD must review any project whose earth disturbance exceeds 5,000 square feet to ensure that an Erosion and Sediment Control (E&S) Plan has been prepared in accordance with Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection guidelines (Section 2.3). In addition, PWD must ensure that the limit of disturbance is correctly delineated and that the project is not part of a larger phased development that will trigger additional Stormwater Regulations.

There are some earth disturbance activities that do not require post-construction stormwater management and/or should not be counted toward the regulatory threshold for triggering the Stormwater Regulations. The applicant is referred to Section 1.1.3 for more information on earth disturbance.
After answering these questions, the applicant can use Figure 2.1-2 to determine a project’s Review Path. Review Paths are color-coded throughout Chapter 2.

**Figure 2.1-2: Project Review Path Determination Flow Chart**

After determining a Review Path, the applicant can proceed to Section 2.2 and Section 2.3 to learn more about the requirements and Review Phases of their project’s Review Path. The applicant should review these Sections before final submission of their ERSA Application, as the ERSA Application submission will occur concurrently with the Conceptual Review Phase Submission.

If unable to determine the appropriate Review Path, the applicant should contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review.
2.2 Review Paths

Section 2.2 describes each of the four Review Paths to demonstrate a project’s compliance with, or exemption from, the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations), including the steps, or Review Phases, within each Review Path. Each Review Path has its own individual set of requirements and criteria for approvals.

Once a project’s Review Path is identified, the applicant must follow the steps in this Section that correspond with the color-coded Review Path. Because some of the steps between Review Paths are identical, there is some repetition in the descriptions below. The applicant need only refer to the set of steps corresponding to the project’s determined Review Path.

Each project will follow one of the four following Review Paths:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review Path</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development Compliance</td>
<td>2.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Exemption</td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>2.2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Retrofit</td>
<td>2.2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the proposed limit of earth disturbance for the project changes at any point in the development processes, the applicant must refer back to Section 2.1.2 to confirm the project’s Review Path.

Projects may be subject to State or Federal permit requirements, or other PWD requirements aside from the Stormwater Regulations. It is the applicant’s responsibility to determine whether their project must comply with other PWD, City, State, or Federal permit requirements. The applicant is referred to Section 2.5, Section 2.6, and Section 2.7 for more information. If uncertain about how to proceed, the applicant is encouraged to contact Stormwater Plan Review at any point in the submission and review process.
2.2.1 Development Compliance Review Path

The majority of development projects reviewed by Stormwater Plan Review fall into the Development Compliance Review Path and are subject to Post-Construction Stormwater Management (PCSM) Requirements (Section 1.2.1). PCSM Requirements apply to all projects that propose 15,000 square feet or more of earth disturbance (5,000 square feet or more in the Darby and Cobbs Creek Watershed) as well as some projects that trigger PCSM Requirements under the Wissahickon Watershed Overlay (Section 1.1.2). This Review Path includes three Review Phases, as detailed in Figure 2.2-1.
Projects in the Development Compliance Review Path must obtain both Conceptual and Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) approvals from Stormwater Plan Review. Section 2.3 provides detailed submission requirements for projects in this Review Path.

For the Development Compliance Review Path, PWD offers incentives to developers proposing the use of disconnected impervious cover (DIC) and green stormwater practices, such as bioinfiltration/bioretention basins and green roofs, by providing Expedited PCSMP Reviews. To determine if a project qualifies for one of PWD’s Expedited PCSMP Reviews, the applicant is referred to Section 2.4. The applicant must clearly state the intent to qualify for an Expedited PCSMP Review in the Conceptual Review Phase submission (Section 2.3.1).

If, during the course of construction, additional area is disturbed which changes the applicable requirements, the applicant must notify PWD and other appropriate agencies immediately. PWD often observes earth disturbances in the field that exceed initial estimates provided on plans. To avoid costly delays, change orders, and enforcement actions, PWD recommends that the applicant be conservative when estimating the disturbance area at each stage of the review process.
2.2.2 Development Exemption Review Path

The Development Exemption Review Path applies to most development projects with earth disturbances that are less than 15,000 square feet. Projects with earth disturbances of 5,000 square feet or more in the Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed as well as those projects that trigger PCSM Requirements under the Wissahickon Watershed Overlay should follow the Development Compliance Review Path (Section 2.2.1). The review and approval process for most projects in the Development Exemption Review Path takes place in a single Review Phase. Specifically, the applicant will need to prepare a Conceptual Review Phase submission where PWD can review the proposed limits of disturbance (LOD) and verify that an Erosion and Sediment Control (E&S) Plan has been developed for the project. At the conclusion of the Conceptual Review Phase, all projects in the Development Exemption Review Path will be issued a Conceptual Approval Letter from Stormwater Plan Review. The Conceptual Approval Letter can be used as a Zoning Permit prerequisite. For most projects, the applicant can also use the Conceptual Approval Letter to satisfy their Building Permit prerequisite requirements. Once the Development Exemption Review Path is complete, the applicant can proceed to construction upon receipt of their Building Permit.

For certain projects in the Development Exemption Review Path an E&S approval is required, resulting in an E&S Review Phase. This second phase applies to projects proposing more than 15,000 square feet of earth disturbance (5,000 square feet in the Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed) while qualifying for an exemption from PCSM Requirements (Section 1.2.1). These projects cannot begin construction until an E&S approval is obtained.
If, during the course of construction, additional area is disturbed that changes the applicable requirements, the applicant must notify PWD and other appropriate agencies immediately. PWD often observes earth disturbances in the field that exceed initial estimates on plans. To avoid costly delays, change orders, and enforcement actions, PWD recommends that the applicant be conservative when estimating the disturbance area at each stage of the review process.
2.2.3 Demolition Review Path

Many development projects may have a demolition component; however, the Demolition Review Path applies only to projects that are limited to the razing or destruction, whether entirely or in significant part, of a building, structure, site, or object (including the removal of a building, structure, site, or object from its site or the removal of destruction of the façade or surface), when no redevelopment is planned. If redevelopment is planned, the applicant may choose to submit an Existing Resources and Site Analysis (ERSA) Application (Section 2.1) limited to the demolition phase of work, allowing demolition to begin prior to completion of the Development Compliance or Development Exemption Review Paths. Applicants who wish to proceed with this option are advised to contact Stormwater Plan Review prior to making this additional ERSA Application submission.

In most cases, if the demolition activity will result in less than 15,000 square feet of earth disturbance (5,000 square feet in the Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed) the review and approval process takes place in a single Review Phase. Specifically, the applicant will need to prepare a Conceptual Review Phase submission where PWD can review the LOD and verify that an E&S Plan has been developed for the project. At the conclusion of this Conceptual Review Phase, PWD will issue a Conceptual Approval. If the earth disturbance will exceed 15,000 square feet (5,000 square feet in the Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed), the applicant will need to complete two Review Phases, the Conceptual Review Phase and the E&S Review Phase.
If, during the course of demolition, additional area is disturbed that may change the project’s Review Path or trigger additional stormwater management requirements, the applicant must contact PWD immediately to determine whether the current Review Path is still valid. PWD often observes earth disturbances in the field that exceed initial estimates on plans. To avoid costly delays, change orders, and enforcement actions, PWD recommends that the applicant be conservative when estimating the disturbance area at each stage of the review process.

If the project requires a full Building Permit, the applicant must contact Stormwater Plan Review to determine if a different Review Path is more appropriate for the project.
2.2.4 Stormwater Retrofit Review Path

The Stormwater Retrofit Review Path is administered by PWD Stormwater Billing and Incentives (Section 2.5) to evaluate stormwater management designs proposed on private property for a purpose other than regulatory compliance (e.g. voluntary installations). An applicant who submits in the Stormwater Retrofit Review Path is typically looking to install stormwater management practices (SMPs) on their site to reduce their monthly stormwater bill, often with the assistance of PWD stormwater grants. For complete guidance on Stormwater Retrofit projects, the applicant is referred to PWD’s Stormwater Retrofit Guidance Manual (2015 or latest version).

If a project is for a purpose other than voluntary stormwater management, or if a project involves a development or demolition component, the applicant should contact PWD Stormwater Billing and Incentives to confirm that he or she is proceeding through the correct Review Path.

The applicant is encouraged to meet with PWD early in the Stormwater Retrofit decision-making process to help determine eligibility for financial assistance through its Stormwater Management Incentive Program (SMIP) and Greened Acre Retrofit Program (GARP) grant programs. For more information on PWD’s free concept design assistance program, the applicant is encouraged to contact PWD Stormwater Billing and Incentives.

Quick Tip

PWD Stormwater Billing and Incentives can be reached at (215) 685-6070 or pwd.stormwatercredits@phila.gov
2.3 Review Phases

Section 2.3 describes the Review Phases associated with the Development Compliance, Development Exemption, and Demolition Review Paths. For more information regarding the Stormwater Retrofit Review Path, the applicant is referred to the *Stormwater Retrofit Guidance Manual*. The applicant should use this Section after the applicable Review Path has been determined for their project (Section 2.1.2) and an understanding is achieved of which Review Phases and the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Plan Review approvals are required for the project’s Review Path (Section 2.2). The four possible Review Phases for a project include the Conceptual Review Phase, Erosion and Sediment Control (E&S) Review Phase, Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Review Phase, and Record Drawing Review Phase.

Development Compliance Review Path (Section 2.3.1)

- Conceptual Review Phase
- PCSMP Review Phase
- Record Drawing Review Phase

Development Exemption Review Path (Section 2.3.2)

- Conceptual Review Phase
- E&S Review Phase (If Applicable)

Demolition Review Path (Section 2.3.3)

- Conceptual Review Phase
- E&S Review Phase (If Applicable)

Figure 2.3-1 summarizes the relevant Review Phases for the different Review Paths. For each Review Phase, this Section describes Submission Package components, the submission and review process, and the project expiration policy.
It is important for the applicant to note that while some Review Paths have similar Review Phases, specific Submission Package components, review processes, and approval documentation differ among Review Paths. These differences are described in detail within the following sections.
2.3.1 Development Compliance Review Path

Conceptual Review Phase

The Conceptual Review Phase is the first Review Phase of the Stormwater Plan Review process for the Development Compliance Review Path. A project is initiated with the submission of the Existing Resources and Site Analysis (ERSA) Application to PWD, which includes a Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package.

Submission Package Components

The Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package for the Development Compliance Review Path contains a Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan and Approval Fee. Projects on public land (local, State, and federal) or projects sponsored by a government entity (unless PWD is the sole entity) must still pay review fees.

The process of developing a Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan will help the applicant develop a stormwater management strategy that minimizes impacts to existing critical features and responds to key site constraints and opportunities. PWD uses the Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan to gain a preliminary idea of what is proposed at the project site, to confirm the proposed project limits of disturbance (LOD), to assess the proposed stormwater management strategy, including evaluation of stormwater management practice (SMP) loading ratios and drainage areas (Chapter 3), and to verify the project’s applicability for an Expedited PCSMP Review (Section 2.4).

A complete Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package for the Development Compliance Review Path consists of the materials listed in Figure 2.3-2.
**DEVELOPMENT COMPLIANCE REVIEW PATH**

**Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package Checklist**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan Approval Fee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan**

- The Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan must meet all requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-3: Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan Requirements as well as general plan sheet requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-1.

- In preparing the proposed stormwater management strategy for the project site, the applicant must use the stormwater management design process detailed in Chapter 3.

- Construction details should not be submitted with the Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan.

- A sample Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan is available here for the applicant's reference.

**Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan Approval Fee**

- For up-to-date fee information, the applicant is referred to PWD Regulations Attachment A: Rates and Charges.

- PWD accepts payment in the form of a cashier’s check, business check, or money order made payable to “City of Philadelphia.” Personal checks are not accepted.

- Because fees must be mailed or hand delivered, PWD will begin the Conceptual Review prior to receipt of the fee, but cannot issue the Conceptual Approval Letter until the fee is received.

- The PWD project tracking number must be listed on the check or included in an accompanying transmittal letter.

**Submission and Review Process**

- The applicant submits the Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package as a component of a complete ERSA Application Submission Package (Section 2.1.1) via the Stormwater Plan Review website.

- PWD issues an email confirmation to the applicant that the submission has been received.

- PWD reviews the ERSA Application Submission Package, including the Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package, within five calendar days.
PWD reviews the submitted plans and documentation by analyzing the proposed development and its stormwater management strategy. At a conceptual level, preliminary determinations are made regarding compliance with the Stormwater Regulations, as well as eligibility for an Expedited PCSMP Review. Examples of specific review items evaluated by PWD include loading ratios for SMPs and management of 100% of post-development directly connected impervious area (DCIA). The applicant is referred to Chapter 3 for stormwater management design guidance.

PWD performs an initial review of proposed water and sewer connections and possible conflicts with PWD infrastructure. However, this represents only a preliminary review, and the applicant will still need to obtain separate connection permits outside of this Conceptual Review Phase (Section 2.5).

- If PWD has comments on the submission, comments will be issued to the applicant via email.

- The applicant resubmits to PWD, via email, a revised Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package that addresses the comments. Depending on the number and complexity of comments, the applicant may choose to include a response letter addressing each review comment and outlining any major plan or design changes. This can be an iterative process, and PWD does not restrict the number of times an applicant can resubmit. At any time, the applicant or PWD may request a meeting to discuss review comments.

- If PWD has no comments, or if the comments have been addressed sufficiently by the applicant, PWD issues an email confirming Conceptual approval of the project, including electronic copies of a Conceptual Approval Letter and a PWD-stamped Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan. These items will also be sent in hard copy form to the Primary Design Contact listed on the ERSA Application.

- The applicant may use the signed copy of Conceptual Approval Letter and PWD-stamped Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan in filing for a Zoning Permit. Building Permits, however, cannot be obtained, nor can earth disturbance activities begin, until the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Review Phase is complete.
Expiration Policy

For the Conceptual Review Phase, the applicant has one year to resubmit in response to PWD comments, but may also request one additional six-month extension. A Project Extension Request Form can be found at the Stormwater Plan Review website.

Once a Conceptual Approval Letter is issued, the applicant has one year to submit the PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package to begin the PCSMP Review Phase, but may also request one additional six-month extension. Beyond this extension, Conceptual approvals will only remain active if there is a valid Zoning Permit in place.

PCSMP Review Phase

The PCSMP Review Phase is the second Review Phase in the Stormwater Plan Review process for the Development Compliance Review Path. A project is eligible to submit for the PCSMP Review Phase after receiving a Conceptual Approval Letter from PWD.

The PCSMP Review Phase is PWD’s final review before construction. At the end of this Phase, PWD will issue a PCSMP Approval Letter. PCSMP approval is not a permit, but rather one of many prerequisite materials that must be presented to PWD Water Transport Records in order to receive PWD sign-off on a Building Permit (Section 2.5 and Section 2.6). For projects that do not require a Building Permit, PCSMP approval must be obtained before earth disturbance activities can begin.
The site layout and stormwater management design included with the PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package must be consistent with the design that was approved during the Conceptual Review Phase. If major changes are made to the project after PWD issues a Conceptual Approval Letter, the applicant must contact PWD to determine if a revised Conceptual Approval Letter is needed before proceeding to the PCSMP Review Phase. The Philadelphia City Planning Commission (PCPC) or the City of Philadelphia Department of Licenses and Inspections (L&I) may reject Zoning Permit or variance applications if materials submitted to these agencies differ significantly from the approved Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan. Examples of major changes that would require a new Conceptual approval include, but are not limited to:

- Changes to proposed LOD;
- Changes in proposed impervious area (such as building footprint or location);
- Changes in stormwater routing; and
- Changes in the type, placement, sizing, and/or location of SMPs or changes to the stormwater management strategy.

If the stormwater management design changes during the PCSMP Review Phase, and the applicant would like to pursue an Expedited PCSMP Review, he or she must contact PWD before resubmitting to discuss specific design and submission requirements. The applicant is referred to Section 2.4 for more information on Expedited PCSMP Reviews.

**Submission Package Components**

A complete PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package for the Development Compliance Review Path consists of the materials listed in Figure 2.3-4. All hard copy submissions must include a letter of transmittal identifying the PWD project tracking number, type of submission package (PCSMP, PCSMP Field Change, etc.) and the applicant’s contact information.
Final Construction Drawings

- All plans must be signed and sealed by the appropriate professional licensed in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The first sheet of the plan set must have an original signature (not an electronic, scanned, or stamped copy) and seal from a Professional Engineer licensed in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The remaining plans may have a facsimile seal.

- All plans must meet general plan sheet requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-1.

- The following items must be incorporated into the Final Construction Drawings:
  - Existing Conditions Plan that meets all requirements listed in Section 2.1.1,
  - Demolition Plan,
  - Site Plan,
  - Grading and utility information,
  - Landscaping information, and
  - Construction details.

Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan Package

- Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan
  - The PCSMP is a set of engineering drawings depicting the post-development conditions and post-construction stormwater management design of a project. The PCSMP drawings and Final Construction Drawings do not necessarily have to be separate plans; they may be combined into a singular plan set.
The PCSMP must be signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer licensed in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The first sheet of the plan set must have an original signature (not an electronic, scanned, or stamped copy) and the remaining plans may have a facsimile seal.

Drawings must contain appropriate sequences of construction for each SMP (Chapter 4).

Grading and utility information, landscaping information, and SMP construction details, must be either incorporated into PCSMP drawings or provided as separate plan sheets.

- E&S Plan

The E&S Plan displays the post-construction condition along with other site characteristics related to the earth disturbance activities and proposed E&S measures for a project site.

The E&S Plan must include a LOD line type which is drawn around all proposed site features, E&S controls, and other areas that may be disturbed over the course of construction for activities such as construction staging, re-grading, demolition, etc. The applicant is advised to be conservative when estimating the LOD to avoid proceeding along the wrong Review Path, which could lead to costly delays, change orders, and enforcement action during construction. A numerical value for the LOD must be clearly displayed on the E&S Plan.

The E&S Plan must be prepared in accordance with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual (2012 or latest), Chapter 1 – Required E&S Plan Content. E&S measures (e.g., compost filter sock, rock construction entrance, etc.) are referred to as E&S Best Management Practices (BMPs) in the PA DEP Manual.

The E&S Plan must also comply with the following requirements specific to the Philadelphia Water Department. Should E&S Plan requirements conflict between PA DEP and PWD, the applicant is to follow the specific PWD E&S Plan requirements presented in this Manual.

- All requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-4: Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Requirements must be met.

- All Standard E&S Notes listed in Appendix E, Table E-5: Standard Erosion and Sediment Control Notes must be included.

- All Standard Sequence of Construction Notes listed in Appendix E, Table E-6: Standard Sequence of Construction Notes must be included.

- Standard construction details must be included from the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual (2012 or latest) for the following E&S measures: inlet protection, silt fence or compost filter sock, rock filter outlet, rock construction entrance, concrete washout station, and pumped water filter bag. If any of these E&S...
measures do not apply to the project site, justification must be provided as a note on the E&S Plan.

- A sample E&S Plan is available here for the applicant's reference.

- Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan Report
  - The PCSMP Report contains a detailed discussion of the proposed development and its impacts to the volume, rate, and quality of stormwater runoff from the site. It also contains descriptions of the project site, stormwater management criteria, calculations, maps, and other supporting documentation. The applicant may refer to Chapter 3 for information on stormwater management criteria and calculations.
  - The PCSMP Report must be signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer licensed in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
  - Specific requirements for the PCSMP Report are listed in Appendix E, Table E-7.

**Proof of Application for Applicable State and Federal Permits**

- Proof of issuance is required for PWD sign-off on a Building Permit; however, the applicant must only prove that they have applied for all applicable permits within the initial submission for PCSMP Review to proceed. To provide proof of application, the applicant must submit copies of permit applications, application receipts, or notification letters from relevant agencies.

- Applicable permits include various State and Federal permits that may be required for development on a given site. If the project will involve earth disturbance of more than one acre, the applicant may need to obtain a PA DEP General (PAG-02) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit or Individual NPDES Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activities. It is the applicant’s responsibility to determine which permits are required by other regulatory agencies for a project. The applicant is referred to Section 2.6 for information on Zoning Code requirements and to Section 2.7 for information on NPDES Permit requirements.

**Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan Submittal Fee**

- For up-to-date fee information, the applicant is referred to PWD Regulations Attachment A: Rates and Charges.

- Payment must come in the form of a cashier’s check, business check, or money order, made payable to “City of Philadelphia.” Personal checks will not be accepted.

- The PWD project tracking number must be listed on the check or included in an accompanying transmittal letter.
Submission and Review Process

- The applicant submits a complete PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package to PWD in both hard copy and electronic form. The electronic copies of the submission materials can be included in the hard copy submission on a CD-ROM or other electronic file storage format, or they can be provided separately via FTP servers or online file hosting.

- PWD conducts an initial PCSMP administrative screening of the submitted materials to confirm that all necessary components are included. If any of the PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package components are found to be missing or incomplete, PWD will contact the applicant by email.

- Once PWD’s PCSMP administrative screening is complete, and PWD has verified the inclusion of all components, PWD contacts the applicant via email and begins the 15-day review period (five-day period for Expedited PCSMP Reviews, Section 2.4). During the review, PWD examines the submittal to determine if all applicable Stormwater Regulations are met for the project. PWD verifies all plans, documents, and calculations are legible, accurate, and consistent.

- If PWD has comments on the submission, PWD issues the comments to the applicant via email. PWD issues all comments in a PCSMP Review letter, which is sent as an attachment to the email. In this email, the reviewer provides their contact information, and the applicant is encouraged to contact the reviewer directly if they have any questions about a particular comment. PCSMP Review comments can be discussed during weekly Walk-In Hours (Section 2.0.3); however, the applicant is encouraged to contact the assigned reviewer in advance to confirm the reviewer’s availability for the Walk-In session.

- The applicant resubmits to PWD a revised PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package that addresses the comments in both hard copy and electronic form. The hard copy submission must include a CD-ROM, or other electronic file storage format, containing the electronic versions of the submission materials.
  - Each resubmission initiates the 15-day review period (five-day period for Expedited PCSMP Reviews).
  - Revised submittals must include all required revisions and new material, as well as a response letter addressing each review comment and indicating where the new information can be found.
  - A cover letter must be attached that describes any changes to the design that may not be

Quick Tip

The applicant can reduce the length of a PCSMP Review by being responsive to PWD-issued review comments, addressing comments and resubmitting quickly, performing quality assurance/quality control on all submission materials, and using this Manual to ensure the applicable Stormwater Regulations are being met and compliance is clearly documented.
included within the comment response letter.

- This can be an iterative process, and PWD does not restrict the number of times an applicant can resubmit. At any time, the applicant or PWD may request a meeting to discuss review comments.

- The hard copy submission must include a letter of transmittal identifying the PWD project tracking number, type of submission package (PCSMP, PCSMP Field Change, etc.) and the applicant’s contact information.

- Once all of the review comments have been addressed by the applicant, PWD will issue an Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Agreement(s) (Section 6.1.2) for signature and notarization, as well as invoices for additional fee payment consisting of a PCSMP Hourly Review Fee and O&M Agreement Recording Fee(s).

  - For the O&M Agreement(s), PWD compiles the signatory sections, the signatory acknowledgement sections, and Exhibit A, based on the information provided by the applicant within Worksheet 4 (Appendix G), which must be completed and submitted as part of the PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package. Incomplete and/or incorrect information within Worksheet 4 will prevent the issuance of a PCSMP Approval Letter until all omissions and/or discrepancies are addressed. Exhibit B lists all SMPs to be constructed on the listed parcel(s).

  - For up-to-date information on the PCSMP Hourly Review Fee, the applicant is referred to PWD Regulations Attachment A; Rates and Charges.

  - The O&M Agreement Fee is determined by the fee schedule established with the City of Philadelphia Department of Records.

  - The applicant submits fee payments in the form of a cashier’s check, business check, or money order, made payable to “City of Philadelphia.” Personal checks will not be accepted. The PWD project tracking number must be listed on all checks or included in an accompanying transmittal letter.

- Upon receipt of fee check(s) and two original, signed, and notarized copies of each O&M Agreement,
PWD issues a PCSMP Approval Letter via email.

- After issuance of the PCSMP Approval Letter, a representative of PWD will sign the O&M Agreement(s), and the O&M Agreement(s) are then recorded with the City of Philadelphia Department of Records on behalf of the property owner. A copy of the signed, fully executed O&M Agreement(s) will be mailed to the signatory at the conclusion of the recording process.

- The applicant must submit the PCSMP Approval Letter along with any other required prerequisite materials to PWD WTR when acquiring signatures on Building Permit applications.
Field Changes

PWD recognizes that design changes may be necessary after PWD issues the PCSMP Approval Letter. If construction must deviate from approved plans, the applicant must contact PWD immediately. Deviations include, but are not limited to:

- Location, size, and/or type of SMPs;
- Infiltration feasibility; and/or
- Other changes in the stormwater conveyance system.

Depending on the extent of the deviation, PWD may request that the applicant submit formally for field change approval. Field changes are given priority in the PCSMP Review queue and will be reviewed as soon as possible. The applicant must speak directly to the assigned reviewer to determine what must be included in the field change submittal. An additional hourly review fee may be applied to the review of all field changes.
At the completion of construction, PWD’s Inspections Coordinator must be contacted to schedule a final inspection (Chapter 5). This will initiate the Record Drawings Review Phase.

Expiration Policy

For the PCSMP Review Phase, the applicant has one year to resubmit in response to PWD comments, but may also request one additional six-month extension. A Project Extension Request Form can be found on the Stormwater Plan Review website.

A PCSMP approval is valid for two years from the date it is issued unless a valid Building or Demolition Permit is in place. There are no extensions. Projects that did not require Building or Demolition Permits from L&I will remain active if the project has advanced to active construction.

Record Drawing Review Phase

The Record Drawing Review Phase is the final Review Phase of the Stormwater Plan Review process for the Development Compliance Review Path. A project is eligible to submit for the Record Drawing Review Phase upon completion of construction activities.

PWD uses a project’s Record Drawings to verify compliance of the constructed site with the Stormwater Regulations and to document and verify the quantity of stormwater managed on a site. If compliance issues were observed during construction, PWD may request that L&I hold the Certificate of Occupancy until the Record Drawing Review Phase or final inspection is complete. It is critical that the Record Drawings reflect any changes from the Approved PCSMP design, approved field changes or otherwise, that may affect the performance of the SMPs. The Record Drawing Review Phase is complete when the applicant receives a letter confirming that the Record Drawing(s) are in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP.

Throughout construction, the contractor or engineer must document all SMP installations as described in the Construction Certification Package (CCP). The contractor must also keep the Approved PCSMP on-site at all times throughout the construction process and document all changes from the Approved PSCMP as they occur. PWD recommends marking up and tracking changes on an actual copy of the Approved PCSMP to simplify preparation of the Record Drawings. Using the Approved PCSMP as a base, the Record Drawings should highlight information confirmed to be in accordance with the Approved PCSMP in yellow and identify any deviations in red ink. The Record Drawings must be clear and legible.
Submission Package Components

The Record Drawing Review Phase Submission Package consists of materials listed in Figure 2.3-6, which must be submitted to PWD for review after the final inspection has been completed. All hard copy submissions must include a letter of transmittal identifying the PWD project tracking number, type of submission package (Record Drawing), and the applicant's contact information.
Figure 2.3-6: Development Compliance Review Path Record Drawing Review Phase Submission Package Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEVELOPMENT COMPLIANCE REVIEW PATH</th>
<th>Record Drawing Review Phase Submission Package Checklist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Record Drawings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Certification Package</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmittal Letter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Record Drawings**

- The Record Drawings may be prepared by Professional Engineers, Registered Architects, Landscape Architects, Professional Land Surveyors, Professional Geologists, and Contractors licensed in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The preparer of the plan must display prominently their signature and professional seal, or, in the case of Licensed Contractors, their signature and L&I Contractor License Number, on each Record Drawing plan sheet. (PA DEP may have different requirements concerning the types of professionals who may prepare Record Drawings. For projects that require a NPDES Permit, the applicant is strongly encouraged to refer to PA DEP's requirements for Record Drawings before selecting a professional to prepare Record Drawing(s) for PWD.)

- The Record Drawing(s) must meet all requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-8.

- The applicant must submit one hard copy and one electronic copy to PWD.

- The applicant is referred to Appendix K for a sample Record Drawing.

- The applicant is referred to Section 5.3.2 for more information on Record Drawing construction documentation.

**Construction Certification Package**

- The applicant is referred to Appendix J for the CCP Template and instructions.

- The applicant must submit one electronic copy to PWD.

- The applicant is referred to Section 5.3.1 for more information on CCP documentation during construction.

**Submission and Review Process**

- The applicant submits a complete Record Drawing Review Phase Submission Package to PWD in both hard copy and electronic form. The hard copy submission must include a CD-ROM, or other electronic
file storage format, containing the electronic versions of the submission materials. The hard copy submission must also include a letter of transmittal identifying the PWD project tracking number, type of submission package (Record Drawing), and the applicant’s contact information.

- PWD reviews the submitted Record Drawing(s) and CCP to ensure that the project has been constructed in accordance with the project’s Approved PCSMP.

- Upon review, PWD will issue comments on the submission via email.
  - If the submitted Record Drawing Review Phase Submission Package is determined to be incomplete, the applicant must modify and/or add to the Record Drawings and/or CCP per the comments contained in the letter, and resubmit in both hard copy and electronic form. The hard copy submission must include a CD-ROM, or other electronic file storage format, containing the electronic versions of the submission materials. Depending on the number and complexity of review comments, the applicant may choose to include a response letter addressing each review comment.
  
  - If the submitted Record Drawings are determined to be complete, but constructed conditions differ from the Approved PCSMP, PWD may require the applicant to submit calculations prepared by a qualified design professional demonstrating compliance with Stormwater Regulations. Specifically, PWD may check the SMP storage volume, release rate, drainage areas, and other items that affect a site’s compliance with the Stormwater Regulations (Chapter 3). If the applicant cannot demonstrate compliance with the Stormwater Regulations, PWD will request that the applicant outline corrective actions to bring the project into compliance. If necessary, and once corrective actions have been performed, the applicant must contact PWD to re-inspect. If necessary, the applicant must submit requested materials that address the comments in both hard copy and electronic form. The hard copy submission must include a CD-ROM, or other electronic file storage format, containing the electronic versions of the submission materials.
  
  - If the Record Drawings are determined to be in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP, PWD will issue a letter via email stating as such.

Most non-residential and condominium projects that comply with Stormwater Regulations per a Record Drawing review and final inspection may be eligible for stormwater billing credits. A Stormwater Credits Application (Form B) may be submitted to PWD Stormwater Billing and Incentives for review once the previously-outlined steps are completed. This form, and more information on PWD’s Stormwater Billing Program, can be found on the Stormwater Billing and Incentives website.
Figure 2.3-7: Development Compliance Review Path Record Drawing Review Phase Flow Chart

- PWD Performs Final Inspection
- Applicant Submits Record Drawing Review Phase Submission Package
- PWD Reviews Submission Package
- PWD Issues Review Comments? [YES]
- Applicant Performs Corrective Actions and/or Revises Submission Package
- PWD Issues Record Drawing Compliance Letter
- Applicant Submits for Stormwater Credits

= Applicant Responsibility
= PWD Responsibility
2.3.2 Development Exemption Review Path

Conceptual Review Phase

The Conceptual Review Phase is the first Review Phase of the Stormwater Plan Review process for the Development Exemption Review Path. A project is initiated with the submission of the ERSA Application to PWD, which includes a Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package.

Submission Package Components

The Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package for the Development Exemption Review Path contains an E&S Plan which PWD will use to verify the proposed project LOD and to confirm the E&S Plan has been prepared in accordance with the E&S requirements of the PA DEP as specified in 25 Pa. Code §102.4.

A complete Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package for the Development Exemption Review Path consists of the materials listed in Figure 2.3-8.
Erosion and Sediment Control Plan

- The E&S Plan displays the post-construction condition along with other site characteristics related to the earth disturbance activities and proposed E&S measures for a project site.

- The E&S Plan must include a LOD line type which is drawn around all proposed site features, E&S controls, and other areas that may be disturbed over the course of construction for activities such as construction staging, re-grading, demolition, etc. The applicant is advised to be conservative when estimating the LOD to avoid proceeding along the wrong Review Path, which could lead to costly delays, change orders, and enforcement action during construction. A numerical value for the LOD must be clearly displayed on the E&S Plan.

- The E&S Plan must be prepared in accordance with the *PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual* (2012 or latest), Chapter 1 – Required E&S Plan Content. E&S measures (e.g., compost filter sock, rock construction entrance, etc.) are referred to as E&S BMPs in the PA DEP Manual.

- The E&S Plan must also comply with the following requirements specific to the Philadelphia Water Department. Should E&S Plan requirements conflict between PA DEP and PWD, the applicant is to follow the specific PWD E&S Plan requirements presented in this Manual.
  - All requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-4: Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Requirements must be met.
  - All Standard E&S Notes listed in Appendix E, Table E-5: Standard Erosion and Sediment Control Notes must be included.
  - All Standard Sequence of Construction Notes listed in Appendix E, Table E-6: Standard Sequence of Construction Notes must be included.
  - Standard construction details must be included from the *PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual* (2012 or latest) for the following E&S measures: inlet protection, silt fence or compost filter sock, rock filter outlet, rock construction entrance, concrete washout station, and pumped water filter bag. If any of these E&S measures do not apply to the project site, justification must be provided as a note on the E&S Plan.

- A sample E&S Plan is available here for the applicant’s reference.
Submission and Review Process

- The applicant submits the Conceptual Review Submission Phase Package as a component of a complete ERSA Application Submission Package (Section 2.1.1) via the Stormwater Plan Review website.

- PWD issues an email confirmation that the submission has been received.

- PWD reviews the ERSA Application Submission Package, including the Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package, within five calendar days.
  
  - PWD reviews the submitted plans and documentation by analyzing the proposed development and LOD to confirm exemption from the Stormwater Regulations and by confirming the development of an E&S Plan. PWD performs an initial review of proposed water and sewer connections and possible conflicts with PWD infrastructure. However, this represents only a preliminary review, and the applicant will still need to obtain separate connection permits outside of this Conceptual Review Phase (Section 2.5).

- If PWD has comments on the submission, PWD issues the comments to the applicant via email.

- The applicant resubmits to PWD, via email, a revised Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package that addresses the comments. Depending on the number and complexity of comments, the applicant may choose to include a response letter addressing each review comment and outlining any major plan or design changes. This can be an iterative process, and PWD does not restrict the number of times an applicant can resubmit. At any time, the applicant or PWD may request a meeting to discuss review comments.

- If PWD has no comments, or if the comments have been addressed sufficiently by the applicant, PWD issues an email confirming Conceptual approval of the project, including electronic copies of a Conceptual Approval Letter, and a PWD-stamped E&S Plan. These items are also to be sent in hard copy form to the Primary Design Contact listed in the ERSA Application.
  
  - Within the Conceptual Approval Letter, PWD will state whether the applicant must complete an E&S Review Phase. The applicant is referred to Section 2.2.2 for more information on the type of projects that will require an E&S Review.

  - For projects whose earth disturbance will exceed one acre, PWD may defer the E&S review to PA DEP. If PWD does defer a review to PA DEP, this will be stated in the Conceptual Approval Letter. In this circumstance, earth disturbance activities cannot begin until PA DEP approves the E&S Plan and/or issues the NPDES Permit (if required). The applicant must also send an electronic copy of plans approved by PA DEP to PWD.
• The applicant may use the Conceptual Approval Letter and PWD-stamped E&S Plan when filing a Zoning Application.

• If an E&S Review Phase is not required, the applicant may use the Conceptual Approval Letter and PWD-stamped E&S Plan in the process of obtaining Building Permit sign-off from PWD. The applicant is referred to Section 2.5 for more information on other reviews for Building Permit sign-off.
Expiry Policy

For the Conceptual Review Phase, the applicant has one year to resubmit in response to PWD comments, but may also request one additional six-month extension. A Project Extension Request Form can be found at the Stormwater Plan Review website.

For Development Exemption projects, a Conceptual Approval Letter is valid for two years from the date of issuance unless a valid Building or Demolition Permit is in place. There are no extensions. Projects that did not require Building or Demolition Permits from L&I will remain active if the project has advanced to active construction.

For Development Exemption projects that require an E&S approval from PWD, the applicant will have one year to submit an E&S Review Phase Submission Package after the Conceptual Approval Letter is issued, but may also request one additional six-month extension. Beyond this extension, Conceptual approvals will only remain active if there is a valid Zoning Permit in place.
E&S Review Phase (If Applicable)

The E&S Review Phase is the final Review Phase required for projects in the Development Exemption Review Path that must obtain E&S approval. This second phase applies to projects proposing more than 15,000 square feet of earth disturbance (5,000 square feet in the Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed) while qualifying for an exemption from PCSM Requirements (Section 1.2.1). A project is eligible to submit for the E&S Review Phase after receiving a Conceptual Approval Letter from PWD, which will also notify the applicant if an E&S Review Phase Submission Package is required.

If a project undergoes major changes after PWD issues the Conceptual Approval Letter, the applicant must contact PWD to determine if a revised Conceptual approval is needed before proceeding to the E&S Review Phase. Examples of major changes that would require a new Conceptual approval include, but are not limited to:

- Changes to proposed LOD, and
- Changes in proposed impervious area (such as building footprint or location).

At the end of this Review Phase, PWD will issue an E&S Approval Letter. The E&S Approval Letter is not a permit, but rather a prerequisite necessary before earth disturbance activities can begin.

Submission Package Components

A complete E&S Review Phase Submission Package for the Development Exemption Review Path consists of the materials listed in Figure 2.3-10. All hard copy submissions must include a letter of transmittal identifying the PWD project tracking number, type of submission package (E&S), and the applicant’s contact information.
DEVELOPMENT EXEMPTION REVIEW PATH
E&S Review Phase Submission Package Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signed and Sealed Erosion and Sediment Control (E&amp;S) Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transmittal Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Signed and Sealed E&S Plan**

- The E&S Plan displays the post-construction condition along with other site characteristics related to the earth disturbance activities and proposed E&S measures for a project site.

- The E&S Plan must include an LOD line type which is drawn around all proposed site features, E&S controls, and other areas that may be disturbed over the course of construction for activities such as construction staging, re-grading, demolition, etc. The applicant is advised to be conservative when estimating the LOD to avoid proceeding along the wrong Review Path, which could lead to costly delays, change orders, and enforcement action during construction. A numerical value for the LOD must be clearly displayed on the E&S Plan.

- The E&S Plan must be prepared in accordance with the *PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual* (2012 or latest), Chapter 1 – Required E&S Plan Content. E&S measures (e.g., compost filter sock, rock construction entrance, etc.) are referred to as E&S BMPs in the PA DEP Manual.

- The E&S Plan must also comply with the following requirements specific to the City of Philadelphia and the Stormwater Regulations. Should E&S Plan requirements conflict between PA DEP and PWD, the applicant is to follow the specific PWD E&S Plan requirements presented in this Manual.
  - All requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-4: Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Requirements must be met.
  - All Standard E&S Notes listed in Appendix E, Table E-5: Standard Erosion and Sediment Control Notes must be included.
  - All Standard Sequence of Construction Notes listed in Appendix E, Table E-6: Standard Sequence of Construction Notes must be included.
  - Standard construction details must be included from the *PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual* (2012 or latest) for the following E&S measures: inlet protection, silt fence or compost filter sock, rock filter outlet, rock construction entrance, concrete washout station, and pumped water filter bag. If any of these E&S measures do not apply to the project site, justification must be provided as a note on the E&S Plan.
The Signed and Sealed E&S Plan must be signed and sealed by a Registered Professional licensed in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The first sheet of the plan set must have an original signature (not an electronic, scanned, or stamped copy) while the remaining plans may have a facsimile seal.

A sample E&S Plan is available here for the applicant's reference.

Submission and Review Process

- The applicant submits a complete E&S Review Phase Submission Package to PWD in both hard copy and electronic form. The hard copy submission must include a CD-ROM, or other electronic file storage format, containing the electronic versions of the submission materials. The hard copy submission must also include a letter of transmittal identifying the PWD project tracking number, type of submission package (E&S), and the applicant's contact information.

- PWD reviews the submission within 15 calendar days.

- If PWD has comments on the submission, PWD issues the comments to the applicant via email.

- The applicant resubmits to PWD a revised E&S Review Phase Submission Package that addresses the comments in both hard copy and electronic form. The hard copy submission must include a CD-ROM, or other electronic file storage format, containing the electronic versions of the submission materials. Depending on the number and complexity of comments, the applicant may choose to include a response letter addressing each review comment and outlining any major plan or design changes. This can be an iterative process, and PWD does not restrict the number of times an applicant can resubmit. At any time, the applicant or PWD may request a meeting to discuss review comments.

- If PWD has no comments, or if comments have been addressed sufficiently by the applicant, PWD issues an E&S Approval Letter by email. The E&S Approval Letter is not a permit, but rather a prerequisite necessary to begin earth disturbance activities.

- The applicant may use their E&S Approval Letter in the process of obtaining Building Permit sign-off from PWD. The applicant is referred to Section 2.5 for more information on other reviews for Building Permit sign-off.
**Expiration Policy**

For the E&S Review Phase, the applicant has one year to resubmit in response to PWD comments, but may also request one additional six-month extension. A Project Extension Request Form can be found at the Stormwater Plan Review website.

An E&S Approval Letter is valid for two years from the date it is issued unless a valid Building or Demolition Permit is in place. There are no extensions. Projects that did not require Building or Demolition Permits from L&I will remain active if the project has advanced to active construction.
2.3.3 Demolition Review Path

Conceptual Review Phase

The Conceptual Review Phase is the first Review Phase of the Stormwater Plan Review process for the Demolition Review Path. A project is initiated with the submission of the ERSA Application to PWD, which includes a Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package.

Submission Package Components

The Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package for the Demolition Review Path contains a Demolition Plan and an E&S Plan. PWD uses these components to confirm the proposed project LOD, that the project is limited to just demolition, and to confirm that the E&S Plan has been prepared with the E&S requirements of the PA DEP as specified in 25 Pa. Code §102.4.

A complete Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package for the Demolition Review Path consists of the materials listed in Figure 2.3-12.
Erosion and Sediment Control Plan

- The E&S Plan is representative of the stabilized post demolition site condition and displays site characteristics related to the earth disturbance activities and proposed E&S measures. The E&S Plan must show that the site will be left in a stabilized condition that does not create a public health and safety concern. Further, site preparation for future development activities including foundation work associated with an L&I Foundation-Only Building Permit, is not permitted as part of the Demolition Review Path. In order for the project to complete the Demolition Review Path, all disturbed areas must be stabilized with pervious cover (e.g., grass, gravel, etc.).

- The E&S plan must include a LOD line type which is drawn around all proposed site features, structures to be removed, E&S controls, and other areas that may be disturbed over the course of demolition. The applicant is advised to be conservative when estimating the LOD so as to avoid continuing down the wrong Review Path, which could lead to costly delays, change orders, and enforcement action during construction.

- A numerical value for the LOD must be clearly displayed on the E&S Plan.

- The E&S Plan must be prepared in accordance with the *PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual* (2012 or latest), Chapter 1 – Required E&S Plan Content. E&S measures (e.g., compost filter sock, rock construction entrance, etc.) are referred to as E&S BMPs in the PA DEP Manual.

- The E&S Plan must also comply with the following requirements specific to the Philadelphia Water Department. Should E&S Plan requirements conflict between PA DEP and PWD, the applicant is to follow the specific PWD E&S Plan requirements presented in this Manual.
  - All requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-4: Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Requirements must be met.
  - All Standard E&S Notes listed in Appendix E, Table E-5: Standard Erosion and Sediment Control Notes must be included.
  - All Standard Sequence of Construction Notes listed in Appendix E, Table E-6: Standard Sequence of Construction Notes must be included.
- Standard construction details must be included from the *PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual* (2012 or latest) for the following E&S measures: inlet protection, silt fence or compost filter sock, rock filter outlet, rock construction entrance, concrete washout station, and pumped water filter bag. If any of these E&S measures do not apply to the project site, justification must be provided in the notes on the E&S Plan.

- A sample E&S Plan is available here for the applicant's reference.

**Demolition Plan**

- The Demolition Plan is representative of existing conditions and identifies all site features to be removed during demolition.

- The Demolition Plan identifies all utilities and lateral connections that will be abandoned including cut and plug locations.

- All requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-2: Existing Conditions Plan Requirements must be met.

**Submission and Review Process**

- The applicant submits the Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package as a component of a complete ERSA Application Submission Package (Section 2.1.1) via the Stormwater Plan Review website.

- PWD issues an email confirmation to the applicant that the submission has been received.

- PWD reviews the ERSA Application Submission Package, including the Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package, within five calendar days.
  - PWD reviews the submitted plans and documentation by analyzing the proposed development and LOD to confirm exemption from the Stormwater Regulations and by confirming the development of an E&S Plan prepared by a Professional Engineer licensed in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

- If PWD has comments on the submission, PWD issues the comments to the applicant via email.

- The applicant resubmits to PWD, via email, a revised Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package that addresses the comments. Depending on the number and complexity of comments, the applicant may choose to include a response letter addressing each review comment and outlining any major plan or design changes. This can be an iterative process, and PWD does not restrict the number of times an applicant can resubmit. At any time, the applicant or PWD may request a meeting to discuss review comments.

- If PWD has no comments, or if the comments have been addressed sufficiently by the applicant, PWD issues an email confirming Conceptual approval of the project and including electronic copies of a
Conceptual Approval Letter and a PWD-stamped E&S Plan. These items are also sent in hard copy form to the Primary Design Contact listed in the ERSA Application.

- Within the Conceptual Approval Letter, PWD will state whether the applicant must complete an E&S Review Phase and obtain an E&S approval. The applicant is referred to Section 2.2.4 for more information on the type of projects that will require an additional E&S review.

- For projects whose earth disturbance will exceed one acre, PWD may defer the E&S review to PA DEP. If PWD does defer a review to PA DEP, this will be stated in the Conceptual Approval Letter. In this circumstance, demolition or earth disturbance activities cannot begin until PA DEP approves the E&S Plan and/or issues the NPDES Permit (if required). The applicant must also send an electronic copy of plans approved by PA DEP to PWD.

- If an E&S Review Phase is not required, the applicant may use the Conceptual Approval Letter and signed copy of the E&S Plan in the process of obtaining Building Permit sign-off for Demolition from PWD. The applicant is referred to Section 2.5 for more information on other reviews for Building Permit sign-off.
  - If the project requires a Building Permit, the applicant must contact PWD to determine if a different Review Path is more appropriate for the project.
  - If the Demolition project involves the removal of impervious surfaces, the applicant can visit the PWD Stormwater Billing website to determine whether the project site is eligible for a reduction in its monthly stormwater bill. Any questions regarding stormwater bill reductions should be directed to Stormwater Billing and Incentives at (215) 685-6070.
Figure 2.3-13: Demolition Review Path Conceptual Review Phase Flow Chart

Expiration Policy

For the Conceptual Review Phase, the applicant has one year to resubmit in response to PWD comments, but may also request one additional six-month extension. A Project Extension Request Form can be found at the Stormwater Plan Review website.

For Demolition Review Path projects, a Conceptual Approval Letter is valid for two years from the date of issuance unless a valid Building or Demolition Permit is in place. There are no extensions. Projects that did not require Building or Demolition Permits from L&I will remain active if the project has advanced to active construction.

For Demolition Review Path projects that require an E&S approval from PWD, the applicant will have one year to submit an E&S Review Phase Submission Package after the Conceptual Approval Letter is issued, but may also request one additional six-month extension. Beyond this extension, Conceptual approvals will only remain active if there is a valid Zoning Permit in place.
E&S Review Phase (If Applicable)

The E&S Review Phase is the final Review Phase required for projects in the Demolition Review Path that must obtain E&S approval. This second phase applies to projects proposing more than 15,000 square feet of earth disturbance (5,000 square feet in the Darby and Cobbs Creek Watershed). A project is eligible to submit for the E&S Review Phase after receiving a Conceptual Approval Letter from PWD, which will also notify the applicant if an E&S Review Phase Submission Package is required.

If a project undergoes major changes after PWD issues the Conceptual Approval Letter, the applicant must contact PWD to determine if a revised Conceptual Approval Letter is needed before proceeding to the E&S Review Phase. Examples of major changes that would require a new Conceptual approval include, but are not limited to:

- Changes to proposed LOD, and
- Changes in proposed impervious area (such as building footprint or location).

For the Demolition Review Path, the E&S Review Phase is the final review before demolition. At the end of this Review Phase, PWD will issue an E&S Approval Letter. The E&S Approval Letter is not a permit, but rather a prerequisite necessary before earth disturbance and demolition activities can begin.

Submission Package Components

A complete E&S Review Phase Submission Package for the Demolition Review Path consists of the materials listed in Figure 2.3-14. All hard copy submissions must include a letter of transmittal identifying the PWD project tracking number, type of submission package (E&S), and the applicant's contact information.
**Signed and Sealed E&S Plan**

- The E&S Plan displays the post-construction condition along with other site characteristics related to the earth disturbance activities and proposed E&S measures for a project site.

- The E&S Plan must include an LOD line type which is drawn around all proposed site features, E&S controls, and other areas that may be disturbed over the course of construction for activities such as construction staging, regrading, demolition, etc. The applicant is advised to be conservative when estimating the LOD to avoid proceeding along the wrong Review Path, which could lead to costly delays, change orders, and enforcement action during construction. A numerical value for the LOD must be clearly displayed on the E&S Plan.

- The E&S Plan must be prepared in accordance with the *PA DEP Erosion and Pollution Control Program Manual* (2012 or latest), Chapter 1 – Required E&S Plan Content. E&S measures (e.g., compost filter sock, rock construction entrance, etc.) are referred to as E&S BMPs in the PA DEP Manual.

- The E&S Plan must also comply with the following requirements specific to the City of Philadelphia and the Stormwater Regulations. Should E&S Plan requirements conflict between PA DEP and PWD, the applicant is to follow the specific PWD E&S Plan requirements presented in this Manual.
  - All requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-4: Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Requirements must be met.
  - All Standard E&S Notes listed in Appendix E, Table E-5: Standard Erosion and Sediment Control Notes must be included.
  - All Standard Sequence of Construction Notes listed in Appendix E, Table E-6: Standard Sequence of Construction Notes must be included.
  - Standard construction details must be included from the *PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual* (2012 or latest) for the following E&S measures: inlet protection, silt fence or compost filter sock, rock filter outlet, rock construction entrance, concrete washout station, and pumped water filter bag. If any of these E&S measures do not apply to the project site, justification must be provided as a note on the E&S Plan.

- The Signed and Sealed E&S Plan must be signed and sealed by a Registered Professional licensed in
the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The first sheet of the plan set must have an original signature (not an electronic, scanned, or stamped copy), while the remaining plans may have a facsimile seal.

- A sample E&S Plan is available here for the applicant's reference.

**Submission and Review Process**

- The applicant submits a complete E&S Review Phase Submission Package to PWD in both hard copy and electronic form. The hard copy submission must include a CD-ROM, or other electronic file storage format, containing the electronic versions of the submission materials. The hard copy submission must also include a letter of transmittal identifying the PWD project tracking number, type of submission package (E&S), and the applicant's contact information.

- PWD reviews the submission within 15 calendar days.

- If PWD has comments on the submission, PWD issues the comments to the applicant via email.

- The applicant resubmits to PWD a revised E&S Review Phase Submission Package that addresses the comments in both hard copy and electronic form. The hard copy submission must include a CD-ROM, or other electronic file storage format containing the electronic versions of the submission materials. Depending on the number and complexity of comments, the applicant may choose to include a response letter addressing each review comment and outlining any major plan or design changes. This can be an iterative process, and PWD does not restrict the number of times an applicant can resubmit. At any time, the applicant or PWD may request a meeting to discuss review comments.

- If PWD has no comments, or if comments have been addressed sufficiently by the applicant, PWD issues an E&S Approval Letter by email. The E&S Approval Letter is not a permit, but rather a prerequisite necessary before earth disturbance and demolition activities can begin.

- For Demolition Review Path projects, the applicant may use their E&S Approval Letter in the process of obtaining Building Permit sign-off from PWD. The applicant is referred to Section 2.5 for more information on other reviews for Building Permit sign-off.
  
  - If the project requires a Building Permit, the applicant must contact PWD to determine if a different Review Path is more appropriate for the project.

  - If the Demolition project involves the removal of impervious surfaces, the applicant can visit the PWD Stormwater Billing website to determine whether the project site is eligible for a reduction in its monthly stormwater bill. Any questions regarding stormwater bill reductions should be directed to Stormwater Billing and Incentives at (215) 685-6070.
Figure 2.3-15: Demolition Review Path E&S Review Phase Flow Chart

Expiration Policy

For the E&S Review Phase, the applicant has one year to resubmit in response to PWD comments, but may also request one additional six-month extension. A Project Extension Request Form can be found at the Stormwater Plan Review website.

An E&S Approval Letter is valid for two years from the date it is issued unless a valid Building or Demolition Permit is in place. There are no extensions. Projects that did not require Building or Demolition Permits from L&I will remain active if the project has advanced to active construction.
2.4 Expedited Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan Reviews

As an incentive for an applicant proposing green stormwater strategies for stormwater management, the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) offers two Expedited Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Reviews:

- Disconnection Green Review – Section 2.4.1
- Surface Green Review – Section 2.4.2

This Section assists the applicant in determining whether a project qualifies for an Expedited PCSMP Review, elaborates on the modified submission requirements (Section 2.4.3), and provides a list of benefits included in the process.

Only projects in the Development Compliance Review Path can qualify for Expedited PCSMP Review. If eligible, the applicant must identify the project as a candidate for either the Disconnection Green Review or the Surface Green Review when submitting the Existing Resources and Site Analysis (ERSA) Application (Section 2.1).

Chapter 3 provides detailed guidance on stormwater management approaches, which the applicant can use as a guide to determine which strategies are appropriate for their site and whether the project may qualify for an Expedited PCSMP Review. Under an Expedited PCSMP Review, the PSCMP Review Phase (Section 2.2.3) differs from projects that take a more traditional approach to stormwater management. These differences are discussed in the following sections.

2.4.1 Disconnection Green Review

Only Redevelopment projects that are exempt from the Channel Protection and Flood Control requirements as defined in Section 1.2.1 are eligible for a Disconnection Green Review, and they must disconnect 95% or more of the post-construction impervious area within the project’s limits of disturbance (LOD). Projects eligible for a Disconnection Green Review only use disconnected impervious cover (DIC) to comply with Post-Construction Stormwater Management (PCSM) Requirements (Section 1.2.1). Examples of projects that are most likely to benefit from this approach include trail and park projects, as well as residential and industrial projects where significant green roofs and/or porous pavement DIC are proposed.

Disconnections eligible for use in Disconnection Green Reviews include:

- Green roofs (Section 3.2.3 and Section 4.3),
- Porous pavement DIC (Section 3.2.3 and Section 4.2),
- Existing and proposed tree credits (Section 3.2.3),
- Pavement disconnections (Section 3.2.3), and
- Rooftop disconnections (Section 3.2.3).

The applicant must identify the project’s intent to qualify for a Disconnection Green Review when submitting the ERSA Application (Section 2.1) and will be notified by PWD of the project’s eligibility.

Projects qualifying for the Disconnection Green Review benefit from the following:

- Shorter (five-day) review during the PCSMP Review Phase;
- Exemption from the infiltration testing requirements (Section 3.3); and
- Use of PWD Standard Details for green roofs and porous pavements.

### 2.4.2 Surface Green Review

New Development and Redevelopment projects that can demonstrate that 100% of post-construction impervious area within the project’s LOD is managed by DIC and/or bioinfiltration/bioretention basins to comply with PCSM Requirements (Section 1.2.1) are eligible for Surface Green Review.

Eligible stormwater management practices (SMPs) and disconnections consist of:

- Bioinfiltration/bioretention basins (Section 4.1);
- Green roofs (Section 3.2.3 and Section 4.3);
- Porous pavement DIC (Section 3.2.3 and Section 4.2);
- Existing and proposed tree credits (Section 3.2.3);
- Pavement disconnections (Section 3.2.3); and
- Rooftop disconnections (Section 3.2.3).

The applicant must identify the project’s intent to qualify for a Surface Green Review when submitting the ERSA Application (Section 2.1) and will be notified by PWD of the project’s eligibility.

Projects qualifying for a Surface Green Review benefit from the following:
• Shorter (five-day) review during the PCSMP Review Phase;

• An option to delay infiltration testing until construction to provide flexibility and potential cost savings. This only applies to projects using biofiltration/bioretention basins meeting the minimum requirements set forth in the Biofiltration/Bioretention Basin Standard Detail in conjunction with the Biofiltration/Bioretention Basin Sizing Table in Section 4.1; and

• Use of PWD Standard Details for biofiltration/bioretention basins, green roofs, and porous pavement.

Projects that qualify for a Surface Green Review must still meet all applicable PCSM Requirements, which may include the Channel Protection, Flood Control, and Public Health and Safety Release Rate requirements. However, using DIC as a stormwater management strategy, an applicant may be able to qualify for exemptions from Channel Protection and Flood Control requirements by demonstrating a 20% reduction in impervious area from the predevelopment condition to the post-development condition (Section 1.2.1). Use of trade management as a compliance strategy (Section 3.2.4) may preclude a project from qualifying for a Surface Green Review. Applications that fall into this category are encouraged to contact PWD prior to ERSA Application submission to confirm Expedited PCSMP Review eligibility.

### 2.4.3 Expedited PCSMP Review Process

An applicant who chooses to pursue either the Disconnection Green Review or Surface Green Review must declare this intent when submitting the ERSA Application (Section 2.1.1). By doing so, PWD can evaluate whether specific review requirements are being met in the Conceptual Review Phase (Section 2.3) and the applicant will know early on when, or if, infiltration testing will be required during the design process.

If the stormwater management approach changes during the plan review process and the applicant would like to consider pursuing an Expedited PCSMP Review, the applicant must contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review before resubmitting to discuss specific design and submission requirements.

The applicant is encouraged to use the Standard Details, including details for green roofs, porous pavements, and biofiltration/bioretention basins, available on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review website when designing their project for Expedited PCSMP Review. Projects that are required to obtain a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit from the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection should plan accordingly, as the shorter review times of PWD's Expedited PCSMP Reviews do not affect the NPDES Permit review process (Section 2.7).
2.5 PWD’s Development Review Process

The Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) is organized into several different programs, each with various responsibilities and authority regarding land development. Many of the approvals needed from programs other than Stormwater Plan Review are related to water and sewer connections or instances in which PWD infrastructure is affected by a proposed development. The following section briefly introduces each PWD program involved in the development approval process, focusing on its role within the process (Table 2.5-1). The applicant should keep in mind that, although Stormwater Plan Review coordinates with other programs through the stormwater review process, it is the applicant’s responsibility to make sure he or she obtains all needed approvals from applicable PWD programs and other City and State agencies before beginning construction.
Table 2.5-1: Reviews by PWD Programs Based on Project Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Characteristic</th>
<th>PWD Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GSI Implementation Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stormwater Plan Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triggers Stormwater Regulations</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Service Connection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer Service Connection¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backflow Prevention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change to PWD Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Cost Green Street</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Retrofit²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-of-Way Modification or Encroachment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Cost Sewer or Water Main Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X = Review/Coordination/Approvals may be required
Sewer service connection reviewed in accordance with PA Act 537

Unit responsible for review will depend on funding source

Not every development project must be reviewed by each program in this Section. The applicant should contact programs directly for questions regarding when and if plans should be submitted to that program for review. A summary of contact information and issued permits/approvals for each program is provided in Table 2.5-2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Issued Permits/Approvals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Plan Review</td>
<td>✦ (215) 685-6387</td>
<td>✦ Conceptual Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✦ Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✦ Record Drawing Compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projects Control</td>
<td>✦ (215) 685-6339</td>
<td>✦ Stamps Conceptual Stormwater Management Plans approved for Zoning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✦ (215) 685-6353 (Act 537 Review)</td>
<td>✦ Act 537 Approval/ Exemption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Transport Records</td>
<td>✦ (215) 685-6271 (Information and Service)</td>
<td>✦ Stamps Building Permit application on behalf of PWD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✦ (215) 397-7097 (Inspection of Connections)</td>
<td>✦ Water Service Approval and Pre-Permit Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✦ (215) 685-6275 (GPIS help)</td>
<td>✦ Sewer Connection Approval (for sewer connections greater than six-inch) and Pre-Permit Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✦ (215) 685-6270 (Supervisor)</td>
<td>✦ Meter Installation Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Branch</td>
<td>✦ (215) 685-6309</td>
<td>✦ Private Cost Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Waste and Backflow Compliance</td>
<td>✦ (215) 683-2226</td>
<td>✦ Significant Industrial User (SIU) Wastewater Discharge Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✦ Groundwater Discharge Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✦ Hauled Wastewater Discharge Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✦ Manhole Pumptout Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✦ Form CP100 - Backflow Prevention Assembly Installation Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSI Planning &amp; Design</td>
<td>✦ (215) 685-6213</td>
<td>✦ Private Cost Green Street proposals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Billing and Incentives</td>
<td>✦ (215) 685-6070 (Stormwater Credits)</td>
<td>✦ Stormwater credits and adjustments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✦ (215) 685-6244 (Stormwater Appeals)</td>
<td>✦ Stormwater Management Incentives Program (SMIP) grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✦ Greened Acre Retrofit Program (GARP) grants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Green Stormwater Infrastructure Implementation Unit

The Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI) Implementation Unit is part of the Planning and Environmental Services Division of PWD. The objective of the GSI Implementation Unit is to effectively develop and grow an integrated infrastructure resilience program to ensure ongoing regulatory compliance. The GSI Implementation Unit works with both public and private land to maximize opportunities for GSI improvements. The unit consists of four programs; Private Development Services, Stormwater Billing and Incentives, GSI Planning, and GSI Design. For the purposes of this Section, information about the GSI Planning and Design programs has been consolidated.

Private Development Services

Private Development Services is the larger PWD program that houses Stormwater Plan Review and Stormwater Inspections. Stormwater Plan Review is responsible for reviewing development plans for compliance with the PWD Stormwater Regulations. Stormwater Inspections is responsible for the inspection of stormwater facilities associated with development and retrofit projects during construction. In addition, the Private Development Services program conducts maintenance inspections of properties to ensure the property owner maintains SMPs to design function. Active and post-construction inspections are discussed in more detail in Chapter 5 and Chapter 6 of this Manual.

Stormwater Billing and Incentives

Stormwater Billing and Incentives reviews Stormwater Retrofit projects and engages in partnership project opportunities between PWD and other entities. They also administer PWD’s stormwater grant programs, which provide funding and assistance to non-residential PWD customers and contractors. These programs aim to stimulate investment in and utilization of stormwater management practices, which reduce stormwater pollution to the City’s sewer system and surrounding waterways and enhance the region’s watersheds.

Development projects interested in over-sizing SMPs to manage additional area on-site or from the public right-of-way (ROW) are eligible for grant funding from PWD to accommodate the additional drainage area. PWD will provide funding at a flat rate per acre managed above and beyond the area required by the PWD Stormwater Regulations. Any applicant interested in grant opportunities for their project is urged to contact Stormwater Plan Review as early as possible in the design process. In addition, each development project submitted to PWD is analyzed for opportunities to over-size SMPs and the applicant may be contacted by PWD regarding grant funding. Additional information about funding available to development projects can be found on the Stormwater Plan Review website.
Stormwater Billing and Incentives also applies billing adjustments and stormwater credits to properties throughout the City, including those that have successfully met the Stormwater Regulations. A property's current monthly stormwater charge can be determined using the PWD Stormwater Map Viewer. Most non-residential and condominium projects that comply with PWD's Stormwater Regulations per a final inspection at the close of construction and compliant Record Drawing review may be eligible for stormwater credits. A Stormwater Credits Application (Form B) may be submitted for review once the previously outlined steps are completed. The applicant is referred to Section 6.3 for more information on PWD's Stormwater Credits Program.

**GSI Planning and Design (PWD Capital Projects)**

Within the GSI Implementation Unit, the GSI Planning and Design teams' primary focus is to implement GSI projects that are built and maintained by PWD. GSI Planning conducts preliminary analysis and identifies locations for GSI in the public ROW and on city-owned property. GSI Design staff manage the design of GSI systems to ensure they meet PWD's standards.

GSI Planning and Design staff also work collaboratively with the Private Development Services and Stormwater Billing and Incentives programs to maximize stormwater management opportunities on various types of GSI projects. The GSI Planning team facilitates partnerships with city agencies and non-city entities to coordinate funding and project timelines for public retrofit projects and for partner projects managing beyond what is required by the Stormwater Regulations. GSI Planning and Design may assist developers in implementing stormwater projects by providing design guidance and funding options for management of additional stormwater. For these projects, Stormwater Plan Review will coordinate with GSI Planning and Design staff in the review processes.

In addition to maximizing stormwater management onsite, entities may be interested in building a green street as part of their project. To encourage the inclusion of green street elements, GSI Design provides technical assistance to land owners undertaking improvement projects within the ROW. This team approves proposals for developer-funded (Private Cost) green streets projects. The applicant is referred to the *Green Streets Design Manual* for more information. GSI Design also provides technical review and issues approvals for all other GSI partnership projects.

**Planning and Research**

Within the Planning and Research Unit, Water and Sewer Planning is responsible for reviewing preliminary water and sewer connections to PWD infrastructure proposed on Conceptual Stormwater Management Plans. Stormwater Plan Review incorporates comments from Water and Sewer Planning as part of the Conceptual Review Phase, as described in Section 2.3.
Projects Control

Projects Control is a review and coordinating entity within PWD with several important roles in the development process, including coordinating and processing private and public project submissions and approvals among multiple PWD units. Projects Control approves changes to PWD ROWs, such as easements and encroachments, including striking, shrinking, and establishing new ROWs. Stormwater Plan Review coordinates with Projects Control in the sign-off of Conceptual Stormwater Management Plans, signifying PWD approval for Zoning.

Prior to obtaining PWD sign-off on a Building Permit application, all projects must submit to Projects Control for Utility Plan Review. The Utility Plan Review looks for utility conflicts, ROW conflicts, connection location and size, and other potential issues.

Projects Control is also charged with ensuring that development projects in Philadelphia comply with Pennsylvania’s Sewage Facility Act, or PA Act 537. Act 537, as amended, was enacted to address existing sewage disposal problems and to prevent future problems by requiring proper planning, permitting and design of sewage disposal facilities. Projects Control reviews Act 537 Sewage Facilities Planning Modules submitted for development projects and issues either approvals or exemptions. On-lot disposal systems (septic tanks) are discussed in the Act 537 Application Mailer for Public Sewers and are generally reviewed and permitted by the Philadelphia Department of Public Health.

Projects Control receives all Submission Packages and Stormwater Plan Review Fees on behalf of Stormwater Plan Review.

Water Transport Records

Water Transport Records (WTR) is a key administrative and technical group within PWD that provides PWD sign-off on Building Permit applications; stamps sprinkler applications with current flow test data; verifies water and sewer availability for proposed development projects; reviews and approves proposed water and sewer connections; provides records of existing PWD Infrastructure to property owners, developers, and their agents through Pennsylvania One Call System; receives and supplies flow test orders to the public on behalf of Load Control; inspects sewer connections; and updates contract drawings and connections on existing utility return plans.
WTR issues stamps on Building Permit applications and sprinkler applications with up-to-date test flow data on behalf of PWD. WTR issues Water Service Approvals and Water Pre-Permit Applications and Sewer Connection Approvals (for sewer connections greater than six inches in diameter) and Sewer Pre-Permit Applications. Pre-Permit Applications must be submitted to the Water Desk at the City of Philadelphia Department of Licenses and Inspection (L&I), who will issue the actual permits. Sewer Connection Approvals will not be issued until all necessary reviews with Stormwater Plan Review and Design Branch are complete. WTR also issues Meter Installation Permits, reviews hydrant relocations, and reviews Guaranteed Pavement Information System (GPIS) utility clearance. Lastly, WTR reviews and inspects all connections from the point of connection to a PWD sewer to the curb trap. For more information, the applicant is referred to the Sewer Connection and Repair Manual.

**Industrial Waste**

Industrial Waste enforces local, State, and Federal regulations governing the discharge of wastewater into City wastewater collection systems. In addition, Industrial Waste issues permits regulating industrial, commercial, and non-routine discharges to the City’s sewers and wastewater treatment plants. Permits such as Significant Industrial User (SIU) Wastewater Discharge Permits, Groundwater and/or Accumulated Stormwater Discharge Permits, and Manhole Pumpout Permits establish specific discharge, monitoring, and reporting requirements that promote preservation of the City’s water resources.

**Backflow Compliance**

The City of Philadelphia has Cross Connection Control regulations to protect the health and integrity of its drinking water supply. The quality of Philadelphia’s drinking water could be compromised through a cross connection. A cross connection is an improper and illegal plumbing arrangement between a potable and non-potable water supply. These connections, under certain hydraulic conditions, can lead to dangerous “backflow” from a contaminated water system into drinking water.

Specifications regarding type, installation, and testing requirements for backflow prevention and cross connection control can be found in the Cross Connection Control Manual. Enforcement of Cross Connection Control regulations is a joint effort by PWD, L&I, and the Department of Public Health. In addition, Stormwater Plan Review may incorporate standard comments regarding backflow prevention as part of the Conceptual Review Phase. If an applicant has questions concerning backflow prevention requirements, he or she is encouraged to contact Backflow Compliance directly.
Design Branch

The Design Branch is PWD’s primary in-house design group and is responsible for designing repairs, upgrades, and alterations to many of PWD’s critical systems, including water and sewer infrastructure. The Design Branch approves changes to PWD infrastructure that may result from a development project. Approved changes include constructing water and sewer infrastructure to be owned and maintained by PWD through Private Cost projects, relocating existing fire hydrants and inlets, and evaluating the impacts of proposed development projects on or near existing PWD infrastructure.

A Private Cost project is a residential, commercial, or industrial development that proposes to construct PWD infrastructure with private or public funds other than funds provided by PWD. The process outlined in this Section is specific to traditional water and sewer infrastructure improvements as well as circumstances where existing PWD owned or maintained green stormwater infrastructure (GSI) must be moved or reconstructed to accommodate a development project. New Private Cost GSI improvements are reviewed in conjunction with the GSI Design program discussed earlier in this Section.

The requirements listed below also apply to projects initiated by local, State, and Federal agencies. PWD will accept and maintain water and drainage facilities installed by a developer or outside agency if they are designed and constructed in accordance with PWD standards, inspected and approved by a PWD Construction Unit inspector, and within public or designated ROWs.

For a Private Cost project, the applicant must adhere to the Private Cost Project Requirements, which were created to do the following:

- Familiarize the developer and their consultant with the procedures and requirements for preparing design plans for the relocation and construction of water and/or sewer infrastructure that will be dedicated to the City of Philadelphia.

- Streamline the Private Cost Plan review and approval process.

- Ensure that all new water and sewer infrastructure is designed and constructed in conformance with PWD standards.

- Evaluate maintenance and operational impacts of proposed development projects on/near existing PWD infrastructure, ensuring both the public and PWD receive sewers and water mains of the highest
quality with a long and trouble-free service life.

Prior to PWD Design Branch’s final approval of the Private Cost plans, the applicant must submit a copy of all approvals, permits, etc. from outside agencies and departments related to the project. The applicant is referred to the Private Cost Manual 2017 for a checklist of deliverables.

Final Private Cost approval will be given when the Private Cost group's comments have been satisfied as determined by the PWD engineer assigned to the project. The applicant, upon receipt of the final approval letter, signed plans, and agreement, must instruct the contractor to contact PWD’s Inspections Coordinator (office: 215-685-6387) seven (7) days prior to construction to have a PWD inspector assigned to the project.
2.6 PWD’s Role in Philadelphia’s Development Process

Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) approvals are only one part of the full set of approvals required for development within Philadelphia. PWD approvals are often prerequisites for other approvals or permits. For example, the PWD Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) approval is required before the applicant may obtain a Building Permit from the City of Philadelphia Department of Licenses and Inspections (L&I). Less formally, PWD also coordinates reviews with other City agencies to promote continuity between department reviews and expedite the applicant through the City’s development review process when feasible. This Section provides a brief description of the City departments with which PWD interacts and overlaps as part of the City’s development review process. It does not describe the full extent of each department’s work, but rather a perspective of their work as it relates to PWD’s review.

Licenses and Inspections

Permitting

PWD works closely with L&I to enforce the PWD Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations) through the issuance of various L&I permits, including Zoning and Building Permits, and through active construction and maintenance inspections. PWD sign-off is a prerequisite approval for many types of permits issued by L&I.

As part of the Zoning Permit review, the applicant will need to present the Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan and Approval Letter if the total earth disturbance associated with the project exceeds 5,000 square feet (Section 2.3). Although the primary purpose of the Conceptual Review Phase is to demonstrate compliance with the Stormwater Regulations, if the applicant is applying for a stormwater management based height or density bonus (such as the Green Roof Density Bonus or Height Bonus in the East Callowhill Overlay District), acknowledgement that PWD requirements for the bonus have been meet will be noted in the Conceptual Approval Letter. Therefore, it is important for the applicant to note in the ERSA Application (Section 2.1.1) if such a bonus is being sought. PWD does not review Conceptual Stormwater Management Plans for compliance with all aspects of the Zoning Code. It is the applicant’s responsibility to make sure all plans submitted to PWD are code-compliant.

A summary of required PWD approvals by L&I permit type is provided in Table 2.6-1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L&amp;I Permit</th>
<th>Required PWD Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zoning Permit</td>
<td>PWD Conceptual Approval is required for projects that propose more than 5,000 square feet of earth disturbance, or that are subject to the Wissahickon Watershed Overlay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Permit – Demolition</td>
<td>PWD Conceptual Approval is required for projects that propose more than 5,000 square feet of earth disturbance, or that are subject to the Wissahickon Watershed Overlay. If more than 15,000 square feet of earth disturbance (5,000 square feet in the Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed) of earth disturbance is proposed, additional E&amp;S approvals will be required from PWD and/or PADEP (See Section 2.7).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Permit – Foundation Only and New Construction</td>
<td>Building Permit Application must be stamped by PWD Water Transport Records (WTR). The PWD Stormwater Plan Review Website contains a checklist of all prerequisite PWD approvals that must be presented to WTR in order to receive a PWD stamp on the Building Permit Application.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbing Permit</td>
<td>Valid PWD Water Service Permit, PWD Meter Installation Permit, and PWD Backflow Prevention Assembly Installation Permit (as applicable). Projects proposing sewer connections greater than six inches in diameter must first complete a sewer connections review with PWD WTR.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Enforcement**

PWD Private Development Services staff coordinate with L&I staff in the enforcement of development projects that are not in compliance with Stormwater Regulations or the *Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual* (2012 or latest). When deemed necessary, PWD will request L&I enforcement support on non-compliant properties.

**The City of Philadelphia Plumbing Code**

L&I also administers the City of Philadelphia Plumbing Code (Plumbing Code). All requirements of the Plumbing Code must be met when designing a project to meet the Stormwater Regulations. This includes, but is not limited to, the design of all stormwater drainage piping as per the Plumbing Code. PWD will often refer the applicant to L&I for specific questions regarding sewer and water configurations as related to the Plumbing Code.
Philadelphia City Planning Commission

The Philadelphia City Planning Commission (PCPC) reviews development projects for various reasons, including the relocation of lot lines, location in Neighborhood Conservation Districts, Façade Control Districts, construction of parking garages or surface parking lots, and location within flood plains. As part of PCPC review, PWD’s Conceptual approval (see Section 2.3) may be required. If the project involves relocation or striking of a public or drainage right-of-way (ROW), approval from PWD may be required. These prerequisite PWD approvals may also be requested by the Civic Design Review Committee for projects that trigger this requirement.

While PWD and PCPC generally conduct their reviews independently, these agencies work directly with each other to administer §14-510 Wissahickon Watershed Overlay of the Philadelphia Code.

Wissahickon Watershed Overlay

To help reduce flooding, erosion, siltation, and channel enlargement resulting from development within the Wissahickon Creek Watershed, additional stormwater management requirements and impervious coverage limits may apply to projects within this watershed.

Projects located in the Wissahickon Creek Watershed are subject to the Philadelphia City Code §14-510 / WWO Wissahickon Watershed Overlay District. A map of the overlay is provided within the Code; however, the applicant can determine whether the project lies within the overlay by using the City of Philadelphia online zoning map.

If the project is in the WWO, the applicant is advised to meet with PCPC prior to submitting the project’s Existing Resources and Site Analysis (ERSA) Application. Depending on the project’s location within the WWO, lot size, and net change in impervious area, PCPC may restrict new impervious cover, or impose additional stormwater management requirements (beyond PWD’s Stormwater Regulations), which must be noted by the applicant in the project’s ERSA Application (Section 2.1.1). Should the project be applicable to additional requirements as determined by PCPC, PWD Stormwater Plan Review will be responsible for review of the PCSMP.

PCPC does not use an earth disturbance threshold when determining whether stormwater management is required. Therefore, it is possible for development projects to trigger Post-Construction Stormwater Management Requirements under the WWO without triggering the Stormwater Regulations. Projects that fall under this category must follow the Development Compliance Review Path as defined in Section 2.2.1. These projects must also abide by all PWD design standards when designing systems to meet the WWO stormwater requirement.
Streets Department

The Streets Department is involved in the review of most development projects, particularly if a street opening or closing permit is required, there is bollard installation, new driveway or curb cuts are to be constructed, there are changes to ROWs or curb line widths, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) improvements are needed, or other ROW improvements are involved. As part of their plan review process, the Streets Department solicits comments from PWD Stormwater Plan Review and PWD Design Branch to incorporate in their review letters. The comments provided by PWD will often direct the applicant to make a formal submission to the appropriate PWD unit for review.

If the applicant is proposing to make changes to PWD infrastructure located within the public ROW to accommodate a development, this work must be reviewed by the Streets Department. This includes minor improvements, such as relocation of an inlet, as well as major improvements, such as the complete striking of an existing drainage ROW. Some of these changes may also require the applicant to complete the Complete Streets Checklist with the Streets Department. As part of their review, the PWD Design Unit (Section 2.5) will clarify for the applicant when and how the Streets Department should be notified.

While not required for Regulatory compliance, the applicant is encouraged to review the Green Streets Design Manual for opportunities to incorporate stormwater management into streetscape improvements. If the applicant believes their project presents an opportunity to incorporate Green Streets, they are encouraged to contact GSI Planning and Design (Section 2.5) as early as possible in the design process.

Department of Planning and Development

The Department of Planning and Development facilitates the Developer Services Program, which helps large real estate projects connect to key City departments through Developer Services Committee Meetings. The applicant can contact the Department of Planning and Development to convene a meeting of the committee. A representative from Projects Control will serve as the PWD chair during these meetings.

Office of Property Assessment

For projects that trigger the Stormwater Regulations, PWD uses the Office of Property Assessment (OPA) address records to track projects and determine the appropriate address used in recording the Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Agreements (Chapter 5). The applicant is encouraged to refer to the OPA website to confirm the legal address prior to submitting an ERSA Application to PWD. If an applicant disagrees with the address being used by PWD in the preparation of the O&M Agreement, the applicant should contact OPA for resolution.
PWD also uses OPA records to determine parcel boundaries and property classification (non-residential versus residential), which factor heavily into determining a monthly stormwater bill. If over the course of a development these features change, the applicant is advised to contact PWD Stormwater Billing and Incentives (Section 2.5) so that the appropriate changes are reflected in subsequent stormwater bills.

**Department of Records**

O&M Agreements are recorded against the property for all projects that trigger the Stormwater Regulations. The owner is responsible for the recording of the O&M Agreement with the Department of Records (DOR); however, PWD may record this Agreement on the owner’s behalf. The DOR charges a fee for all recordings and the DOR fee schedule is used to determine O&M Agreement recording fees. To obtain a copy of the recorded O&M Agreement, the applicant must contact DOR.
2.7 PWD and Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection

This Section outlines the circumstances in which the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) jointly review projects, as well as other circumstances in which reviews are conducted entirely by PA DEP. PWD does not determine what State permits apply to a development project. This is the responsibility of the applicant, who should contact PA DEP directly with any questions.

Please note that projects that are exempt from PA DEP Permit Requirements (such as projects receiving ACOE 404 Permits for Wetlands Mitigation) are not necessarily exempt from the PWD Stormwater Regulations. The applicant may consult Section 1.1 for more information on Applicability Factors and contact PWD with any questions.

2.7.1 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permits

Most projects proposing more than one acre of earth disturbance are subject to both the General (PAG-02) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit or Individual NPDES Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activities and the PWD Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations). NPDES Permits for land development in Philadelphia are issued by PA DEP, not PWD. The applicants must send NPDES Permit Applications to the PA DEP Southeast Regional Office in Norristown, PA. The applicant should contact PA DEP directly with questions concerning NPDES Permits. Municipal Notifications (such as those required under PA Acts 67, 68, and 127 of 2000) should be sent to PWD’s Projects Control Unit. For mailing address, the applicant is referred to Section 2.0.3. The PWD project tracking number should be listed on all notifications.

PWD recommends that NPDES Permit Applications are submitted concurrently to PA DEP with the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Review Phase Submission Package (see Section 2.3) to PWD. Instructions for completing the NPDES Permit Applications should be obtained directly from PA DEP. However, the applicant may use the following general guidance when preparing and submitting a NPDES Permit Application:

- On the NPDES Permit Application Notice of Intent for Coverage, the applicant may check under “2. PCSM Plan” that the project is meeting “C. Alternative Design Standard” and list the PWD Stormwater Regulations as the requirement being met.

- If the applicant is applying for a phased NPDES Permit, the phases listed under Section C.4 must match the order of phases submitted to PWD under individual project tracking numbers (see Section 2.1.1 for information on PWD Stormwater Plan Review’s project tracking numbers).
The applicant must provide matching plans and reports to both PWD and PA DEP.

If the applicant is submitting an Infiltration Waiver Request Form to PWD for on-site contamination (Section 3.3), the applicant must also submit this waiver request to PA DEP for review as part of the NPDES Permit Application.

In addition to comments received by PWD, comments the applicant receives from PA DEP must also be incorporated into the PCSMP and Erosion and Sediment Control (E&S) Plans for PWD Stormwater Plan Review to issue PCSMP approval. PCSMP approval is a prerequisite for receiving a NPDES Permit. However, PWD Stormwater Plan Review will not issue PCSMP approval until receiving confirmation from PA DEP that there are no outstanding comments with the NPDES Permit review.

The applicant must present a copy of the NPDES Permit to PWD Water Transport Records in order to receive PWD sign-off on a Building Permit.

More information on PA DEP’s NPDES Permit Application process and requirements can be found at the following resources:

- PA DEP website
- *Erosion and Sediment Control Requirements*. 25 Pa. Code Chapter §102.4
- *NPDES Permit Application Notice of Intent (NOI) Instructions and Completeness Review Checklist*.

### 2.7.2 Other PA DEP Requirements

There are circumstances in addition to NPDES Permits for construction activities in which PA DEP review may be required for a project proposed in Philadelphia. These may include projects that are exempt from NPDES Permit Requirements but are still required to have an E&S Plan approved by PA DEP per 025 Pa. Code §102. This also includes projects that propose a new discharge to a water body or which propose activities within regulated waters of the Commonwealth as defined in 025 Pa. Code §105. The applicant is responsible for determining which State requirements apply to their project and are encouraged to contact PA DEP directly with any questions.
3 Site Design and Stormwater Management Integration
CHAPTER 3
Site Design and Stormwater Management Integration

3.0 Introduction

Chapter 3, Site Design and Stormwater Management Integration, guides the designer in successfully incorporating stormwater management into development site designs, while meeting the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations). The site design procedure is based on Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection recommendations, with minor modifications adapted to conditions in Philadelphia.

3.0.1 How to Use This Chapter

Before using this Chapter, the designer should first review the Stormwater Regulations outlined in Chapter 1 to assess the level of stormwater management a project will need to achieve compliance. It is also important for the designer to have made a preliminary determination of an appropriate Review Path for their project, which is covered in Chapter 2. Projects that fall under the Development Compliance Review Path (Section 2.2.1) must use the guidance presented in Chapter 3 to comply with the Stormwater Regulations.

The designer should follow the guidance in Chapter 3 from beginning to end. The Chapter 3 Sections are as follows:

Section 3.1 – Site Assessment

Section 3.2 – Stormwater Management Design Strategies

Section 3.3 – Infiltration Testing and Soil Assessment for SMP Design

Section 3.4 – How to Show Compliance

Section 3.5 – Integrated Stormwater Management Examples

This Chapter does not provide detailed design requirements for specific stormwater management practices (SMPs). For detailed SMP design requirements, the designer is referred to Chapter 4.
3.0.2 Integrated Site and Stormwater Management Assessment and Design Process Overview

This Chapter outlines a step-by-step process for integrating robust and cost-effective stormwater management into site designs in ways that achieve PWD’s key stormwater management goals of minimizing the harmful effects of flooding and maintaining the health of Philadelphia’s streams and rivers. Figure 3.0-1 provides an overview of this process and the following Sections represent underlying goals for the designer to keep in mind as they move through the process.

Figure 3.0-1: Chapter 3 Process Flow Chart

- **Perform** Site Assessment in accordance with Section 3.1 to map critical site features and identify key opportunities and constraints.

- **Review Section 3.2** to understand the relationship between Stormwater Management Design Strategies, the Stormwater Regulations outlined in Chapter 1, and the different project Review Paths described in Chapter 2.

- **Assess** opportunities to use Non-Structural Design strategies outlined in Section 3.2.2 to protect and use existing site features, minimize impervious cover, and reduce earth disturbance.

- **Assess** opportunities to use Disconnected Impervious Cover (DIC) outlined in Section 3.2.3 to reduce the amount of Directly Connected Impervious Area (DCIA) to be managed.

- **Develop** an approach to managing remaining DCIA, using a systems approach to SMP design per guidance in Section 3.2.4 as well as guidance on Infiltration Testing and Soil Assessment for SMP Design in Section 3.3. Consider approaches per the SMP Hierarchy outlined in Table 3.2-4 and consult Chapter 4 for more specific design requirements for individual SMPs.
Making Stormwater a “Before-Thought”

The most important aspect of PWD’s process for stormwater design is to start early, before the development plan and site layout are finalized. By considering green stormwater management approaches in the initial stages of the site design planning process, a comprehensive strategy can be integrated more efficiently, effectively, and creatively. Starting early allows designers to find smart ways to incorporate green stormwater management approaches including PWD’s highest-preference SMPs (Section 3.2.4) and other approaches such as disconnected impervious cover (DIC) (Section 3.2.3) and non-structural design (Section 3.2.2), into the design process. Waiting until the site layout is finalized before considering stormwater management leaves the designer with options that are less appealing, have limited environmental benefit, and are often more expensive to build and maintain.

Considering the Power of Green

An increasing body of research shows that incorporating green features into an urban environment can have economic benefits for developments, including increased property values, reduced crime, positive changes in consumer behavior, and higher resale values. Sites with green features are often regarded as more welcoming and inviting places. Green stormwater management is becoming a powerful tool in the marketplace as it can provide development sites with a range of benefits not offered by more conventional stormwater management approaches. As discussed in the Introduction, the City of Philadelphia is committed to a balanced "land-water-infrastructure" approach in achieving its watershed management goals of fishable, swimmable waters. Every land development project plays a critical role in this city-wide effort to realize a collective future as a vibrant, sustainable, and modern city. Valuable resources and references regarding green features can be found on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review website.

Dedicating space for green stormwater management approaches can be challenging (particularly on small or highly constrained lots), but before excluding “green,” the designer should consider all outcomes and base decisions on full life-cycle costs. Incorporating green stormwater management is PWD’s strongly preferred approach for stormwater management and can help streamline the approval process through Expedited Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Reviews (Section 2.4). Preserving open space or using SMPs, such as bioinfiltration/bioretention basins or green roofs, to manage stormwater can add value to a development, while meeting the Stormwater Regulations. Green approaches toward stormwater management can also be used to achieve compliance with landscaping requirements within the Philadelphia Zoning Code; contribute towards requirements of third-party project certifications such as the United States Green Building Council (USGBC) Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification program; and help achieve other project-specific goals such as improving aesthetics, providing shade, creating habitat, protecting and enhancing viewsheds, and maintaining safety.

This Chapter provides guidance on PWD’s highest-preference SMPs (see Section 3.2.4) and other
environmentally friendly approaches to stormwater management such as non-structural design and DIC. The designer is encouraged to use the guidance in this Chapter to find creative ways of greening a project site while meeting the Stormwater Regulations. The designer is encouraged to contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review for assistance with incorporating green approaches to stormwater management.

**Viewing Stormwater as a Resource**

Stormwater is not wastewater – it’s a resource! Stormwater can be collected, stored, and reused on sites for many purposes, including reclaimed water for toilet flushing and source-water for industrial use. These approaches are good for the environment, but can also make economic sense in reducing the need to purchase potable water, and can be incorporated effectively into an overall strategy for Stormwater Regulation compliance. The designer should consider potential reuse applications early in the design process in a collaborative discussion among the building and site design teams. The designer is referred to Section 4.5, Cisterns, for more information on rainwater harvesting.

**Taking a Site-Wide Approach**

In order to help the designer take a site-wide approach to stormwater management, PWD offers enhanced tools such as new guidance for Stormwater Management Trading and placing SMPs in series. Understanding these options is critical when evaluating where and how much stormwater will need to be managed, addressing applicable Stormwater Regulations across multiple SMPs, and reserving various portions of a site for stormwater management. A designer unfamiliar with Stormwater Management Trading or SMP in series strategies is referred to Section 3.2.4 for suggestions on getting started.

3.0.3 Interactions between Design Strategies, Stormwater Regulations, and Review Paths

In using Chapter 3, the designer should understand that some design decisions regarding specific stormwater management strategies can also impact the applicability of the Stormwater Regulations and the appropriate Review Path of a project. As a result, the designer may need to revisit Chapter 1 and Chapter 2 to make sure these initial determinations remain valid.

There is not a “one-to-one” relationship between the review process outlined in Chapter 2 and the site assessment and design process outlined in Chapter 3. Guidance throughout Chapter 3 assists the designer
with preparing Conceptual Review Phase and PCSMP Review Phase Submission Packages to PWD. The designer preparing a Conceptual Review Submission Package is referred to guidance throughout Chapter 3 in conducting site assessments and developing an initial stormwater management strategy and Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan. The designer preparing PCSMP Review Submission Packages will find Section 3.2, Section 3.3, and Section 3.4 particularly helpful in understanding the technical requirements associated with specific stormwater management strategies.

Applicable Stormwater Regulations and Review Path vary depending on site characteristics, such as site location and amount of earth disturbance. The designer should pay specific attention to changes in the proposed earth disturbance and directly connected impervious area (DCIA) throughout the design process, as well as the potential applicability of Expedited PCSMP Reviews.

**Earth Disturbance**

The amount of earth disturbance associated with a development project, in part, determines the applicable Stormwater Regulations (Chapter 1), as well as the appropriate Review Path (Chapter 2). In this Chapter, the designer will find guidance in using non-structural design techniques that could result in a reduction in earth disturbance. If the level of earth disturbance associated with a project significantly changes as the result of working through the guidance in this Chapter, the designer should revisit Chapters 1 and 2 to assess potential changes in applicability.

**Directly Connected Impervious Area**

Adjustments in a DCIA associated with a development project can impact the applicable Stormwater Regulations. If a project’s DCIA significantly changes as the result of working through the guidance in this Chapter, the designer should revisit Chapter 1 and Chapter 2 to assess whether the changes in DCIA alter either the applicable Stormwater Regulations or Review Path for the project.

**Expedited PCSMP Reviews**

A project that proposes a combination of non-structural design, DIC, and/or bioinfiltration/bioretention basins for Stormwater Regulation compliance may be eligible for an Expedited PCSMP Review. As the designer works through Chapter 3, opportunities for modifications in the initial site layout or stormwater management strategy may allow the project to qualify for an Expedited PCSMP Review. The designer is directed to Section 2.4 for more guidance on the types of, and requirements for, Expedited PCSMP Reviews.
3.1 Site Assessment

This Section guides the designer in performing a site assessment – the necessary first step in designing a project that complies with the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations). The designer must know the site location and general plan for development before beginning the site assessment process.

A site assessment is an investigation of the administrative and physical factors that shape the development and stormwater management plan for a proposed site. The assessment consists of three components: the collection of background site factors, a site factors inventory, and a site factors analysis. Site assessment must be completed in the early stages of project design, before the submission of an Existing Resources and Site Analysis (ERSA) Application Submission Package (Section 2.1.1) to PWD.

A properly completed site assessment helps the designer understand a site’s existing condition and natural systems. The assessment aids the designer in determining the most appropriate site layout and crafting a stormwater management approach and design for a site. Documentation is required for many of the site factors as part of the PWD Stormwater Plan Review Submission Packages (Chapter 2) and Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit Applications, as applicable.

3.1.1 Background Site Factors and Site Factors Inventory

Background site factors consist of macro- or watershed-scale project site characteristics that describe how a site functions within its watershed. These factors include a project’s watershed and sewershed, and factors that influence flooding.

Site factors are smaller, site-scale features including property/land use boundaries and physical features that may affect the site layout or stormwater compliance strategy. These factors assist the designer in developing a stormwater management plan for the project that reduces the impacts of proposed earth disturbance and directly connected impervious area (DCIA).

**Project Watershed**

A watershed is defined as an area of land that contains a common set of drainage pathways, streams, and rivers that all discharge to a single, larger body of water, such as a large river, lake, or ocean. A project’s location within a watershed and its proximity to the watershed’s final discharge point determines in part how changes in the quantity, rate, and quality of stormwater runoff from the site will affect receiving waters (Section 3.4). A project’s location will also impact its Review Path (Chapter 2) and applicable Stormwater Regulations (Chapter 1). For example, a redevelopment project within the Lower Schuylkill River or Delaware
Direct Watersheds is exempt from the Channel Protection requirement.

The nature of stormwater-related issues differs for waters receiving runoff via direct discharge (e.g., a project site discharging directly to the Schuylkill or Delaware Rivers via sheet flow or separate sewer) than for waters located in the headwater areas. The frequency and magnitude of flooding in headwater streams is affected to a far greater degree by unmanaged stormwater than in larger receiving bodies of water such as the Schuylkill or Delaware Rivers. Additionally, increases in runoff from sites discharging to a combined sewer where the sewer system has limited capacity may lead to greater occurrences of combined sewer overflows (CSOs).

Watershed Maps in Appendix D, as well as PWD’s "Find Your Watershed" website, are available to assist the designer in determining a site’s watershed location.

**Project Sewershed**

A sewershed is a defined area of land, or catchment, which drains via storm drain infrastructure to a common outlet point. As opposed to natural watersheds, the boundaries of which are defined by natural ridges and high points and that drain to a single point in a stream network, sewershed boundaries are determined by stormwater infrastructure such as curbs, storm drains, pipes, and stream outfalls. Sewershed boundaries often differ from watershed boundaries because stormwater infrastructure may cross watershed boundaries that predate urbanization.

It is necessary to determine the means by which runoff exits a site and a project’s sewershed boundary early in the design process. Runoff may leave a site through a combined sewer system, separate sewer system, or via surface runoff. Some project sites may span multiple sewersheds, and runoff may leave different portions of the site via different methods. Discharge to different sewersheds will not only affect the stormwater management strategy, but also the requirements associated with the Water Quality requirement for the site.

Appendix D contains Collection System Maps for use in determining the project sewershed. Detailed guidance on Regulatory compliance requirements based on the method by which runoff leaves a project site is available in Section 3.4.

**Flooding**

An evaluation of existing flooding issues on a project site, on adjacent properties, and in the receiving storm sewer network and/or receiving waterbody must be performed. For example, it is important to know whether floodwaters flow via an overland flow path on the site, and whether runoff from off-site properties is a component of these flows. It is also crucial to understand how flooding impacts the conveyance capacity of storm sewer infrastructure if the design proposes overflow connections from stormwater management practices (SMPs). This is especially important for private or semi-private systems. For example, there may be a high tailwater condition at the outfall or connection point during a relatively small rainfall event. The designer must account for these conditions during SMP design.
Although Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) flood maps and related studies show flood-prone areas along the City’s streams and rivers, these resources do not adequately address this issue at site scale. Prior property owners, neighbors, and other local sources may be able to indicate anecdotally the extent to which on-site or downstream flooding is already a problem. The Public Health and Safety (PHS) Release Rate requirement (if applicable to the project site) is focused on specific capacity limitations in the combined sewer system, but PHS areas are not the only flood-prone areas of the City. The designer cannot rely solely on PHS applicability to determine flooding potential. The designer is referred to Chapter 1 for an overview of the PHS Release Rate requirement. An applicant with a project believed to be located within a designated PHS boundary, or who wants to learn more about whether PHS release rates apply to the project, is advised to contact PWD prior to submission.

Published FEMA Flood Maps are available at the Philadelphia City Planning Commission, which can be reached by phone at 215-683-4615, or online at FEMA’s Flood Map Service Center.

**Property/Land Use Boundaries**

Property/land use boundaries refer to the parcel’s non-physical boundaries, such as zoning classification and/or overlays, setbacks, and any existing easements. Suitable locations for SMPs must be identified by mapping existing property/land use features. These features often leave large spaces of undevelopable land available for non-structural design opportunities and/or structural SMPs. Boundaries must be depicted on the Existing Conditions Plan submitted during the Conceptual Review Phase. The designer is referred to Section 2.1.1 and Appendix E, Table E-2 for specific Existing Condition Plan requirements.

**Physical Features**

It is necessary to assess important physical features within the project site to minimize impacts to these features and to identify opportunities to use existing natural areas and drainage patterns for stormwater management. Table 3.1-1 lists critical physical features that are required to be inventoried and understood, methods and data sources for assessing these features, and notes whether each feature is required for an Existing Conditions Plan. Care must be taken to conserve and protect, or avoid, these areas, as appropriate.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Feature</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation</td>
<td>Determine the location and extent of woodlands, riparian areas, or other special habitat areas (e.g., meadows) as defined by the <em>Pennsylvania Stormwater Best Management Practices (BMP) Manual</em> (2006 or latest version).</td>
<td>Topographic survey, aerial photography, Geographic Information Systems (GIS) mapping, local and regional natural resources inventories, Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soils and Geology</td>
<td>Determine existing soil conditions, expected permeability, hydrologic soil groups, depths to high seasonal groundwater table/bedrock, and presence of hydric soils or special geologic formations (e.g., carbonate). Document whether the site has native soils or if past development has led to fill conditions.</td>
<td>United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Soil Surveys, hydrologic soil maps, existing geotechnical reports, existing soil investigation or infiltration reports, United States Geological Survey (USGS) Quadrangle Maps, USGS historic fill maps, historical aerial photography, local or regional groundwater studies or well data (Note: Usefulness of soil survey data for soils in urban settings may be limited)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands, Waterways, Floodplains, and Drainageways</td>
<td>Note location and type of on-site waterbodies, waterways, and floodplains. Determine existing drainage pathways and patterns, both on-site and for site runoff to off-site receiving waters.</td>
<td>Topographic survey, FEMA Flood Maps, aerial photography, GIS mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Structures and Paved Areas</td>
<td>Determine on-site location of buildings, sheds, loading docks, parking lots, driveways, sidewalks, trails, etc.</td>
<td>Topographic survey, aerial photography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Stormwater Infrastructure</td>
<td>Determine on-site locations of stormwater pipes, manholes, inlets, catch basins, outfalls, etc.</td>
<td>Topographic survey, utility records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Utilities, Sewer, and Water Lines (Within 50 Feet of Property Lines)</td>
<td>Determine on-site locations of sewer pipes, manholes, force mains, water lines, water manholes, valve box covers, gas service lines, gas transmission mains, electric lines, and telephone/cable/fiber optic lines.</td>
<td>Topographic survey, utility records, utility locator services (PA One Call, private contractors)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steep Slopes</td>
<td>Determine location of slopes of 15% or greater. Determine whether site is located in Steep Slope Protection Area as per §14-704 (2) of the Philadelphia Zoning Code.</td>
<td>Topographic survey, GIS topographic data, Philadelphia Zoning Code fake: 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hotspot Investigation and Historic Fill Assessment

Understanding the presence, extent, and location of potential soil, groundwater, or surface water contamination and potentially unstable fill is an important component of characterizing existing site conditions. Infiltration of stormwater through contaminated soils has the potential to negatively impact groundwater and downstream surface water bodies. Additionally, concentrated infiltration of stormwater in areas of unstable fill can increase the potential for soil stability issues such as differential settlement and sinkhole formation. Both the presence of contamination and unstable fill can present significant risks to public health and public safety and can damage public and private property.

During this phase of the site assessment, the designer collects important information on both of these factors that may ultimately inform the placement of SMPs as described in Section 3.2.4 and whether SMPs can be designed as infiltrating facilities or must instead be designed as slow-release facilities. If a project can comply with the Stormwater Regulations solely through non-structural design techniques and/or disconnected impervious cover (DIC) (Section 3.2.2 and Section 3.2.3), a hotspot and historic fill assessment may not be needed for stormwater management purposes. However, if a development site has significant amounts of DCIA that are unlikely to be managed through non-structural techniques and DIC, the designer should proceed with the hotspot investigation and historic fill assessment procedures outlined in this Section before proceeding with the development of an integrated stormwater management plan as detailed in Section 3.2. The procedures outlined in this Section are not intended to be specific to particular SMP location. Rather, these procedures are intended to inform the eventual selection and layout of SMPs, should they be needed.

The designer must use the following hotspot investigation and historic fill assessment procedure to identify soil contamination and unstable fill risks and to evaluate the potential for implementing infiltration SMPs if these conditions are present.

Step 1 - Determine the prior land use at the site where development is proposed, and review all available data on soil and groundwater quality.

For larger development sites, a formal Phase I site assessment is often required by the lender in order to determine if any environmental hazards exist on the site. A determination of prior land use is part of this assessment.

On sites where a formal Phase I is not conducted, methods to determine prior land use may include a title search; review of aerial photographs, soil surveys, topographic maps, City and State regulatory databases; and a review of local and State records. Historic maps, records of previous construction, local knowledge or test pit data can also be used to determine whether contamination is present on-site if the site has a history of hotspots or the presence of unstable fill.
Step 2 - Determine the potential for groundwater or surface water contamination through infiltrating SMPs based on available data and prior land use (determined in Step 1), history of hotspots, and suspected/known presence of unstable fills.

The following land uses are considered to have a potential for contaminated soil, which may adversely affect the quality of groundwater discharging to surface water. These uses may qualify a project site, or portions of a project site, as a hotspot.

- Sites designated as Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act sites, also known as Superfund Sites,
- Auto recycler facilities and junk yards,
- Commercial laundry and dry cleaning facilities,
- Commercial nurseries,
- Vehicle fueling stations, service and maintenance areas,
- Toxic chemical manufacturing and storage facilities,
- Petroleum storage and refining facilities,
- Public works storage areas,
- Airports and deicing facilities,
- Railroads and rail yards,
- Marinas and ports,
- Heavy manufacturing and power generation facilities,
- Landfills and hazardous waste material disposal facilities, and
- Sites located on subsurface material such as fly ash known to contain mobile heavy metals and toxins.

Infiltration is required on all sites unless the designer can show that it is not feasible. A common factor in the preclusion of infiltration is the potential for contaminant migration (Step 3). Hotspot usage and historic fill sites could contain fill material, such as fly ash, which may contain mobile metals and toxins, as well as being a potentially unstable soil. When concentrated infiltration is present within regions with known hotspot usage or fly ash fill, infiltration can lead to extensive erosion and subsidence of infill containing very fine material. The designer is responsible for investigating the presence of contaminated or unstable soils.

If Steps 1 and 2 reveal that the presence of hotspots or unstable fill is known or anticipated, the designer must
proceed to the detailed testing procedures in Step 3. Before starting Step 3, the designer is encouraged to identify initial areas that could be used for stormwater management so that testing can be focused on potential SMP areas.

**Step 3 (if necessary) - Conduct field investigations to further evaluate contamination and/or historic fill.**

For project sites that qualify as hotspots, due diligence must be performed to determine whether any contamination is present on-site. It is not sufficient to rule out infiltration based on historical site uses alone. Testing must be performed to determine whether the site is contaminated and, if so, in what areas contamination is concentrated. Even if a site is contaminated, there may be some areas where infiltration is still feasible. Contamination must be evaluated per PA DEP guidelines, including, but not limited to, comparing testing results to PA DEP Direct Contact Medium Specific Concentration (MSC) thresholds and Soil-to-Groundwater MSC thresholds, evaluating contaminant solubility, and conducting Synthetic Precipitation Leachate Procedure (SPLP, EPA Method SW-846-1312) testing.

For project sites that anticipate the presence of unstable fill, the designer must work with a geotechnical professional to create a plan of action to identify if unstable fill exists and whether the fill is suitable for infiltration. Field testing may include, but is not limited to, soil sampling, the use of ground penetrating radar (GPR), and electromagnetic induction (EMI) scanning.

For projects that require the use of SMPs for Stormwater Regulation compliance, information on historic fill may be used as justification for the use of non-infiltrating SMPs. Many sites in Philadelphia are constructed on fill; however, the presence of fill alone does not preclude a site from installing infiltrating practices. An infiltration waiver can be requested if sufficient proof of soil instability or soil contamination is provided based on soil sampling results (Section 3.3.6). If an infiltration waiver is requested due to contamination, environmental reports for any testing completed, as well as a justification letter from the geotechnical engineer or environmental professional clearly stating why infiltration is not recommended, must also be submitted. If appropriate justification and documentation is provided to demonstrate that contamination or soil stability precludes the site from infiltrating, an impervious liner may be necessary for SMPs where stormwater is concentrated.
3.1.2 Site Factors Analysis

The final step in the site assessment is to review the information obtained in the background and site factors inventories and perform a stormwater management constraints and opportunities analysis. A stormwater constraints and opportunities analysis identifies areas where stormwater management may or may not be appropriate and assists the designer in making preliminary determinations regarding the size and layout of any development features.

Stormwater Management Constraints

Stormwater management constraints are areas on the project site where stormwater management may be difficult, infeasible, or inadvisable. These are not necessarily the same as site development constraints such as existing utilities, wetlands, riparian buffers, steep slopes, and soils with high permeability, although some of these conditions can result in stormwater management constraints. Stormwater management constraints consist of existing conditions that preclude the implementation of SMPs to mitigate stormwater quantity, rate, or quality per the Stormwater Regulations. The designer is referred to Section 3.2 for discussion of constraints related to specific design strategies and to Chapter 4 for constraints related to different SMP types.

Stormwater Management Opportunities

Once constrained areas have been determined, the designer must identify site characteristics that are favorable to stormwater management, such as soils with desirable permeability or locations for proposed discharge points (e.g., connections to existing storm sewers). Likewise, site development should be focused as much as possible in areas that provide poor opportunities for stormwater management, maximizing the areas conducive to stormwater. Certain types of critical natural areas can present both constraints to land development and significant opportunities for stormwater management. For example, riparian areas, which are not prime development areas, can sometimes be used to disconnect impervious cover (Section 3.2.3). However, the environmental impacts of implementing stormwater management in natural areas must be carefully considered.

Recognizing opportunities to reduce proposed DCIA to be managed and protecting and using existing site features during the site assessment can lower project costs associated with meeting the Stormwater Regulations. Additionally, proposed site features that are conducive to stormwater management should be identified. For instance, areas such as parking lot islands can be used for surface management of stormwater. The designer is referred to Section 3.2 for additional guidance on stormwater management design strategies and to Section 3.5 for examples of integrated stormwater management strategies for different project types.
3.2 Stormwater Management Design Strategies

Section 3.2 provides guidance on the Philadelphia Water Department’s (PWD’s) integrated design approach (Section 3.2.1), which specifies the use of non-structural design (Section 3.2.2), disconnected impervious cover (DIC) (Section 3.2.3), and stormwater management practice (SMP) selection, layout, and design strategies (Section 3.2.4) to meet PWD Stormwater Regulations. Often, a combination of non-structural design, DIC, and SMP implementation will be required to meet the Stormwater Regulations.

Section 3.2 contains a significant amount of design guidance that the designer should use to integrate robust and cost-effective stormwater management into site designs in ways that achieve PWD’s key stormwater management goals of minimizing the harmful effects of flooding and maintaining the health of Philadelphia’s streams and rivers. Additionally, this Section contains general requirements and standards of which the designer must be aware.

3.2.1 Integrated Design Approach

PWD has developed an integrated design approach through which developers can meet the Stormwater Regulations for proposed development projects. The intent of the approach is to promote the use of stormwater management solutions that protect receiving waters in a cost-effective manner. The integrated design approach presented here is based on recommendations found within the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) Pennsylvania Stormwater Best Management Practice (BMP) Manual, with minor modifications for adaptation to the urban conditions in Philadelphia. For example, non-structural design, one of three major design strategies discussed in this Section, may be challenging to implement in cases where higher densities/intensities are proposed on small sites in densely developed areas. However, DIC opportunities, such as green roofs, may be more cost-effective in the highly dense areas of Philadelphia because of energy savings, retail value, and other factors. Additional informational resources on the economic benefits of incorporating green features into an urban environment can be found on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review website.

The process of integrating site development and stormwater management design begins with a comprehensive understanding of existing site conditions per a site assessment, as described in Section 3.1. The site assessment process allows the designer to identify key site and stormwater management design constraints and opportunities. For example, the designer may desire to locate a proposed building to preserve an existing large and mature tree or an area of existing native vegetation in good condition in order to obtain credits for preserving existing trees under §14–705(g) of the Philadelphia Zoning Code. In addition, low-lying areas on a site can be used for SMPs in order to minimize conveyance costs.

With an integrated design approach, the designer uses a combination of three primary strategies (non-structural design, DIC, and SMPs) to meet the Stormwater Regulations, as applicable, outlined in Chapter 1.
These strategies are implemented initially in sequence, then in an iterative approach leading to formulation of a comprehensive site and stormwater management design as illustrated in Figure 3.2-1.

**Figure 3.2-1: PWD’s Integrated Design Approach**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Structural Design</th>
<th>Check compliance with PWD Stormwater Regulations in accordance with Chapter 1 and evaluate degree of compliance. Confirm Review Path in accordance with Chapter 2 has not changed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assess and maximize opportunities to use Non-Structural Design Strategies outlined in Section 3.2.2 to protect and use existing site features, minimize impervious cover, and reduce earth disturbance.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Disconnected Impervious Cover (DIC)</strong> Assess and maximize opportunities to use DIC approaches, outlined in Section 3.2.3, to reduce amount of Directly Connected Impervious Area (DCIA) to be managed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disconnect Impervious Cover (DIC)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Check compliance with PWD Stormwater Regulations in accordance with Chapter 1 and evaluate degree of compliance. Confirm Review Path in accordance with Chapter 2 has not changed.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SMP Selection, Layout, and Design</strong></td>
<td><strong>Check compliance with PWD Stormwater Regulations in accordance with Chapter 1.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop approach to managing remaining DCIA using a systems approach to SMP design per guidance in Section 3.2.4. In using this Section, consider approaches per the SMP Hierarchy outlined in Table 3.2-4 and consult Chapter 4 or SMP-specific design requirements. Section 3.2.4 also includes guidance on Stormwater Management Trading and using SMPs in series to meet the Stormwater Regulations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2.2 Non-Structural Design

PWD places a high value on protecting sensitive and special value resources and preserving the natural systems and hydrologic functions that may be present on a site. Non-structural strategies, a primary characteristic of low-impact development, promote the treatment, infiltration, evaporation, and transpiration of precipitation close to where it falls, and are a primary means by which the designer works to preserve and protect high-value natural features. PWD recommends that the designer use non-structural design practices early in the site planning process to reduce the size and cost of stormwater management facilities. Implementing these practices involves the careful consideration of the project site’s predevelopment condition, topography, natural drainage systems, and landscaping to arrange site development features in ways that minimize the use of impervious cover and the disruption of existing natural features, and the use of construction staging strategies that limit disturbance and soil compaction.

When used in combination, non-structural strategies can result in a variety of environmental and financial
benefits. In the designer’s interest, the use of non-structural design practices can reduce land clearing and grading costs, reduce the size and cost of stormwater management facilities, reduce the cost and scope of operations and maintenance, and increase property values. In some cases, these strategies can result in the preservation of open space and working lands, protection of natural systems, and the incorporation of existing site features, such as wetlands and stream corridors, which provide natural hydrologic and water quality functions in addition to fish and wildlife habitat.

**Non-Structural Strategies**

While most development sites within the City of Philadelphia do not generally possess extensive natural systems, more modest natural systems and features may be of sufficient value to warrant preservation and integration within the development plan. These features may include mature trees or flowering shrubs, natural topography or rock outcroppings, or plant communities that protect slopes from erosion or act as buffers for streams or drainage ways. The designer must complete a site assessment, as described in Section 3.1, to better understand the physical features of an existing property before exploring non-structural design strategies.

Following the completion of the site assessment, the first step in the stormwater design process is to thoroughly consider the use of non-structural strategies, finding creative ways of incorporating built features around existing natural areas. Recommended non-structural strategies fall within three categories: protecting sensitive and special value resources, clustering and concentrating, and minimizing disturbance and maintenance.

**Protect Sensitive and Special Value Resources**

To minimize stormwater impacts, land development activities must avoid encroaching on areas that provide important natural stormwater functions, such as floodplains, wetlands, and riparian areas, and on areas that are especially sensitive to stormwater impacts, such as steep slopes. These features may not be widespread in the urban environment, but where they do exist, they must be identified and protected. By protecting sensitive and special value resources, the designer can make existing natural features an important and integral part of a development site, enhancing the development’s role in the landscape and the community and providing attractive amenities for future tenants or owners. Protecting these features can also reduce the amount of stormwater runoff discharged from the site.

Within Philadelphia, most development sites do not have extensive sensitive and special value resources due to the density and history of development in the region. Many of the features that provide hydrologic functions within the landscape have been removed, covered, or buried, and most native soils have been removed, compacted, contaminated, or replaced with low-value fill material and debris. For these reasons, it may be difficult to identify substantial resources or features for protection. This relative scarcity of existing resources, however, prompts PWD to recognize the value and function of less extensive natural areas, even to the extent of valuing an individual tree. PWD urges the designer to consider the preservation and
enhancement of natural features present at any scale, as well as enhancements that may help to protect natural features adjacent to the site, such as creating buffer zones or stabilizing steep slopes.

**Special Value Features**

Trees and shrubs are highly effective at retaining precipitation through interception, and all plants further reduce runoff through evapotranspiration. Well-developed root systems help keep soil ecosystems healthy, enhance infiltration, and limit erosion. Naturally-occurring bioretention areas - small, sometimes saturated areas that sustain plant communities such as pocket wetlands and vernal pools - are effective filters that sequester contaminants and support microbes that decompose organic pollutants. These existing vegetated features should be strongly prioritized for preservation. On larger sites, existing drainage pathways, such as natural draws or swales, should be identified and used whenever possible to convey stormwater in the post-development condition. By identifying these features and integrating their preservation within the development plan, sites can benefit from improved quality and reduced volume of off-site stormwater discharges, while simultaneously providing the many benefits of natural vegetation including wildlife habitat, improved air quality, and reductions in the urban heat island effect.

**Riparian Areas**

When development sites are adjacent to streams or rivers, riparian buffer systems can protect and enhance streams by limiting erosion, filtering and sequestering pollutants, and providing habitat for wildlife. Buffers can be especially important along steep banks that are vulnerable to erosion, and serve to separate waterbodies from decorative landscape areas where fertilizers are applied and runoff carries nutrients to the open water. Streambeds, the disturbance of which is regulated by State and Federal regulations, support a variety of life and must be protected from trampling or other abuse. In urban areas where riparian habitat is limited, protecting and enhancing remaining streamside corridors is critical to avoiding further impacts to water quality and ecological health.

**Natural Flow Pathways**

Where natural flow pathways or depressions exist, the designer should consider using these systems to help manage site runoff. Planting or protecting existing, deep-rooted plant cover within these existing features can limit erosion. Most larger sites, unless highly disturbed, will possess natural drainage features that, when conditions allow, will sustain and support a diverse plant community while also slowing and filtering runoff before it reaches larger bodies of water. These flow pathways can be attractively integrated within the site’s landscaping, reducing irrigation demands, and providing valuable site amenities that require minimal maintenance. Plant choices should be selected from native species that are adapted to the hydrologic conditions expected within the channel. The designer should assess whether existing drainage features are regulated by State or Federal statutes prior to conducting planting within these areas.
Cluster and Concentrate

When assessing the programming needs of the development, the designer should make an effort to cluster and concentrate structures in order to build on the smallest area possible and minimize extensive directly connected impervious area (DCIA), reserving as much area as possible for “green” cover. By limiting the footprints of buildings, parking areas, and other DCIA, either through stacking or clustering structures on the site, the designer can leave larger areas open for green space programming without reducing gross density. This practice not only improves the ability of the site to manage stormwater, but also increases the opportunity for green amenities and enhances long-term property values. Multi-story buildings also have lower energy consumption per square foot of floor space, fetch higher rent compared with low-rise buildings, and retain the urban character of the city.

This practice is not highly applicable to small or single parcel developments, but is more conducive to larger master planning for neighborhoods, campuses for hospitals or educational institutions, or redevelopment of large brownfield sites. In these environments, designation of open spaces can provide enhanced access to shared amenities and promote community cohesion. Concentrating buildings can also reduce per unit construction costs and the cost of providing infrastructure and site circulation.

Minimize Disturbance and Maintenance

Builders and contractors must minimize unnecessary land disturbance in order to limit the movement and compaction of in situ soils and preserve existing vegetation. When planning and staging construction, the designer should work with contractors to avoid trampling or stockpiling where unnecessary, and to stay clear of special value and environmentally sensitive areas. Disturbed or compacted soils are less effective in supporting plant growth and promoting infiltration. Heavy equipment paths must be well marked to avoid unnecessary compaction of in situ soils in areas specified for open spaces, especially areas where infiltration is intended, and tree guards must be erected to prevent damage from construction vehicles. Site planners should also seek to conform to the existing topography to the greatest extent possible, limiting the cost of grading and planting, reducing soil compaction, and assuring that healthy topsoil remains on the surface. These practices will provide for more robust plant growth, speed the recovery of green spaces following construction, and require less maintenance in the long term.

Disturbed areas must be restored with native plant species that do not require chemical maintenance and are selected for the appropriate hydrologic regime. In some cases, it will be necessary to protect re-vegetated areas during the establishment period by erecting fences and limiting access.
Other Considerations Beyond Stormwater Regulations

Beyond the PWD Stormwater Regulations, applicants may want to consider other factors in the stormwater management design to meet immediate development and long term site needs. This may include designing the site such that it complies with both the Stormwater Regulations and Stormwater quantity and/or quality control requirements for LEED certification. Eligible projects may also choose to take advantage of various stormwater management based Zoning Bonuses to increase a building's height and/or density. If there are large swaths of existing impervious area that will not be disturbed during construction the applicant may want to consider capturing these areas as well to maximize potential stormwater billing credits (Section 2.5)

How to Use Non-Structural Strategies to Help Comply With the Stormwater Regulations

The designer can use non-structural strategies to help comply with the Stormwater Regulations described in Chapter 1 in the following ways:

Water Quality and Channel Protection

Non-structural practices encourage minimizing the use of DCIA, thus reducing the volume of stormwater required to be managed. Additionally, Redevelopment projects that reduce impervious area within the limits
of earth disturbance (excluding public right-of-way) by at least 20%, based on a comparison of predevelopment impervious area to post-development DCIA, are exempt from the Channel Protection requirement.

**Flood Control**

The use of non-structural practices will generally increase on-site stormwater retention and time of concentration, thus reducing the amount and peak flow rate of stormwater required to be managed. Additionally, Redevelopment projects that reduce impervious area within the limits of earth disturbance (excluding public right-of-way) by at least 20%, based on a comparison of predevelopment impervious area to post-development DCIA, are exempt from the Flood Control requirement.

**How Non-Structural Design Strategies Influence the PWD Review and Approval Process**

As described in Chapter 2, characteristics of a project will determine the Review Path required for stormwater management compliance. The amount of earth disturbance associated with a proposed project is an important characteristic that can be influenced by non-structural design. By minimizing the amount of earth disturbance, the designer can potentially change Review Paths. For example, a project that is outside of the Darby and Cobbs Creeks or Wissahickon Creek Watersheds and that is able to reduce the amount of earth disturbance to less than 15,000 square feet will be eligible for a Development Exemption Review. After using all possible non-structural strategies to minimize earth disturbance, the designer should refer back to Chapter 2 to confirm the Review Path for the project.

### 3.2.3 Disconnected Impervious Cover

This Section includes guidance for discharging stormwater runoff from impervious surface and discusses techniques for reducing DCIA through disconnection. Depending on the configuration, all, or a portion, of DIC may be deducted from the post-development impervious cover on a site, leading to an elimination of, or reduction in, total site DCIA. Further, by incorporating DIC into the design of a Redevelopment project, developers may be eligible for an Expedited PCSMP Review. Section 2.4 details the criteria for Expedited PCSMP Review eligibility. The Online Technical Worksheet guides the designer through this stage of the design process and assists in analysis of post-development impervious area, DIC, and ultimate calculation of total site DCIA. All proposed DIC must be documented in the PCSMP Submission Package (Section 2.3.1).

**Disconnection Strategies**

PWD distinguishes between impervious cover from which runoff is directed toward pervious areas for management within the landscape (DIC) and impervious cover from which runoff is directed toward SMPs with discharge/overflow connections to the sewer (DCIA). Disconnection opportunities depend on
incorporating sufficient pervious areas into a site layout. Completing a site assessment (Section 3.1.1) will help to characterize the nature and extent of existing pervious areas on a site that can be used for impervious area disconnections. Disconnection strategies are described in the following Sections.

**Rooftop Disconnection**

A reduction in DCIA is permitted when a roof downspout is directed to a vegetated area that allows for infiltration, filtration, and increased time of concentration. PCSMP approval issued by PWD Stormwater Plan Review may support the designer in his or her request to obtain relevant and necessary City of Philadelphia Plumbing Code variances for approved rooftop disconnections. The designer is advised to contact the City of Philadelphia Department of Licenses and Inspections (L&I) to confirm the Plumbing Code requirements associated with the disconnection of roof leaders. Under certain circumstances, drainage to an approved point of disposal, SMP, or open space is allowed under the Plumbing Code.

A rooftop is considered to be completely, or partially, disconnected if it meets all of the following requirements:

- The contributing area of rooftop to each disconnected discharge must be 500 square feet or less.
- The soil of the pervious area must not be designated as a hydrologic soil group “D” or equivalent.
- The overland flow path of the pervious area must have a slope of 5% or less.

For designs that meet these requirements, the portion of the roof that may be considered disconnected depends on the length of the overland path as designated in Table 3.2-1.

**Table 3.2-1: Partial Rooftop Disconnection**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of Pervious Flow Path* (feet)</th>
<th>Roof Area Treated as Disconnected (% of contributing roof area)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-29</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-44</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-59</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-74</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 or more</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Flow path cannot include DCIA, must be at least 15 feet from any ground-level impervious surfaces, and
must be continuous starting from the point of roof leader discharge. Two roof leaders cannot discharge to the same flow path for disconnection credit.

For example, consider a 1,000-square foot roof with two roof leaders, each draining an area of 500 square feet (Figure 3.2-2). Both roof leaders discharge to a lawn. The lawn has type B soils and a slope of 3%. The distance from the downspout discharge point to the street is 65 feet. Therefore, based on Table 3.2-1, 80% of the roof area may be considered disconnected and treated as pervious cover when calculating stormwater management requirements. Disconnecting the roof leaders will significantly reduce the size and cost of stormwater management facilities at this site.

**Figure 3.2-2:** Rooftop Disconnection Example

![Diagram of a rooftop disconnection example](image.png)

**Pavement Disconnection**

A reduction in DCIA is permitted when pavement runoff is directed to a vegetated area that allows for infiltration, filtration, and an increased time of concentration. This method is generally applicable to small or narrow pavement structures such as driveways and narrow pathways through otherwise pervious areas (e.g., a trail through a park).
Pavement is considered to be completely, or partially, disconnected if it meets all of the following requirements:

- The contributing flow path over impervious pavement must be no more than 75 feet.
- The length of overland flow over pervious areas must be greater than, or equal to, the length of the contributing flow path over impervious pavement.
- The overland flow must be non-concentrated sheet flow over a vegetated area (flow through a swale is not eligible for pavement disconnection credit).
- The soil of the pervious area must not be designated as a hydrologic soil group “D” or equivalent.
- The contributing impervious area must have a slope of 5% or less.
- The overland flow path of the pervious area must have a slope of 5% or less.
- If discharge is concentrated at one or more discrete points, no more than 1,000 square feet may discharge to any one point. In addition, an erosion control measure, such as a gravel strip, is required for concentrated discharges. Erosion control measures are not required for non-concentrated discharges along the entire edge of pavement; however, there must be provision for the establishment of vegetation along the pavement edge and temporary stabilization of the area until vegetation becomes established.

When choosing pavement disconnections, the designer should consider the impact of directing runoff from adjacent impervious areas on the pervious area. Disconnecting larger areas of pavement along stream banks and other potentially erosive or sensitive areas may necessitate additional measures to be taken beyond meeting the minimum requirements.
Tree Disconnection Credit

A reduction in DCIA is permitted when existing or newly proposed tree canopy from an approved species list extends over, or is in close proximity to, impervious area. Trees planted in vegetated practices, such as bioinfiltration/bioretention areas, and that meet the requirements set forth in this Section can be used toward tree disconnection credit.

An example of new tree disconnection credit in Philadelphia

Existing tree disconnection credit may be applied for a reduction in DCIA if it meets the following requirements:

- The existing tree species cannot be one of the invasive species included in Table I-2: Common Invasive Species of the Mid-Atlantic Region (Appendix I).

- The existing tree must be at least four-inch caliper.

- Existing tree canopies must be field measured, and tree location, size, and species must be indicated on submitted plans. Alternatively, an annotated aerial photo clearly showing the existing tree canopy limits must be submitted.

- Only impervious area located directly under the tree canopy area can be considered disconnected.

- Overlapping existing tree canopy area cannot be counted twice toward disconnection credit.

New tree disconnection credit may be applied for a reduction in DCIA if it meets the following requirements:

- The proposed tree species must be chosen from the approved plant list (Appendix I).
• New trees must be planted within ten feet of ground-level impervious area, within the limits of earth disturbance, and outside of the public right-of-way.

• New deciduous trees must be at least two-inch caliper.

• New evergreen trees must be at least six feet tall.

• A 100-square foot DCIA reduction is permitted for each new tree. This credit may only be applied to the impervious area adjacent to the tree.

• Overlapping 100-square foot DCIA reduction areas corresponding to adjacent new trees cannot be counted twice toward disconnection credit.

The maximum reduction permitted for both new and existing trees is 25% of ground-level impervious area within the limits of earth disturbance, unless the width of the impervious area is less than ten feet. Up to 100% of narrow impervious areas (e.g., sidewalks and trails) may be disconnected through the application of tree credits.

Green Roof

A reduction in DCIA is permitted when a green roof is installed on a proposed building and when the design, construction, and maintenance plans meet the minimum requirements specified in Section 4.3. To encourage the use of this technology, the entire extent of the green roof area may be considered DIC. However, since a green roof is not a zero discharge system, the remaining site design must safely convey roof runoff from larger storm events to an approved point of discharge. When performing calculations for Flood Control and Public Health and Safety (PHS) Release Rate requirements, green roof discharge (i.e., overflows) must be modeled using appropriate Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) runoff Curve Number (CN) values for green roof areas as described in Section 3.4.3. The designer is referred to Section 4.3 for more information on green roofs.
To encourage the use of green roofs, the Philadelphia Water Department considers the entire extent of the green roof as DIC.

Porous Pavement

PWD recognizes two types of porous pavement systems that can be used to achieve compliance with the Stormwater Regulations: porous pavement DIC areas receiving direct rainfall only; and porous pavement over a structural SMP, which is designed to manage direct rainfall and concentrated runoff from adjacent DCIA.

Porous pavement can be considered DIC when it does not create any areas of concentrated infiltration and does not receive runoff from any adjacent impervious areas.

Porous pavement over structural SMPs is not considered DIC, and therefore must be designed pursuant to the requirements of either a subsurface infiltration (Section 4.4) or subsurface detention (Section 4.8) SMP, depending upon the feasibility of infiltration.

For disconnection credit, the design, construction, and maintenance plan must meet the minimum requirements for porous pavement DIC, as specified in Section 4.2. When performing calculations for Flood Control and PHS Release Rate requirements, appropriate CN values must be used for porous pavements, as described in Section 3.4.3.
DIC Applications

There is a broad range of additional applications, including proprietary products, which may be suitable for receipt of disconnection credits. Many of these products will require the use of an appropriate sub-base to allow for storage and infiltration and must generally be installed above non-compacted soil. In most cases, underdrain systems are not required for DIC. The designer must consult with PWD Stormwater Plan Review for specific performance or installation parameters. Potential applications include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Trails (Section 3.5.4);
- Synthetic turf surfaces for athletic fields (Section 3.5.5);
- Porous safety surfaces as found in play lots;
- Geogrid systems or other similar soil reinforcements;
- Pervious decking installed over a porous surface; and/or
- Paving tiles with porous grout or gaps.
How to Use Disconnection Strategies to Help Comply With the Stormwater Regulations

The designer can use DIC to help comply with the Stormwater Regulations described in Chapter 1 in the following ways:

Water Quality and Channel Protection

Impervious area that meets the disconnection criteria for the various strategies described above is considered DIC and is therefore no longer subject to the Water Quality and Channel Protection requirements for treatment of on-site DCIA. Implementing DIC can be an excellent strategy for managing small areas of DCIA for which routing the runoff to the proposed SMP is not feasible, such as porches, steps, concrete pads, walkways, or impervious cover atop utility trenching, etc. Additionally, Redevelopment projects that reduce impervious area within the limits of earth disturbance (excluding public right-of-way) by at least 20%, based on a comparison of predevelopment impervious area to post-development DCIA, are exempt from the Channel Protection requirement.

Flood Control

The use of some disconnection strategies such as green roofs and porous pavements will generally increase on-site stormwater retention, thus reducing the amount and peak flow rate of stormwater required to be managed. Additionally, the use of disconnection strategies reduces DCIA. Redevelopment projects that reduce impervious area within the limits of earth disturbance (excluding public right-of-way) by at least 20%, based on a comparison of predevelopment impervious area to post-development DCIA, are exempt from the Flood Control requirement.

How Disconnection Design Strategies Influence the PWD Review and Approval Process

Reducing DCIA through the implementation of DIC can influence the project Review Path, as described in Chapter 2. By incorporating DIC into the design of a Redevelopment project, developers may be eligible for an Expedited PCSMP Review (Section 2.4) to obtain PCSMP approval faster and meet tighter construction schedules. Disconnection Green Review projects (Section 2.4.1) are those that incorporate at least 95% DIC in the stormwater management design in order to meet the Stormwater Regulations, and Surface Green Review projects (Section 2.4.2) use a combination of bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs and DIC to meet the Stormwater Regulations. Disconnection Green Reviews benefit from a shorter (five-day) PCSMP Review Phase, and exemption from the infiltration testing requirement. Surface Green Review projects benefit from a shorter (five-day) PCSMP Review Phase and the option to delay infiltration testing until construction to provide flexibility and potential cost savings.
3.2.4 SMP Selection, Layout, and Design

The designer will often need to use SMPs to meet the Stormwater Regulations. PWD expects that the designer will first consider maximizing the use of non-structural design and DIC strategies outlined earlier in this Chapter, but also recognizes that, for many sites, stormwater management compliance will rely strongly on the use of SMPs.

This Section provides detailed guidance to the designer in the selection and design of SMPs to meet the Stormwater Regulations. The first portion of this Section focuses on approaches for selecting SMPs, while the latter portions of the Chapter focus on design strategies. In selecting and designing SMPs, the designer will draw strongly from the site assessment, stormwater opportunities, and constraints work described in Section 3.1.

**SMP Functions**

SMPs are systems that use physical, chemical, and biological processes to provide a certain level of stormwater control and treatment. This level of control typically includes a required storage volume, a volume to be infiltrated, and an acceptable release rate. These requirements are met through five principal hydraulic functions of SMPs, described below.

Figure 3.2-3 illustrates a variety of design elements available to provide these functions. Depending on the configuration, physical, chemical, and biological processes lead to removal of pollutants during these processes. By combining design components in a variety of ways, the designer can identify alternative systems that achieve a given function. The SMP functions are not mutually exclusive and certain SMPs may perform multiple functions.
1. Storage

Storage can be provided through surface ponding, enclosed surface storage, or subsurface storage. Subsurface stone storage beds provide storage in stone pore spaces, or voids. Some SMPS, such as bioinfiltration/bioretention basins, can provide a combination of both surface and subsurface storage.

A rough estimate of surface storage can be obtained by averaging the surface area and bottom area of a basin and multiplying by the average depth. For irregular shapes, volume can be estimated by finding the area inside each contour, multiplying each area by the contour interval, and adding the results.

Storage in stone pores is equal to the volume of the crushed stone bed times the porosity. A design porosity of 40% can be assumed for the stone if specifications for the crushed stone meet those provided in Chapter 4.

Storage available in porous media is equal to the initial moisture deficit, the portion of total porosity that is not already occupied by moisture. This portion varies at the beginning of every storm; acceptable design values are 30% for sand and 20% for growing soil.

Not all physical space in a given SMP is active. The maximum elevation that is considered active storage is the overflow elevation. In tanks draining by gravity whose bottoms do not infiltrate, any volume below the invert of the orifice or control structure cannot be considered active storage.
2. Infiltration

Infiltration of stored water into the underlying soil is desired because stormwater runoff is eliminated from the City's drainage system, and natural hydrology is restored. Surface vegetation helps prolong design life because the growth of plant roots helps to keep the soil pore structure open over time. This effect is greatest with vegetation that has a deeper root structure (e.g., trees, shrubs, and native herbaceous species rather than turf grass). Using such attractive landscaping practices improves the quality of life in the urban landscape.

3. Evaporation and Transpiration

Evaporation and transpiration are minor SMP functions when measured over the course of one storm, but they are significant when measured over time. Surface SMPs will provide the greatest evaporation and transpiration benefit, particularly if they are vegetated. Some water that infiltrates the surface will evaporate. For this reason, vegetated systems provide both water quality treatment and volume reduction.

4. Slow Release

When stored water cannot be infiltrated or evaporated, it must be released at a slow rate to a sewer or receiving water body. This allows the runoff to slowly drain into the City's system, preventing environmental issues stemming from large amounts of water entering the sewer system or receiving water all at once. For volumes in excess of the SMP's infiltrated static storage, and for non-infiltrating SMPs, the SMP may release the volume slowly through an outlet control device. The outlet control structure may require design and maintenance measures to avoid clogging.

5. Controlled Positive Overflow

All designs must have a mechanism for water to overflow, or bypass, the system unimpeded during events larger than the design event. A riser or other overflow structure can be incorporated into the design to achieve this, or the flow capacity of some SMPs themselves can act as a bypass mechanism.

**Overview of Technologies/Uses**

This Section provides general technical design guidance for managing stormwater using SMPs. The designer is encouraged to seek compliance with Stormwater Regulations using non-structural design and DIC strategies, discussed in Section 3.2.2 and Section 3.2.3, respectively.

**Pollutant-Reducing Practices**

Table 3.2-2 presents a list of acceptable pollutant-reducing practices to be used for projects where infiltration is found to be infeasible. The designer is referred to the Chapter 4 Section referenced in the table for detailed
design information concerning each type of SMP. Additional information on SMP types is provided later in this Section in the SMP Selection and Conceptual Design Section. If a particular practice is listed as “not acceptable” within separate sewer or direct discharge areas, it does not imply that this practice cannot be used; it simply means that that particular practice does not qualify as pollutant-reducing when used in those areas.

Table 3.2-2: Acceptable Non-Infiltrating Pollutant-Reducing Practices

| Bioretention | 4.1 | Yes | Yes |
| Porous Pavement DIC | 4.2 | Yes | Yes |
| Green Roofs | 4.3 | Yes | Yes |
| Cisterns | 4.5 | Yes | Yes |
| Blue Roofs | 4.6 | Yes | No |
| Ponds and Wet Basins | 4.7 | Yes | Yes |
| Vegetated Media Filters | 4.9 | Yes | Yes |
| Media Filters | 4.9 | Yes | Yes |
| Roof Runoff Isolation* | 3.2.4 | Yes | No |

*Roof runoff isolation is the routing of runoff from non-vehicular roof area that is not commingled with untreated runoff. The designer is referred to Section 3.2.4 for more information.

Innovative Practices

SMPs contained in this Manual are by no means exclusive. PWD encourages the development of innovative practices that meet the intent of the Stormwater Regulations. PWD recognizes that new stormwater management products are continuously being developed and introduced into the marketplace and is in support of innovative approaches to management. If an applicant is interested in utilizing technologies not discussed in this Manual, he or she is encouraged to contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review.

How to Use SMPs to Help Comply With the Stormwater Regulations

A well-designed SMP will use combinations of the five principal hydraulic functions described above to achieve compliance with Stormwater Regulations. As noted previously, SMPs are one tool available to the designer to meet the Stormwater Regulations. PWD encourages the designer to first consider non-structural
design and DIC to meet the Stormwater Regulations prior to considering SMPs (Section 3.2.2 and Section 3.2.3). Specific suggestions for using SMPs for compliance are discussed below. The designer should also consult the guidance on designing SMPs in series and Stormwater Management Trading presented later in this Section for additional options in using SMPs to help comply with the Stormwater Regulations.

**Water Quality**

Where infiltration is feasible, SMPs must provide adequate static storage for the entire Water Quality Volume (WQv) below the lowest outlet. (For SMPs in series, the series as a whole must comply with this requirement.) Additionally, the designer must ensure a drain down time of no more than 72 hours. Drain down time compliance is typically achieved by varying the storage area dimensions.

Where infiltration is not feasible in a combined sewer area, the WQv must be treated and released at a controlled release rate and routed through an acceptable pollutant-reducing practice. The designer is referred to Section 3.4.1 for detailed information on how to comply with the Water Quality requirement.

For gravity systems, the target controlled release rate is a function of head on the outlet structure orifice/weir and the orifice/weir characteristics. Compliance is typically achieved by varying storage area dimensions and outlet structure configuration to meet the target slow release rate.

**Channel Protection (if applicable)**

Compliance with the Channel Protection requirement is typically achieved by varying storage area dimensions and outlet structure configuration to reduce the peak outflow rate during the one-year storm. Additionally, the designer must ensure a drain down time of no more than 72 hours. Controlled positive overflow must be provided, typically in the form of a riser or other overflow structure, to safely pass events larger than the one-year design storm. The designer is referred to Section 3.4.1 for detailed information on how to comply with the Channel Protection requirement.

**Flood Control (if applicable)**

Compliance with the Flood Control requirement is also typically achieved by varying storage area dimensions and outlet structure configuration to reduce the peak outflow rates for the post-development condition. Peak runoff in the proposed condition must be no greater than the peak runoff in the predevelopment condition for design storms specific to a project’s given Flood Management District and discharge point. Controlled positive overflow must be provided, typically in the form of a riser or other overflow structure, to safely pass large storms. The designer is referred to Section 3.4.1 for detailed information on how to comply with the Flood Control requirement.
Major SMP Types

Infiltrating SMPs
Infiltrating SMPs, such as porous pavement, subsurface infiltration, and bioinfiltration practices, manage stormwater by infiltrating it into the ground. The designer is required to use infiltrating practices to meet the Water Quality requirement unless infiltration is found to be infeasible. All infiltrating practices are considered pollutant-reducing.

Slow release SMPs
Slow release SMPs detain and slowly release stormwater over time. Some slow release practices are inherently pollutant-reducing practices (if stormwater is passed through a soil/vegetation/media complex) while others may need to be in series with an additional pollutant-reducing SMP.

Pollutant-reducing SMPs
On sites where infiltration is not feasible, DCIA must be routed to an acceptable pollutant-reducing practice. Table 3.2-2 above presents the non-infiltrating SMPs that PWD currently accepts as pollutant-reducing practices. (For detailed information and design guidelines for individual SMPs, the designer is referred to Chapter 4.) Alternative pollutant-reducing practices may be proposed and will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis. Pollutant-reducing practices include all infiltrating practices and some slow release practices.

Vegetated SMPs
Vegetated practices include vegetation as a significant or dominant component within the storage area and include bioinfiltration/bioretention basins, ponds and wet basins, green roofs, and vegetated media filters.

Non-vegetated SMPs
Non-vegetated practices include all subsurface practices, blue roofs, porous pavement, media filters, and cisterns, and do not have significant vegetative components.

SMP Selection and Conceptual Design

The process of selecting the right SMPs for a site is complex and can be challenging, particularly for constrained sites. PWD accepts many different SMPs and offers approaches such as SMPs in series and Stormwater Management Trading that provide the designer flexibility in fitting SMPs into challenging project sites. During the SMP selection and conceptual design process, the designer will select and perform an initial layout of SMPs, incorporating site assessment data, an understanding of remaining stormwater management requirements (after accounting for non-structural design and DIC strategies); PWD’s SMP preferences; and other factors such as aesthetics, cost, and maintenance requirements. This SMP selection and initial layout process should be performed prior to the finalization of the development site layout, such that the site layout can be revised, if needed, based on SMP requirements. Typically, the designer will perform initial SMP selection and conceptual design prior to the submission of the Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package.
(Section 2.3).

PWD requires that infiltrating SMPs be used to meet the Water Quality requirement unless the designer demonstrates that infiltration is not feasible. Infiltration testing and soil characterization procedures are outlined in Section 3.3. In many cases, infiltration testing will not be performed until the initial layout of SMPs has been completed. Infiltration testing does not need to be performed during SMP selection and conceptual design. In fact, it is generally better not to conduct this testing until after SMP footprints and depths have been estimated. By performing a site assessment and stormwater management opportunities and constraints analysis in accordance with Section 3.1, the designer can reduce the likelihood that a properly conducted infiltration and soil characterization plan (Section 3.3.1) will uncover non-infiltrating subsurface conditions at the SMPs footprints laid out during SMP selection and conceptual design. A site assessment and opportunities/constraints analysis will do so by screening out locations, such as areas with documented high seasonal groundwater, shallow bedrock, clay, or other limiting soil layers that may preclude infiltration, and steering the conceptual SMP layout toward areas more likely to support infiltration.

PWD recommends a three-step process for selecting and advancing SMP design through the conceptual design phase.

**Step 1 - Understanding the Options: The SMP Hierarchy**

SMPs can differ greatly from each other in terms of cost, function, and applicability to different types of sites. The designer is encouraged to thoroughly review the SMP-specific guidance provided in Chapter 4 when selecting SMPs. The SMP One-Sheets at the beginning of each SMP Chapter should help in understanding the potential for using each SMP type to meet the various Stormwater Regulations.

The SMP Hierarchy is a tool developed to help PWD understand and communicate the order of PWD’s preference for all SMPs. This tool has allowed PWD to formulate incentive-based policies that promote the use of high-performance and cost-effective stormwater management approaches that more effectively achieve the goals of the *Green City, Clean Waters* program. Similarly, the Hierarchy provides a clear reference point for the private development community to understand which SMPs are most preferred by PWD. Specifically, the Hierarchy seeks to promote practices that do the following:

- Reduce stormwater and pollutants entering and leaving the PWD collection system;
- Are likely to be maintained and have indicated longevity in previous installations; and
- Provide vegetation to create a greener city.

**Ranking Criteria**

The criteria used to rank the SMPs reflect a wide range of characteristics, such as water quality and quantity performance, space requirements, construction and maintenance costs, likeliness of failure, and triple bottom
line performance. As a result, the Hierarchy reflects preferences based on stormwater management performance, constructability, and longevity. Table 3.2-3 outlines the main criteria considered when ranking SMPs in order of their relative weight. The SMP One-Sheet at the beginning of each SMP Section in Chapter 4 displays its relative performance level for each attribute.

**Table 3.2-3: SMP Hierarchy Ranking Criteria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SMP Hierarchy Ranking Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infiltration and Volume Reduction:</strong> The SMP’s ability to infiltrate or reduce the WQv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effluent Pollutant Load:</strong> The typical annual mass of total suspended solids (TSS) in the SMP’s effluent runoff (total annual mass of TSS, accumulated at a point of analysis downstream of the SMP). Annual TSS mass is computed by considering the SMP to be managing one acre of DCIA with effluent event mean concentrations of TSS based on data from the International Stormwater BMP Database.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Likelihood of Failure:</strong> The relative likelihood that the SMP will fail to operate and will fail to be repaired so that it functions as designed over a unit period of time based on observations at a program level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction Costs:</strong> The marginal redevelopment implementation costs associated with the construction of the SMP per acre of DCIA treated. As defined in the Long Term Control Plan Update (LTCPU), marginal redevelopment cost is considered the cost beyond traditional measures to implement an SMP approach assuming that redevelopment is already taking place. SMP costs were derived from the construction cost analysis and reference cost assessment prepared for the LTCPu, with updated unit costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evapotranspiration:</strong> The SMP’s ability to manage stormwater runoff via evapotranspiration (ET). Each SMP is evaluated based on the characteristics of the surface area available for ET and any enhancement factors (vegetation). These vary by typical vegetation cover type and density, as well as any non-vegetative evaporation pathways, i.e., surface water and void spaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Triple Bottom Line:</strong> The SMP’s ability to provide social, environmental, and economic benefits (land value, energy efficiency, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water Quality Rate Control:</strong> The ability of an SMP to reduce the release rate of the WQv to not exceed the maximum release rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Large Storm Rate Control:</strong> The ability of an SMP sized for Water Quality compliance to reduce the discharge rate of large runoff events and to be resized to manage large storm events, which is helpful in complying with the Flood Control and PHS Release Rate requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operation and Maintenance Costs:</strong> The annual costs associated with operation and maintenance activities for the SMP. They were derived from the maintenance cost analysis prepared for the LTCPu.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Building Footprint Encroachment:</strong> Encroachment onto site area that could otherwise be used for building footprint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ground-Level Encroachment:</strong> Encroachment onto potential usable, open space on the ground-level surface of the site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The SMP Hierarchy is shown below in Table 3.2-4. All SMPs are classified as one of three preference levels: Highest, Medium, and Lowest.

**Highest-Preference SMPs**

The highest-ranking SMPs include bioinfiltration, bioretention, porous pavement, and green roofs. Bioinfiltration is ranked highest for its ability to infiltrate stormwater and provide triple bottom line benefits while being cost effective and long-lasting. Similarly, bioretention is ranked very high, reflecting its ability to settle suspended solids and cycle nutrients via plant uptake.

The designer is encouraged to incorporate SMPs from this Hierarchy tier into his or her stormwater management design. As discussed in Section 2.4, projects that manage stormwater with SMPs only in this category are eligible for a Surface Green Review. Advantages of a Surface Green Review include a shorter (five-day) PCSMP Review Phase and the option to postpone infiltration testing until construction.

**Medium-Preference SMPs**

SMPs considered to have medium preference (subsurface infiltration, cisterns, blue roofs, and ponds and wet basins) tend to efficiently manage stormwater via infiltration, volume reduction, or detention. These SMPs often provide fewer triple bottom line benefits and may not last as long as more highly preferred SMPs.

**Lowest-Preference SMPs**

The least-preferred SMPs in the Hierarchy (subsurface detention with vegetated media filters, subsurface detention with roof runoff isolation, subsurface detention with media filters, vegetated media filters, and media filters) are non-infiltrating and generally provide little, to no, triple bottom line benefits. Additionally, the SMPs in this tier tend to have relatively high operations and maintenance costs and may malfunction more frequently than other SMPs.
Table 3.2-4: SMP Hierarchy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SMP / SMPs in Series</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HIGHEST PREFERENCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioinfiltration</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioretention</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous Pavement</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Roofs</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MEDIUM PREFERENCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface Infiltration</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisterns</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Roofs</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponds and Wet Basins</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOWEST PREFERENCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface Detention with Vegetated Media Filters</td>
<td>4.8 / 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface Detention with Roof Runoff Isolation</td>
<td>4.8 / 3.2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface Detention with Media Filters</td>
<td>4.8 / 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetated Media Filters</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media Filters</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 2 – Determining Residual Management Requirements**

The designer may be able to satisfy some or all of the Stormwater Regulations using non-structural design or DIC strategies. Prior to considering the use of SMPs, the designer must develop a quantitative understanding of the remaining stormwater management needs with respect to each of the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Criteria: Water Quality, Channel Protection, and Flood Control. Following the evaluation of non-structural and disconnection options, the designer must determine the following prior to proceeding to the SMP design stage:

- Total remaining DCIA to be treated and associated WQv;
- Peak flow attenuation required for all site DCIA, for the Channel Protection requirement, if applicable; and
- Total peak flow comparison from predevelopment to post-development conditions for each point of interest, for the Flood Control requirement, if applicable.

**Step 3 - SMP Placement and Layout**

Some sites will offer numerous options for locating SMPs (on rooftops, on the ground surface, or underground), while other sites, particularly “full build-out” sites (where ground-level open space is not
available in the proposed site layout), will have fewer options for SMP placement. PWD encourages the
designer to incorporate ground-level vegetated SMPs on sites wherever possible, resorting to subsurface
SMPs only when other options have been exhausted. The designer should approach the SMP placement and
layout process after becoming thoroughly familiar with the characteristics, advantages, limitations, and
appropriate uses of acceptable SMPs. The designer should choose SMPs per the SMP Hierarchy presented
above, exhausting opportunities for preferred practices prior to considering lower priority practices.

The following guidelines and suggestions are provided to assist the designer with selecting and arranging
SMPs.

- **Assessing Space Constraints** – SMPs rely on storage volume to achieve performance. The availability
  of space for SMPs will often dictate the location and type of SMPs that can work on a site. Considering
  SMP placement early in the design process is critical to ensuring that sufficient space for incorporating
  SMPs, particularly ground-level SMPs, is present. The designer should calculate approximate design
  requirements (e.g., total required storage volume) to allocate space for stormwater management
  within the site layout. If insufficient space is available to incorporate surface-vegetated practices, the
  designer may need to consider alternatives such as porous pavement, or other SMPs, proceeding
  down the SMP Hierarchy. The use of SMPs in series, Stormwater Management Trading, and/or adding
  subsurface storage to a bioretention system can help the designer maximize the use of surface-
  vegetated SMPs, even on constrained sites.

- **Creating On-Site Amenities** – SMPs such as green roofs and bioinfiltration/bioretention basins can
  provide on-site greening, as vegetated features, which can act as an aesthetic amenity, particularly for
  residential and commercial retail sites. Bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs should be designed in
  conjunction with other desired and required landscaping.

- **Choosing Areas with Infiltration Potential** – Although the exact infiltration rate at a particular location
  within a site is not generally known during the Conceptual Review Phase, the designer should use
  existing information to locate SMPs in areas that have a strong potential for infiltration. Much of this
  information, such as United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Hydrologic Soil Maps, existing
  geotechnical reports, existing soil investigation reports, drainage feature mapping, topographic
  mapping, information on existing site drainage issues, and data on high seasonal groundwater, will
  have been compiled during initial site assessment activities as described in Section 3.1, and must be
  used for this purpose.

- **Prioritizing Low-Lying Areas** – Surface-level SMPs should be located on lower portions of a site,
  where stormwater can be gravity-fed from DCIA to the SMPs without making the SMPs excessively
depth. These low-lying areas should be prioritized for stormwater management early in the site design
  process.

- **Providing Downstream Points of Relief** – SMPs need to provide gravity drainage for both overflow
  structures and underdrains. SMP elevations must not be too low to preclude tying in underdrains and
overflow structures to a downstream point of relief (e.g., sewer or receiving water)

- **Minimizing Conveyance Requirements** – SMPs are less costly and easier to maintain if the designer reduces the amount of collection and distribution piping. Opportunities to sheet flow stormwater from DCIA to SMPs, or to use surface conveyance systems like swales to bring stormwater into SMPs, should be sought. In some cases, the designer may be able to use natural drainage features to convey stormwater with little additional cost.

- **Avoiding Utilities** – Careful mapping of surface and subsurface utilities on-site is necessary to reduce conflicts and the potential for relocating of existing utilities. A designer can view PWD utility records by contacting PA One Call and PWD Water Transport Records Unit (Section 2.5).

- **Avoiding Sensitive Features** – SMPs should be placed in locations that avoid sensitive features, such as mature tree stands, wetlands, steep slopes, and floodplains, and constraints, such as shallow bedrock. These areas will have been mapped during the site assessment process in Section 3.1. Many of these areas are regulated by State and Federal agencies and/or City ordinances.

- **Providing Maintenance Access** – Locating SMPs in areas where they can be easily accessed for maintenance is an important design consideration. Vehicular access routes, if needed for sediment removal, should be considered.

- **Avoiding Hotspots and Contamination** – Locating SMPs away from hotspots and areas of known contamination is always a good idea. Location of infiltrating SMPs within contaminated areas is not permitted. The designer is referred to the hotspot investigation procedures in Section 3.1 for more information. During this phase, a preliminary investigation of likely hotspots is suggested. During detailed design, more exhaustive characterization of soil contamination issues may be required for individual SMP sites to determine infiltration feasibility.

- **Avoiding Unstable Fill** – Many areas of Philadelphia are underlain by historic fill, which can be loose or unstable. The designer is advised to identify areas of unstable fill through geophysical methods, exploratory geotechnical testing, or historic mapping to avoid these areas where possible.

- **Maintaining Sight Lines** – Clear lines of sight are critical for pedestrian and vehicular safety. SMPs should be placed so as not to impair lines of sight, and the designer must consider full grow-out condition for vegetation when assessing sight line issues.

- **Ensuring Safety** – Many SMPs contain features such as ponded water that could be unsafe, particularly for vulnerable populations, such as young children. The designer should consider locating SMPs with ponded water away from play-yards, playgrounds, or other areas where children are playing, or installing fencing or other features to limit interaction with the system.

- **Considering Appropriate Conditions for Vegetated SMPs** – Some variables to consider include amount of sunlight received and solar orientation, wind speed and direction, temperature gain, and surface character. For example, sites facing northeast receive morning sun and tend to be cooler and wetter
than those facing southwest and runoff from asphalt will be hotter than that from concrete. Combinations of these variables create different micro-climates and should be taken into account when placing the SMP and selecting plants.

**Roof Runoff Isolation**

Recognizing that runoff from some areas is cleaner than others, PWD has identified roof runoff isolation as an acceptable non-infiltrating pollutant-reducing practice in combined sewer areas. Roof runoff isolation is the practice of segregating clean roof runoff from other untreated runoff. Here, clean roof runoff is defined as runoff from roof areas that are not exposed to vehicular activity (e.g., a roof-level parking deck). The designer can incorporate roof runoff isolation into site layout and design by providing dedicated stormwater conveyance piping from roof areas to SMPs designed to meet the combined sewer area Water Quality slow release requirement. Runoff from isolated roofs must not commingle with roof runoff exposed to vehicular activity or other untreated runoff until a point in the system after which such runoff has been treated by another pollutant-reducing practice. The designer is referred to Section 3.5.6 for an example of integrated stormwater management using roof runoff isolation.

![This Philadelphia parking garage with rooftop vehicular access does not qualify for roof runoff isolation.](image)

**Placing SMPs in Series**

Many of the SMPs discussed in this Manual provide both Water Quality treatment and rate control. Some SMPs provide only rate control. The designer must keep in mind that some SMPs cannot fully meet all applicable Stormwater Regulations on their own, and a network of SMPs can be used to meet the Stormwater Regulations for a given site. For example, peak rate control for Flood Control compliance could be progressively achieved through flow attenuation in a series of smaller, linked SMPs. Many of these SMPs could also be used to meet the Water Quality requirement by providing cumulative static storage equal to the contributing WQv. In addition, non-pollutant-reducing practices, such as subsurface detention systems, can
be used to meet the Water Quality slow release rate requirement, Channel Protection, and Flood Control requirements, but they cannot be used to meet the Water Quality pollutant-reduction requirement. In other cases, space constraints may preclude the ability to comply with the Stormwater Regulations using only one SMP.

While it is generally more cost effective, efficient, and easier to meet the Stormwater Regulations using as few SMPs as possible, to provide more flexibility, PWD allows the designer to use approaches that achieve compliance through the use of multiple SMPs connected in series. Placing SMPs in series allows the designer to minimize the disrupted space, limit the construction or maintenance costs of a system, or meet the Stormwater Regulations on a crowded or complex site. Particular approaches will vary by site, and the designer is encouraged to use creativity to combine SMPs in ways that achieve site-wide compliance. Some examples of these approaches are discussed below.

**Multiple Small Bioinfiltration/Bioretention SMPs**

A series of smaller bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs can be placed within small landscaped areas in lieu of a single large bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP. This approach can be effective for promoting vegetated surface SMPs within constrained sites. Figure 3.2-4 illustrates this approach.

**Figure 3.2-4: SMPs in Series Example #1 – Multiple Small Bioinfiltration/Bioretention SMPs**

![Diagram depicting multiple small bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs in series.]

**Bioretention with Subsurface Detention**

Bioretention systems are particularly effective for managing the WQv. They provide treatment and rate control, but may not provide enough storage to meet the Flood Control or PHS Release Rate requirements, if
applicable. A bioretention basin installed directly over a subsurface detention basin provides a number of benefits. The bioretention basin is relatively easy to maintain and is a pollutant-reducing practice. The subsurface detention basin provides effective rate control for small and large storms. This combination allows the subsurface detention basin to act as an overflow chamber for large runoff volumes generated by large storms. The bioretention and subsurface detention basin in series can reduce the amount of usable surface area disrupted while meeting the Stormwater Regulations. Figure 3.2-5 illustrates this approach.

**Figure 3.2-5: SMPs in Series Example #2 – Bioretention with Subsurface Detention**

---

**Vegetated Media Filter with Subsurface Detention**

A subsurface detention basin with an upstream vegetated media filter is a combination of SMPs that can be used to meet the Water Quality slow release and pollutant-reduction requirements on sites that cannot infiltrate in the combined sewer area. The subsurface detention basin is a compact SMP that can be installed below a parking lot to limit the amount of usable surface that is disrupted. With a site employing the pollutant-reducing practice of roof runoff isolation, runoff from rooftop DCIA can be sent directly to the subsurface detention basin without any filtering treatment. A vegetated media filter can then be installed on-site to capture the WQv from surface-level DCIA and treat the runoff before discharging the treated volume to the subsurface detention basin. Figure 3.2-6 illustrates this approach.
The following requirements apply to SMPs placed in series:

- SMPs can be placed in series to achieve rate control for the Stormwater Regulations. The designer does not have to demonstrate compliance with rate control requirements at the discharge point of each SMP, as long as rate control can be provided at the downstream-most point of the SMP series, prior to discharge to PWD sewer or receiving water.

- When complying with the Water Quality requirement, cumulative static storage volume may be provided within a connected series of SMPs, rather than any single SMP.

- Individual SMPs within a series must be designed in full accordance with design requirements provided in Chapter 4. For example, each bioretention system in a series must individually meet loading ratio and drain down time requirements.

- When using SMP in series, upstream flow splitters may be used to direct larger events around Water Quality SMPs, such as bioretention systems, to larger Flood Control SMPs.

**Stormwater Management Trading**

In most cases, PWD requires full compliance with the Stormwater Regulations for each point at which stormwater leaving the site is discharged to either a receiving water or PWD sewer. SMPs must be provided
as appropriate to achieve compliance at each of these locations. However, if site constraints or existing conditions prevent the designer from complying with the Stormwater Regulations, or if placement of a SMP could result in a potential environmental or safety hazard, Stormwater Management Trading may provide relief.

Stormwater Management Trading allows developers to shift placement of SMPs from a location that directly treats runoff from a proposed improvement to a nearby location that may or may not be hydraulically connected. Trades are considered by PWD on a case-by-case basis. A pre-application meeting with PWD is highly recommended if this option is being considered for Regulatory compliance. In general, there are three types of stormwater management trades currently allowed by PWD.

- **Same Parcel Trading**: Siting SMPs on a parcel that will manage DCIA not associated with the proposed improvement (outside the project’s limit of disturbance (LOD));

- **Same Owner Trading**: Siting SMPs on a different parcel (owned by the same owner) than the proposed improvement; and

- **Same Owner Banking**: Over-sizing SMPs to be used toward compliance with the Stormwater Regulations associated with future improvements on the SMPs’ parcel.

**Stormwater Management Trading Standards**

PWD will review trade applications on a case-by-case basis. Property owners must expect to comply with the following general criteria:

- An SMP associated with a trade must achieve the same Regulatory standard (Water Quality and Channel Protection) as if it were directly managing stormwater from the proposed improvement.
  - Trades must occur within the same sewershed.
  - Trades between two parcels are allowed only when the parcels are owned by the same entity or person.

- Trades may not be used for Flood Control compliance.

- Once a trade is approved, the parcel(s) containing the regulated improvement and the SMP will be subject to post-construction requirements, including applicable deed restrictions and Operations and Maintenance Agreements.

- SMPs constructed on a separate parcel must be constructed and operating prior to the start of construction on the development parcel.

- Applicant must provide sufficient written justification in their PCSMP Report (Section 2.3.1) for the trade, including reasons why management of the required areas is not feasible or least preferred. A
short explanation should also be included in the ERSA Application.

- Area proposed for trade must be unmanaged in the pre-development condition unless the area has been previously identified as part of a Same Owner Banking agreement.

- Trade area must be equal to or greater than the unmanaged area and produce an equivalent pollutant load. For example, PWD will not approve a trade of unmanaged impervious parking lot with existing roof area because the total pollutant load from the trade surfaces is not equivalent.

Submission Package components for Stormwater Management Trading are no different from typical submissions. The designer, however, must clearly identify the Stormwater Management Trade on all plans and reports in the Submission Package. This information can be easily conveyed as a table; an example of which is provided below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total LOD</th>
<th>18,000 SF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-site LOD</td>
<td>17,000 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impervious Area Within On-site LOD</td>
<td>16,500 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managed DCIA (i.e. DCIA routed to SMP) Within On-site LOD</td>
<td>12,400 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC Area Within On-site LOD</td>
<td>600 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining Unmanaged DCIA Within On-site LOD (e.g. parking lot runoff)</td>
<td>3,500 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable Trade Area (i.e. managed impervious area) outside of LOD</td>
<td>≥ 3,500 SF of surface-level cover</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additionally, if the designer believes that a Stormwater Management Trade will be necessary to meet the Stormwater Regulations, he or she is encouraged to discuss this during the Conceptual Review Phase.

Understanding the limit of disturbance is key to proposing a trade approach for regulatory compliance. The existing impervious area to be managed for trade must remain outside the LOD throughout construction. For example, depaving or otherwise converting an existing impervious surface to pervious cover (such as converting a parking lot to porous pavement) cannot be used as trade as this activity increases the LOD, and the LOD boundary is used to determine the area applicable to the Stormwater Regulations. While this approach may help achieve an exemption from the Flood Control requirement (Section 1.2.1), it cannot be used for trade. Instead, the applicant should look at low impact options that will minimize the amount of existing impervious area to be disturbed, thus maximizing the available trade. The next section presents examples of how this may be achieved.
Stormwater Management Trading Examples

Example of Same Parcel Trading – Food Distribution Facility

A property owner sought approval from the City to construct a new loading dock (Figure 3.2-7, in red) at an existing food distribution facility. The only on-site area large enough on which to place an SMP was adjacent to the food warehouse, and the property owner had concerns about food contamination from wildlife attracted to a surface SMP. Therefore, the property owner considered subsurface SMPs that could be installed adjacent to the new loading dock; however, the disadvantages and constraints of subsurface SMPs in this application included the following:

- Relatively high cost to construct and maintain;
- Large space requirements to achieve controlled release standards, since soils near the loading dock were significantly compacted, precluding infiltration; and
- The need for the subsurface SMP design to accommodate heavy truck traffic, balancing SMP access points with heavy load-bearing surfaces.

Figure 3.2-7: Same Parcel Trading Example

The property owner instead proposed an SMP (shown in blue) elsewhere on-site to manage existing undisturbed impervious area in the same sewershed. The benefits from this trade included the following:

- Less expensive SMP installation cost;
- Less disruption to distribution center’s operations during construction;
- Smaller SMP footprint located in better-infiltrating soils; and
- An above-ground SMP that can be more easily inspected and maintained.

**Example of Same Owner Trading – Pharmacy and Parking Lot**

A single party owned two parcels separated by the public right-of-way (ROW). The developer proposed construction of a pharmacy and a parking lot on one undeveloped parcel. The same developer owns an already developed parking lot across the street (presented post-development in Figure 3.2-8).

**Figure 3.2-8: Same Owner Trading Example**

The site designer was able to manage all new impervious area proposed for Parcel A with a subsurface detention facility (shown in blue), except for a portion of the pharmacy roof area (shown in red). To meet Stormwater Regulations on Parcel A, the designer proposed to manage the existing parking lot on Parcel B with a surface infiltration SMP (shown in blue). The unmanaged pharmacy roof area discharges directly to the sewer system. The benefits from this trade included the following:

- Increased ability to fully use Parcel A;
- Less expensive SMP installation cost;
- An above-ground SMP that can be more easily inspected and maintained; and
- Limited reliance on underground SMPs.

**Example of Same Owner Banking – Shopping Mall**

A shopping mall owner proposed a series of improvements planned in phases. These included expansions to
existing mall buildings, new standalone restaurants, and additional parking areas and driveways. Instead of designing and constructing SMPs for each individual improvement, the designer proposed a stormwater management banking scenario to construct a single SMP to serve all improvements.

**Figure 3.2-9: Same Owner Banking Example**

The designer first proposed an existing building expansion and additional parking areas and driveways under Phase 1 (shown in yellow on Figure 3.2-9). Upon approval of this first phase, as well as a conceptual design of future standalone restaurant buildings under Phase 2 (shown in orange), PWD permitted the owner to install an oversized SMP (shown in blue) to manage these impervious surfaces. The owner then installed the remainder of the proposed improvements in Phase 2. The site designer directed all runoff to the single SMP that was constructed in Phase 1.

A benefit of this scenario was that the owner was able to obtain approvals quickly for the second phase of construction as the SMP was sized to meet the Stormwater Regulations for the entire project.

When Same Owner Banking is proposed, PWD will acknowledge the bank amount in terms of additional cubic feet capacity remaining in the SMP. This type of banking approach works best for projects where phases are planned in rapid succession, as each phase is held to the Stormwater Regulations in place at the time of its ERSA submission. Property owners who are interested in long term site master planning (which may occur over several years or decades) are encouraged to discuss with PWD prior to implementation.
SMP Design Guidance and General Requirements

Once the initial selection of SMPs is complete, and PWD has approved the conceptual design, detailed design of SMP systems can be performed. Detailed design of SMPs and associated documentation will be submitted as part of the designer’s PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package to PWD. The designer is referred to Chapter 2 for details on preparing this Submission Package.

This Section provides guidance to the designer in the design of SMPs, outlining general requirements that apply to all SMPs. The designer is also referred to Chapter 4, which provides detailed guidance and requirements for specific SMPs.

Infiltration Testing and Waiver Requirements

A designer using SMPs to comply with the Water Quality requirement must use infiltration unless they can demonstrate that infiltration is infeasible. The designer must exhaust all possibilities for implementing infiltrating practices on proposed sites, including exploring alternative locations for infiltration facilities if initial locations are not found to be suitable for infiltration or over-excavating poorly infiltrating soils. The designer is referred to Section 3.3 for detailed information on performing infiltration tests, assessing infiltration feasibility, and preparing requests for infiltration waivers. If appropriate justification that contamination will preclude the site from infiltration is provided, an impervious liner must be incorporated into the SMP design.

Pretreatment Requirements

Pretreatment is critical for extending the design life and maximizing the performance of SMPs. The designer must provide adequate pretreatment for all SMPs. Appropriate pretreatment is based on a number of factors including SMP type, loading ratios, and drainage area characteristics. The designer is referred to Chapter 4 for more information on the design of pretreatment systems for specific SMPs and general pretreatment options.

Conveyance and Inlet and Outlet Control Requirements

Conveyance systems, including piping conveying stormwater to and from an SMP, and inlet and outlet control systems, which regulate the flow into and out of an SMP, are important aspects of SMP design. All storm sewer pipes must be designed to have adequate capacity to safely convey the ten-year storm without surcharging the crown of the pipe. Section 3.4.2 contains detailed guidance on storm sewer design and pipe capacity calculations, while Section 4.11 and Section 4.12 provide guidance on the design of inlet and outlet controls, respectively.

Sizing Requirements

Appropriate sizing is critical for SMP performance. The designer must incorporate several factors, including SMP type, function, maximum loading ratio requirements, release rate requirements, ponding depth, static
storage requirements, media characteristics, freeboard requirements, and space limitations in determining appropriate SMP sizing. The designer is referred to the loading ratio requirements later in this Section and the SMP-specific sizing requirements in Chapter 4 to aid in determining appropriate SMP sizing.

**Safe Overflow Requirements**

Safe overflow must be provided for all SMPs. Runoff that overflows from an SMP (runoff that is not infiltrated or slow released) must be conveyed to receiving waters or sewers in a controlled manner that does not cause flooding, endanger public safety, or produce erosive conditions. Positive overflow for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year storm, must be provided.

**Release Rate Requirements**

For non-infiltrating practices in combined sewer areas, the designer must meet slow release rate requirements prior to discharge into PWD sewers or receiving waters. Typically, release rates for slow release systems are met using small orifices or other rate control devices. The designer is referred to Chapter 4 for specific information on designing outlet control systems.

**Loading Ratio Requirements**

Loading ratio is defined as the area of contributing DCIA divided by the bottom surface footprint of vegetated surface SMPs and the bottom footprint of infiltrating subsurface SMPs. The loading ratio is a tool that is used for sizing an SMP with consideration of acceptable sediment loading. It is a balancing point between maintenance requirements, performance requirements, and safety considerations. PWD's loading ratios are used as maximum acceptable SMP sizes for stabilized sites that are appropriately maintained; they are not necessarily the recommended loading ratios. The maximum loading ratio for vegetated surface SMPs is 16:1. The maximum loading ratio for infiltrating subsurface SMPs is 8:1.
Maximum Loading Ratios

Surface vegetated SMPs: 16:1
Subsurface infiltrating SMPs: 8:1

Maintenance
Long-term maintenance is a fundamentally important piece of an SMP’s design. PWD’s loading ratios were selected with the assumption that the final site will be stabilized, and the SMP will be maintained at regular intervals. Surface SMPs with a 16:1 loading ratio will require frequent maintenance, including the removal and replacement of the top layer of soil along the bottom footprint of the SMP.

Safety
The larger the loading ratio, the deeper the SMP must become to store the required volume of water. A surface basin with a 16:1 loading ratio will have a maximum Water Quality storage depth of two feet, which limits the total water depth and the risks to public safety.

Performance
The loading ratio greatly affects the performance of infiltrating SMPs by determining the footprint available for infiltration. PWD requires that all SMPs drain down in no more than 72 hours, however owners may want their SMPs to drain more quickly, thus the loading ratio may need to be reduced to meet the performance goals for the system. For example, an SMP with a loading ratio of 16:1 and an infiltration rate of 0.4 inches/hour drains down in 60 hours; however, the site owner may not want ponded water on-site for 60 hours.

Limitations
The larger the loading ratio, the less redundancy there is in an SMP. The SMP designer should consider the causes of potential failure for their SMP and attempt to minimize their likelihood and their effects. For example, a small SMP with a large impervious drainage area has the potential to receive a significant volume of water and sediment in larger storm events, which could overwhelm and/or clog the small SMP. In this case, a larger basin footprint may be warranted to safely convey the extra volume.

Subsurface SMPs are inherently more difficult to maintain because they are buried. If construction sediment or some other sediment source discharges to the subsurface basin it can become clogged. Repairing the basin could require a complete removal and replacement of the system. This is one reason why PWD requires lower loading ratios for subsurface SMPs.

When considering SMPs that receive runoff from a likely sediment source, the designer must factor into his or her design the likelihood of clogging, and therefore the need for increased maintenance frequency; the cost of maintenance/replacement; and the likelihood of this occurring when determining the appropriate sizing of the system.

Planting and Vegetation Guidance

Vegetated SMPs are among the most preferred SMP types, as indicated in the SMP Hierarchy. They can often be integrated within planned landscape areas, with minor modifications to conventional landscape design. It is essential that impervious surfaces be graded toward the vegetated areas that are used as SMPs and that these SMPs are depressed to allow for flow and/or surface ponding.
Landscaping is a critical element to improve both the function and appearance of vegetated SMPs. Integrated stormwater landscapes can provide many benefits, such as construction cost savings, reduced maintenance, aesthetic enhancement, and improved long-term functionality. A well-designed and established landscape will also prevent post-construction soil erosion. Additionally, these approaches can help mitigate urban heat island effects, improve air quality, and reduce atmospheric carbon levels. Since these design approaches are still relatively new to many construction contractors, it is advisable to clearly show planting details in cross-sectional and plan view drawings.

The designer should adhere to the following general planting guidelines and is referred to Chapter 4 for detailed planting requirements and guidance for specific SMPs. The planting recommendations shown under this Section are based on research, local experience, and/or standard landscape industry methods for design and construction.

- Selected plant materials must be appropriate for soil, hydrologic, and other site conditions.

- Vegetated SMPs must use appropriate native and recommended non-invasive species from Appendix I.

- The design for planting must minimize the need for herbicides, fertilizers, pesticides, or soil amendments at any time before, during, and after construction and on a long-term basis.

- Plantings must be designed to minimize the need for mowing, pruning, and irrigation.

- Grass or wildflower seed must be applied at the rates specified by the suppliers. If plant establishment cannot be achieved with seeding by the time of substantial completion of the SMP portion of the project, the contractor must plant the area with wildflower sod, plugs, container plants, or some other means to complete the specified plantings and protect against erosion.

- The designer should select a diversity of tree species and avoid overused species to reduce the risk of disease or insect infestation.

- The designer should avoid combinations of plants that will harm one another.

- The designer should consider the mature size of any plant and ensure that it has enough space to grow to this size.

- **Plant Selection and Arrangement**
  - Existing native and non-invasive vegetation should be preserved where possible.
  - Noxious weeds must not be specified or used (see list, this Section). Aggressive species should be used carefully to avoid spreading to other areas.
  - Stream and water buffers should be planted with trees, shrubs, ornamental grasses, and
herbaceous materials, where possible, to stabilize banks and provide shade. This will help to reduce thermal warming, reduce erosion, increase roughness, and protect habitat.

- Plantings that will require routine or intensive chemical applications (e.g., turf areas) should be avoided. Low-maintenance ground cover should be used as an alternative to turf.

- The designer should consider stressors (e.g., wind, exposure, exposure to deicing salt, salt tolerance, insects, drought and inundation tolerance, and disease), micro-climates, and sunlight conditions when laying out the planting plan.

- Aesthetics and visual characteristics should be a prime consideration when developing planting plans. Plant form, texture, color, bloom time, and fragrance are important to the overall feel of the site. Plants can be used to enhance and frame desirable views or screen undesirable views. Care should be taken to not block views at entrances, exits, or along difficult road curves.

- Where such conditions exist, trees and shrubs should be placed in a manner that restricts pedestrian access to steep pools or slopes without blocking maintenance access.

- Existing and proposed utilities must be identified and considered.

### Prohibited noxious weeds, as identified in Pennsylvania Code Section 110.1: Noxious Weed Control List

- Marijuana (Cannabis sativa)
- Purple Loosestrife (Lythrum salicaria)
- Canada Thistle (Cirsium arvense)
- Multiflora Rose (Rosa multiflora)
- Johnson Grass (Sorghum halepense)
- Musk Thistle, or Nodding Thistle (Carduus nutans)
- Bull Thistle, or Spear Thistle (Cirsium vulgare)
- Jimson Weed (Datura stramonium)
- Mile-a-minute (Polygonum perfoliatum)
- Kudzu (Pueraria lobata)
- Shattercane (Sorghum bicolor)
- Giant Hogweed (Heracleum mantegazzianum)
- Goats rue (Galega officinalis)

- Maintenance Considerations

- The designer should carefully consider the long-term vegetation management strategy for the
SMP, keeping in mind the maintenance legacy for the future owners. The SMP maintenance agreement must include requirements to ensure vegetation cover in perpetuity.

- When appropriate, the designer should provide signage to help educate the public about SMPs and designate limits of mowing (wildflower areas, meadows, etc.).
- The edge of the basin may be designated by woody vegetation to further designate limits of mowing and foot traffic.
- Planting in massings (each group consisting of one to three individuals of the same species) may support maintenance efforts by simplifying plant identification.

- Embankments, Spillways, and Dams
  - Planting of trees, shrubs, and/or any type of woody vegetation is not allowed on structural embankments.
  - All emergency spillways should be stabilized with plant material that can withstand strong flows. Root material should be fibrous and substantial, but lack a taproot.
  - Trees or shrubs known to have long taproots should not be planted within the vicinity of an earthen dam or subsurface drainage facilities.
  - Trees and shrubs should be planted at least 25 feet away from a principal spillway structure and at least 15 feet away from the toe of slope of a dam.

- Soils
  - SMP soils should provide adequate infiltration rates and be suitable for healthy tree and vegetation growth. Soil analysis must be conducted within the SMP area to determine appropriate levels and types of soil amendments. The designer is referred to Section 3.3 for guidance and requirements for soil amendment installation.
  - If topsoil exists on-site and is stockpiled for re-use, appropriate erosion control measures, as required by the latest edition of the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual, must be used.

- Site Selection, Preparation, and Grading
  - When selecting a location for the SMP, the designer should take into consideration the physical variables of the site and the effects they will have on the SMP.
  - Some variables to consider include amount of sunlight received and solar orientation, wind speed and direction, temperature gain, and surface character. For example, sites facing northeast receive morning sun and tend to be cooler and wetter than those facing southwest. Also, runoff from asphalt will be hotter than that from concrete because asphalt’s dark color
absorbs more solar energy. Combinations of these variables create different micro-climates and should be taken into account when placing the SMP and selecting plants.

- Unwanted vegetation in the SMP area should be removed during site preparation with equipment appropriate for the type of material encountered and site conditions. It is recommended that the maximum amount of pre-existing native vegetation be retained and protected.

- No material storage or heavy equipment is allowed within the SMP area after site clearing and grading has been completed, except to excavate and grade as needed to build the SMP. No compaction of infiltration areas must occur during this excavation.

- After the SMP area is cleared and graded, any necessary soil amendments should be added and tilled into the existing soil to the depth specified for each SMP. No tilling should occur within the drip line of existing trees. After tilling is complete, no other construction traffic must be allowed in the area, except for planting and related work. Where topsoil is needed, it should be spread to a depth of four to eight inches and lightly compacted to minimum thickness of four inches. This provides organic matter and important nutrients for the plant material. The use of topsoil allows vegetation to become established faster and roots to penetrate deeper. This ensures quicker and more complete stabilization, making it less likely that the plants will wash out during a heavy storm.

- Mulch

  - The mulch layer helps maintain soil moisture and avoid surface sealing that reduces permeability. Mulch helps prevent erosion, and provides a micro-environment suitable for soil biota at the mulch/soil interface. It also serves as a pretreatment layer, trapping the finer sediments that remain suspended after the primary pretreatment.

  - Approved mulching materials include organic materials such as compost, bark mulch, leaves, as well as small river gravel, pumice, or other inert materials. Grass clippings should not be used as mulch.

  - For ground cover plantings, mulch must be applied to cover all soil between plants.

    - Care should be exercised to use the appropriate amount of mulch – any more than three to four inches can negatively impact growing conditions and cause excessive nutrients to leach into the SMP.

    - Mulch must be weed-free. Manure mulching and high-fertilizer hydroseeding are prohibited in a SMP area during and after construction.

    - Mulch should be kept three inches away from tree trunks, woody vegetation, and the base of herbaceous vegetation.
• **Irrigation**

  - Newly installed plant material requires water in order to recover from the shock of being transplanted. A source of water should be provided during establishment of the SMP, especially during dry periods. This will reduce plant loss and provide the new plant materials with a chance to establish root growth.

  - Permanent irrigation systems are allowed, but the designer is encouraged to minimize the need for permanent irrigation. Innovative methods for watering vegetation are encouraged, such as the use of cisterns and air conditioning condensate.

• **Pollution Prevention**

  - Stormwater pollution prevention practices related to landscaping can be categorized into two broad categories: Toxic Substance Use Reduction and Pollutant Source Reduction

    - **Toxic Substance Use Reduction** – Projects must be designed to minimize the need for toxic or potentially polluting materials such as herbicides, pesticides, fertilizers, or petroleum-based fuels within the SMP area before, during, and after construction. Use of these materials creates the risk of spills, misuse, and future draining or leaching of pollutants into facilities or the surrounding area.

    - **Pollutant Source Reduction** - Materials that could leach pollutants or pose a hazard to people and wildlife must not be used as components of a SMP. Some examples of these materials are chemically treated railroad ties and lumber and galvanized metals. Many alternatives to these materials are available.

• **SMP Establishment and Maintenance**

  - Establishment procedures must include: control of invasive weeds, prevention of damage from animals and vandals, use of erosion control mats and fabrics in channels, temporary diversion of flows from seeded areas until stabilized, mulching, re-staking, watering, and mesh or tube protection replacement, to the extent needed to ensure plant survival.

  - To ensure landscape plant survival and overall stormwater facility functional success, the design and construction documents must include elements that help achieve these results.

  - Construction specifications and details must include staking, irrigation schedule, soil amendments, plant protection, overplanting, and potentially mycorrhizal inoculation.
### Table 3.2-5: Planting Specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification Element</th>
<th>Elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sequence of Construction</td>
<td>Describe site preparation activities, soil amendments, etc.; address erosion and sediment control procedures; specify step-by-step procedure for plant installation through site clean-up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor’s Responsibilities</td>
<td>Specify the contractors responsibilities, such as watering, care of plant material during transport, timeliness of installation, repairs due to vandalism, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planting Schedule and Specifications</td>
<td>Specify the materials to be installed, the type of materials (e.g., B&amp;B, bare root, containerized); time of year of installations, sequence of installation of types of plants; fertilization, stabilization seeding, if required; watering and general care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>Specify inspection periods; mulching frequency (annual mulching is most common); removal and replacement of dead and diseased vegetation; treatment of diseased trees; watering amount and schedule after initial installation (once per day for 14 days is common); repair and replacement of staking and wires.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warranty</td>
<td>All systems should contain a two-year warranty. Specifications should contain the warranty period, the required survival rate, and expected condition of plant species at the end of the warranty period.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Screening and Aesthetics**
  - SMP elements such as chain link fences, concrete bulkheads, outfalls, riprap, gabions, large steel grates, steep side slopes, manhole covers/vault lids, berm embankments planted only with grasses, exposed pipe, banks, retaining walls greater than two feet high, and access roads are generally not aesthetically pleasing. When these elements face public right-of-way or other private property, these elements should be screened with plant materials.
  - The designer is strongly encouraged to integrate aesthetically pleasing landscape design into SMP design.

**Operations and Maintenance**

An Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Agreement, discussed in detail in Section 6.1.2, is a required component of the Stormwater Regulations. Decisions made in the design phase can affect operations and maintenance and can extend the design life of stormwater facilities. Key factors to consider are ownership, access, maintenance tasks, and frequency.

**Designing to Minimize Maintenance**

- Use of pretreatment systems should be maximized, particularly for infiltration systems. Reducing velocities and pollutant loads entering SMPs will extend their design lives. The designer is referred to
Section 4.10 for guidance on appropriate pretreatment design.

- For infiltration, surface-vegetated SMPs with deeper-rooted vegetation (e.g., trees, shrubs, and native herbaceous species) should be used whenever possible. Root growth helps to keep the soil’s pore structure open and maximizes the life of infiltration SMPs. Routine landscaping tasks are the primary maintenance required.

- On smaller sites, SMPs that do not require slow release control structures should be chosen. These structures can clog and require periodic inspection and maintenance.

- Access
  - Vehicle access from a public right-of-way can help to minimize the difficulty of maintenance.
  - A 15-foot wide vehicle access path leading from a public right-of-way to all stormwater controls is strongly recommended.

- Post-construction ownership
  - The owner of the land where the SMP is located is responsible for performing long-term maintenance.
  - In the case of a single property owner, that owner is responsible for maintenance. In cases of common ownership, a homeowners’ or condominium association may assume responsibility for maintenance.
  - Considering the type of ownership and owner preference can help the designer choose between smaller, distributed SMPs and a single, centralized SMP.
3.3 Infiltration Testing and Soil Assessment for SMP Design

After exhausting options for using non-structural design and disconnected impervious cover (DIC), the designer is required to evaluate and document infiltration feasibility when using stormwater management practices (SMPs) as a strategy to comply with the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations). If infiltration is deemed feasible, the designer must use infiltration SMPs, such as bioinfiltration basins, to comply with the Water Quality requirement. If infiltration is not feasible, the designer must document justification for this condition with an Infiltration Waiver Request Form (Section 3.3.6) and use acceptable pollutant-reducing SMPs to comply with the Water Quality requirement (Chapter 1). A slow release rate requirement associated with the Water Quality requirement is also applicable only to non-infiltrating areas in combined sewersheds. Efforts to maximize development potential should not preclude the use of infiltration SMPs.

This Section details the infiltration testing and soil assessment procedures required for the selection and detailed design of SMPs. If conceptual SMP locations and footprint areas have not yet been determined, the designer should return to Section 3.2.4 for guidance on conceptual SMP design. PWD recommends that preliminary soil analyses and infiltration testing be completed during the conceptual SMP design phase. This is strongly recommended because the results can be used as a planning tool for identifying areas that are favorable for the construction of infiltration SMPs and more thorough investigations.

As a reminder, locating conceptual infiltration SMP footprint areas should be avoided in the following locations identified during the site assessment process (Section 3.1). A number of these scenarios will require further investigation before determining infiltration feasibility and SMP layout.

- Areas with previously documented high seasonal groundwater;
- Areas with previously documented shallow bedrock, clay, hydrologic soil group “D” soils, or other limiting soil layers;
- Areas within existing rights-of-way and easements;
- Areas that do not achieve the minimum required ten-foot setback from all existing and proposed buildings and neighboring properties; and
- Documented hotspots.

For projects where infiltration is not feasible due to full build-out designs (where ground-level space is not available in the proposed site layout), infiltration testing may not be required. For these scenarios, the applicant must submit an Infiltration Waiver Request Form (Section 3.3.6). The applicant is encouraged to contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review to confirm if the full build-out design is eligible for an Infiltration Waiver Request Form prior to waiver submission.
After conceptual SMP locations have been determined for the project site, the designer must complete the infiltration testing and soil assessment process illustrated in Figure 3.3-1. Each step of this process is described within the following Sections.

**Figure 3.3-1: Infiltration Testing and Soil Assessment Process for SMP Design**

1. **Determine conceptual SMP locations (Section 3.2.4)**
   - IF NECESSARY: Complete hotspot investigation and historic fill assessment procedures (Section 3.1)

2. **Infiltration Testing and Soil Assessment**
   - Develop an Infiltration Testing and Soil Characterization Plan (Section 3.3.1)

3. **Perform soil characterization and infiltration testing**
   - (Sections 3.3.2, 3.3.3, 3.3.4, and 3.3.5)

4. **Evaluate infiltration testing results**
   - (Section 3.3.6)

5. **Proceed with detailed SMP design**
   - (Section 3.2.4 and Chapter 4)

If required, infiltration testing and soil characterization must be completed before the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Review Phase, unless the project is deemed eligible for an Expedited PCSMP Review. The designer is referred to Section 2.3 and Section 2.4 for descriptions and requirements related to Review Phases and Expedited PCSMP Reviews, respectively.

### 3.3.1 Infiltration Testing and Soil Characterization Plan Development

Prior to conducting infiltration testing and soil characterization at the project site, the designer must prepare an Infiltration Testing and Soil Characterization Plan (Testing Plan). This Testing Plan is a required element of the Geotechnical Report (Section 3.3.6). The Testing Plan must reflect the most up-to-date proposed SMP footprints at the time of testing.

The Testing Plan must be developed to meet the requirements within this Chapter and, at a minimum, must
indicate the following information:

- Location and SMP identifier of all proposed SMPs, each labeled with the following information:
  - Proposed infiltration footprint area,
  - Type of SMP proposed, and
  - Proposed infiltration interface (SMP bottom) elevation.

- Location of all proposed test pits, soil borings, and infiltration tests, each labeled with the following information:
  - Number of tests proposed based on the requirements provided within this Section;
  - Type of test(s) proposed (test pit, soil boring, double-ring infiltrometer, cased borehole infiltration test);
  - Depth of testing for each test, relative to existing ground surface elevations, based on the requirements provided within this Section; and
  - Dimensions from parcel boundaries and/or existing structures.

An example Testing Plan is included as Figure 3.3-2. This figure is generic and does not represent the required density or spacing of tests as documented within this Section, but demonstrates how to illustrate the minimum Testing Plan requirements described above. The hatched rectangle delineating the proposed SMP location and proposed test locations are dimensioned from a fixed object (e.g., an existing inlet within the public right-of-way).
The designer must adhere to the soil characterization requirements in Section 3.3.2 and the infiltration testing requirements in Section 3.3.3 when creating the Testing Plan. Prior to conducting geotechnical testing the appropriate density, type, and spacing for each test must be determined using the requirements provided.

### 3.3.2 Soil Characterization Requirements

Soil characterization and limiting layer identification provides a visual assessment of the soil profile that is supplemental to infiltration testing results. Understanding the characteristics of soils in which infiltration testing is conducted provides the designer with better insight into the testing results and feasibility of installing an infiltrating SMP at the project site.

The presence of limiting layers such as groundwater, bedrock, or impermeable soils within two vertical feet of the infiltration footprint of an SMP is prohibited. The presence of bedrock or impermeable soils in relatively close proximity to the infiltration interface may result in lateral, as opposed to vertical, infiltration if the rock is not sufficiently jointed and/or fissured to infiltrate. This can result in water migrating to, and emerging within, topographically low areas. The presence of groundwater in close proximity to the infiltration interface could increase the potential for groundwater mounding. Further characterization of subsurface soils can provide information on the underlying site conditions or evidence of limiting layers below the infiltration interface. Therefore, PWD requires that soil excavations be performed beyond the proposed infiltration interface (SMP...
bottom) elevation. As testing progresses, the testing professional must document the presence of any limiting layers, groundwater presence, and in situ observations of soil characteristics. PWD also requires soil sampling for laboratory soil classification to further supplement infiltration testing results.

Acceptable soil characterization testing methods are as follows:

- Exploratory Test Pits, and
- Hollow-Stem Augered Boreholes (Soil Borings).

These soil characterization methods must be conducted in conjunction with the required soil sampling.

An exploratory test pit allows visual observation of the soil horizons and overall soil conditions both horizontally and vertically in that portion of the site. Test pit observations can be made across a site at a relatively low cost and in a short period of time. When soil borings are performed, the soil horizons cannot be observed in situ, but must be observed from the extracted borings. As a result, visual observation is narrowly limited in a soil boring. As such, test pits are strongly recommended over soil borings unless conditions are present that render the excavation of test pits impractical (e.g., existing structures, utilities, space constraints, depth of test, etc.).

Key requirements for the two acceptable soil characterization methodologies and accompanying soil sampling are summarized below.

**Test Pits**

- For projects with 15,000 square feet or more of earth disturbance, a minimum of two test pits must be completed for each SMP footprint. For projects with less than 15,000 square feet of earth disturbance, a minimum of one test pit must be completed for each SMP footprint.

- Test pits are required in order to conduct double-ring infiltrometer testing.

- At least one test pit for each SMP must be excavated to a minimum depth of four feet below the proposed infiltration interface of the SMP, which is the lowest elevation where infiltration is proposed (the SMP bottom elevation), or until bedrock or fully saturated conditions are encountered. When conditions prevent the over-excavation of test pits to the minimum required depth, soil borings, in addition to the under-excavated test pits, should be used in conjunction with double-ring infiltrometer testing to provide soil classification down to the required depths.

- Where test pits are greater than five feet deep, appropriate sloping and benching must be provided for access and infiltration testing, as necessary, in accordance with *Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Regulations (Part 1926, Subpart P - Excavations, Standard Number 1926.652 Requirements for Protective Systems)*.
Soil Borings

- A hollow-stem augered borehole should be used for soil classification when site constraints do not allow for a test pit (e.g., the proposed SMP footprint is located in an area with existing structures or utilities present, or the depth to the infiltration interface does not allow for a benched excavation with site constraints).

- A minimum of one soil boring should be conducted for each cased borehole infiltration test.

- All soil borings must be advanced to a depth of six feet below the SMP bottom elevation or until auger refusal with continuous split spoon sampling.

- Hollow-stem augered borehole soil characterization studies must not be completed within the same hole as the infiltration testing, but must be completed no more than 25 feet away from the infiltration test locations.

- Drilling and sampling procedures must be in accordance with the Hollow-Stem Auger Method (American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) standard D6151-08) with a minimum four-inch inner tube diameter.

- Standard Penetration Tests (SPTs), if performed, must be in accordance with ASTM D1586 (Standard Test Method for SPT and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils).

Soil Sampling

- PWD requires that three soil samples be taken per acre of SMP footprint area, with a minimum of one soil sample per SMP.

- At least one soil sample must be taken at an elevation within one vertical foot of the infiltration interface (SMP bottom elevation).

- The designer is also required to obtain a soil sample from the location of an infiltration test and conduct a sieve analysis of the sample.

- Soil samples must be obtained during the soil characterization field analysis and classified according to ASTM D2487 (Standard Practice for Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes [Unified Soil Classification System]) and ASTM D2488 (Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils [Visual-Manual Procedure]).

- Soil samples must undergo laboratory particle size analysis according to ASTM D422 (Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils).

- Split spoon sampling, if performed, must be completed in accordance with ASTM D1586 (Standard Test Method for SPT and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils).
3.3.3 Infiltration Testing Requirements

All tests must be performed within 25 horizontal feet of each infiltration area. At least one infiltration test must be conducted within one vertical foot of the proposed infiltration interface (SMP bottom elevation). More tests may be warranted if the results of the first three tests vary significantly. Testing locations should be evenly distributed. Infiltration tests may be used for the design of multiple SMPs as long as the minimum requirements are met for each SMP. Follow-up testing may be required if the location or elevation of any SMPs change in such a way that the infiltration testing previously performed in the area of that SMP no longer meets PWD’s proximity, elevation, and density requirements. It is the designer’s responsibility to contact PWD Stormwater Plan Review regarding the need for follow-up testing for a project. Each test must be accompanied by either a test pit or soil boring.

Acceptable infiltration testing methodologies consist of:

- Double-Ring Infiltrometer Tests with Test Pits, and
- Cased Borehole Tests with Soil Borings.

The main difference between the two methods is that a double-ring infiltrometer test estimates the vertical movement of water through the bottom of the test area using a larger surface area than a cased borehole infiltration test. The double-ring infiltrometer testing apparatus consists of two concentric metal rings that are driven into the ground and filled with water. The outer ring helps to reduce lateral movement of water in the soil (typically 12 to 24 inches in diameter) while the inner ring is used to calculate an infiltration rate (typically six to 12 inches in diameter). The cased borehole test uses an outer casing (typically four inches in inner diameter) to prevent lateral movement of water through soil. The procedures of these test methods are provided in Section 3.3.5.

While both testing methods are allowed, PWD strongly prefers the use of double-ring infiltrometer testing where space permits. Because test pits are required to perform double-ring infiltrometer tests, the applicant must first determine whether test pits are feasible (Section 3.3.2). If test pits are feasible, then the designer should develop the Testing Plan to meet the minimum requirements for double-ring infiltrometer tests and test pits. If conditions render the excavation of test pits impractical, due to existing structures, utilities, space constraints, depth of test, etc., the designer should first document the condition(s), and then develop the Testing Plan to meet the requirements of cased borehole tests and soil borings. Soil borings may be used in lieu of over-excavating test pits where space constraints exist.

Key requirements for the two acceptable infiltration testing methodologies are summarized below.
Double-Ring Infiltrometer Test

- Five infiltration tests must be performed per acre of SMP footprint, or one test per 8,712 square feet, and a minimum of three tests must be performed.

- The diameter of the inner ring must be no less than six inches.

- Test pits are required in order to conduct double-ring infiltrometer tests. A maximum of two double-ring infiltration tests can be conducted within the same test pit.

- Test holes must be presoaked for one hour immediately prior to testing. The presoaking procedure is intended to simulate saturated conditions in the environment and to minimize the influence of unsaturated flow.

- Testing must be conducted for a minimum of eight readings or until a stabilized infiltration rate is measured. A stabilized rate of drop means a difference of 0.25 inch or less of drop between the highest and lowest readings of four consecutive readings.

- The designer is referred to the infiltration testing procedure information provided in Section 3.3.5 for further double-ring infiltrometer testing guidance.

Cased Borehole Test

- Infiltration tests must not be completed within the same borehole as hollow-stem augered borehole soil characterization studies, but must be completed no more than 25 feet away from the soil characterization borehole locations.

- Eight infiltration tests must be performed per acre of SMP footprint, or one test per 5,445 square feet, and a minimum of three tests must be performed.

- The casing installation must be completed using ASTM D6151-08 – Hollow-Stem Auger Method, with the inner diameter of the pipe being no less than four inches.

- Only one infiltration test is acceptable for each borehole, regardless of whether tests are proposed to be completed at different depths.

- Test holes must be presoaked for one hour immediately prior to testing. The presoaking procedure is intended to simulate saturated conditions in the environment and to minimize the influence of unsaturated flow.

- Testing must be conducted for a minimum of eight readings or until a stabilized infiltration rate is measured. A stabilized rate of drop means a difference of 0.25 inch or less of drop between the highest and lowest readings of four consecutive readings.

- The designer is referred to the infiltration testing procedure information provided in Section 3.3.5 for
3.3.4 Soil Characterization Procedures

Soil characterization and limiting layer identification provides a visual assessment of the soil profile, which can support supplemental infiltration testing results. PWD allows the use of exploratory test pits or soil borings to assess soil for infiltration feasibility; however, PWD strongly prefers the use of test pits where space allows. With both methods, soil sampling and characterization are required. Requirements for each soil characterization and soil sampling method are described in Section 3.3.2, while procedures are described below.

Exploratory Test Pit Procedure

A test pit consists of a backhoe-excavated trench, of an appropriate width, with the goal of exposing a soil profile. As the excavation progresses, the testing professional must document the presence of any limiting layers, groundwater presence, and in situ observations of soil characteristics. When test pits are the chosen soil characterization methodology, the double-ring infiltrometer method must be used as the infiltration testing methodology. The designer is referred to Appendix H for a blank Infiltration Testing Log, which is required to be completed and submitted as part of the Geotechnical Report and includes guidance for documenting soil characteristics. Soil classifications must be conducted in accordance with ASTM D2488. The designer is referred to Section 3.3.5 for the double-ring infiltrometer testing procedure.

Hollow-Stem Augered Borehole Procedure

A hollow-stem augered borehole soil characterization can be performed where space constraints prevent the excavation of test pits. The test is completed using a four-inch inner diameter or larger hollow-stem auger. The designer is referred to Appendix H for a blank Infiltration Testing Log, which is required to be completed and submitted as part of the Geotechnical Report and includes guidance for documenting soil characteristics. Soil classifications must be conducted in accordance with ASTM D2488. Blow counts, if the designer and geotechnical professional elect to perform SPTs, must be performed in accordance with ASTM D1586. As the test progresses, the testing professional must document the presence of any limiting layers, groundwater presence, and in situ observations of soil characteristics (see Soil Sampling Requirements and Procedure Sections below). The ASTM standard D6151-08, Hollow-Stem Auger Method, should be referenced for specific direction, but the general testing procedure is as follows:

1. Advance a borehole to the proposed testing depth using the Hollow-Stem Auger Method (ASTM D6151-08). The augered hole diameter must be at least two inches larger than the outer diameter of the inner casing. The inner casing will consist of a PVC pipe with a minimum inner diameter of four inches and a smooth, square bottom.
2. Push the inner casing within the auger hollow stem to the infiltration interface and firmly set it into the bottom of the borehole. Use a borehole plane to scarify the soil surface at the bottom of the casing and remove any remaining loose soil. Measure the depth from the top of casing to the bottom of the hole to the nearest 0.01 feet.

3. Collect soil samples per soil sampling requirements and procedure.

4. Remove the augers.

5. Upon completion of the test, remove the hollow-stem auger tubes and backfill the borehole with cuttings. If testing is conducted in vegetated areas, return the surface to its previous state. If testing is completed in paved areas, plug the hole with a bentonite plug and seal the surface with concrete or asphalt.

6. If a cased borehole infiltration test is to be completed, backfill the borehole prior to running the infiltration test. Refer to Section 3.3.3 and Section 3.3.5 for guidance on borehole infiltration testing.

### Soil Sampling Procedure

Soil samples must be obtained during the soil characterization field analysis. Field soil sample classification must be performed according to ASTM D2488, Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure).

Laboratory analysis of collected soil samples must include, at a minimum:

- ASTM D422 – Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils, and

Additional laboratory testing can be completed at the geotechnical professional and designer’s discretion.

### 3.3.5 Infiltration Testing Procedures

PWD allows the use of double-ring infiltrometers or cased boreholes for infiltration testing; however, PWD strongly prefers the use of double-ring infiltrometer testing where space allows. The designer is referred to Section 3.3.3 for Infiltration Testing Requirements. Each test must be accompanied by a test pit or soil boring and a soil characterization study.

### Double-Ring Infiltrometer

A double-ring infiltrometer test estimates the vertical movement of water through the bottom of the test
area using a larger surface area than a cased borehole infiltration test. A double-ring infiltrometer test must be completed if a test pit is the chosen methodology for obtaining the soil characterization and soil samples.

The designer is referred to Appendix H for a blank Infiltration Testing Log, which is required to be completed and submitted as part of the Geotechnical Report. The general testing procedure outlined below is based on a slightly modified ASTM standard D3385-09.

1. Determine a location and depth for the test based on the information obtained from the in situ soil classification analysis.

2. Dig a test pit to the desired depth where the infiltration interface is proposed using the benched methodology recommended in the test pit procedure.

3. Establish a level surface for the testing apparatus to be placed.

4. Drive outer ring into the soil to a minimum depth of six inches or at a minimum two inches more than the inner ring. A drive cap is recommended to ensure consistent and uniform installation and to avoid fracturing the soil surface.

5. Center the inner ring within the outer ring and drive to a depth of approximately two to four inches below grade using the same technique as described for the outer ring placement.

6. If soil along the inner ring is excessively disturbed, reset the ring. If the soil along the inside of either ring is slightly disturbed, tamp the soil with minimal force until soil is as firm as prior to disturbance.

7. A constant head must be maintained within the inner ring and annular space between the two rings. Manually controlling the flow of liquid is sufficient; however, the testing professional can consult the ASTM standard for additional methods. If manually controlling the liquid level, depth gages must be installed such that the reference head is between one and six inches. Place the depth gages towards the center of the inner ring and midway between the two rings.

8. Install anti-scouring measures such as a one-inch layer of coarse sand or fine gravel and splash guards (pieces of burlap or rubber sheet) to avoid scour when water is applied.

9. Fill both rings with water to the same depth in each ring. Remove splash guards, and do not record this initial volume of liquid.

10. The test area must be presoaked immediately prior to testing. Fill both rings with water to water level indicator mark or rim at 30 minute intervals for one hour. The minimum water depth must be four inches. The drop in the water level during the last 30 minutes of the presoaking period must be applied to the following standard to determine the time interval between readings:

    a. If water level drop is two inches or more, use ten-minute measurement intervals.

    b. If water level drop is less than two inches, use 30-minute measurement intervals.
11. Obtain a reading of the drop in water level in the center ring at appropriate time intervals. After each reading, refill both rings to water level indicator mark or rim. Measurement to the water level in the center ring must be made from a fixed reference point and must continue at the interval determined until a minimum of eight readings are completed or until a stabilized rate of drop is obtained, whichever occurs first. A stabilized rate of drop means a difference of 0.25 inch or less of drop between the highest and lowest readings of four consecutive readings.

12. The drop that occurs in the center ring during the final period or the average stabilized rate, expressed as inches per hour, represents the infiltration rate for that test location.

13. Backfill the excavation and restore the surface to its original condition once all testing is completed.

**Cased Borehole**

A cased borehole infiltration test is only recommended when site characteristics do not allow for a test pit and double-ring infiltration test. If a borehole infiltration test is performed, it must not be completed within the same hole as the hollow-stem augered soil characterization study.

The borehole infiltration method is based on a slightly modified ASTM D6391-11 standard. The casing installation method required by PWD is a modified procedure that avoids the use of a bentonite paste at the tip of the casing and a bentonite seal within the annular space between the casing and the surrounding soils. The use of bentonite can absorb moisture from the surrounding soils before swelling and hardening. As a result, the test results may not be accurate.

The designer is referred to Appendix H for a blank Infiltration Testing Log, which is required to be completed and submitted as part of the Geotechnical Report. The modified borehole infiltration testing procedure required is outlined below.

1. Advance a borehole to the depth of the proposed infiltration interface depth using the Hollow-Stem Auger Method (ASTM D6151-08). The augered hole diameter must be at least two inches larger than the outer diameter of the inner casing. The inner casing will consist of a PVC pipe with minimum inner diameter of four inches and a smooth, square bottom.

2. Push the inner casing within the auger hollow stem to the infiltration interface and firmly set into the bottom of the borehole. Use a borehole plane to scarify the soil surface at the bottom of the casing and remove any remaining loose soil. Measure the depth from the top of casing to the bottom of the hole to the nearest 0.01 feet.

3. Remove the augers.

4. Place two inches of fine gravel or coarse sand in the bottom of the borehole to prevent scour during filling of the casing. Be sure to place gravel or sand uniformly to obtain an even depth within the hole. Re-measure the depth from the top of casing to the gravel or sand surface to the nearest 0.01 feet.
5. Presoak test holes immediately prior to testing to simulate saturated conditions. Fill casing with water at a very low rate so as not to disturb the bottom sediments. Place water to a depth of at least six inches above the bottom and readjust every 30 minutes for one hour. A constant head can be applied and maintained at the top of the casing as an alternate method. The drop in the water level during the last 30 minutes of the presoaking period must be applied to the following standard to determine the time interval between readings:
   a. If water level drop is two inches or more, use ten-minute measurement intervals.
   b. If water level drop is less than two inches, use 30-minute measurement intervals.

6. After the presoaking, the water level is measured, using an approved method per the ASTM standard, where the water level remains at least 12 inches above the bottom of the hole. All water added must be recorded as a volume along with the time of addition.

7. Measurements of water level must be made from the top of casing and must continue at the interval determined until a minimum of eight readings are completed or until a stabilized rate of drop is obtained, whichever occurs first. A stabilized rate of drop means a difference of 0.25 inch or less of drop between the highest and lowest readings of four consecutive readings.

8. Upon completion, remove casing and backfill hole with cuttings. If testing is conducted in vegetated areas, return the surface to its previous state. If testing is completed in paved areas, plug the hole with a bentonite plug, and seal the surface with concrete or asphalt.

9. Calculate the infiltration rate as described in ASTM D6391-11.

### 3.3.6 Evaluation of Infiltration Testing Results

Upon completion of soil characterization and infiltration testing, the designer must first determine SMP design infiltration rates based on the tested infiltration rates. Once the design infiltration rate is calculated, tentative SMP locations must be assessed for suitable underlying soils that would support an infiltrating practice. The designer must locate SMPs within areas where infiltration is feasible based on the allowable and acceptable infiltration rates. The following steps will assist the designer in determining the final SMP footprint locations.

**Step 1: Determine the geometric mean of the tested infiltration rates.**

Starting with the *tested infiltration rates*, the geometric mean must be used to determine the average infiltration rate following multiple tests for each SMP. As the rates are log-normally distributed, the geometric mean, not the arithmetic averages, of multiple test results must be reported and used. The field data and/or any statistically derived result of field measurements must pass a rigid quality control procedure. In some situations, a measured rate of zero may be obtained. A measured rate of zero is generally related to
inherent flaws in the testing methodology. In these cases, a default value should be used based on one
decimal digit less than the smallest detectable reading for that particular test method/equipment. For
example, if the smallest detectable reading using an infiltrometer test is a 0.125 inch drop, then 0.124 inches
should be substituted for the zero value which represents one decimal digit less than 0.125 inches. This
substitution method is necessary to ensure that the test calculations do not yield a zero value for hydraulic
conductivity since a zero value cannot be used in the calculation of a geometric mean.

The highest tested infiltration rate from the test results must be discarded when more than three are
employed for design purposes. The geometric mean of the remaining readings must be calculated for each
SMP.

The geometric mean of a data set is the \( n^{\text{th}} \) root of the product of "n" numbers:

\[
\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\} = \sqrt[n]{a_1 \times a_2 \times ... \times a_n}
\]

**Step 2: Compare the geometric mean to the allowable and acceptable infiltration rates.**

Prior to determining whether the SMP footprint and location are suitable for installation of an infiltrating
SMP, the designer must check that the geometric mean of the tested infiltration rates falls within the
allowable and acceptable range defined by PWD. Soils underlying infiltration practices must have a mean
tested infiltration rate between 0.4 and ten inches per hour. Infiltration is to be considered infeasible in soils
with tested infiltration rates of less than 0.4 inches per hour. If the designer wishes to design an SMP to
infiltrate with a tested infiltration rate of less than 0.4 inches per hour, calculations must be provided
demonstrating an SMP drain down of no more than 72 hours with its proposed loading ratio. PWD will review
this scenario on a project-by-project basis.

Soils with tested infiltration rates in excess of ten inches per hour will require soil amendments. Upon
achieving final subgrade elevations, a two-foot thick layer of amended soil must be placed across the entire
cross-section of the infiltrating SMP, below the bottom elevation of the SMP, and geotextile filter fabric must
be installed between the in situ and amended soil layers. A conservative infiltration rate must be used in the
stormwater routing calculations during the design of the SMP, and a soil amendment sequence of
construction must be provided on the plans. A minimum of three infiltration tests must be performed within
the amended soil layer during construction to verify rates. The procedure used must be the double-ring
infiltrometer test, soil sampling and characterization are also required, and all must be in compliance with the
procedures detailed in these Sections. The engineer must provide a signed and sealed Geotechnical Report. All
information must be submitted to PWD for review and approval before proceeding with construction. If soil
amendments are installed, and the tested infiltration rate is determined to be outside of the PWD allowable
range of 0.4 to ten inches per hour or varies significantly from the design infiltration rate, additional soil
amendments and/or a system redesign will be required.
Step 3: Evaluate the proposed SMP locations (if necessary).

If infiltration rates are found to be below the minimum allowable rate at proposed SMP locations and there are other areas of the project site where infiltration may be feasible, the designer must consider alternative SMP locations. Alternatively, the designer may explore the possibility of over-excavating poorly infiltrating soils if the removal and replacement of these soils would allow for SMPs to infiltrate into more porous material that may exist below poorly infiltrating soils.

Additionally, SMPs must not be located within two feet of any limiting layers. A two-foot separation between the infiltration interface (SMP bottom elevation) and the regularly occurring seasonally high water table must be maintained. This reduces the likelihood that temporary groundwater mounding will affect the system and allows sufficient distance of water movement through the soil to allow adequate pollutant removal. Also, a minimum separation of two feet must be maintained between bedrock and the SMP bottom elevation in order to ensure adequate pollutant removal.

Step 4: Document infiltration feasibility.

All projects require documentation for infiltration feasibility. If infiltration is determined to be feasible on-site, the designer must provide a Geotechnical Report meeting the requirements provided in Appendix E and may proceed to detailed design (Step 5). Where infiltration is found to be infeasible, an Infiltration Waiver Request Form must be submitted.

Infiltration Waiver Request Form

The two scenarios for which PWD will generally grant an Infiltration Waiver Request Form are: (1) full build-out and (2) projects with unacceptable infiltration rates or where contamination is present. An Infiltration Waiver Request Form, if applicable, must be accompanied by supporting documentation.

1. For projects confirmed to be full build-out (where ground-level open space is not sufficient to accommodate required SMP loading ratios and setbacks), the site layout must be provided to confirm this scenario. Where a full build-out is confirmed, the designer must complete an Infiltration Waiver Request Form and a Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan. A full build-out does not require a Geotechnical Report.

2. Where infiltration has been found to be infeasible due to unacceptable infiltration rates or contamination, an Infiltration Waiver Request Form is required along with a Geotechnical Report. The Geotechnical Report must be signed and sealed by a professional engineer registered in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and meet the requirements provided in Appendix E.

Appendix G includes the Infiltration Waiver Request Form. If an Infiltration Waiver Request Form is requested due to contamination, electronic copies of environmental reports for any testing completed, as well
as a justification letter from the geotechnical engineer or environmental professional, must be submitted.

**Geotechnical Report**

The designer must provide a signed and sealed Geotechnical Report with a testing location plan and summary of results. All information must be submitted to PWD for review and approval before proceeding with construction.

Infiltration testing results are required as part of the PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package (see Section 2.3.1 for complete requirements); however, the designer is encouraged to submit infiltration testing results as early as possible in the review process. If available, infiltration testing results will be accepted and reviewed as part of the Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package. When the Geotechnical Report is submitted as part of the Conceptual Review Phase Submission Package, if all pertinent design information is not provided, such as SMP bottom elevation, PWD may not be able to complete the review of the infiltration testing, and will defer final determination of infiltration feasibility to the PCSMP Review Phase.

Infiltration testing results must be submitted in a signed and sealed (by a professional engineer licensed in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania) Geotechnical Report containing the engineer’s analysis and summary of all results including soil classification (in accordance with ASTM D2488) and site evaluation, along with the engineer’s affirmative or negative recommendation on feasibility of infiltration, with justification. The designer is referred to Appendix E for a complete listing of all required Geotechnical Report components.

**Step 5: Proceed with detailed SMP design.**

If infiltration has been documented as feasible for the proposed SMP locations, the designer can proceed with detailed design of infiltration SMPs, using guidance provided in Section 3.2.4 and Chapter 4. The designer must apply a factor of safety of two to the geometric mean of the tested infiltration rates, as documented in Section 3.4.1. This rate will be the SMP-specific design infiltration rate to be used for all further design and calculations.

For project sites where infiltration is deemed infeasible, and this condition is confirmed by PWD, the designer must use acceptable pollutant-reducing SMPs to comply with the Water Quality requirement. Water Quality release rate requirements also apply to non-infiltrating areas in combined sewersheds. Acceptable pollutant-reducing SMPs are listed in Section 3.2.4. Additional detailed design guidance for pollutant-reducing SMPs is provided in Chapter 4.
3.4 How to Show Compliance

Section 3.4 provides detail on how to ensure and demonstrate that stormwater management design strategies are implemented in accordance with the Philadelphia Water Department’s (PWD’s) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations). This Section provides resources that can be used in conjunction with the design requirements detailed in Section 3.2 and Chapter 4.

Section 3.4.1 gives specific guidance and requirements for compliance with the following:

- Water Quality requirement,
- Channel Protection requirement,
- Flood Control requirement, and
- Public Health and Safety Release Rate requirement.

Compliance with some Stormwater Regulations may not be required for all projects; therefore, the applicant is referred to Chapter 1 to assess and/or confirm applicability of specific requirements.

Section 3.4.2 provides guidance and requirements for the design of storm sewer systems.

Section 3.4.3 contains calculation methods and design tools to assist in stormwater management design.

3.4.1 Regulatory Compliance Documentation Requirements

After determining which Stormwater Regulations are applicable to the project site using Chapter 1, the applicant can use this Section as a guide to document Regulatory compliance within the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Review Phase. For each requirement, a step-by-step guide to documenting compliance is provided. While some steps are either identical or similar between requirements, this redundancy is provided for projects where not all requirements are applicable. The applicant is referred to Section 2.3.1 for complete PCSMP Review Phase submission requirements.

Quick Tip

As part of an integrated design approach (Section 3.2.1), the designer may choose to meet multiple Stormwater Regulations with a single SMP or multiple SMPs. Additionally, the designer may choose an approach that uses SMPs in series (Section 3.2.4)

Water Quality

The designer must use the following steps to document compliance with the Water Quality requirement.
### Step 1:
Delineate all post-development impervious area within the project limit of disturbance (LOD) and differentiate between disconnected impervious cover (DIC) and directly connected impervious area (DCIA). For projects located in combined sewer areas, also differentiate between DCIA that meets roof runoff isolation requirements (Section 3.2.4) and all other DCIA.

### Step 2:
For all DIC within the project LOD, identify the proposed DIC strategy (i.e., rooftop disconnection, pavement disconnection, tree disconnection credit, green roof, or porous pavement). DIC strategies must meet applicable requirements in Section 3.2.3.

### Step 3:
Delineate drainage areas and footprints for each proposed stormwater management practice (SMP) (or series of SMPs if they share the same drainage area) and all DCIA within each drainage area. Check to ensure maximum loading ratio requirements can be met for each proposed SMP (loading ratio requirements are discussed in Section 3.2.4).

### Step 4:
Calculate the Water Quality Volume (WQv) for each proposed SMP (or series of SMPs, if applicable) using the following equation:

\[
WQv = (DCIA) \times \left(\frac{R}{12}\right)
\]

Where:

- WQv = Water Quality Volume [cubic feet]
- DCIA = Directly Connected Impervious Area [square feet]
- R = 1.5 inches runoff depth

### Step 5:
Determine the sewershed of the discharge point of each proposed SMP. Design SMP(s) to provide management of the WQv and to meet all SMP design requirements by SMP-type in Chapter 4. WQv management requirements differ by infiltration feasibility and sewershed, as detailed in Chapter 1, and based on these factors, proceed to Step 5a, Step 5b, or Step 5c.

### Step 5a:
Where infiltration is feasible:

- Design SMP(s) to infiltrate 100% of WQv.
- Size SMP(s) to provide static storage of the WQv below the lowest outlet elevation.
- Design SMP(s) to ensure drain down time is no more than 72 hours based on the tested infiltration rate with an applied factor of safety of two to the geometric mean of the tested infiltration rates (design infiltration rate) and the SMP horizontal surface area (footprint).

### Step 5b:
Where infiltration is not feasible and the project is located in a combined sewer area:
- Design SMP(s) to route 100% of the WQv that is not infiltrated through an acceptable pollutant-reducing practice (Table 3.2-2).

- Design SMP(s) to ensure a slow release rate on-site that does not exceed 0.05 cubic feet per second (cfs) per acre of DCIA when routing a 1.7-inch, 24-hour Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Type II design storm. See Section 3.4.3 for calculation methods and Table 3.4-4 for dimensionless rainfall distribution for the 24-hour NRCS Type II design storm. A curve number of 98 must be used for all DCIA when performing routing calculations for the Water Quality requirement.

- Design SMP(s) to ensure drain down time is no more than 72 hours for a 1.7-inch, 24-hour NRCS Type II design storm. The drain down time is the time required for evacuation of the instantaneous storage of the WQv in the SMP.

---

**Step 5c:** Where infiltration is not feasible and the project is located in a separate sewer area or is a direct discharge project:

- Design SMP(s) to route 100% of the WQv that is not infiltrated through an acceptable pollutant-reducing practice (Table 3.2-2).

- Design SMP(s) to ensure drain down time is no more than 72 hours. The drain down time is the time required for evacuation of the instantaneous storage of the WQv in the SMP.

---

All design information developed to document compliance with the Water Quality requirement must be included in the PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package. This includes, but is not limited to:

- PDF printout of completed Online Technical Worksheet;

- Post-development drainage area plans;

- Static storage calculations; and

- Flow routing calculations and model inputs and results for slow release, if applicable.

Complete PCSMP Review Phase submission requirements are provided in Section 2.3.1.

**Channel Protection**

The applicant must use the following steps to document compliance with the Channel Protection requirement, if applicable. The applicant is referred to Section 1.2.1 for details on Channel Protection exemptions.
Step 1: Determine a point of analysis (POA) for the post-development condition. A POA is a common point of discharge from the project site or drainage area. A POA may serve one or several drainage areas and/or SMPs. PWD recommends using as few POAs as possible for compliance calculations. If there are multiple points of discharge from a property, it may still be possible to use a single POA if all discharge points lead to the same waterbody or outfall. Should a project fall in this category, contact PWD for more information as to how many POAs should be identified.

Step 2: Delineate drainage areas for each POA and all DCIA within each drainage area. All area within the project LOD must be accounted for within a POA, including areas that bypass SMPs.

Step 3: Design stormwater outlet controls (within or external to SMPs) to ensure the release rate at each POA does not exceed 0.24 cfs per acre of DCIA (draining to the POA) when routing a one-year, 24-hour NRCS Type II design storm. The design precipitation depth of a one-year, 24-hour storm is 2.83 inches. See Section 3.4.3 for calculation methods, Table 3.4-3 for design storm depths, and Table 3.4-4 for dimensionless rainfall distribution for the 24-hour NRCS Type II design storm. Outlet controls and SMPs must also meet all design requirements of Chapter 4.

Where runoff is routed through an SMP prior to reaching a POA, design SMP(s) to ensure drain down time is no more than 72 hours for a one-year, 24-hour NRCS Type II design storm. The drain down time is the time required for evacuation of the instantaneous storage of the Channel Protection volume in the SMP.

All design information developed to document compliance with the Channel Protection requirement must be included in the PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package. This includes, but is not limited to:

- PDF printout of completed Online Technical Worksheet;
- Post-development drainage area plans; and
- Flow routing calculations and model inputs and results for the one-year design storm.

Complete PCSMP Review Phase submission requirements are provided in Section 2.3.1.

**Flood Control**

The applicant must use the following steps to document compliance with the Flood Control requirement, if applicable. The applicant is referred to Section 1.2.1 for details on Flood Control exemptions.
**Step 1:** Determine a POA for comparison of the predevelopment and post-development conditions. A POA is a common point of discharge from the project site or drainage area. A POA may serve one or several drainage areas and/or SMPs. PWD recommends using as few POAs as possible for compliance calculations. If there are multiple points of discharge from a property, it may still be possible to use a single POA if all discharge points lead to the same waterbody or outfall. Should a project fall in this category, contact PWD for more information as to how many POAs should be identified.

**Step 2:** Determine the predevelopment and post-development drainage area(s) and drainage area conditions for each POA. The predevelopment condition is determined by the dominant land use for the ten years preceding the date of the project’s Existing Resources and Site Analysis (ERSA) Application submission. All area within the project LOD must be accounted for within a POA, including areas that bypass SMPs. The applicant is referred to Table 3.4-2 for acceptable curve numbers and must use the following guidance for determining land use designations for Flood Control:

- Pervious area is considered to be area covered by a pervious surface that allows water to drain through it rather than running off of the site.
- All non-forested pervious areas must be considered meadow in good condition for predevelopment runoff calculations.
- Non-forested pervious area consists of the following cover types: meadow, grass/lawn, brush, gravel, dirt, porous pavements, and any combination of these cover types.
- Dirt and gravel are generally considered to be pervious cover, however, if the applicant believes an impervious classification is more suitable, he or she can submit documentation, such as photographic evidence and testing results, to support this claim.
- DIC should be represented as the appropriate cover type, as this management strategy does not apply to the Flood Control requirement; thus impervious area must be represented as impervious, green roof area as green roof, porous pavement area as porous pavement, and permeable paver area as permeable paver.
- For redevelopment projects, in addition to any other pervious area, 20% of the existing impervious cover, when present, must be considered meadow (good condition) for the predevelopment runoff calculations.

**Step 3:** Confirm or determine, if not done previously, the level of flood control required based on the project’s Flood Management District (Appendix D) and peak runoff rate requirements by Flood Management District, as per Table 3.4-1 below.

If a project is located near or across a Flood Management District border, the applicant is responsible for contacting PWD to confirm the District requirements that apply to the project. In most cases, a project that is located in multiple Districts will be required to meet the requirements of the District within which each POA is located, resulting in discrete rate reductions for each POA.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>NRCS Type II 24-hour Design Storm Applied to Proposed Condition</th>
<th>NRCS Type II 24-hour Design Storm Applied to Predevelopment Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2 – year</td>
<td>1 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5 – year</td>
<td>5 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>10 – year</td>
<td>10 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>25 – year</td>
<td>25 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>50 – year</td>
<td>50 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>100 – year</td>
<td>100 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2 – year</td>
<td>1 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5 – year</td>
<td>2 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>10 – year</td>
<td>5 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>25 – year</td>
<td>10 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>50 – year</td>
<td>25 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>100 – year</td>
<td>50 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>2 – year</td>
<td>1 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>5 – year</td>
<td>2 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>10 – year</td>
<td>5 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>25 – year</td>
<td>10 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>50 – year</td>
<td>25 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>100 – year</td>
<td>100 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>2 – year</td>
<td>1 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>5 – year</td>
<td>2 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>25 – year</td>
<td>5 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>50 – year</td>
<td>10 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>100 – year</td>
<td>100 – year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C*</td>
<td>Conditional Direct Discharge District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – 1**</td>
<td>Conditional Direct Discharge District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SMPs shall be designed such that peak rates from Column A are less than or equal to Peak Rates from Column B.

*In District C, a Development Site that can discharge directly without use of City infrastructure may do so without control of proposed conditions peak rate of runoff.

** In District C-1, a Development Site that can discharge directly to the Tacony/Tacony-Frankford main channel or major tributaries without the use of City infrastructure may do so without the control of proposed conditions peak rate of runoff greater than the 5-year storm.

Redevelopment located in the Delaware Direct Watershed or Lower Schuylkill Watershed, but situated outside of District C, that can discharge directly without the use of City infrastructure, may do so without the control of proposed conditions peak rate of runoff according to the procedures found in the Manual.

For Conditional Direct Discharge Districts, the proposed conditions peak rate of runoff for a Development Site that discharges to City infrastructure must be controlled to the Predevelopment Conditions peak rate as required in District A provisions for the specified Design Storms. The Predevelopment Condition shall be defined according to the procedures found in the Manual.
Step 4: Design stormwater outlet controls (within, or external to, SMPs) to ensure the peak runoff rate in the proposed condition (left column of Table 3.4-1) does not exceed the peak runoff rate in the predevelopment condition (right column of Table 3.4-1) at each POA for the stated design storms. For a given Flood Management District, all storms’ rate reductions must be met concurrently. Peak rate reduction provided by SMPs that meet the Water Quality and Channel Protection requirements may be considered in sizing calculations for peak rate controls. See Section 3.4.3 for calculation methods, Table 3.4-3 for design storm depths, and Table 3.4-4 for dimensionless rainfall distribution for the 24-hour NRCS Type II design storm. Outlet controls and SMPs must also meet all design requirements of Chapter 4.

All design information developed to document compliance with the Flood Control requirement must be included in the PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package. This includes but is not limited to:

- PDF printout of completed Online Technical Worksheet;
- Predevelopment and post-development drainage area plans;
- Predevelopment time of concentration (Tc) calculations;
- Post-development Tc calculations (if demonstration of a Tc greater than an assumed six minutes is desired); and
- Flow routing calculations and model inputs and results for predevelopment and post-development conditions during all design storms applicable to the Flood Management District’s required rate reductions.

Complete PCSMP Review Phase submission requirements are provided in Section 2.3.1.

**Public Health and Safety Release Rate**

The applicant must use the following steps to document compliance with a Public Health and Safety (PHS) Release Rate requirement, if applicable. The designer is referred to Section 1.2.3 for more information on the PHS Release Rate requirement.
**Step 1:** Confirm the project-specific PHS Release Rate requirement with PWD Stormwater Plan Review. A PHS Release Rate requirement is stated as a peak runoff release rate in cfs per acre of earth disturbance, pervious and impervious, alike. This information will be noted by PWD during the Conceptual Review Phase (Section 2.3).

**Step 2:** Determine a POA for the post-development condition. A POA is a common point of discharge from the project site or drainage area. A POA may serve one or several drainage areas and/or SMPs. Multiple POAs must be identified for project sites with multiple points of discharge.

**Step 3:** Delineate drainage areas for each POA, the extent of earth disturbance within each drainage area, and the post-development condition within the LOD. All area within the project LOD must be accounted for within a POA, including areas that bypass SMPs.

**Step 4:** Design stormwater outlet controls (within, or external to, SMPs) to ensure the peak runoff release rate at each POA does not exceed the project-specific PHS Release Rate requirement (cfs per acre LOD within the POA) when routing the one-year through ten-year, 24-hour NRCS Type II design storms. See Section 3.4.3 for calculation methods, Table 3.4-3 for design storm depths, and Table 3.4-4 for dimensionless rainfall distribution for the 24-hour NRCS Type II design storm. Outlet controls and SMPs must also meet all design requirements of Chapter 4.

All design information developed to document compliance with the PHS Release Rate requirement must be included in the PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package. This includes but is not limited to:

- PDF printout of completed Online Technical Worksheet;
- Post-development drainage area plans;
- Post-development Tc calculations (if demonstration of a Tc greater than an assumed six minutes is desired); and
- Flow routing calculations and model inputs and results for the one-year through ten-year design storms.

Complete PCSMP Review Phase submission requirements are provided in Section 2.3.1.

### 3.4.2 Storm Sewer Design Requirements

All storm sewer pipes must be designed to have adequate capacity to safely convey the ten-year storm without surcharging the crown of the pipe. Pipe capacity calculations are required for all stormwater conveyance that is not connected to the roof drainage system. The designer is referred to the Philadelphia Plumbing Code for guidance on sizing roof drainage systems.

If Flood Control is required, runoff from larger storms must be safely conveyed off the site, either through
overland flow or a storm sewer. Runoff may not be conveyed to a neighboring property.

The Rational Method may be used when designing storm sewers. The Rational Method is a simple method for determining peak runoff discharge from both pervious and impervious cover. This method uses Rational Method runoff coefficients (C-values) based on land use, soil type, and watershed slope, to estimate peak runoff rates during different rainfall conditions. The Rational Method is primarily used to estimate runoff rates and not runoff volume.

The Rational Method may not be used for SMP design, outlet control design, or detention routing. It may be used for storm sewer capacity design, including open channel collection and conveyance systems analyses.

Required assumptions to obtain conservative results using the Rational Method include the following:

- A runoff coefficient value of 0.35 must be used for pervious areas.

- A runoff coefficient value of 0.95 must be used for impervious areas.

- A precipitation intensity of 6.96 inches per hour must be used, which is the five-minute inlet concentration time in the ten-year storm event. The designer is referred to the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT) Drainage Manual, Chapter 7, Appendix A, Field Manual For Pennsylvania Design Rainfall Intensity Charts From the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Atlas 14 Version 3 Data (2010 or latest) for the Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) for Region 5 for more information.

- For use with Manning’s Equation for calculating full channel flow, a Manning’s n value of 0.013 must be used for RCP, VCP, and CIP, and a value of 0.011 must be used for PVC and HDPE.

When designing a site’s storm sewer system, the designer must be mindful of the following requirements:

- Length, material, size, and slope of all piping associated with stormwater conveyance and roof drainage systems must be clearly labeled on the submitted PCSMP and should be consistent with associated profiles, if provided.

- Piping conflicts must be avoided.

- Inlets may not be connected in series. Similarly, roof drainage systems may not tie directly into an inlet. Wye connections, or similar, may be used to ensure that inlets are offline.

- A minimum of 12 inches of vertical clearance is required when a sanitary sewer line crosses above a storm sewer line. The sanitary sewer must be encased in concrete if the clearance is less than 12 inches.

- Any manholes between outlet structures and sewer connections in combined sewer areas must have sanitary (non-vented) covers.
• A cleanout must be provided for all 90-degree bends.

• If curb cuts or non-standard inlets are used to capture runoff, especially from driveways or roadways where the inlets are not in a sump condition, verification that the one-year storm will be captured by the inlet must be provided.

• The invert elevation(s) for the proposed connection(s) to the existing City sewer and a pipe connection detail must both be provided on the submitted PSCMP.

• The outlet culvert(s) must be right-sized to minimize impacts on PWD infrastructure.

• All stormwater conveyance pipe material must be in compliance with the Philadelphia Plumbing Code.

• All proposed connections to the City sewer must be rightsized to convey the necessary flow while minimizing the pipe diameter.

• All proposed connections to the City sewer must be reviewed, approved, and inspected by PWD Water Transport Records. More information on this process can be found in the Sewer Connection and Repair Manual.

### 3.4.3 Calculation Methods and Design Tools

The designer will need to use various calculation methods and design tools in order to prepare an integrated stormwater management design and to demonstrate compliance with the Stormwater Regulations. The calculation methods and design tools described in this Section are used for a variety of purposes relating to integrated design including computing the amount of runoff from DCIA and other surfaces, modeling peak flow rates and drain down times, determining SMP sizing, and developing inlet/outlet control and conveyance system designs. Calculations, model inputs/outputs, and completed Online Technical Worksheet are used in the preparation of PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package, which is detailed in Section 2.3.1.

#### Calculation Methods

##### Runoff Estimation

The NRCS Curve Number Method is used to estimate site stormwater runoff from a given storm. While it is PWD's preferred runoff estimation method, additional methods may be used at the designer’s discretion with approval from PWD.

The NRCS Curve Number Method is widely used to produce estimates of runoff volume for both pervious and impervious cover. It empirically accounts for the initial abstraction and infiltration of rainfall events on based on ground cover type characteristics. For a detailed description of the Curve Number Method, the designer is referred to Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds (NRCS Technical Release 55).
Care must be taken to select appropriate curve number values since this calculation method is very sensitive to changes in these values. In order to obtain conservative results, separate calculations for pervious and impervious area runoff must be used. Weighted curve number values between pervious and impervious areas are not acceptable. The resulting flows can be routed, if necessary, and then summed.

Table 3.4-2 below provides acceptable curve number values for each Hydrologic Soil Group.

**Table 3.4-2: Acceptable Curve Number Values**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cover Description</th>
<th>Hydrologic Condition</th>
<th>Curve Number for Hydrologic Soil Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COVER TYPE</td>
<td>HYDROLOGIC CONDITION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawns, parks, golf courses, etc.</td>
<td>Poor (grass cover &lt;50%)</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fair (grass cover 50-75%)</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good (grass cover &gt;75%)</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brush (brush-weed-grass mixture with brush the major element)</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood-grass combination (orchard or tree farm)</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woods</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, streets, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel/Crushed Stone</td>
<td></td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dirt Streets and Roads</td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Roof**</td>
<td></td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field</td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous Pavement</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeable Pavers</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pour-in-Place Rubber</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous Turf</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meadow</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* U6 refers to “Urban Land” and generally conforms to a hydrological soil group classification of B. A U6 curve number must be used on Redevelopment projects unless the engineer provides soil mapping indicative of another, more appropriate, soil classification.

** Existing rainfall runoff models are limited in their ability to predict runoff from green roofs since this process is dominated by percolations through a thin veneer of soil and is not surface runoff. Green roof research studies have back-calculated a range of curve number values for various storms and roof media types/thicknesses. Alternative curve number values may be applied when supported by submitted analysis and relevant references, which will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.
Design Storms

Sizing requirements for compliance with the Stormwater Regulations have been developed using long-term computer simulations. These requirements have been translated to single event design conditions that yield roughly equivalent results.

The rainfall depths of design storms shown in Table 3.4-3 are taken from the PennDOT Drainage Manual, Chapter 7, Appendix A, Field Manual For Pennsylvania Design Rainfall Intensity Charts From NOAA Atlas 14 Version 3 Data (2010 or latest). These totals indicate the largest depth that can be expected over the specified interval in the specified return period. These design precipitation depths are similar to those found in other standard references such as NOAA Technical Publication 40 or the NOAA Atlas 14; however, the designer must use the values provided in Table 3.4-3 for their design calculations.

Table 3.4-3: Design Precipitation Depths (in)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DURATION</th>
<th>1 yr</th>
<th>2 yr</th>
<th>5 yr</th>
<th>10 yr</th>
<th>25 yr</th>
<th>50 yr</th>
<th>100 yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 hr</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 hrs</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 hrs</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 hrs</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>5.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 hrs</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>6.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 hrs</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>8.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Design Rainfall Distribution

The Water Quality requirement (where infiltration is not feasible in a combined sewer area), along with the Channel Protection, Flood Control, and PHS Release Rate requirements all require calculations using design rainfall depths distributed in a NRCS Type II dimensionless rainfall distribution. The Type II distribution was selected not because it represents a typical event, but because it includes periods of low-intensity and high-intensity rainfall; design that uses this distribution results in SMPs that can manage a variety of event types, particularly high intensity storms.
Table 3.4-4: Tabulated NRCS 24-Hour Type II Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (hr)</th>
<th>CUMULATIVE</th>
<th>INCREMENTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Storm Return Periods for Large Events and Flow Bypass

At a minimum, safe conveyance of the ten-year, 24-hour design storm must be provided to and from all SMPs. Additionally, the flow that is leaving the system must meet the requirements of the Stormwater Regulations. For SMPs designed to manage smaller storms, the designer may choose to allow runoff from larger storms to bypass or quickly pass through a storage element. This is permitted as long as all applicable Stormwater Regulations, along with all SMP design requirements (Chapter 4), are met.

Flow Routing

Sheet Flow and Shallow Concentrated Flow

Sheet flow consists of shallow flow spread out over a plane. Eventually, this flow will generally concentrate into a deeper, narrower stream. While the prevalence of sheet flow in the natural environment is debated among design professionals, it does provide a reasonable mathematical basis for predicting travel time over short distances.
Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds (TR-55) provides a sheet flow equation based on Manning’s kinematic solution. Tables of roughness values for sheet flow are available in Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds and in Table 3.4-5 shown below.

PWD will only accept sheet flow for the first 100 feet. After sheet flow, overland flow is considered shallow concentrated flow. Shallow concentrated flow will be considered as flowing over paved or unpaved surface for the purpose of estimating velocity.

Another method for routing overland flow is the kinematic wave solution, which can be obtained by coupling the momentum and continuity equations with simplifying assumptions and it may be solved in a computer program using numerical methods.

**Table 3.4-5: Roughness Coefficients (Manning’s n) for Sheet Flow**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface Description</th>
<th>n¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roof Tops</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphalt</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare Soil</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparse Vegetation</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass: Short grass prairie, Lawn</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass: Dense grasses², Meadow (good condition)</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (natural)</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woods*: Light underbrush</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woods*: Dense underbrush</td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The n values are a composite of information compiled by Engman (1986) and Akan (1985).
² Areas where vegetation is spotty and consists of less than 50% vegetative cover.
³ Species such as weeping lovegrass, bluegrass, buffalo grass, blue grama grass, and native grass mixtures.
⁴ Consider cover to a height of 0.1 ft. This is part of the plan cover that will obstruct sheet flow.

**Channel Flow**

Channel flow equations may be used to estimate flows in free-flowing gutters and swales. Manning’s equation is sufficient for these estimates on many sites. Tables of roughness values are available in Civil Engineering Reference Manual (CERM) Appendix 19.A. For channels with significant backwater, culverts which may flow under pressure, or other complex features, the St. Venant equations may be needed. These equations represent the complete solution of the momentum and continuity equations in one dimension. These may require a computer program to solve.
Time of concentration paths must be shown from the hydraulically most distant point of each drainage area to a point of interest within the drainage area, and the path must be perpendicular to each area's contours. For reference, the post-development Tc will be less than or equal to the predevelopment Tc values, unless the site is specifically altered to increase this path. Total post-development Tc for any path must be no less than six minutes.

**Storage Routing**

For small storage elements where travel time within the element is insignificant, simple mass balance routing may be performed in a spreadsheet. At each time step, the change in storage volume is the difference between inflows and outflows. Inflows and outflows are a function of design and soil properties.

For larger or more complex structures, where the shape and size of the element have a significant effect on outflows, the Modified Puls (also called storage-indication) method provides more accurate routing.

**Table 3.4-6: Summary of Recommended Methods for Flow Routing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mathematical Mode</th>
<th>Appropriate For...</th>
<th>Hand/Spreadsheet Calculations</th>
<th>Example Computer Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overland Flow</td>
<td>Simplified Manning kinematic solution</td>
<td>Sheet flow path up to 100 feet</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>TR-55, TR-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shallow concentrated/ NRCS empirical curve</td>
<td>Overland flow longer than 100 feet</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>TR-55, TR-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kinematic wave</td>
<td>Larger or more complex sites</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>EPA SWMM, HEC-HMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Flow</td>
<td>Manning equation</td>
<td>Uniform flow without backwater</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>TR-55, TR-20, EPA SWMM, HEC-HMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Venant equations</td>
<td>Channels with storage, backwater</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>EPA SWMM, HEC-RAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage Routing</td>
<td>Simple mass balance</td>
<td>Small storage elements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>USACE STORM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Modified Puls/storage-indication</td>
<td>Large or irregularly shaped elements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>TR-55, TR-20, HEC-HMS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Design Tools**

**Hydrologic Computer Software Applications**

The empirical methods discussed above are most commonly applied using hydraulic and hydrologic software applications. Both public domain and proprietary programs are available. The designer is strongly encouraged to consider the assumptions and mathematical models underlying each computer program when choosing an appropriate tool to aid in design. PWD requires any stormwater model to use the minimum time step allowable by the implemented hydrologic software or a maximum of 0.01 hours.

Examples of computer programs available in the public domain are listed in Table 3.4-7.
Table 3.4-7: Acceptable Calculation Methods for Runoff Estimation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mathematical Method</th>
<th>Impervious Cover</th>
<th>Experience Modeling Soil Properties</th>
<th>Hand/Spreadsheet Calculations</th>
<th>Example Computer Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical Methods</td>
<td>NRCS Curve Number</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Moderate to High</td>
<td>Yes (smaller sites)</td>
<td>NRCS, TR-55, TR-20, HEC-HMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltration Loss</td>
<td>Constant Loss</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Moderate to High</td>
<td>Yes (smaller sites)</td>
<td>HEC-HMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Green-Ampt</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>EPA SWMM, HEC-HMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Horton</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>EPA SWMM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PWD Stormwater Plan Review Online Technical Worksheet**

The PWD Stormwater Plan Review Online Technical Worksheet is designed to standardize and summarize the results of design calculations. The completed worksheet is a required part of each PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package. In addition to the worksheet, the designer must also submit relevant data, field testing results, assumptions, hand calculations, and computer program results.

The PWD Stormwater Plan Review website also contains helpful guides and tutorials for completing the worksheet, among many other resources for the designer to use as the design and Submission Packages are prepared.

**PWD Stormwater Plan Review Design Guidance Checklists**

The PWD Stormwater Plan Review Design Guidance Checklists are a supplemental list of guidelines for Regulatory compliance, plan creation, hydrologic modeling and calculations, and the design of specific SMPs. They are provided to assist in the formation of both sound, compliant stormwater management designs and complete PCSMP submissions.

The designer should use the checklists as guidance during the design and calculation stages or as useful quality assurance/quality control checks prior to PCSMP Review Phase submission. They can be found in Appendix F.

**Standard Details**

Typical construction details for several SMPs, including all of PWD’s highest-preference SMPs, such as bioinfiltration/bioretention basins, porous pavement, and green roof, along with a subsurface infiltration (pipe in stone) basin detail, are available for download in AutoCAD (*.dwg) format at the PWD Stormwater Plan Review website. These Standard Details incorporate design specifications pursuant to each SMP’s respective design and material requirements. The designer is encouraged, not required, to use them for PCSMP creation when possible.

For bioinfiltration/bioretention basins, the minimum requirements set forth in the Standard Detail must be
used, along with the Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin Sizing Table, to ensure that bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs can be fully designed and approved for Water Quality compliance without knowledge of infiltration feasibility under a Surface Green Review. This allows for postponement of infiltration testing until construction of the development project. The designer is referred to Section 4.1 for more information on bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs and to Section 2.4 for more information on Expedited PCSMP Reviews. Additional PWD Resources can be found on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review website.
3.5 Integrated Stormwater Management Examples

The following hypothetical examples illustrate various components of an integrated site design and stormwater management planning process and how this process can be implemented for different types of land development projects:

3.5.1 Commercial Office Building Development

This example includes the following three-step process:

**Step 1**

Preserve existing mature trees and native vegetation as illustrated in Figure 3.5-1. Incorporate existing trees and native vegetation into the development plan.

**Figure 3.5-1: Commercial Office Building Development Example, Step 1**
Step 2

Minimize impervious area and look for opportunities to disconnect impervious areas as illustrated in Figure 3.5-2.

Figure 3.5-2: Commercial Office Building Development Example, Step 2

- Use landscaped areas to reduce impervious areas by at least 20% (from existing conditions) to be exempt from Channel Protection and Flood Control requirements. (See Section 3.2.3 for details on disconnections)
- Per zoning code requirements, 10% of new parking areas are to be vegetated. Use areas planned for landscaping features to disconnect adjacent impervious areas. (See Section 3.2.3 for details on disconnections)
- Consider one way drive aisles with angled parking to reduce impervious area and create larger landscape areas for disconnection. (See Section 3.2.2 for details on minimizing impervious cover and Section 3.2.3 for details on disconnections)
- Plant new trees to disconnect adjacent impervious areas. (See Section 3.2.3 for details on disconnections)
- Grade parking areas to landscape areas to disconnect additional impervious cover. (See Section 3.2.3 for details on disconnections)
- Consider porous pavement for parking spaces and where hardscape materials are necessary. (See Section 4.2 for details on porous pavement design)
Step 3

Use surface-vegetated stormwater management practices (SMPs) to manage runoff from a directly connected impervious area (DCIA) in order to meet the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Chapter 1) as illustrated in Figure 3.5-3.

Figure 3.5-3: Commercial Office Building Development Example, Step 3

Consider the use of a cistern to meet Water Quality requirement for roof runoff and provide on-site source of water for toilet flushing (See Section 4.5 for details on cistern design).

Use biofiltration/biotrination basin to meet Water Quality requirement for remaining surface level DCIA and provide rate control for Channel Protection and Flood Control requirements (See Section 4.3 for details on biofiltration/ biotrination basin design).

Create swales to convey stormwater from roof area to biofiltration/biotrination basins. (See Section 4.10 for details on pretreatment systems).

Per zoning code requirements, 10% of new parking areas are to be vegetated. Use areas planned for landscaping features to provide space for biofiltration/biotrination. (See Section 4.7 for details on biofiltration/ biotrination)
3.5.2 Residential Multi-Family Development

This example includes the same three-step process as described in the commercial office building development example and is illustrated in Figures 3.5-4, 3.5-5, and 3.5-6.

Step 1

Preserve existing mature trees and native vegetation as illustrated in Figure 3.5-4. Incorporate existing trees and native vegetation into the development plan.

Figure 3.5-4: Residential Multi-Family Development Example, Step 1

Preserve existing mature trees and incorporate into the development plan. (See Section 3.2.2 for details on non-structural design strategies)
Step 2

Minimize impervious area and look for opportunities to disconnect impervious areas as illustrated in Figure 3.5-5.

**Figure 3.5-5: Residential Multi-Family Development Example, Step 2**

Minimize impervious areas (building footprints, driveways, walkways) (See Section 3.2.2 for details on minimizing impervious cover)

Plant new trees to disconnect adjacent impervious areas. (See Section 3.2.3 for details on disconnections)

Use areas planned for landscaping features to disconnect adjacent impervious areas. (See Section 3.2.3 for details on disconnections)
Step 3

Use surface-vegetated SMPs to manage runoff from impervious areas in order to meet the Stormwater Regulations (Chapter 1) as illustrated in Figure 3.5-6.

**Figure 3.5-6: Residential Multi-Family Development Example, Step 3**

3.5.3 Full Build-Out

Non-structural options are limited for a full build-out scenario as the building footprint will cover the entire lot. For a full build-out project, the designer is encouraged to select green roof and cistern SMPs, as illustrated in Figure 3.5-7, before considering subsurface practices or to select a green roof/blue roof combination as illustrated in Figure 3.5-8. If the project is a redevelopment project, by making a percentage of the roof area a green roof, the applicant may be able to demonstrate a 20% reduction in impervious area and be eligible for an exemption from Flood Control and Channel Protection requirements. A blue roof on the remainder of the same roof can be used to meet the Water Quality requirement peak release rate.
If a green roof disconnects 95% of the post-development impervious area, then the project is eligible for Disconnection Green Review (see Section 4.3 for details on green roof design).

Non-potable water sources such as toilets.

While cisterns may not be necessary to meet Stormwater Regulations, they can be installed to reduce potable water charges and demand by reusing stormwater in non-potable water sources such as toilets. (see Section 4.5 for details on cistern design)
3.5.4 Trails

A trail is defined as a relatively narrow pathway (not a road) used for pedestrian, bicycle, or small vehicle travel on public or private property. For the purposes of complying with the Stormwater Regulations, PWD will determine whether a proposed project may be considered a trail.
Figure 3.5-9: Trail Example

Trails may be paved or unpaved, as illustrated in Figure 3.5-9. Pavements used to construct trails may be pervious or impervious. Examples of paved trails include off-road paths, greenway bike trails, and sidewalks. The trail designer is encouraged to first consider specifying pervious materials such as porous pavement, gravel, or mulch for proposed trail projects. If pervious materials are not feasible or desired, the designer should consider a disconnected impervious cover (DIC) design approach, using porous pavement, pavement disconnections, or tree disconnections. The designer is referred to Section 3.2.3 for more information on DIC options. As a last resort, the designer should consider using SMPs to manage stormwater runoff from proposed trail projects in order to meet the Stormwater Regulations.

By their nature, it may be difficult for trail projects to meet applicable Channel Protection and Flood Control requirements, so use of DIC should be sought before considering any SMP implementation.
3.5.5 Athletic Fields

Athletic fields are typically made of porous surface types (e.g., natural or synthetic turf) but may or may not include run-on from adjacent DCIA. The replacement of existing athletic fields with natural turf often does not require an SMP for management of stormwater. For fields that include impervious surfaces, such as new bleacher areas or team dugouts, the designer should first explore ways to disconnect these surfaces rather than proposing an SMP. Redevelopment projects that propose natural turf athletic fields are often eligible for an Expedited Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Review (Section 2.4). The designer should select natural turf options for field design when appropriate, as synthetic turf fields will typically require structural and maintenance-intensive management strategies.

When synthetic turf fields require maintenance, the turf surface or drainage components may need to be replaced. Replacement of the synthetic turf surface or repairs to the drainage system that do not expose the subbase will not be considered earth disturbance. Modifications to the drainage system may affect the turf system’s ability to qualify as DIC.

An example of a natural turf athletic field DIC is illustrated in Figure 3.5-10. For this example, the designer should grade adjacent DCIA so that it can be disconnected to the vegetated areas. If this is not feasible, the designer should consider designing the athletic field to include a subsurface storage component to demonstrate compliance with the Stormwater Regulations, which is illustrated in Figure 3.5-11.
Figure 3.5-10: Natural Turf Athletic Field Example, DIC

- Make flow path equal to or greater in length than the concrete bleacher pad and make slope of pervious area less than 5% to disconnect concrete pad area.

- Porous Surface (Synthetic Turf)

- Make flow path equal to or greater in length than the dugout rooftop and make slope of pervious area less than 5% to disconnect roof area.
There are three main approaches for designing synthetic turf fields to meet the Stormwater Regulations: (1) designing the field to function as DIC; (2) designing the field, or a portion of the field, to function as an SMP; or (3) designing the field to convey stormwater to a separate SMP for treatment. The guidance below focuses on options (1) and (2), the two methods that are aimed at managing stormwater within the field itself, and describe PWD’s design requirements that apply to each of these approaches. Although there are fundamental differences between the primary use, design, and construction of synthetic turf fields and porous pavement systems, due to similarities in stormwater management function, PWD considers synthetic turf fields to be similar to porous pavement. As such, PWD’s requirements for synthetic turf fields as stormwater management systems are similar to the requirements for porous pavement systems.

1. PWD will allow synthetic turf fields to be considered DIC if design of the field is in accordance with the design requirements of porous pavement DIC, as described in Section 4.2. If compaction of the subgrade is preferred for structural design purposes, infiltration testing must be performed during construction, after compaction of the subgrade, in accordance with Section 3.3. If infiltration is determined to not be feasible, the field area must be considered DCIA, treated as such when determining compliance with the Water Quality requirement, and modeled with a curve number of 98 in all required stormwater routing calculations. If an underdrain is proposed, the synthetic turf field will only be considered DIC if the first 1.5 inches of runoff can be stored below the lowest invert of the underdrain.
2. Any portion of a synthetic turf field that receives stormwater runoff from impervious areas, or is determined to not be feasible for infiltration, must be considered DCIA rather than DIC. In such a case, if any portion of the field system is designed to function as an SMP (as opposed to a conveyance system), that portion of the field must meet PWD’s requirements for subsurface basins. Infiltration testing is required, and, based on the feasibility of infiltration; the designer must adhere to the design requirements of either a subsurface infiltration system or subsurface detention system, which are detailed in Section 4.4 and Section 4.8, respectively.

3. Stormwater runoff from the field may be directed to a separate SMP for management. The designer is referred to Chapter 4 for guidance on, and requirements for, the design and implementation of a suite of acceptable SMPS.

3.5.6 Roof Runoff Isolation

Roof runoff isolation is the practice of segregating roof runoff from runoff exposed to any vehicular activity (e.g., roof-level parking deck) and from untreated surface ground-level runoff prior to discharging to the sewer. Roof runoff isolation is an acceptable non-infiltrating pollutant-removing practice, for use only within combined sewer areas. The designer can incorporate roof runoff isolation into site layout and design by providing dedicated stormwater conveyance piping from roof areas to SMPS designed to meet the combined sewer area slow release requirement of the Water Quality requirement. A blue roof (Section 4.6) can also be used as streamlined approach for both achieving roof runoff isolation as well as controlling the roof runoff to meet the Water Quality slow release requirement. Runoff from isolated roofs must not commingle with roof runoff exposed to vehicular activity or other untreated surface-level runoff until a point in the system after which such runoff has been treated by another pollutant-reducing practice. An example of an application of roof runoff isolation is illustrated in Figure 3.5-12. This example is also the same SMPS-in-series example described in Section 3.2.4.
3.5.7 Streets

When new Streets are proposed as part of a development project, whether public or private in designation, the impervious area must be managed to meet the Water Quality requirement. To meet Water Quality, the runoff from the Street must be directed to an on-site SMP. It is acceptable for the on-site SMP to manage both Street runoff and runoff from the development site. On-site SMPs will be owned and maintained by the property owner (Chapter 6).

Private Streets

New private streets can be managed in a similar manner to other private developments. Inlets, manholes, and conveyance piping will be owned and maintained by the property owner or their designee. In addition to the Water Quality requirement, the Channel Protection, Flood Control, and Public Health and Safety Release Rate requirements may also apply to the private street (Section 1.2.1). The designer should refer to Section 3.4.2 and Section 4.11 of this Manual for guidance on designing conveyance infrastructure.
Public Streets

New Public Streets are typically designed with two different stormwater conveyance systems. A green system is used to manage the Water Quality storm from all the impervious surfaces, and a traditional grey sewer system serves as an overflow for larger storm events. A green stormwater system typically consists of green inlets, which are placed in the street to convey runoff to a junction box located at the property line that is connected to the private SMP on the development site. A grey sewer system may be a single combined sewer or a separate stormwater-only sewer depending on the connecting sewer network.

The on-site SMP should be sized to account for 1.5 inches of runoff over the proposed Street drainage area, while any street conveyance systems should be sized to convey a 2.5 inches per hour precipitation intensity (the average peak 15-minute intensity from the 24-hour rain gage network in Philadelphia). This intensity translates to a 2.04-inch 24-hour storm event.

The designer should be mindful of the following important design considerations for conveyance of public street runoff:

- It is recommended that green inlets are located at a low point using a 6-foot dual catch basin that acts as a diversion structure, with a lateral connection back to the grey sewer to act as overflow. This inlet requires a trap over the lateral connecting back to the sewer. When using this configuration, it is usually not necessary to install separate grey inlets in the street.

- If inlets must be placed along the flow path and not at a low point, a Green Highway Grate Inlet should be installed upstream of grey inlets that have a similar flow path configuration.

- For connections to surface/bioretention systems, design should consist of a shallow inlet and pipe where possible. Where not feasible, a trench drain with concrete apron may be installed upstream of grey inlets.

- For street crossings, pipe material should consist of ductile iron with a minimum of two feet of cover. In general, minimum clearance for water mains less than 16-inch diameter is six inches.

- The pipe inverts at junction boxes should not be lower than four feet below grade to allow maintenance access.

In most cases, the green inlet, inlet lateral, junction box, and the grey sewer system will be designed to PWD standards, located in a right-of-way, and PWD will own and maintain these systems.

PWD’s Design Branch (Section 2.5) will be responsible for the review and approval of all infrastructure to be owned by PWD, facilitated concurrently with the PCSMP Review. PWD’s Construction Unit will inspect the proposed PWD infrastructure during construction to ensure installation to PWD standards. The designer
should contact PWD for the standard details and plan requirements for these conveyance features.
4 Stormwater Management Practice Guidance
Chapter 4, Stormwater Management Practice Guidance, provides detailed guidance to the designer regarding stormwater management practices (SMPs) as well as pretreatment, inlet control, and outlet control systems that support SMP functions.

**4.0 Introduction**

Before using this Chapter, the designer should first review the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations) outlined in Chapter 1 to assess what level of stormwater management a project will need to achieve compliance. It is also important for the designer to have made a preliminary determination of an appropriate Review Path for their project, which is covered in Chapter 2. Chapter 4 is only applicable for projects that fall under the Development Compliance Review Path (Section 2.2.1).

The designer should have arrived at Chapter 4 after confirming, through a review of Chapter 3, that the project cannot show compliance with the Stormwater Regulations solely through use of non-structural design strategies (Section 3.2.2) and disconnected impervious cover (DIC; Section 3.2.3) and that SMPs are required. Before designing SMPs using the detailed guidance in Chapter 4, the designer should follow the SMP Selection, Layout, and Design guidance in Section 3.2.4 and review the requirements for infiltration testing and soil assessment for SMP design in Section 3.3. The designer is also referred to Section 3.4 for detailed guidance on how to show compliance with the Stormwater Regulations, and to Section 3.5 for examples of stormwater management strategies that include SMPs. After the designer has selected the type(s) of SMP(s) needed on the project site, the designer can then refer to individual sections within Chapter 4 as needed to develop detailed designs of SMP(s) that comply with PWD requirements and standards.

**4.0.1 How to Use This Chapter**

**4.0.2 Chapter Organization**

The SMPs in this Chapter are presented in order of PWD preference according to the SMP Hierarchy (see Table 3.2-4 in Section 3.2.4). Several SMPs in this Chapter are on PWD’s list of acceptable non-infiltrating
pollutant-reducing practices (Table 3.2-2 in Section 3.2.4).

This chapter consists of guidance and requirements for the following SMPs:

- Section 4.1 – Bioinfiltration/Bioretention
- Section 4.2 – Porous Pavement
- Section 4.3 – Green Roofs
- Section 4.4 – Subsurface Infiltration
- Section 4.5 – Cisterns
- Section 4.6 – Blue Roofs
- Section 4.7 – Ponds and Wet Basins
- Section 4.8 – Subsurface Detention
- Section 4.9 – Media Filters

Additionally, this chapter contains guidance and requirements for the following types of systems that support SMP function:

- Section 4.10 – Pretreatment
- Section 4.11 – Inlet Controls
- Section 4.12 – Outlet Controls

Each SMP section in this chapter is organized into subsections that contain the following information:

- **Introduction** – Introduces the SMP; gives examples of when the SMP can be used; and describes key advantages, limitations, and design considerations.
- **Components** – Describes the typical SMP pretreatment, inlet control, storage area, outlet control, and inspection and maintenance access components, as applicable.
- **Design Standards** – Lists all SMP design requirements, denoted by easy-to-reference numerals.
- **Material Standards** – List all SMP material specifications and requirements, denoted by easy-to-reference numerals.
- **Construction Guidance** – Provides guidance related to SMP construction.
- **Maintenance Guidance** – Provides guidance on SMP maintenance activities and frequencies, including
a recommended SMP maintenance schedule.

4.0.3 Design Innovation

SMPs contained in this Chapter are by no means exclusive. PWD encourages the development of innovative practices that meet the intent of the Stormwater Regulations. PWD recognizes that new stormwater management systems and products are being developed continuously and is in support of innovative approaches to management. The designer is encouraged to request a pre-application meeting with PWD Stormwater Plan Review early in the approval process to discuss PWD's standard SMP design requirements or if the designer wishes to use new or non-standardized technologies to meet the Stormwater Regulations.
4.1 Bioinfiltration/Bioretention

Bioinfiltration/Bioretention SMP One-Sheet - download a summary of this tool, with quick reference facts for clients and developers.
Bioinfiltration and bioretention SMPs, or rain gardens, are vegetated depressions or basins that use surface storage, vegetation, planting soil, outlet controls, and other components to treat, detain, and retain stormwater runoff. These SMPs provide high-performance and cost-effective stormwater management, green space, and triple bottom line benefits. Both SMPs reduce stormwater volume and pollution by filtering runoff through a vegetated soil medium that promotes evapotranspiration. Bioinfiltration SMPs remove stormwater via infiltration into surrounding soils while bioretention SMPs attenuate runoff with flow-regulating underdrains. These SMPs can be found in a variety of configurations from relatively large and open vegetated basins to small-scale SMPs contained within flow-through planter boxes.

**Key Advantages**
- Flexible layout and easy to incorporate in landscaped areas
- Very effective at removing pollutants and reducing runoff volumes
- Generally one of the more cost-effective stormwater management options
- Relatively low maintenance activities costs
- Can contribute to better air quality and help reduce urban heat island impacts
- Can improve property values and site aesthetics through attractive landscaping
- Eligible for inclusion in an Expedited PCSMP Review project

**Key Limitations**
- May need to be combined with other SMPs to meet the Flood Control requirement
- May have limited opportunities for implementation due to the amount of open space available at the site

### DEVELOPMENT ATTRIBUTES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction Costs</th>
<th>LOW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operations &amp; Maintenance Costs</td>
<td>MODERATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likeliness of Failure</td>
<td>LOW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Ground-Level Encroachment | HIGH |
| Building Footprint Encroachment | MODERATE |
| Triple Bottom Line Benefits | HIGH |

### COMPLIANCE ATTRIBUTES

| Water Quality Effluent Pollutant Load: Bioinfiltration | LOW |
| Water Quality Effluent Pollutant Load: Bioretention | MODERATE |
| Water Quality Infiltration & Volume Reduction: Bioinfiltration | HIGH |
| Water Quality Infiltration & Volume Reduction: Bioretention | MODERATE |
| Water Quality Evapotranspiration: Bioinfiltration | MODERATE |
| Water Quality Rate Control | MODERATE |
| Channel Protection/ Flood Control/ PHS Rate Control | YES |

A description of each evaluated attribute can be found in the SMP Hierarchy Ranking Criteria in Section 3.2.4.
4.1.1 Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Introduction

Bioinfiltration and bioretention stormwater management practices (SMPs), often referred to as rain gardens, are vegetated depressions or basins that use surface storage, vegetation, planting soil, outlet controls, and other components to treat, detain, and retain stormwater runoff. Bioinfiltration and bioretention SMPs represent the highest level of preference in the Philadelphia Water Department's (PWD's) SMP Hierarchy by providing high-performance and cost-effective stormwater management, green space, and triple bottom line benefits. Both types of SMPs reduce stormwater volume and pollution by filtering runoff through a vegetated soil medium that promotes evapotranspiration. Bioinfiltration SMPs remove stormwater via infiltration into the surrounding soils while bioretention SMPs attenuate runoff with flow-regulating underdrains.

Bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs can be found in a variety of configurations from relatively large and open vegetated basins to small-scale SMPs contained within flow-through planter boxes. These SMPs can be combined with other SMPs in series to meet the PWD Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations). The designer is referred to Section 3.2.4 for information on using SMPs in series.

Because bioinfiltration and bioretention SMPs are ranked highest in the SMP Hierarchy (Table 3.2-4), special design guidance is provided in this Section to promote their use. This design guidance, making use of minimum requirements set forth in the Standard Detail (Figure 4.1-4) and the Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin Sizing Table (Table 4.1-4), ensures that bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs can be fully designed and approved for Water Quality compliance without knowledge of infiltration feasibility. Therefore, postponement of infiltration testing until construction of the development project is permitted; the results of the infiltration testing will dictate whether or not an underdrain cap should be equipped with an orifice. Additionally, development projects incorporating only disconnected impervious cover and bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs are eligible for a Surface Green Review. The designer is referred to Section 2.4 for details on the Expedited Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Review submission process.
When Can Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Be Used?

Bioinfiltration SMPs must have underlying soils that, when tested pursuant to the infiltration testing procedure described in Section 3.3, are determined to be infiltration-feasible. Where infiltration is not feasible, bioretention SMPs can be used by converting capped underdrains to flow-regulating underdrains to comply with the Water Quality requirement. The designer is referred to Section 3.3 for guidance on infiltration testing.

Bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs can be used to manage stormwater on small and large sites. For example, bioinfiltration/bioretention may be integrated into smaller sites using flow-through planter boxes or integrated into larger sites by using multiple bioinfiltration/bioretention basins throughout the project area.

Bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs are also suitable for many types and sizes of development, from single-family residential to high-density commercial projects, and are viewed as an integral part of a development’s landscape design during site layout, doubling as both a landscape amenity and stormwater management feature.

At commercial, industrial, and institutional sites, areas for stormwater management and green space are often limited. At these sites, bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs serve multiple purposes of stormwater management and landscaping by managing runoff from impervious site areas such as parking lots, sidewalks, and rooftops.
A parking lot is an ideal location for bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs. These SMPs can be incorporated as an island, median, or along the perimeter of the parking area. Bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs can enhance the aesthetics of a parking lot while managing stormwater from the site.

**Key Advantages of Bioinfiltration/Bioretention**

- Flexible layout and easy to incorporate in landscaped areas
- Very effective at removing pollutants and reducing runoff volumes
- Generally one of the more cost-effective stormwater management options
- Relatively low maintenance activities costs
- Can contribute to better air quality and help reduce urban heat island impacts
- Can improve property values and site aesthetics through attractive landscaping
- Can provide educational benefits, especially when used at public and/or highly visible sites such as schools, recreation centers, libraries, etc.
- Eligible for inclusion in an Expedited PCSMP Review project

**Key Limitations of Bioinfiltration/Bioretention**

- May need to be combined with other SMPs to meet the Flood Control requirement
- May have limited opportunities for implementation based on the amount of open space available at the site

**Key Design Considerations for Bioinfiltration/Bioretention**

- Bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs should be considered as an alternative before designing subsurface infiltration and detention SMPs. Bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs are preferred for a number of reasons, including installation and maintenance cost, ease of maintenance, habitat creation, nutrient cycling, and aesthetics.

- The pretreatment approach should be matched to site characteristics. Bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs rely on flow through soil media to provide Water Quality treatment. Media layers can become clogged, particularly when runoff has high quantities of sediment. To avoid this, SMPs managing runoff from surfaces that generate high sediment loads should have adequate pretreatment to remove dirt and grit before they reach the bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP.

- The SMPs should be viewed as landscape features. Viewing bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs as an integral part of a site’s landscape design can help identify key implementation locations.
Bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs can double as landscape features on many sites, providing landscape amenities and stormwater management in the same location.

- Non-basin designs can be used for small spaces. Integrating bioinfiltration/bioretention into flow-through planter boxes or tree pits can be an effective way of incorporating bioinfiltration/bioretention functions into spatially constrained sites.

- Safety issues relating to ponding depth should be carefully considered, particularly for sites where small children will be proximate to the installation.

- Balancing cut and fill can reduce costs. A berm placed on the downslope side of a mild slope can help retain stormwater and increase capacity without additional excavation.

- For constrained sites, using additional subsurface stone to meet storage volume needs should be considered.

- Areas of soil contamination should be avoided; however, in some cases, an impervious liner may be appropriate for separating bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs from these underlying conditions.

- A diverse planting palette should be used. A diverse community of native plants is recommended to minimize susceptibility to insect and disease infestation and reduce long-term maintenance requirements. A mixture of groundcover, grasses, shrubs, and trees is generally recommended to create a microclimate that can ameliorate urban stresses, discourage weed growth, and reduce maintenance needs.

- The designer should choose low maintenance plants that minimize the need for mowing, pruning, and irrigation.

- The characteristics of the soil for the bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP are perhaps as important as the facility location, size, and treatment volume. The soil must be permeable enough to allow runoff to filter through the media, while having characteristics suitable to promote and sustain a robust vegetative cover crop. In addition, much of the nutrient pollutant uptake (nitrogen and phosphorus) is accomplished through adsorption and microbial activity within the soil profile. Therefore, the soils must balance soil chemistry and physical properties to support biotic communities above and below ground.

- Smart plant selection for the site should be a focus. It is critical that plant materials are appropriate for soil, hydrologic, light, and other site conditions. The designer is referred to the list of native species in Appendix I. Ponding depth, drain down time, sunlight, salt tolerance, and other conditions should be taken into consideration when selecting plants. Turf grass is generally not recommended but may be acceptable provided the designer can demonstrate that it meets all applicable requirements.

- Flow-through planter box planting requires that plants be supplied with nutrients that they would otherwise receive from being part of an ecosystem. Since they are cut off from these processes, they must be cared for accordingly.
- Groundcover plantings and wildflower plugs should be planted on eight- to ten-inch centers with triangular spacing.
- Mulch for a bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP should have a minimum depth of two inches.

4.1.2 Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Components

Figure 4.1-1: Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin with Typical Features

Pretreatment Component

Pretreatment systems capture trash, sediment, and/or other pollutants from stormwater runoff before delivery to the storage or infiltration area. Pretreatment needs will vary significantly depending on the contributing drainage area composition and use. Pretreatment can include structures such as sumped and trapped inlets, sediment/grit chambers or separators, media filters, inlet inserts, or other appropriate prefabricated or proprietary designs to remove sediment, floatables, and/or hydrocarbons from stormwater runoff prior to being conveyed to a bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP.

Pretreatment can also consist of filter strips, forebays, and swales. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for more information on pretreatment systems.

Inlet Control Component

Inlet control systems convey and control the flow of stormwater from the contributing catchment area to a bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP. Inlet control needs will vary depending on the design of stormwater
conveyance systems and the site layout. The designer is referred to Section 3.4.2 for guidance on stormwater conveyance system design.

Inlet controls may include flow splitters, curbless design/curb openings, energy dissipaters, and inlets. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for more information on inlet controls.

**Storage Area Component**

Storage areas within bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs temporarily hold stormwater runoff until it can either infiltrate into native soils, evaporate, be used by plants through transpiration, or be released downstream at a controlled rate, depending on the SMP design. Bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs can include both surface and subsurface storage areas.

*Surface storage* is typically provided by excavating an area to create a depression. Surface storage for bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs can also be created using curbing or concrete structures such as flow-through planter boxes. It provides temporary storage of stormwater runoff before infiltration, evaporation, and uptake can occur within the bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP. Ponding time provides Water Quality benefits by allowing larger debris and sediment to settle out of the water. Maximum surface ponding depth requirements are provided in order to reduce hydraulic loading on underlying soils, ensure adequate drain down time, and prevent standing water.
Beneath surface storage areas, prepared planting soil medium provides subsurface storage capacity. This storage capacity is a function of the soil depth, surface area, and void space. The planting soil medium serves as the primary Water Quality treatment mechanism of a bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP, filtering runoff before it reaches the native soil (for bioinfiltration SMPs) or before it reaches the downstream discharge point (for bioretention SMPs).

Many bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs include an additional subsurface storage component, typically constructed of a stone-filled, level-bottomed bed or trench. The void spaces between the stones store stormwater until it can infiltrate into the surrounding soils or be released downstream.

A mulch or organic layer, atop the planting soil medium, provides a medium for biological growth, decomposition of organic material, and adsorption of pollutants such as heavy metals. The mulch layer can also absorb some water during storms and help the planting soil retain water for plant growth during dry
Vegetation Component

Plant material in a bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP removes nutrients and stormwater pollutants through vegetative uptake and microbial community support, removes water through evapotranspiration, creates pathways for infiltration (in bioinfiltration SMPs) through root development and plant growth, improves aesthetics, provides habitat, and helps to stabilize soil.

The proper selection and installation of plant materials is critical to a successful bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP. There are essentially six zones within a bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP (Figure 4.1-3). The lowest elevation supports plant species adapted to standing and fluctuating water levels. The middle elevation supports a slightly drier group of plants, but still tolerates fluctuating water levels. The outer edge is the highest elevation and generally supports plants adapted to drier conditions. However, plants in all of the zones should be drought tolerant. Plants should also have high salt tolerance if the bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP receives runoff from ground level impervious surfaces.

Figure 4.1-3: Hydrologic Zones of a Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin
The lowest zone (hydrologic zones 2-4) contains plant species adapted to standing and fluctuating water levels and frequent inundation. Frequently used native plants include the following species. The designer is referred to Appendix I for a complete listing.

Table 4.1-1: Frequently Used Native Plants for Hydrologic Zones 2-4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequently Used Native Plants for Hydrologic Zones 2-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>asters (Aster spp.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goldenrods (Solidago spp.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bergamot (Monarda fistulosa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blue-flag iris (Iris versicolor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sedges (Carex spp.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ironweed (Vernonia noveboracensis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blue vervain (Verbena hastata)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>joe-pye weed (Eupatorium spp.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>swamp milkweed (Asclepias incarnata)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>switchgrass (Panicum virgatum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub dogwoods (Cornus spp.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>swamp rose (Rosa palustris)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The middle zone (hydrologic zones 4-5) is slightly drier than the lowest zone, but plants should still tolerate fluctuating water levels. Some commonly planted native species include the following species. The designer is referred to Appendix I for a complete listing.
### Table 4.1-2: Frequently Used Native Plants for Hydrologic Zones 4-5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequently Used Native Plants for Hydrologic Zones 4-5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>black snakeroot (<em>Cimicifuga racemosa</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>switchgrass (<em>Panicum virgatum</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spotted joe-pye weed (<em>Eupatorium maculatum</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cutleaf coneflower (<em>Rudbeckia lacinata</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frosted hawthorn (<em>Crataegus prunifolia</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>marginal wood fern (<em>Dryopteris marginalis</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ironwood (<em>Carpinus caroliniana</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>serviceberry (<em>Amelanchier canadensis</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>obedient plant (<em>Physostegia virginiana</em>)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The outer zone (hydrologic zones 5-6) generally supports plants adapted to drier conditions. Examples of commonly planted native species include the following species. The designer is referred to Appendix I for a complete listing.

### Table 4.1-3: Frequently Used Native Plants for Hydrologic Zones 5-6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequently Used Native Plants for Hydrologic Zones 5-6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>many grasses and wildflowers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>basswood (<em>Tilia americana</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>white oak (<em>Quercus alba</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scarlet oak (<em>Quercus coccinea</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>black oak (<em>Quercus velutina</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>american beech (<em>Fagus grandifolia</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>black chokeberry (<em>Aronia melanocarpa</em>)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Outlet Control Component

Outlet controls within a bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP can provide a range of functions, including the following:

- Controlling how much water is stored for infiltration (for bioinfiltration SMPs);
- Meeting drain down time requirements;
- Controlling the rate of discharge from the SMP and limiting water surface elevations during various storm events; and
- Bypassing of flows from large storm events.

Outlet controls may include orifices, weirs, risers, or underdrains. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for more information on outlet controls.

Inspection and Maintenance Access Component

Safe and easy inspection and maintenance access to all major components within a bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP is critical to ensuring long-term performance. Cleanouts provide a means to maintain any installed underdrains. Mildly sloping, stabilized, and graded areas also provide access to surface storage areas for heavy equipment, which may be needed for sediment removal.

4.1.3 Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Design Standards

The designer is encouraged to design bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs meeting the minimum requirements set forth in the Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin Standard Detail (Figure 4.1-4) in conjunction with the Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin Sizing Table (Table 4.1-4). Basins sized as such provide Water Quality compliance in all sewersheds and regardless of infiltration feasibility, with only minor modification necessary to the capped underdrain during construction. As a result, bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs can be designed without knowledge of infiltration feasibility.
Assuming a directly disconnected impervious area (DCIA) to SMP footprint loading ratio less than or equal to 16:1, the Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin Sizing Table (Table 4.1-4) provides an orifice diameter based on the DCIA drainage area being treated by the basin. The designer may use this table to determine the orifice diameter required for Water Quality compliance where infiltration is infeasible, for DCIA drainage areas less than one acre.

**Table 4.1-4: Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Sizing Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier</th>
<th>DCIA Drainage Area Range (square feet)</th>
<th>Orifice Diameter (inches)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0 - 17,000</td>
<td>½</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>17,000 - 24,000</td>
<td>¾</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>24,000 - 33,000</td>
<td>¾</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>33,000 - 43,560</td>
<td>¾</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. DCIA to SMP footprint loading ratio must not exceed 16:1.
2. For DCIA drainage areas greater than 1 acre, the designer must design the SMP to meet all applicable PWD Stormwater Regulations.

Basins designed to meet the minimum requirements of the Standard Detail (Figure 4.1-4) and the Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin Sizing Table (Table 4.1-4) inherently meet key design requirements: static storage of the Water Quality Volume (WQv) (when infiltration is feasible), Water Quality release rate requirements (when infiltration is infeasible in the combined sewer area), appropriate maximum ponding depths, and drain down within 72 hours. Accordingly, calculations confirming these design requirements have been met do not need to be submitted when designing bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs for Water Quality compliance per these recommendations. Design modifications may be necessary when the Flood Control, Channel Protection, and/or Public Health and Safety requirements apply.

**General Design Standards**

1. The maximum allowable drain down time is 72 hours.
2. The maximum allowable DCIA to SMP footprint loading ratio is 16:1.
3. Positive overflow must be provided for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year storm. Overflow structures and pipes must be designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm.
4. The minimum allowable distance between infiltration SMPs and any adjacent private property line is ten feet. It is acceptable for SMPs to be located directly adjacent to the public right-of-way (ROW).
5. The minimum allowable distance between infiltration SMPs and any building foundation is ten feet.

6. Infiltration Requirements:
   a. The designer is referred to Section 3.3 for information on infiltration testing requirements.
   b. The SMP must be located at least two feet above any poorly infiltrating soils, seasonal high groundwater table, bedrock, or other limiting zone.
   c. For hydrologic modeling, infiltration must be applied to the horizontal surface area (SMP footprint), not the wetted area. If necessary, for the purpose of meeting the Water Quality requirement, infiltration can be assumed through the horizontal projection of the wetted area up to the WQv water surface elevation.
   d. Soils underlying infiltration practices must, when tested pursuant to the infiltration testing procedure described in Section 3.3, be determined to be infiltration feasible.
   e. Soils with rates in excess of ten inches per hour require soil amendments. During construction, upon achieving final subgrade elevations, a two-foot thick layer of amended soil must be placed across the entire cross-section of the infiltrating SMP, below the bottom elevation of the SMP, and a minimum of three infiltration tests must be performed within the amended soil layer. If soil amendments are installed and the tested infiltration rate is determined to be outside of the PWD-allowable range or varies significantly from the design infiltration rate, additional soil amendments and/or an SMP redesign will be required. The designer is referred to Section 3.3 for additional detail.

Pretreatment Design Standards

7. Acceptable form(s) of pretreatment must be incorporated into design. Pretreatment of runoff from all inlets is required. At a minimum, this can be achieved through the use of sumps and traps for inlets, sump boxes with traps downstream of trench drains, and filter strips for overland flow.

8. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for more information on design standards for pretreatment systems.

Inlet Control Design Standards

9. To prevent erosion, energy dissipaters, such as riprap stone, must be placed at all locations of concentrated inflow. Riprap aprons must be designed, and stone sizing must be determined, in accordance with the riprap apron design procedures in the latest edition of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual.

10. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for more information on design standards for inlet control systems.
11. The storage area for a bioinfiltration SMP must provide static storage for the WQv between the bottom elevation of the SMP and the elevation of the lowest outlet, including the planting soil medium and stone storage void space. The minimum allowable ponding depth below the lowest outlet device is three inches. Bioinfiltration basins may also be sized per the Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin Sizing Table (Table 4.1-4) to ensure that storage requirements are achieved.

12. The storage area for a bioretention SMP must provide adequate storage to control release rates to meet all applicable Stormwater Regulations. Void space in the soil and/or stone layers beneath the bioretention area surface may be considered part of the available volume of the SMP. Bioretention basins may also be sized per the Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin Sizing Table (Table 4.1-4) to ensure that storage and Water Quality release rate requirements are achieved.

13. The maximum allowable static storage volume without supporting documentation (defined below) is the runoff volume from the one-year, 24-hour storm.

14. The maximum allowable static storage volume with supporting documentation is the runoff volume from the ten-year, 24-hour storm. Requirements for supporting documentation include a letter, signed and sealed by both the geotechnical and design engineer, indicating that the proposed design is recommended, with the following components acknowledged and considered. The designer is encouraged to contact PWD for further guidance when pursuing this design.
   a. A summary of the long-term impacts to the neighboring properties, including, but not limited to, subsidence, change in basement moisture/water, and structural damage;
   b. The location of the groundwater table;
   c. References to other projects that have successfully infiltrated more than the one-year, 24-hour storm event; and
   d. Rigorous pre-treatment to promote longevity of the infiltration SMP.

15. When SMPs are used in series, the storage areas for all SMPs must provide cumulative static storage for the WQv, but there is no minimum storage requirement for each individual SMP used in series.

16. Bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs can be designed with additional storage beyond the WQv and with outlet controls that allow all remaining applicable Stormwater Regulations to be met.

17. Maximum side slopes for surface storage areas areas are as follows:
   a. All - 2(H):1(V) (The recommended side slope is 3(H):1(V))
   b. Mowed - 4(H):1(V) to avoid "scalping" by mower blades

18. Porosity values for storage volume calculations are as follows:
a. Soil media: 0.20
b. Sand: 0.30
c. Stone: 0.40

19. Stone must be separated from soil media by a geotextile or a pea gravel filter to prevent sand, silt, and sediment from entering the SMP.

20. Stone storage systems for bioinfiltration SMPs must have a level bottom or use a terraced system if installed along a slope.

21. The planting soil medium must have a minimum depth of two feet.

**Vegetation Design Standards**

22. Care must be taken to ensure that the ponding area depth is appropriate for the size and species of the plants selected.

23. Plants that are appropriate for the site conditions must be chosen. The designer is referred to Appendix I for plant lists.

**Outlet Control Design Standards**

24. Impervious liners should be avoided, but they may be necessary in areas where the threats of spills and/or groundwater contamination are likely. They must not be interrupted by structures within the basin footprint. Impervious liners must be continuous and extend completely up the sides of any structures that are located within the lined basin footprint to the ground surface. If additional liner material must be added to extend up the structures, the additional liner sections must be joined to the rest of the liner with an impervious seam per the manufacturers’ recommendation.

25. Underdrains must be provided for all bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs and must meet the following requirements:
   
a. Underdrains must be surrounded by a sand or stone layer to filter sediment and facilitate drainage.

   b. The minimum allowable depth of a sand or stone filter layer above and beneath the underdrain is six inches.

   c. Underdrains must be surrounded by a geotextile fabric, if sand is used

   d. Underdrains for bioinfiltration basins must remain capped to facilitate infiltration into native soils.

   e. For bioretention SMPs located in the combined sewer area where infiltration is infeasible, underdrains must be capped with an appropriately sized orifice to control release rates to meet all...
applicable Stormwater Regulations. Orifice diameter for flow-regulating underdrains may be determined based on the Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin Sizing Table (Table 4.1-4) for basins meeting the minimum requirements of the Standard Detail (Figure 4.1-4).

f. For bioretention SMPs located in the separate sewer area, where infiltration is infeasible, flow through the underdrain may be modeled as exfiltration at a rate of two inches per hour over the basin footprint. This exfiltration flow must be routed through the primary outlet of the bioretention area, not discarded from the stormwater model.

26. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on design standards for outlet control systems.

**Inspection and Maintenance Acces Design Standards**

27. Cleanouts, manholes, access panels and other access features must be provided to allow unobstructed and safe access to SMPs for routine maintenance and inspection of inflow, outflow, underdrains, and storage systems.

*Figure 4.1-4: Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin Standard Detail*

(Download CAD File)
4.1.4 Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Material Standards

Pretreatment Material Standards

1. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for information on materials standards for pretreatment systems.
Inlet Control Material Standards

2. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on material standards for inlet control systems.

Storage Area Material Standards

3. Stone designed for stormwater storage must be uniformly graded, crushed, clean-washed stone. PWD defines "clean-washed" as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) T-11 wash loss test. AASHTO No. 3 and No. 57 stone can meet this specification.

4. Sand, if used, must be AASHTO M-6 or American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) C-33 sand and must have a grain size of 0.02 to 0.04 inches.

5. Planting Soil Medium
   a. The characteristics of the soil for the bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP are perhaps as important as the facility location, size, and treatment volume. The soil must be permeable enough to allow runoff to filter through the media, while having characteristics suitable to promote and sustain a robust vegetative cover crop. In addition, much of the nutrient pollutant uptake (nitrogen and phosphorus) is accomplished through adsorption and microbial activity within the soil profile. Therefore, the soils must balance soil chemistry and physical properties to support biotic communities above and below ground.

   b. Planting soil should meet all the specifications listed below and should be a fertile, natural soil, free from large stones, roots, sticks, clods, plants, peat, sod, pockets of coarse sand, pavement and building debris, glass, noxious weeds including invasive species, infestations of undesirable organisms and disease causing pathogens, and other extraneous materials harmful to plant growth.

   c. The texture of planting soil should conform to the classification within the United States Department of Agriculture triangle for Sandy Loam or Loamy Sand. Planting soil should be a mixture of sand, silt, and clay particles as required to meet the classification. Ranges of particle size distribution, as determined by pipette method in compliance with ASTM F-1632, are as follows:

      i. Sand (0.05 to 2.0 mm): 50 - 85%

      ii. Silt (0.002 to 0.05mm): 40% maximum

      iii. Clay (less than 0.002mm): 10% maximum

      iv. Gravel (2.0 to 12.7 mm): 15% maximum
d. Planting soil should be screened and free of stones larger than a half-inch (12.7 millimeters) in any dimension. No more than 10% of the soil volume should be composed of soil peds greater than one inch.

e. Clods, or natural clumps of soils, greater than three inches in any dimension should be absent from the planting soil. Small clods ranging from one to three inches and peds, natural soil clumps under one inch in any dimension, may be present but should not make up more than 10% of the soil by volume.

f. The pH of the planting soil should have a range of 5.8 to 7.1.

g. Soluble salts should be less than 2.0 mmhos/cm (dS/m), typically as measured by 1:2 soil-water ratio basic soil salinity testing. Sodic soils (Exchangeable Sodium Percentage greater than 15 and/or Sodium Adsorption Ratio greater than 13) are not acceptable for use regardless of amendment.

h. Organic content of planting soil should have a range of 3% to 15% by weight, as determined by loss on ignition (ASTM D2974). To adjust organic content, planting soil may be amended, prior to placing and final grading, with the addition of organic compost.

6. Mulch must be free of weeds and must consist of aged, double-shredded hardwood bark mulch or leaf mulch that has been shredded sufficiently to limit risk of matting, which can limit surface infiltration rates.

7. Geotextile must consist of polypropylene fibers and meet the following specifications (AASHTO Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended):
   a. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): ≥ 120 lbs
   b. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): ≥ 225 psi
   c. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): ≥ 95 gal/min/ft²
   d. UV Resistance after 500 hours (ASTM-D4355): ≥ 70%
   e. Heat-set or heat-calendared fabrics are not permitted

**Vegetation Material Standards**

8. Trees and shrubs must be freshly dug and grown in accordance with good nursery practice.

9. Perennials, grass-like plants, and groundcover plants must be healthy, well-rooted specimens.

10. A native grass/wildflower seed mix can be used as an alternative to groundcover planting. Seed mix must be free of weed seeds.
11. Use of invasive plants is not permitted. All plants and trees must be appropriate and compatible with soil, hydrologic, light, and other site conditions. The designer is referred to Appendix I for plant lists.

**Outlet Control Material Standards**

12. Underdrains must be made of continuously perforated high-density polyethylene (HDPE) plastic piping with a smooth interior and a minimum inner diameter of four inches. HDPE pipe must meet the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S.

13. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for more information on material standards for outlet control systems.

**Inspection and Maintenance Access Material Standards**

14. Cleanouts must be made of rigid material with a smooth interior having a minimum inner diameter of four inches.

---

**4.1.5 Bioinfiltration/Bioretenion Construction Guidance**

Careful consideration of issues like soil compaction, infiltration performance, and sediment control are critical to ensure proper bioinfiltration/bioresetention functionality and reduce long-term maintenance needs. Poor oversight of construction activities could result in the need for substantial reconstruction to address performance problems.

1. Provide erosion and sedimentation control protection on the site such that construction runoff is directed away from the proposed bioinfiltration/bioretenion location. The designer is referred to the latest edition of the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual for information on design standards for erosion and sedimentation control practices.

2. Bioinfiltration areas must be physically marked prior to any land-disturbing activities to avoid soil disturbance and compaction during construction. Install construction fencing around bioinfiltration areas.

3. Proposed bioretention areas may be used as sediment traps during construction. Bioinfiltration areas may not be used as sediment traps during construction, unless at least two feet of soil are left in place while the area is serving as a sediment trap and subsequently removed during construction after the contributing drainage areas have been stabilized.

4. Complete site elevation grading and stabilize the soil disturbed within the limits of disturbance. Do not finalize bioinfiltration/bioretenion excavation and construction until the drainage area is fully stabilized.

5. Excavate bioinfiltration/bioretenion area to proposed invert depth and manually scarify the in situ soils
at the base of the excavation. Do not compact in situ soils. Heavy equipment must not be used within
the bioinfiltration area. All equipment must be kept out of the excavated area to the maximum extent
possible. The use of machinery to load any proposed stone from outside of the basin footprint is
recommended. Rock construction entrances must not be located on top of areas proposed for
infiltration practices. Heavy equipment exclusion zones must be established to avoid compaction of the
infiltration area during construction.

6. Perform infiltration testing (if testing was deferred until construction). The designer is referred to
   Section 3.3 for guidance on infiltration testing procedures.

7. For bioinfiltration SMPs, where infiltration is feasible, ensure underdrains are equipped with a water-
   tight end cap within the outlet control structure.

8. For bioretention basins, where infiltration is infeasible, convert the underdrain to a flow-regulating
   underdrain by drilling an appropriately-sized orifice in the center of a water-tight underdrain cap center
   within the outlet control structure.

9. Any stone within the infiltration SMP must remain free of sediment and meet the washed stone
   specification found above. If sediment enters the stone, the contractor may be required to remove the
   sediment and replace with clean washed stone.

10. Place filter fabric or pea gravel filter, then place the stone, and set the underdrain according to the
   plans.

11. Backfill the excavated area as soon as the subgrade preparation is complete to avoid accumulation of
    debris. Place bioinfiltration/bioretention soil in 12- to 18-inch lifts, and tamp lightly by hand or compact
    by watering each lift. Ensure backfill process does not disrupt pipe placement and configuration. Slight
    overfilling might be necessary to account for settlement. Presoak the soil at least one day prior to final
    grading and landscaping to allow for settlement.

12. After allowing for settlement, complete final grading within about two inches of the proposed design
    elevations, leaving space for top dressing of mulch or mulch/compost blend.

13. Seed and plant vegetation as indicated on the plans and specifications.

14. Place mulch and hand grade to final elevations.

15. Install energy dissipaters as specified on the plans, if applicable.

16. Water vegetation at the end of each day for two weeks after planting is completed.

17. Water vegetation regularly during first year to ensure successful establishment.
4.1.6 Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Maintenance Guidance

Bioinfiltration/bioretention maintenance activities focus largely on maintaining infiltration capacity and the health of vegetation. During periods of extended drought, bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs may require watering approximately every ten days.

General recommended maintenance activities for bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs are summarized in Table 4.1-5 below.
### Table 4.1-5: Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Maintenance Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water vegetation at the end of each day for two weeks after planting is completed.</td>
<td>Daily for two weeks after installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water vegetation regularly to ensure successful establishment.</td>
<td>Every four days during periods of four or more days without rain, June through August for the first year after installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect vegetation for signs of disease or distress.</td>
<td>Biweekly for the first year after installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect inlet controls, outlet structures, and storage areas for trash and sediment accumulation.</td>
<td>Monthly for the first year after installation to determine ongoing maintenance frequency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ongoing Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remulch void areas</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat diseased trees and shrubs</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep overflow free and clear of leaves</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect soil and repair eroded areas</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove litter and debris</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear leaves and debris from overflow</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect trees and shrubs to evaluate health, replacing if necessary</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect underdrain cleanouts</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add additional mulch</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect for sediment build-up, erosion, and vegetative conditions.</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate the drain down time of the SMP after a storm of at least one inch in no more than 24-hours to ensure an SMP drain down time of less than 72 hours.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain records of all inspections and maintenance activity</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, and Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on maintenance guidance for pretreatment, inlet controls, and outlet controls.
4.2 Porous Pavement

Porous Pavement SMP One-Sheet - download a summary of this tool, with quick reference facts for clients and developers.
Porous Pavement

Description

Porous pavement provides the structural support of conventional pavement, but allows stormwater to drain directly through the pavement surface into an underlying stone bed and the soil below, thereby reducing surface stormwater runoff. Porous pavement surfaces include, but are not limited to, porous asphalt, porous concrete, permeable pavers, reinforced turf, and artificial, or synthetic, turf. Interlocking pavers have openings filled with stone to create a porous surface. For all of these pavement types, stormwater flows through the porous surface during a rain event, then drains into the sub-base beneath the pavement, where it is stored until it infiltrates into the soil.

Key Advantages

• Can be used in place of traditional paved surfaces
• Can fit into spaces of almost any size and be integrated into many different site layouts
• Reduces ponding and icing that can be associated with traditional hardscape surfaces
• Provides ancillary benefits such as better conditions for trees, reduced heat island effect, quieter vehicular traffic, and reduced vehicular glare compared to standard asphalt
• Eligible for inclusion in an Expedited PCSMP Review project

Key Limitations

• Not recommended for high traffic loading areas or on heavy industrial sites where vehicles or equipment may contribute heavy sediment or gross pollutant loads to porous surfaces
• Typically not suitable for steep slope applications
• Requires frequent maintenance with specialized equipment to maintain performance
• May degrade more rapidly if located in areas with frequent vehicular turning

COMPLIANCE ATTRIBUTES

A description of each evaluated attribute can be found in the SMP Hierarchy Ranking Criteria in Section 3.2.4.
4.2.1 Porous Pavement Introduction

Porous pavement provides the structural support of conventional pavement, but allows stormwater to drain directly through the pavement surface into an underlying stone bed and the soil below, thereby reducing surface stormwater runoff. Porous pavement surfaces include, but are not limited to, porous asphalt, porous concrete, permeable pavers, reinforced turf, and artificial, or synthetic, turf. Interlocking pavers have openings filled with stone to create a porous surface. For all of these pavement types, stormwater flows through the porous surface during a rain event, then drains into the subbase beneath the pavement, where it is stored until it infiltrates into the soil.

Porous pavement can be combined with other SMPs in series to meet the Stormwater Regulations. The designer is referred to Section 3.2.4 for information on using SMPs in series.

Design of porous pavement is not limited to the examples shown within this text. Successful stormwater management plans will combine appropriate materials and designs specific to each site.

Quick Tip

Required porous pavement design and material standards are denoted in this Section by easy-to-reference numerals.

When Can Porous Pavement Be Used?

Porous pavement is only suitable for select types of development. Porous pavements can be particularly well-
suited for walkways, sidewalks, athletic surfaces, and playgrounds. Its footprint can be adapted to fit into spaces of almost any size and can be integrated into many different site layouts.

Porous pavement can be designed to meet the traffic loading requirements for most parking lots and travel surfaces, but the maintenance costs are significantly increased in areas that receive high traffic volume. For example, commercial parking lots will require more frequent vacuuming to prevent the pavement from clogging.

**Key Advantages of Porous Pavement**

- Can be used in place of traditional paved surfaces
- Can fit into spaces of almost any size and be integrated into many different site layouts
- Can be used as DIC to reduce DCIA as an alternative to traditional hardscape surfaces
- Reduces ponding and icing that can be associated with traditional hardscape surfaces
- Provides ancillary benefits such as better conditions for trees, reduced heat island effect, quieter vehicular traffic, and reduced vehicular glare compared to standard asphalt
- Eligible for inclusion in an Expedited Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Review project

**Key Limitations of Porous Pavement**

- Not recommended for high traffic loading areas or on heavy industrial sites where vehicles or equipment may contribute heavy sediment or gross pollutant loads to porous surfaces
- Typically not suitable for steep slope applications
- Requires frequent maintenance with specialized equipment to maintain performance
- May degrade more rapidly if located in areas with frequent vehicular turning

**Key Design Considerations for Porous Pavement**

- Design of paving sections must consider system stability based on anticipated structural loading.
- Porous pavement should not be placed downstream of large impervious or pervious areas.
- Runoff from adjacent impervious and pervious areas must be conveyed directly to the subsurface storage to prevent clogging of porous surfaces.
- Porous pavement should not be used in areas where gasoline or other hazardous materials may be dispensed or handled.
Porous Pavement Types

Porous pavement systems can be distinguished by their intended stormwater management objective.

*Porous pavement DIC* systems are designed to receive and infiltrate direct (1:1) rainfall only and are considered as DIC. Infiltration testing is not required for porous pavement DIC; however, it is recommended to ensure timely drainage of the stone base. In some cases, where a small amount of run-on cannot be avoided, it may still be possible to consider the porous pavement disconnected. Such allowances will be considered on a case-by-case basis by PWD.

*Porous pavement over a structural SMP* is considered an SMP in series, where the porous pavement is designed to manage its direct (1:1) rainfall, and the structural SMP beneath it is designed to store and manage DCIA runoff from other areas on-site in addition to direct (1:1) rainfall onto the porous pavement atop the SMP. The porous surface cannot receive the additional runoff; The additional runoff must be conveyed directly to the underlying SMP. Porous pavement that receives direct overland flow is extremely prone to clogging. Porous pavement over a structural SMP is essentially a subsurface infiltration or detention system (based on infiltration feasibility) with a porous surface at-grade. The porous surface over the structural SMP footprint is considered DCIA. The structural SMP requires infiltration testing. The designer is referred to Section 3.3 for information on infiltration testing.

Porous pavement systems can also be distinguished by the type of porous paving surface. There are many different types of structural surfaces that allow water to flow through void spaces in the surface. Any of these alternatives serve as a form of conveyance and filtration for the storage bed below. Several of the most commonly used porous structural surfaces are described below, but this does not represent an exhaustive list of the porous surfaces appropriate for stormwater management applications.

*Porous asphalt* pavement consists of standard bituminous asphalt in which the fines have been screened and reduced, allowing water to pass through very small voids. Recent research in open-graded mixes for highway application has led to additional improvements in porous asphalt through the use of additives and binders. Porous asphalt is very similar in appearance to conventional, impervious asphalt.

*Porous concrete* is produced by substantially reducing the number of fines in the mix in order to establish voids for drainage. Porous concrete has a coarser appearance than its conventional counterpart.

*Permeable pavers* are typically interlocking units (often concrete) with openings that can be filled with a pervious material such as gravel. These units are often implemented for aesthetic reasons and are especially well suited to plazas, patios, residential driveways, and small parking areas. There are also plastic grids that can be filled with gravel to create a fully gravel surface that is not as susceptible to rutting and compaction as are traditional gravel lots. Gravel used in interlocking concrete pavers or plastic grid systems must be well-graded to ensure permeability.
Reinforced turf consists of interlocking structural units with openings that can be filled with soil for the growth of turf grass and are suitable for traffic loads and parking. They are often used in overflow or event parking. Reinforced turf grids can be made of concrete or plastic and are underlain by a stone and/or a sand drainage system for stormwater management. While both plastic and concrete units perform well for stormwater management and traffic needs, plastic units may provide better turf establishment and longevity, largely because the plastic will not absorb water and diminish soil moisture conditions.

Artificial, or synthetic, turf is a water permeable surface of synthetic fibers that emulates the aesthetic of natural grass. First gaining popularity in the 1960s, artificial turf has undergone a number of changes to its standard composition, with the most widely-used systems today featuring infills that are mixtures of sand and recycled (“crumb”) rubber. The designer is referred to Section 3.5.5 for more information on athletic turf fields.

4.2.2 Porous Pavement Components

Figure 4.2-1: Porous Pavement with Typical Features

Pretreatment Component

Porous pavement does not typically have pretreatment systems due to its (1:1) loading ratio. Run-on from pervious or impervious areas is not permitted, so pretreatment is not necessary. However, any SMP installed beneath a porous pavement surface does require pretreatment of the runoff conveyed directly to it via piping.

Pretreatment systems capture trash, sediment, and/or pollutants from stormwater runoff before delivery to the storage or infiltration area. Pretreatment needs will vary significantly depending on the contributing drainage area composition and use. Pretreatment can include structures such as sumped and trapped inlets, sediment/grit chambers or separators, media filters, inlet inserts, or other appropriate prefabricated or proprietary designs to remove sediment, floatables, and/or hydrocarbons from stormwater runoff prior to being conveyed to a porous pavement structural SMP.
Pretreatment can also consist of filter strips, forebays, and swales. The designer is referred to Section 4.10 for more information on pretreatment systems.

**Inlet Control Component**

Porous pavement DIC systems, which receive direct (1:1) rainfall only, do not have inlet controls. For porous pavement over structural SMPs, inlet control systems convey and control the flow of stormwater from the contributing catchment area directly to the structural SMP.

Inlet control needs will vary depending on the design of stormwater conveyance systems and the site layout. The designer is referred to Section 3.4.2 for guidance on stormwater conveyance system design.

Inlet controls may include flow splitters, curbless design/curb openings, energy dissipaters, and inlets. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for more information on inlet controls.

**Storage Area Component**

Storage areas within porous pavement DIC systems temporarily hold stormwater runoff as it infiltrates into native soils.

The subsurface storage component of a porous pavement structural SMP is typically constructed of a stone-filled, level-bottomed bed or trench, which may or may not incorporate pipes, arches, concrete vaults, crates, plastic grids, or other proprietary structures. The void spaces between the stones and/or structures store stormwater until it can infiltrate into the surrounding soils or be released downstream at a controlled rate.

**Outlet Control Component**

Outlet controls within a porous pavement structural SMP can provide a range of functions, including the following:

- Controlling how much water is stored for infiltration, if infiltration is feasible;
- Meeting drain down time requirements;
- Controlling the rate of discharge from the system and limiting water surface elevations during various storm events; and/or
- Bypassing of flows from large storm events.

Positive overflow must be provided for porous pavement. Positive overflow conveys runoff from larger storms out of the system and prevents flooding. In most cases, specifically for porous pavement DIC, appropriate grading of the porous surface is sufficient for providing positive flow away from porous
pavement should it become clogged or ineffective. For larger porous pavement systems or porous pavement over a structural SMP, inlets are the most common overflow. A perforated pipe system can convey water from the storage bed, but static storage for the Water Quality Volume (WQv) is required below the perforated pipes.

Outlet controls may include orifices, weirs, or underdrains. The designer is referred to Section 4.12 for more information on outlet controls.

**Inspection and Maintenance Access Component**

Safe and easy inspection and maintenance access to all major components within porous pavement is critical to ensuring long-term performance. Inspection and maintenance access structures provide a portal to any structural SMP beneath the porous pavement. Access points provide access to subsurface systems, both for inspections and routine maintenance, and for pumping water out of subsurface systems in cases of failure or severe damage. Manholes provide access for maintenance personnel and equipment to perform maintenance and inspections. Cleanouts provide access for hoses and vacuum equipment, as well as for any installed underdrains. Observation wells provide access to the bottom of subsurface systems for performance inspections and monitoring. Access structures may also serve additional functions, such as joining subsurface pipes.

---

**4.2.3 Porous Pavement Design Standards**

**General Design Standards**

1. For porous pavement DIC systems:
   a. A reduction in DCIA is permitted when a porous pavement system is installed on-site such that it does not create any areas of concentrated infiltration or discharge.
   b. The surface slope in any direction across porous pavement cannot exceed 5% to be eligible for disconnection credit.
   c. The choker course depth must be a minimum of two inches.
   d. If an underdrain is proposed, the porous pavement will only be considered DIC if the first 1.5 inches of runoff can be stored below the lowest invert of the underdrain.
   e. Appropriate Curve Number (CN) values must be used when performing Flood Control calculations.

2. For porous pavement over a structural SMP, if infiltration is feasible, the designer is referred to Section 4.4, Subsurface Infiltration, for subsurface infiltration general design requirements.
3. For porous pavement over a structural SMP, if infiltration is infeasible, the designer is referred to Section 4.8, Subsurface Detention, for subsurface detention general design requirements.

**Pretreatment Design Standards**

4. Acceptable form(s) of pretreatment must be incorporated into design. Pretreatment of runoff from all inlets is required. At a minimum, this can be achieved through the use of sumps and traps for inlets, and sump boxes with traps downstream of trench drains. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for more information on design standards for pretreatment systems.

**Inlet Control Design Standards**

5. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on design standards for inlet control systems.

**Storage Area Design Standards**

6. For porous pavement DIC systems:
   a. Stone storage bed depth must be a minimum of eight inches, except when located beneath walkways or play surfaces, for which a depth of four inches is allowable.
   b. Stone must be separated from soil media by a separation barrier, such as a geotextile or a pea gravel filter, to prevent sand, silt, and sediment from entering the system.
   c. Stone storage systems must have a level bottom. Terraced systems may be used to maintain a level infiltration interface with native soil while accommodating significant grade changes.

7. For porous pavement over a structural SMP, if infiltration is feasible, the designer is referred to Section 4.4, Subsurface Infiltration, for subsurface infiltration storage area requirements.

8. For porous pavement over a structural SMP, if infiltration is infeasible, the designer is referred to Section 4.8, Subsurface Detention, for subsurface detention storage area requirements.

9. When SMPs are used in series, the storage areas for all SMPs must provide cumulative static storage for the WQv, but there is no minimum storage requirement for each individual SMP used in series.

**Outlet Control Design Standards**

10. Impervious liners beneath porous pavement should be avoided, but they may be necessary in areas over tunnels or subsurface structures. The lined area should be a minimal portion of the total porous area. If a significant area needs to be lined, porous pavement may not be an appropriate management strategy.

11. Underdrains, if proposed for porous pavement DIC systems, must meet the following requirements:
a. Underdrains must be surrounded by a sand or stone layer to filter sediment and facilitate drainage.

b. The minimum allowable thickness of a sand or stone filter layer is six inches both above and beneath the underdrain.

c. To prevent clogging, underdrain pipes must be surrounded by a geotextile fabric if a sand layer is used.

12. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on design standards for outlet control systems.

**Inspection and Maintenance Design Standards for Porous Pavement Over a Structural SMP**

13. Cleanouts, manholes, access panels and other access features must be provided to allow unobstructed and safe access to SMPs for routine maintenance and inspection of inflow, outflow, underdrains, and storage systems.

14. Observation wells must be provided for storage systems that include stone storage and must meet the following requirements:

   a. The observation well must be placed at the invert of the stone bed.

   b. An observation well must be located near the center of the stone bed system to monitor the level and duration of water stored within the system (drain down time).

   c. Adequate inspection and maintenance access to the observation well must be provided.

   d. A manhole may be used in lieu of an observation well if the invert of the manhole is installed at or below the bottom of the SMP and the manhole is configured in such a way that stormwater can flow freely between the SMP and the manhole at the SMP’s invert.

15. Access features for underground storage systems

   a. Access features must be provided for all underground storage systems that are not stone storage beds.

   b. A sufficient number of access points in the system must be provided to efficiently inspect and maintain the storage area.

   c. For cast-in-place vault systems, access features must consist of manholes or grated access panels or doors. Grated access panels are preferred to maintain airflow.

   d. For grid storage or other manufactured systems, follow the manufacturer’s recommendations.

   e. Ladder access is required for vaults greater than four feet in height.
f. Header pipes, at minimum 36-inch diameter, connected to manholes at each corner of the subsurface system must be provided. Alternatively, smaller header pipes may be used if cleanouts are provided on the manifold/header pipe junction for each distribution pipe. The cleanouts must be on alternating sides of the SMP.

**Figure 4.2-2: Porous Pavement Standard Detail**

(Download CAD File)

**Figure 4.2-3: Terraced Porous Pavement Standard Detail**

(Download CAD File)

---

### 4.2.4 Porous Pavement Material Standards

#### Pretreatment Material Standards

1. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for information on materials standards for pretreatment systems.

#### Inlet Control Material Standards

2. Porous Bituminous Asphalt
a. Bituminous surface must be laid with a bituminous mix of 5.75% to 6% by weight dry aggregate.

b. In accordance with American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) D6390, drain down of the binder must be no greater than 0.3%.

c. Aggregate material in the asphalt must be clean, open-graded, and a minimum of 75% fractured with at least one fractured face by mechanical means of each individual particle larger than 0.25 inch, and it must have the following gradations:

**Table 4.2-1: Porous Asphalt Binder Course Aggregate Gradation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. Standard Sieve Size</th>
<th>Percent Passing By Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1&quot;</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4&quot;</td>
<td>90-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2&quot;</td>
<td>80-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8&quot;</td>
<td>50-80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>10-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8</td>
<td>5-10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#40</td>
<td>3-8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#200</td>
<td>0-3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 4.2-2: Porous Asphalt Wearing Course Aggregate Gradation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. Standard Sieve Size</th>
<th>Percent Passing By Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/8”</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2”</td>
<td>95-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8”</td>
<td>70-95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>20-40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8</td>
<td>10-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#40</td>
<td>0-8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#200</td>
<td>0-3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d. Neat asphalt binder modified with an elastomeric polymer to produce a binder meeting the requirements of PG 76-22 as specified in American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) MP-1. The elastomer polymer must be styrene-butadiene-styrene, or approved equal, applied at a rate of 3% by weight of the total binder.

e. Hydrated lime should be added at a dosage rate of 1% by weight of the total dry aggregate to mixes containing granite.

   i. Hydrated lime must meet the requirements of ASTM C 977.

   ii. The additive must be able to prevent the separation of the asphalt binder from the aggregate and achieve a required tensile strength ratio of at least 80% on the asphalt mix when tested in accordance with AASHTO T 283.

   iii. The asphaltic mix must be tested for its resistance to stripping by water in accordance with ASTM D-1664.

   iv. If the estimated coating area is not above 95%, anti-stripping agents must be added to the asphalt.

f. The asphaltic mix must be tested for its resistance to stripping by water in accordance with ASTM D 3625. If the estimated coating area is not above 95%, anti-stripping agents must be added to the asphalt.

3. Porous Concrete
a. Porous concrete must use Portland Cement Type I or II conforming to ASTM C 150 or Portland Cement Type IP or IS conforming to ASTM C 595.

b. Aggregate must be No. 8 coarse aggregate (3/8-inch to No. 16) per ASTM C 33 or No. 89 coarse aggregate (3/8-inch to No. 50) per ASTM D 448.

c. An aggregate/cement ratio range of 4:1 to 4.5:1 and a water/cement ratio range of 0.34 to 0.40 should produce porous pavement of satisfactory properties in regard to permeability, load carrying capacity, and durability characteristics.

4. Permeable Paver and Grid Systems

a. Permeable paver and grid systems must conform to manufacturer specifications.

b. The systems must have a minimum flow through rate of five inches per hour and a void percentage of no less than 10%.

c. Gravel used in interlocking concrete pavers or plastic grid systems must be well-graded and washed to ensure permeability.

5. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on material standards for inlet control systems.

---

Storage Area Material Standards

6. Stone

a. Stone designed for stormwater storage must be uniformly graded, crushed, clean-washed stone. PWD defines "clean-washed" as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per AASHTO T-11 wash loss test. AASHTO No. 3 and No. 57 stone can meet this specification.

b. All aggregates used within a porous pavement system must meet the following requirements:

   i. Maximum wash loss: 0.5% per AASHTO T-11

   ii. Minimum durability index: 35 per ASTM D3744

   iii. Maximum abrasion: 10% for 100 revolutions and 50% for 500 revolutions per ASTM C131

c. All choker course aggregate must meet the specifications of AASHTO No. 57 and must meet the following gradation:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. Standard Sieve Size</th>
<th>Percent Passing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 ¼&quot; (37.5 mm)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1&quot; (25 mm)</td>
<td>95-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>½&quot; (19 mm)</td>
<td>25-60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4 (4.75 mm)</td>
<td>0-10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8 (2.36 mm)</td>
<td>0-5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Sand, if used, must be AASHTO M-6 or ASTM C-33 sand and must have a grain size of 0.02 to 0.04 inches.

8. Storage Chambers (For Porous Pavement Structural SMPs)
   a. Pipe used within a subsurface infiltration SMP must be continuously perforated and have a smooth interior with a minimum inside diameter of four inches.
   b. High-density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe must meet the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S.
   c. Any pipe materials outside the SMP are to meet City Plumbing Code Standards.

9. Geotextile must consist of polypropylene fibers and meet the following specifications (AASHTO Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended):
   a. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): ≥ 120 lbs
   b. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): ≥ 225 psi
   c. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): ≥ 95 gal/min/ft²
   d. UV Resistance after 500 hrs (ASTM-D4355): ≥ 70%
   e. Heat-set or heat-calendared fabrics are not permitted.

**Outlet Control Material Standards**

10. Underdrains, if proposed, must be made of continuously perforated HDPE plastic piping with a smooth interior and a minimum inner diameter of four inches. HDPE pipe must meet the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S.

11. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on material standards for
outlet control systems.

**Inspection and Maintenance Access Material Standards**

12. Observation wells must consist of perforated plastic pipe with a minimum inner diameter of six inches.

13. Cleanouts must be made of rigid material with a smooth interior having a minimum inner diameter of four inches.

---

**4.2.5 Porous Pavement Construction Guidance**

The construction guidelines herein apply to all porous pavement systems, with additional guidance provided specifically for porous asphalt. Proper construction and careful consideration of soil compaction, infiltration performance, and sedimentation control of subsurface infiltration systems are essential to ensure long-term functionality and reduce long-term maintenance needs. Since subsurface infiltration systems are, by definition, buried, construction oversight is critical. At a minimum, verification of volumes, grades, and elevations must be confirmed prior to backfill.

![An example of a porous asphalt installation in Philadelphia](image)

1. Areas for porous pavement systems must be clearly marked before any site work begins to avoid soil disturbance and compaction during construction.

2. Excavate porous pavement subsurface area to proposed depth. Excavation should take place after...
contributing upstream disturbed areas have been permanently stabilized. If this is impractical, install PWD-approved erosion and sedimentation control Best Management Practices (BMPs) to prevent runoff and sediment from entering the excavated bed. Where erosion of subgrade has caused accumulation of fine materials and/or surface ponding, this material must be removed with light equipment and the underlying soils scarified to a minimum depth of six inches with a York rake or equivalent and light tractor.

3. Existing subgrade must not be compacted and construction equipment traffic must be minimized prior to placement of the geotextile and stone bed. The use of machinery to load stone from outside of the basin footprint is recommended. If it is essential that equipment be used in the excavated area, all equipment must be approved by the engineer. Equipment with narrow tracks or tires, rubber tires with large lugs, or high pressure tires will cause excessive compaction and must not be used. Should the subgrade be compacted during construction, additional testing of soil infiltration rates and system redesign may be required. Rock construction entrances must not be located on top of areas proposed for infiltration practices.

4. Bring subgrade of stone infiltration bed to line, grade, and elevations indicated in the drawings, while avoiding compaction. The bottom of the infiltration bed must be at a level grade.

5. Place geotextile and recharge bed aggregate immediately after approval of subgrade preparation to prevent accumulation of debris or sediment. Aggregate installation should take place after contributing upstream disturbed areas have been permanently stabilized. Install PWD-approved erosion and sedimentation control BMPs to prevent runoff and sediment from entering the storage bed during the placement of the geotextile and aggregate bed.

6. Place geotextile in accordance with manufacturer’s standards and recommendations. Adjacent strips of filter fabric must overlap a minimum of 16 inches. Fabric must be secured at least four feet outside of bed. This edge strip should remain in place until all bare soils contiguous to beds are stabilized and vegetated. As the site is fully stabilized, excess geotextile can be cut back to the edge of the bed.

7. Install aggregate course in lifts of six to eight inches. Compact each layer with equipment, keeping equipment movement over storage bed subgrades to a minimum. Install aggregate to grades indicated on the drawings.

8. Additional Construction Guidelines for Installation of Porous Asphalt:

   a. Install and compact choker course aggregate evenly over surface of stone bed. Choker base course must be sufficient to allow for even placement of asphalt, but no thicker than one inch in depth.

   b. Vehicles with smooth, clean dump beds must be used to transport the asphalt mix to the site. Control cooling of asphalt by covering mix. Porous asphalt mix must not be stored for more than 90 minutes before placement.
c. The porous bituminous surface course must be laid in one lift directly over the storage bed and stone base course.

d. Compaction of the surface course must take place when the surface is cool enough to resist a ten-ton roller. One or two passes is all that is required for proper compaction. More rolling could cause a reduction in the surface porosity and permeability, which is unacceptable.

e. After rolling asphalt, no vehicular traffic is permitted on the surface until cooling and hardening has taken place (minimum 48 hours).

f. After hardening, test hydrologic performance of the pavement surface by applying clean water to a single location at the surface at a rate of at least five gallons per minute. The water applied to the surface should readily infiltrate without creating puddles or runoff.

g. Do not use the porous pavement area for equipment or materials storage. No soil must be deposited on porous pavement surfaces.

### 4.2.6 Porous Pavement Maintenance Guidance

Maintenance of porous pavement systems focuses on the periodic removal of sediment and debris from the porous surfaces. General recommended maintenance activities for porous pavement are summarized in Table 4.2-4.

**Table 4.2-4: Porous Pavement Maintenance Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspect erosion control and flow spreading devices until soil settlement and vegetative establishment of contributing areas has occurred.</td>
<td>Biweekly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ongoing Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mow grass in permeable paver or grid systems that have been planted with grass.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum porous asphalt or concrete surfaces with regenerative air sweeper or commercial vacuum sweeper (traditional street sweepers are not appropriate).</td>
<td>Semiannually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean out inlet structures within or draining to the structural SMP beneath the porous pavement surface.</td>
<td>Semiannually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect underdrain cleanouts, if any.</td>
<td>Semiannually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain records of all inspections and maintenance activity.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sediment Control

Superficial soil does not necessarily clog the voids in porous surfaces. However, soil that is ground in repeatedly by tires can lead to clogging. Therefore, trucks or other heavy vehicles should be prevented from tracking or spilling soil onto the pavement. Furthermore, all construction or hazardous materials carriers should be prohibited from entering a porous pavement lot. Areas with heavy vehicular traffic will require more frequent vacuuming.

Winter Maintenance

Winter maintenance for a porous pavement may be necessary, but is usually less intensive than that required for a standard asphalt lot. By its very nature, a porous pavement system with subsurface aggregate bed may have better snow and ice melting characteristics than standard pavement. Once snow and ice melt, they flow through the porous pavement rather than refreezing. Therefore, ice and light snow accumulation are generally not as problematic. However, snow will accumulate during heavier storms. Abrasives such as sand or cinders must not be applied on or adjacent to the porous pavement. Snow plowing is acceptable, provided it is done carefully (i.e., by setting the blade about 0.5 inches higher than usual and using a rubberized blade or blade tip). Salt is acceptable for use as a deicer on the porous pavement, though non-toxic, organic deicers, applied either as blended, magnesium chloride-based liquid products or as pretreated salt, are preferable. Any deicing materials should be used in moderation.

Repairs

Potholes are not common; though settling might occur if a soft spot in the subgrade is not removed during construction. Damaged areas that are smaller than 50 square feet and comprising less than 10% of the total porous area can be patched with a porous or standard asphalt mix, depending on the location within the porous area. In many cases the loss of porous surface will be insignificant. If an area greater than 50 square feet or 10% of the total is in need of repair, approval of patch type must be sought from either the engineer or owner. Porous pavement must never be seal coated under any circumstances. Any required repair of drainage structures should be done promptly to ensure continued proper functioning of the system.

Outlet Controls

The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on maintenance guidance for outlet controls.
4.3 Green Roofs

Green Roofs SMP One-Sheet - download a summary of this tool, with quick reference facts for clients and developers.
### Green Roofs

#### Description

Green roofs, also referred to as vegetated roofs, eco roofs, and roof gardens, consist of a layer of vegetation that covers an otherwise conventional flat or moderately pitched roof. A green roof is composed of multiple layers which may include a waterproofing roof protection layer, moisture interception layer, drainage layer, leak detection layer, an engineered planting medium, and specialized plants. Through the appropriate selection of materials, green roofs can provide runoff volume reduction and runoff peak rate attenuation.

#### Key Advantages
- Manage stormwater runoff without occupying surface-level space
- Well-suited for sites at which roofs make up a large fraction of the total impervious area and for sites with ground-level space constraints
- Enhance building aesthetics and market value
- Help regulate building temperature in both the summer and winter, thus reducing cooling and heating costs
- Reduce urban heat island effect by providing evaporative cooling
- Can improve air quality by filtering particulate matter
- Extend the service life of the roof
- Eligible for inclusion in an Expedited PCSMP Review project

#### Key Limitations
- May need to be combined with other SMPs to meet the Flood Control requirement
- More expensive to install than most conventional roofs
- May have limited retrofit feasibility for existing buildings and structures due to structural capacity issues

### DEVELOPMENT ATTRIBUTES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction Costs</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations &amp; Maintenance Costs</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likeliness of Failure</td>
<td>LOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground-Level Encroachment</td>
<td>LOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Footprint</td>
<td>LOW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMPLIANCE ATTRIBUTES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality Effluent Pollutant Load</td>
<td>LOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality Infiltration &amp; Volume Reduction</td>
<td>LOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality Evapotranspiration</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality Rate Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Protection / PHS Rate Control</td>
<td>LOW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A description of each evaluated attribute can be found in the SMP Hierarchy Ranking Criteria in Section 3.2.4.
4.3.1 Green Roof Introduction

Green roofs, also referred to as vegetated roofs, eco roofs, and roof gardens, consist of a layer of vegetation that covers an otherwise conventional flat or moderately pitched roof. A green roof is composed of multiple layers which may include a waterproofing roof protection layer, moisture interception layer, drainage layer, leak detection layer, an engineered planting medium, and specialized plants. Through the appropriate selection of materials, green roofs can provide runoff volume reduction and runoff peak rate attenuation.

Green roofs can be combined with other stormwater management practices (SMPs) in series to meet the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations). The designer is referred to Section 3.2.4, for information on using SMPs in series.

The design of green roofs is not limited to the examples shown within this text, which focuses primarily on extensive green roofs. Successful stormwater management plans will combine appropriate materials and designs specific to each site.

An example of a green roof in Philadelphia
When Can Green Roofs Be Used?

A green roof is an excellent stormwater management option on a fully built-out or highly-constrained site. They can be installed on many types of roofs, including terraces, high-rise building roofs, and low-podium or at-grade on-structure installations. Roofs with pitches up to 2:12 (16.7%) can, in most instances, accommodate green roofs without special slope stabilization provisions.

Green roofs can also be installed as a Stormwater Retrofit on existing buildings with flat, mildly sloped, or terraced roofs after confirmation of adequate structural loading capacity, waterproofing, and protection of rooftop utilities.

Key Advantages of Green Roofs

- Manage stormwater runoff without occupying surface-level space
- Well-suited for sites at which roofs make up a large fraction of the total impervious area and for sites with ground-level space constraints
- Typically require no additional sewer connections besides those already provided for the building
- Promote the retention, slow release, and evapotranspiration of precipitation
- Enhance building aesthetics and market value
- Help regulate building temperature in both the summer and winter, thus reducing cooling and heating costs
- Reduce urban heat island effect by providing evaporative cooling
- Can improve air quality by filtering particulate matter
- Extend the service life of the roof by protecting the underlying roof membrane from mechanical damage, shielding it from UV radiation, and buffering temperature extremes
- Require no excavation
- Can be designed to provide spaces for recreation, supplement bird habitat, develop educational resources, and create new opportunities for urban food production.
- Can provide a reduction in landscaping requirements for parking areas as per Philadelphia Zoning Code

Tax Credit

Installation of a green roof may also qualify a project for a Business Tax Credit!

See phillywatersheds.org for more tax credit information.
§ 14-803(5)(e.2)

- Can provide educational benefits, especially when used at public and/or highly visible sites such as schools, recreation centers, libraries, etc.

- Eligible for inclusion in an Expedited Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Review project

**Key Limitations of Green Roofs**

- May need to be combined with other SMPs to meet the Flood Control requirement

- More expensive to install than most conventional roofs

- May have limited Stormwater Retrofit feasibility for existing buildings and structures due to structural capacity issues

**Key Design Considerations for Green Roofs**

- Coordination of green roof design with the design of building heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems can help to maximize operational cost savings. For example, some research suggests that green roofs can improve building energy efficiency by lowering the temperature of air at the intakes for climate control systems.

- Stormwater management effectiveness can be improved by introducing measures to enhance evapotranspiration. Typical expedient measures include:
  
  - Introducing low-transmissivity drainage layers;
  
  - Lengthening seepage pathways to drains;
  
  - Introducing rainfall interception layers;
  
  - Selecting plants with dense root habits; and
  
  - Selecting medium with high water-holding capacity.

- For extensive green roofs, at least half of the plants should be varieties of sedums. To ensure diversity and viability, at least four different species of sedum should be used. The remainder of the plants should be shrubs, herbs, meadow grasses, or meadow flowers, depending on the desired appearance.

- Green roofs should include a significant percentage of evergreen plants to minimize erosion in winter months.
Green Roof Types

There are two types of green roofs. An *extensive green roof* is a thin (usually less than six inches), lightweight system that is typically predominantly planted with succulents, drought-tolerant ground covering plants, and grass. An *intensive green roof* is a deeper (typically greater than six inches), heavier system designed to sustain complex landscapes. For intensive green roof profiles, a drain restrictor may be used to retain water in the base of the profile for subsequent plant transpiration.

4.3.2 Green Roof Components

*Figure 4.3-1: Green Roof with Typical Features*

Inlet Control Component

Green roofs that receive direct (1:1) rainfall only do not have inlet controls. For green roofs that receive runoff from roof directly connected impervious area (DCIA), inlet control systems may convey and control the flow of stormwater from the contributing catchment area to the green roof. Distribution piping may be used to evenly disperse run-on water throughout the green roof. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for more information on inlet controls.

Storage Area Component

Green roof storage areas temporarily hold stormwater before it can either be used by plants through evapotranspiration or be released downstream. Storage areas for green roofs typically are composed of the following components:
The growing medium supports plant growth and provides for storage of stormwater within voids. The storage capacity is a function of medium depth, surface area, and total void space.

*Filter or separation fabric*, or geotextile, prevents migration of soil into the underlying drainage layer of the green roof.

A *drainage layer* may incorporate measures to intercept and retain percolated rainfall as it moves through the green roof storage area. Examples include membranes with depressions to hold water and specialized fabrics or mats with high capillary indices.

*Moisture interception layers/root barriers* are impermeable liners that protect the underlying roof deck from moisture and plant root intrusion. Some waterproofing materials are inherently root resistant, whereas others require an additional root barrier.

Underlying *roofing systems* typically consist of a structural deck, its supporting structures, and a traditional overlying waterproofing system.

**Vegetation Component**

Green roof plant material is designed to take up much of the water that falls on the roof during a storm event. It mitigates wind and water erosion, transpires captured moisture back into the atmosphere, and provides evaporative cooling. Plant material also collects dust and creates oxygen. Some green roofs may also have irrigation systems to support plant growth during dry periods.

**Outlet Control Component**

Outlet controls may include risers, edge drains, scuppers, gutters, or impervious liners. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for more information on outlet controls.

**Inspection and Maintenance Access Component**

Safe and easy inspection and maintenance access to all major components within a green roof is critical to ensuring long-term performance. Dependent on roof height and slope, green roof inspection and maintenance access components may consist of permanent or temporary safety monitoring systems, guardrail and safety net systems, warning line systems, and/or personal fall arrest systems. Inspection and maintenance access systems for green roofs may also include long-term leak detection systems for locating and managing leaks.
4.3.3 Green Roof Design Standards

General Design Standards

1. Runoff from impervious roof area onto a green roof must be dispersed evenly across the green roof surface and pass through the growing medium either by sheet flow or a level spreading device. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for design standards for level spreaders.

2. The flow path of runoff across the green roof surface must be greater than or equal to the contributing DCIA length.

3. Structural Requirements:
   a. Structural loading must be considered for all green roof designs, and green roof design must be coordinated with a licensed structural engineer for both new building construction and retrofits to existing structures.
   b. A structural engineer must verify that the building will support the weight of the green roof.
   c. Design calculations must consider the wet weight of the green roof. Extensive green roofs typically weigh between 20 and 45 pounds per square foot and are typically compatible with many wood or steel decks, as well as reinforced concrete or concrete fill roof systems. Intensive green roofs typically weigh more than 45 pounds per square foot and typically require reinforced concrete supporting decks.
   d. Potential maximum loads must be based on American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) E2397.

4. The green roof area can be considered pervious open space when determining post-development flow rates to meet the Flood Control requirement. Default values for runoff parameters for extensive green roofs must be as follows; however, alternative runoff parameters may be applied when supported by submitted analysis and relevant references, which will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis:
   a. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) runoff curve number (CN): 86
   b. Composite runoff coefficient (CR): 0.40
   c. Time of concentration (TC): Six minutes

5. The total amount of impervious surfaces within the designated boundary of a green roof footprint must not exceed one-third of the combined area.

6. The following are exempt from being counted as tributary impervious area when installed on a green roof:
a. Gravel strip drains;

b. Pervious pavers containing planted openings;

c. Spaced pavers with intervening gaps that are planted;

d. Coarse stone supplied at the margin of a green roof, up to a width of 12 inches; and

e. Drain chambers, and the coarse stone surrounding them, up to a maximum of 12 square feet per drain.

**Inlet Control Design Standards**

7. If runoff is conveyed via piping, a distribution piping manifold must be embedded in a gravel strip to dissipate energy and promote uniform flow. The designer is referred to Section 3.4.2, for information on design standards for distribution piping.

8. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on design standards for inlet control systems.

**Storage Area Design Standards**

9. For green roofs that receive direct (1:1) rainfall only:

a. The minimum allowable thickness of the green roof growing medium is three inches. This can include both an upper finer-grained medium and a basal coarse granular green roof medium.

b. Green roofs that meet minimum growing medium thickness requirements are permitted a DCIA reduction equal to the entire area of the green roof.

10. For green roofs that receive runoff from contributing impervious roof catchments:

a. Impervious roof areas that direct runoff onto the green roof cannot exceed 50% of the green roof area, which is equivalent to a maximum hydraulic impervious runoff loading ratio of 0.5:1.

b. The minimum thickness of the green roof growing medium must be calculated as follows, where the “impervious roof area to green roof area” ratio is less than or equal to 0.50:

   \[
   \text{Minimum thickness (in inches) of green roof growing medium} = 3 \text{ inches} + [3 \ast (\text{Impervious roof area} / \text{Green roof area})]
   \]

c. Green roofs that meet minimum growing medium thickness requirements are permitted a DCIA reduction equal to the entire area of the green roof. Impervious roof areas that drain to these green roofs can be also considered as disconnected impervious cover, and, thus, included in the green roof’s DCIA reduction.

d. In areas that will receive tributary discharge, the green roof must not include a high-
transmissivity drainage layer, defined as a layer with a transmissivity of 0.005 m²/s or greater (ASTM D4716). In general, this will exclude peg-style or egg-carton-style geosynthetic sheets. High-transmissivity drainage layers will allow runoff to effectively flow under the green roof, minimizing contact with medium and plant roots. Typical granular aggregate, or coarse granular green roof medium, with a grain-size distribution complying with ASTM gradation No. 7 will satisfy the requirement, as will also a variety of mats and composite drainage layer assemblies.

11. Saturated permeability of the growing medium, in its compacted state [ASTM E2399], must not be less than six inches per hour.

12. Filter or separation fabric must allow root penetration, but prevent the growing medium from passing through into the drainage layer.

13. A drainage layer is required to promote aerated conditions in the growing medium and to convey excess runoff during large rainfall events. The drainage layer must prevent ponding of runoff in the growing medium during the ten-minute maximum rainfall rate associated with the one-year storm.

Vegetation Design Standards

14. When fully established, the selected plantings must thoroughly cover the growing medium.

Outlet Control Design Standards

15. The contributing area of rooftop to each disconnected discharge point must be equal to or less than 500 square feet.

16. Internal drainage, including provisions to cover and protect drains or scuppers, must anticipate the need to manage large rainfall events without inundating the cover.

17. All drains and scuppers must be covered and protected by an enclosure, typically a square or round chamber with a locking lid. These chambers are designed to prevent clogging of the drains by debris.

18. Although green roofs are not considered DCIA, they are not zero discharge systems. The roof drainage system and the remainder of the site drainage system must safely convey roof runoff to the storm sewer, combined sewer, or receiving water.

19. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for additional information on design standards for outlet control systems.

Inspection and Maintenance Access Design Standards

20. Green roofs must be designed to allow for safe access and working conditions for green roof inspection and maintenance personnel. This access must be a permanent feature of the building, such as a pilot house, roof hatch, or exterior stairs to the green roof. Retractable, unsecured ladders should not be
required for routine maintenance and inspections. The design may include other permanent personal safety measures. For green roofs, designers must specifically assess applicability to Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Fall Protection Safety Standards and the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) and American Society of Safety Engineers (ASSE) consensus-based fall protection standards.

**Figure 4.3-2: Green Roof Standard Detail**

(Download CAD File)

### 4.3.4 Green Roof Material Standards

#### Inlet Control Material Standards

1. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on material standards for inlet control systems.

#### Storage Area Material Standards

2. Green roof growing medium must be a lightweight mineral material with a minimum of organic material and meet the following specifications:

   a. Moisture content at maximum water holding capacity (ASTM E2399 or FLL): ≥ 35%

   b. Porosity at maximum water holding capacity (ASTM E2399 or FLL): ≥ 6%

   c. Total organic matter (MSA): 3% to 10%

   d. pH (MSA): 6.5 to 8.0
e. Soluble salts (DPTA saturated media extraction): ≤ 2 mmhos/cm

f. Water permeability (ASTM E2399 or FLL): ≥ 0.25 in/min

g. Grain-size distribution, as recommended by FLL

h. The nutrients must be initially incorporated in the formulation of a suitable mix for the support of the specified plant materials.

i. The medium must withstand freeze/thaw cycles.

3. Geotextile must consist of polypropylene fibers and meet the following specifications (American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended):

   a. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): ≥ 120 lbs

   b. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): ≥ 225 psi

   c. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): ≥ 95 gal/min/ft²

   d. UV Resistance after 500 hrs (ASTM-D4355): ≥ 70%

   e. Heat-set or heat-calendared fabrics are not permitted.

4. Drainage Layer

   a. For vegetated roof cover assemblies with thicknesses of less than five inches, synthetic drainage layers may be used in lieu of granular drainage layers.

   b. For vegetated cover assemblies with an overall thickness of five inches, or greater, the drainage layer must meet the following specifications:

      i. Abrasion resistance (ASTM-C131-96): ≤ 25% loss

      ii. Soundness (ASTM-C88): ≤ 5% loss

      iii. Porosity (ASTM-C29): ≥ 25%

      iv. Percent of particles passing 1/2-inch sieve (ASTM-C136): ≥ 75%

      v. The minimum thickness of the granular layer must be two inches. The granular layer may be installed in conjunction with a synthetic reservoir sheet.

5. Waterproof Membrane/Root Barrier

   a. PVC, EPDM, and thermal polyolefin (TPO) are permitted and inherently root resistant.

   b. All waterproof membranes must meet appropriate ASTM specifications. PVC membranes must
meet ASTM D4434 requirements, EPDM membranes must meet ASTM D4637 requirements, and TPO membranes must meet ASTM D6878 requirements.

c. Waterproofing membrane must be fully waterproof with properly sealed seams, corners, and protrusions to prevent any intrusion of standing water above the membrane.

d. Roofing membranes must meet all building code requirements and guidelines of the City of Philadelphia.

**Vegetation Material Standards**

6. Use of invasive plants is not permitted. All plants must be appropriate and compatible with soil, hydrologic, light, and other site conditions. The designer is referred to Appendix I for plant lists.

7. Perennials, grass-like plants, and groundcover plants must be healthy, well-rooted specimens.

8. Green roof plantings must be able to withstand heat, cold, and high winds. After establishment, the plants must be self-sustaining and tolerant of drought conditions, with little to no need for fertilizers or pesticides.

9. The only sedum known to be invasive and which must be avoided is sedum sarmentosum, also known as star sedum, gold moss, stringy stonecrop, or graveyard moss.

**Outlet Control Material Standards**

10. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on material standards for outlet control systems.

**Inspection and Maintenance Access Material Standards**

11. Personal protection systems must comply with OSHA Fall Protection Safety Standards and the ANSI and ASSE consensus-based fall protection standards.

---

**4.3.5 Green Roof Construction Guidance**

1. Apply waterproof membrane, and inspect for any irregularities that will interfere with drainage.

2. Install root barrier layer, if required, and/or waterproofing protection layer.

3. Install drainage layer.

4. Install irrigation system, if included in design.
5. Test the irrigation system, if included in design.

6. Install filter or separation fabric layer over entire drainage layer.

7. Install green roof growing medium, as specified.

8. Establish vegetation.
   
a. Green roofs can be effectively established by broadcasting fresh sedum cuttings during the spring and fall months. Depending on seasonal conditions, irrigation may be required after planting.

b. Many perennial plants can be installed as plugs or container plants between April and November. Depending on the time of planting, temporary irrigation may be required.

c. Perennials can be established from seed, except during the months of June, July, and August.

d. A wind scour blanket or hydromulch may be required to prevent erosion during the establishment period. It generally takes about two growing seasons for full establishment. High wind environments may necessitate permanent wind blankets.

An example of a green roof installation in Philadelphia

4.3.6 Green Roof Maintenance Guidance

Green roof maintenance activities largely focus on maintaining drainage capacity and the health of vegetation. All facility components, including plant material, growing medium, filter fabric, drainage layer, and waterproof
membrane must be inspected regularly for proper operations, integrity of the waterproofing, and structural stability throughout the life of the green roof.

General recommended maintenance activities for green roofs are summarized in Table 4.3-1 below.

**Table 4.3-1: Green Roof Maintenance Schedule**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water vegetation at the end of each day for two weeks after planting is completed.</td>
<td>Daily for two weeks after installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water vegetation regularly to ensure successful establishment.</td>
<td>Every four days during periods of four or more days without rain, June through August for the first year after installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand-weed non-target/invasive plants</td>
<td>Four times per year for the first 24 months after planting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect vegetation for signs of disease or distress.</td>
<td>Biweekly for the first year after installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing Maintenance Activity</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof drains must be cleared when soil substrate, vegetation, debris or other materials clog the drain inlet. Under normal operating conditions, all roof discharge must be filtered and medium must not be vulnerable to migration toward the drains. Sources of sediment and debris must be identified and corrected.</td>
<td>As needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant material must be maintained to provide a minimum of 90% foliage cover during warm months. If coverage rate is declining, determine the reason (e.g., soil nutrition or soil moisture conditions) and implement remedial measures.</td>
<td>As needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferentially, weeding must be done manually, with herbicide use limited to extreme instances of weed infestations that compromise the plant cover integrity. Weeds must be removed entirely.</td>
<td>As needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect root development. If root zone is not well developed, determine the reason (e.g., soil nutrition or soil moisture conditions) and implement remedial measures.</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projects with permanent irrigation must be inspected and irrigation dosing rates adjusted to optimize plant performance and water use efficiency.</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growing medium must be inspected for evidence of erosion from wind or water. If erosion channels are evident, a problem with the drainage system or with the green roof medium is indicated. Surface ponding or runoff must not occur except during very large rainfall events. After correcting the problem, refresh the affected areas with additional growth medium and provide temporary soil stabilization.</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manually cut detrital herbaceous vegetation from the previous growing season to four to six inches above the ground.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect drain inlet pipe and containment system.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test growing medium for soluble nitrogen content. Fertilize as needed.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the plant establishment period, maintenance staff must conduct three to four visits per year to conduct basic weeding, fertilization, and in-fill planting. Thereafter, only two annual visits for inspection and light weeding is required (irrigated assemblies will require more intensive maintenance).

Use of herbicides must be avoided to prevent root penetration of waterproofing.

Fertilization must be applied according to soil test to maintain soluble nitrogen (nitrate and ammonium ion) levels between one and four ppm. The best source of nutrients for fertilization is mature compost.

Spill prevention measures from mechanical systems located on roofs must be exercised when handling substances that can contaminate stormwater.
The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on maintenance guidance for outlet controls.
4.4 Subsurface Infiltration

Subsurface Infiltration SMP One-Sheet - download a summary of this tool, with quick reference facts for clients and developers.
Subsurface Infiltration

Description

Subsurface infiltration SMPs are typically stone beds, or basins, with storage pipes beneath landscaped or paved surfaces. Stormwater flows into the subsurface infiltration SMP where it collects within the aggregate void space and infiltrates into the surrounding soil. Dry wells, infiltration trenches, and infiltration beds are a few examples of this SMP type.

Key Advantages

• Manages stormwater runoff without occupying surface or rooftop space
• Can be sited, through flexible design options, beneath lawns and recreational areas, as well as parking lots and other impervious areas when space constraints exist
• Can be a good option to meet the Flood Control requirement for constrained sites

Key Limitations

• Can be more costly and difficult to install and maintain than surface practices like bioinfiltration SMPs
• Not appropriate for runoff with high sediment loads without aggressive pretreatment
• Require strict adherence to regularly scheduled inspections because the maintenance needs are not easily visible
• Typically results in additional maintenance costs due to access limitations and Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) requirements
• Does not improve natural aesthetics or provide the ancillary environmental benefits associated with vegetated SMPs, such as habitat creation and improved air quality

DEVELOPMENT ATTRIBUTES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction Costs</td>
<td>MODERATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations &amp; Maintenance Costs</td>
<td>MODERATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likeliness of Failure</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground-Level Encroachment</td>
<td>LOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Footprint Encroachment</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple Bottom Line Benefits</td>
<td>LOW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMPLIANCE ATTRIBUTES

- Water Quality Effluent Pollutant Load: LOW
- Water Quality Infiltration & Volume Reduction: HIGH
- Water Quality Evapotranspiration: LOW
- Water Quality Rate Control: Yes
- Channel Protection/Flow Control/PHS Rate Control: HIGH

A description of each evaluated attribute can be found in the SMP Hierarchy Ranking Criteria in Section 3.2.4.
4.4.1 Subsurface Infiltration Introduction

Subsurface infiltration stormwater management practices (SMPs) are typically stone beds, or basins, with storage pipes beneath landscaped or paved surfaces. Stormwater flows into the subsurface infiltration SMP where it collects within the aggregate void space and infiltrates into the surrounding soil. Dry wells, infiltration trenches, and infiltration beds are a few examples of this SMP type.

Subsurface infiltration SMPs can be combined with other SMPs in series to meet the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations). The designer is referred to Section 3.2.4 for information on using SMPs in series.

Design of subsurface infiltration SMPs is not limited to the examples shown within this text. Successful stormwater management plans will combine appropriate materials and designs specific to each site.

Quick Tip

Required subsurface infiltration design and material standards are denoted in this Section by easy-to-reference numerals.

![An example of a subsurface infiltration basin in Philadelphia](image)

When Can Subsurface Infiltration Be Used?

Subsurface infiltration SMPs should be considered only if surface bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs are not
feasible on-site.

Subsurface infiltration SMPs must have underlying soils that, when tested pursuant to the infiltration testing procedure described in Section 3.3, are determined to be infiltration-feasible. They can be used to manage stormwater on both small and large sites. For large sites, multiple subsurface infiltration SMPs can be integrated throughout to manage larger areas.

Subsurface infiltration SMPs are versatile SMPs suitable for many types of development, from single-family residential to high-density commercial projects. Provided that overburden loads and utility conflicts are evaluated, they can be sited beneath lawns and recreational areas, as well as parking lots and other impervious areas when space constraints exist.

**Key Advantages of Subsurface Infiltration**

- Manages stormwater runoff without occupying surface or rooftop space
- Can be sited, through flexible design options, beneath lawns and recreational areas, as well as parking lots and other impervious areas when space constraints exist
- Can be a good option to meet the Flood Control requirement for constrained sites

**Key Limitations of Subsurface Infiltration**

- Can be more costly and difficult to install and maintain than surface practices like bioinfiltration SMPs
- Not appropriate for runoff with high sediment loads without aggressive pretreatment
- Require strict adherence to regularly scheduled inspections because the maintenance needs are not easily visible
- Typically results in additional maintenance costs due to access limitations and Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) requirements
- Does not improve natural aesthetics or provide the ancillary environmental benefits associated with vegetated SMPs, such as habitat creation and improved air quality

**Key Design Considerations for Subsurface Infiltration**

- Appropriate pretreatment should be provided to remove sediment and debris before discharging to a subsurface infiltration system. A pretreatment approach should be developed based on the expected level of sediment loading and difficulty of sediment removal.
- Subsurface chambers, crates, pipes, or arches can be used to increase void space and reduce SMP footprint; however, proper analysis must be completed to ensure that loading ratio requirements are
not exceeded. Long-term maintenance must also be carefully considered when evaluating such systems.

- The system and maintenance access should be located in an area where maintenance and potential repairs can be conducted with minimal disturbance to surrounding uses.

- Structural suitability for overburden support and traffic loading should be considered, where applicable.

- Areas of soil contamination or unstable soils may need to be remediated or stabilized prior to subsurface infiltration SMP installation.

**Subsurface Infiltration Types**

Subsurface infiltration SMPs come in a variety of shapes and sizes, but commonly fit into the following three categories:

*Underground stone storage* consists of buried stone beds wrapped in geotextile that promote infiltration into subsoils. Stone storage beds provide the least amount of storage volume per unit area among the subsurface infiltration types. Removing sediment from underground stone storage is difficult, which necessitates effective pretreatment.

*Underground pipe and chamber storage* comprises perforated plastic or metal pipes, or pipe-like linear chambers, that are placed in a stone bed to provide more storage per unit volume and promote infiltration into subsoils. Various pipe dimensions and shapes can be used to optimize the storage volume to meet the specific site requirements.

*Underground plastic grid storage* consists of buried plastic structures that can be stacked and inter-connected to form various shapes and sizes. Grid systems can provide as much as 95% void space for storage of stormwater.
4.4.2 Subsurface Infiltration Components

Figure 4.4-1: Subsurface Infiltration with Typical Features

Pretreatment Component

Pretreatment systems capture trash, sediment, and/or pollutants from stormwater runoff before delivery to the infiltration area. Pretreatment needs will vary significantly depending on the contributing drainage area composition and use. Pretreatment can include structures such as sumped and trapped inlets, sediment/grit chambers or separators, media filters, inlet inserts, or other appropriate prefabricated or proprietary designs to remove sediment, floatables, and/or hydrocarbons from stormwater runoff prior to being conveyed to a subsurface infiltration SMP.

Pretreatment can also consist of filter strips, forebays, and swales. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for more information on pretreatment systems.

Inlet Control Component

Inlet control systems convey and control the flow of stormwater from the contributing catchment area to a subsurface infiltration SMP. Inlet control needs will vary depending on the design of stormwater conveyance systems and the site layout. The designer is referred to Section 3.4.2 for guidance on stormwater conveyance system design.

Inlet controls may include flow splitters, curbless design/curb openings, energy dissipaters, and inlets. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for more information on inlet controls.
Storage Area Component

Storage areas within subsurface infiltration SMPs temporarily hold stormwater runoff as it infiltrates into native soils. The storage component of a subsurface infiltration SMP is typically constructed of a stone-filled, level-bottomed bed or trench, which may or may not incorporate pipes, arches, concrete vaults, crates, plastic grids, or other proprietary structures. The void spaces between the stones and/or structures store stormwater until it can infiltrate into the surrounding soils.

Outlet Control Component

Outlet controls within a subsurface infiltration SMP can provide a range of functions, including the following:

- Controlling how much water is stored for infiltration;
- Meeting drain down time requirements;
- Controlling the rate of discharge from the SMP and limiting water surface elevations during various storm events; and/or
- Bypassing of flows from large storm events.

Outlet controls may include orifices, weirs, or level spreaders. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for more information on outlet controls.

Inspection and Maintenance Access Component

Safe and easy inspection and maintenance access to all major components within a subsurface infiltration SMP is critical to ensuring long-term performance. Access points provide access to subsurface systems, both for inspections and routine maintenance, and for pumping water out of subsurface SMPs in cases of failure or severe damage. Manholes provide access for maintenance personnel and equipment to perform maintenance and inspections. Cleanouts provide access for hoses and vacuum equipment. Observation wells provide access to the bottom of subsurface systems for performance inspections and monitoring. Access structures may also serve additional functions, such as joining subsurface pipes.

4.4.3 Subsurface Infiltration Design Standards

General Design Standards

1. The maximum allowable drain down time is 72 hours.

2. The maximum allowable directly connected impervious area (DCIA) to SMP footprint loading ratio is 8:1.

3. Positive overflow must be provided for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year, 24-hour
storm. Overflow structures and pipes must be designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm.

4. The minimum allowable distance between infiltration SMPs and any adjacent private property line is ten feet. It is acceptable for SMPs to be located directly adjacent to the public right-of-way (ROW).

5. The minimum allowable distance between infiltration SMPs and any building foundation is ten feet.

6. Infiltration requirements:
   a. The designer is referred to Section 3.3 for information on infiltration testing requirements.
   b. The SMP must be located at least two feet above any poorly infiltrating soils, seasonal high groundwater table, bedrock, or other limiting zone.
   c. For hydrologic modeling, infiltration must be applied to the horizontal surface area (SMP footprint), not the wetted area.
   d. Soils underlying infiltration practices must, when tested pursuant to the infiltration testing procedure described in Section 3.3, be determined to be infiltration feasible.
   e. Soils with rates in excess of ten inches per hour require soil amendments. During construction, upon achieving final subgrade elevations, a two-foot thick layer of amended soil must be placed across the entire cross-section of the infiltrating SMP, below the bottom elevation of the SMP, and a minimum of three infiltration tests must be performed within the amended soil layer. If soil amendments are installed and the tested infiltration rate is determined to be outside of the PWD-allowable range or varies significantly from the design infiltration rate, additional soil amendments and/or a SMP redesign will be required. The designer is referred to Section 3.3 for additional detail.

7. Structural suitability for overburden support and traffic loading must be considered, where applicable.

Pretreatment Design Standards

8. Acceptable form(s) of pretreatment must be incorporated into design. Pretreatment of runoff from all inlets is required. At a minimum, this can be achieved through the use of sumps and traps for inlets, sump boxes with traps downstream of trench drains, and filter strips for overland flow.

9. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for more information on design standards for pretreatment systems.

Inlet Control Design Standards

10. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on design standards for inlet control systems.
11. The storage area must provide static storage for the Water Quality Volume (WQv) between the bottom elevation of the SMP and the elevation of the lowest outlet, including storage voids.

12. The maximum allowable static storage volume without supporting documentation (defined below) is the runoff volume from the one-year, 24-hour storm.

13. The maximum allowable static storage volume with supporting documentation is the runoff volume from the ten-year, 24-hour storm. Requirements for supporting documentation include a letter, signed and sealed by both the geotechnical and design engineer, indicating that the proposed design is recommended, with the following components acknowledged and considered. The designer is encouraged to contact PWD for further guidance when pursuing this design.
   
   a. A summary of the long-term impacts to the neighboring properties, including, but not limited to subsidence, change in basement moisture/water, and structural damage;

   b. The location of the groundwater table;

   c. References to other projects that have successfully infiltrated more than the one-year, 24-hour storm event; and

   d. Rigorous pre-treatment to promote longevity of the infiltration SMP.

14. When SMPs are used in series, the storage areas for all SMPs must provide cumulative static storage for the WQv, but there is no minimum storage requirement for each individual SMP used in series.

15. Subsurface infiltration SMPs can be designed with additional storage beyond the WQv and with outlet controls that allow all remaining applicable Stormwater Regulations to be met.

16. Void space provided by linear chamber systems, plastic grids, or other related structures must be as specified by the manufacturer and noted in supporting documentation.

17. Bedding and Foundations:

   a. Pipe, vault, grid, and chamber storage areas must be adequately bedded with stone to prevent settling or subsidence.

   b. Bedding thickness must vary according to system requirements, but must not be less than six inches.

   c. Over-excavation and replacement of loose or unstable subsurface material may be required if such conditions are encountered. A geotechnical engineer or other appropriate design professional should be consulted for additional guidance.

   d. Foundations/footers must be provided as warranted by system loading, geotechnical conditions, and manufacturer’s recommendations. Foundation designs must be performed by an appropriate
18. The storage design must account for potential loading from vehicles, as appropriate, based on expected maximum active loading, including consideration for emergency vehicles.

19. Porosity values for storage volume calculations are as follows:
   a. Soil media: 0.20
   b. Sand: 0.30
   c. Stone: 0.40

20. Stone must be separated from soil media by a geotextile or a pea gravel filter to prevent sand, silt, and sediment from entering the system.

21. Stone storage systems must have a level bottom or use a terraced system if installed along a slope.

**Outlet Control Design Standards**

22. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on design standards for outlet control systems.

**Inspection and Maintenance Access Design Standards**

23. Cleanouts, manholes, access panels and other access features must be provided to allow unobstructed and safe access to SMPs for routine maintenance and inspection of inflow, outflow, underdrains, and storage systems.

24. Observation wells must be provided for SMPs that include stone storage and must meet the following requirements:
   a. The observation well must be placed at the invert of the stone bed.
   b. An observation well must be located near the center of the stone bed system to monitor the level and duration of water stored within the SMP (drain down time).
   c. Adequate inspection and maintenance access to the observation well must be provided.
   d. A manhole may be used in lieu of an observation well if the invert of the manhole is installed at or below the bottom of the SMP and the manhole is configured in such a way that stormwater can flow freely between the SMP and the manhole at the SMP’s invert.

25. Access features for subsurface infiltration SMPs:
   a. Access features must be provided for all underground storage SMPs that are not stone storage beds.
b. A sufficient number of access points in the SMP must be provided to efficiently inspect and maintain the infiltration area.

c. For cast-in-place vault systems, access features must consist of manholes or grated access panels or doors. Grated access panels are preferred to maintain airflow.

d. For grid storage or other manufactured systems, the manufacturer's recommendations must be followed.

e. Ladder access is required for vaults greater than four feet in height.

f. Header pipes, at minimum 36-inch diameter, connected to manholes at each corner of the subsurface infiltration SMP must be provided. Alternatively, smaller header pipes may be used if cleanouts are provided on the manifold/header pipe junction for each distribution pipe. The cleanouts must be on alternating sides of the SMP.

**Figure 4.4-2: Subsurface Infiltration Basin (Pipe in Stone) Standard Detail**

(Download CAD File)

---

### 4.4.4 Subsurface Infiltration Material Standards

#### Pretreatment Material Standards

1. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for information on materials standards for pretreatment systems.

#### Inlet Control Material Standards

2. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on material standards for inlet control systems.
**Storage Area Material Standards**

3. Stone designed for stormwater storage must be uniformly graded, crushed, clean-washed stone. PWD defines "clean-washed" as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) T-11 wash loss test. AASHTO No. 3 and No. 57 stone can meet this specification.

4. Sand, if used, must be AASHTO M-6 or ASTM C-33 sand and must have a grain size of 0.02 inches to 0.04 inches.

5. Storage Pipes:
   a. Pipe used within the subsurface infiltration SMP must be continuously perforated and have a smooth interior with a minimum inner diameter of four inches.
   b. High-density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe must meet the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S.
   c. Any pipe materials outside the SMP are to meet City Plumbing Code Standards.

6. Geotextile must consist of polypropylene fibers and meet the following specifications (AASHTO Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended):
   a. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): ≥ 120 lbs
   b. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): ≥ 225 psi
   c. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): ≥ 95 gal/min/ft²
   d. UV Resistance after 500 hrs (ASTM-D4355): ≥ 70%
   e. Heat-set or heat-calendared fabrics are not permitted

**Outlet Control Material Standards**

7. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on material standards for outlet control systems.

**Inspection and Maintenance Access Material Standards**

8. Observation wells must consist of perforated plastic pipe with a minimum inner diameter of six inches.

9. Cleanouts must be made of rigid material with a smooth interior having a minimum inner diameter of four inches.
4.4.5 Subsurface Infiltration Construction Guidance

Proper construction and careful consideration of soil compaction, infiltration performance, and sedimentation control of subsurface infiltration SMPs are essential to ensure long-term functionality and reduce long-term maintenance needs and costs. Since subsurface infiltration SMPs are, by definition, buried, construction oversight is critical. At a minimum, verification of volumes, grades, and elevations must be performed prior to backfill.

![An example of a subsurface infiltration basin installation in Philadelphia](image)

1. Areas for proposed subsurface infiltration SMPs must be physically marked as heavy equipment exclusion zones prior to any land-disturbing activities to avoid soil disturbance and compaction during construction. Install construction fencing around subsurface infiltration areas. If areas are compacted during construction, additional infiltration testing and potential redesign efforts may be required.

2. Provide erosion and sedimentation control protection on the site such that construction runoff is directed away from the proposed subsurface infiltration SMP. Sediment deposited in a subsurface infiltration SMP during construction, particularly a stone bed, can significantly reduce SMP performance. The designer is referred to the latest edition of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual for information on design standards for erosion and sedimentation control practices.

3. Infiltration areas may not be used as sediment traps during construction, unless at least two feet of soil are left in place while the area is serving as a sediment trap and subsequently removed during
construction after the contributing drainage areas have been stabilized.

4. Complete site elevation grading and stabilize all disturbed soil. Stabilization of disturbed areas must be implemented before finalizing the subsurface infiltration SMP’s excavation and construction.

5. Excavate subsurface infiltration area to proposed depth and manually grade and scarify the existing soil surface. The bottom of the infiltration bed must be at a level grade.

6. Existing subgrade must NOT be compacted or subject to excessive construction equipment prior to placement of geotextile and stone bed. The use of machinery to load stone from outside of the infiltration bed footprint is recommended. Stone should be carefully placed, not dumped, in the infiltration bed. If it is essential that equipment be used in the excavated area, all equipment must be low ground pressure equipment and approved by PWD. Use of equipment with narrow tracks or tires, rubber tires with large lugs, or high pressure tires will cause excessive compaction and must not be used. Should the subgrade be compacted during construction, additional testing of soil infiltration rates and SMP redesign may be required. Rock construction entrances must not be located on top of areas proposed for infiltration practices.

7. Place geotextile and stone aggregate immediately after approval of subgrade preparation to prevent accumulation of debris or sediment. Prevent runoff and sediment from entering the infiltration bed during the placement of the geotextile and aggregate bed.

8. Place geotextile in accordance with manufacturer’s standards and recommendations. Secure geotextile at least four feet outside of bed. Adjacent strips of filter fabric must overlap a minimum of 16 inches.

9. Install aggregate course in lifts of six to eight inches. Lightly compact each layer with equipment, keeping equipment movement over storage bed subgrades to a minimum. Install aggregate to grades indicated on the drawings.

10. All stone that makes up the infiltration SMP must remain free of sediment. If sediment enters the stone, the contractor may be required to remove the sediment and replace with clean washed stone.

11. Confirm and document invert elevations and dimensions for all structures such as chambers and pipes prior to backfill.

12. Backfill to finished grade. Ensure backfill is properly compacted in accordance with specifications. Ensure backfill process does not disrupt pipe placement and configuration.

13. Structures such as inlet boxes, reinforced concrete boxes, inlet controls, and outlet controls must be constructed according to manufacturer’s guidelines or design professional’s guidance.

14. Complete surface grading above subsurface infiltration SMP, using suitable equipment to avoid excess compaction.

15. Once the site is permanently stabilized with vegetation, remove temporary erosion and sediment
4.4.6 Subsurface Infiltration Maintenance Guidance

Maintenance of subsurface infiltration SMPs focuses on the periodic removal of sediment and debris from pretreatment and storage areas. Sediment removal from vaults, chambers, and pipes is typically conducted using vacuum or flushing systems. Guidance on the use and operation of vacuum or flushing sediment removal equipment is beyond the scope of this Manual; a maintenance professional should be contacted for additional details. As applicable, subsurface SMP maintenance procedures must meet OSHA confined space entry requirements.

General recommended maintenance activities for subsurface infiltration SMPs are summarized in Table 4.4-1.

**Table 4.4-1: Subsurface Infiltration Maintenance Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspect erosion control and flow spreading devices until soil settlement and vegetative establishment of contributing areas has occurred.</td>
<td>Biweekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect inlet controls, outlet structures, and storage areas for trash and sediment accumulation.</td>
<td>Monthly for the first year after installation to determine ongoing maintenance frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing Maintenance Activity</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regularly clean out gutters and catch basins to reduce sediment load to infiltration SMP.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean intermediate sump boxes, replace filters, and otherwise clean pretreatment areas in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>directly connected systems.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove sediment and debris from subsurface infiltration SMP sedimentation chamber, as</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>applicable, when the sediment zone is 3/4 full.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove sediment and debris from pipe/vault systems. Sediment depth is not to reach a</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maximum depth of four inches below the SMP’s outlet invert elevation. Removal of sediment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from grid systems must be per manufacturer’s recommendations or as per the site-specific</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maintenance plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect subsurface infiltration facility and control structures.</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove floating debris and accumulated petroleum products.</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate the drain down time of the SMP after a storm of at least one inch to ensure a SMP</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>drain down time of less than 72 hours.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain records of all inspections and maintenance activity.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, and Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on maintenance guidance for pretreatment, inlet controls, and outlet controls.
4.5 Cisterns

Cisterns SMP One-Sheet - download a summary of this tool, with quick reference facts for clients and developers.
Cisterns

Description

Cisterns are storage tanks, located either above or below ground, that hold rainwater for beneficial reuse. Cisterns are multi-function systems that help to meet the Stormwater Regulations and collect water for reuse. Rainwater may be collected from rooftops or other impervious surfaces and conveyed to cisterns for storage. Stored water may drain by gravity or be pumped to its ultimate end use.

Key Advantages

• Can be used to provide rate control within small/constrained spaces
• Decrease demand on the municipal water supply and water costs for the end user, when used as part of a rainwater harvesting system in accordance with City, State, and Federal code restrictions
• Can be sited, through flexible design options, beneath lawns, recreational areas, parking lots, other impervious areas, or within buildings when space constraints exist
• Provide educational benefits, especially at public and/or highly visible sites

Key Limitations

• May not be able to fully meet the Water Quality requirement
• Limited to circumstances where there is a year-round water demand that can replenish storage capacity between storms
• May be subject to additional City, State, and Federal code restrictions
• Require draining before a freeze when located on the surface, to prevent structural damage
• Require strict adherence to regularly scheduled inspections because the maintenance needs are not easily visible
• Does not improve aesthetics or provide the ancillary environmental benefits associated with vegetated SMPs, such as habitat creation and improved air quality

A description of each evaluated attribute can be found in the SMP Hierarchy Ranking Criteria in Section 3.2.4.
4.5.1 Cistern Introduction

Cisterns are storage tanks, located either above or below ground, that hold rainwater for beneficial reuse. Cisterns are multi-function systems that help to meet the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations) and collect water for reuse. Rainwater may be collected from rooftops or other impervious surfaces and conveyed to cisterns for storage. Stored water may drain by gravity or be pumped to its ultimate end use. This process, often referred to as rainwater harvesting, may include the use of captured water as permitted by local plumbing and building codes for:

- Exterior washing (e.g., car washes, building facades, sidewalks);
- Make-up water for mechanical systems (e.g., cooling towers, condensate make-up);
- Ornamental water fountains;
- Toilet and urinal flushing;
- Laundry; and/or
- Other uses, as approved.

Irrigation as a use for runoff stored in a cistern is not an acceptable strategy for meeting the Stormwater Regulations.

Sizing considerations for cisterns include both the frequency and volume of water usage demand, if applicable, and the frequency and volume of supply. Cisterns may also be sized to provide detention/flow control along with water conservation as a hybrid system.

Cisterns only provide an effective flow control function if the stored water is partially or fully used (or emptied) between storms. This function restores available storage volume within the cistern in advance of the next storm. For example, unless it is oversized, a fire storage system provides no meaningful stormwater benefit if it is fed by rainwater because fire storage systems must always be full, in case there is a fire.

Cisterns can be combined with other stormwater management practices (SMPs) in series to meet the Stormwater Regulations. The designer is referred to Section 3.2.4 for information on using SMPs in series.

The design recommendations within this Section are to serve as guidance only. Site-specific parameters, such as anticipated water demand, will dictate alternative designs and calculations which will be reviewed.
accordingly.

An example of a surface cistern in Philadelphia

An example of a subsurface cistern in Philadelphia

**When Can Cisterns Be Used?**

Cisterns can be used as part of a comprehensive stormwater compliance and reuse strategy for residential, commercial, and industrial areas. They are typically located within or adjacent to buildings. In addition to above-ground cisterns, rainwater harvesting systems can be sited beneath lawns, recreational areas, parking lots, and other hardscape surfaces, provided that overburden loads and utility conflicts are evaluated.
Key Advantages of Cisterns

- Can be used to provide rate control within small/constrained spaces
- Decrease demand on the municipal water supply and water costs for the end user, when used as part of a rainwater harvesting system in accordance with City, State, and Federal code restrictions
- Can be sited, through flexible design options, beneath lawns, recreational areas, parking lots, other impervious areas, or within buildings when space constraints exist
- Provide educational benefits, especially at public and/or highly visible sites

Key Limitations of Cisterns

- May not be able to fully meet the Water Quality requirement
- Limited to circumstances where there is a year-round water demand that can replenish storage capacity between storms
- May be subject to additional City, State, and Federal code restrictions
- Require draining before a freeze when located on the surface, to prevent structural damage
- Require strict adherence to regularly scheduled inspections because the maintenance needs are not easily visible
- Does not improve aesthetics or provide the ancillary environmental benefits associated with vegetated SMPs, such as habitat creation and improved air quality

Key Design Considerations for Cisterns

- The specific end use of harvested rainwater can influence other design considerations, including cistern location, type of treatment, and delivery and distribution, among others.
- If a cistern is used for rainwater harvesting, a distribution system is needed to deliver stored water to its ultimate end use. It is often best to locate a cistern close to the building or drainage area to limit the amount of pipe needed for delivery or distribution of water. Pumps can be used to convey stored rainwater to the end use. When the water is being routed from the cistern to the inside of a building for non-potable use, pumps can be used to feed a much smaller pressure tank inside the building, which then serves the internal water demands. Cisterns can also use gravity flow to accommodate indoor residential uses (e.g., laundry) that do not require high water pressure.
- Depending on the intended use for the captured water, the level of treatment can vary. For some non-potable uses, filtration can be limited to sediment removal. Other uses may require treatment to remove microorganisms via physical filtration and disinfection.
• Cisterns can be placed underground, indoors, adjacent to buildings, and on rooftops that are structurally designed to support the added weight. The designer can work with architects and landscape architects to strategically site the cisterns. If cisterns are sited near the ultimate end use, costly distribution systems can be minimized.

• Rainwater harvesting is most effective when the volume and frequency of rainfall and the size of the contributing drainage area can generate sufficient water for the ultimate end use. Rooftops are most often targeted for rainwater harvesting systems. Rainwater can also be harvested from other impervious surfaces, such as parking lots; however, this typically requires more extensive treatment prior to use. Water Quality compliance is largely a function of the type of material covering the drainage area.

Cistern Types

Cisterns can be distinguished by their location and by the type of water use they support. With respect to location, cisterns can be categorized in two ways.

*Surface tank* systems can be sited on rooftops or integrated into commercial sites. They may drain by gravity or be pumped.

*Subsurface tank* systems are typically pumped. Because the cisterns are below the surface, they do not interfere with the landscape.

With respect to use of stored water, rainwater harvesting systems can be categorized in three ways.

Runoff can be captured and stored for *outdoor use*, including exterior washing and architectural water features. Irrigation as a use for runoff stored in a cistern is not an acceptable strategy for meeting the Stormwater Regulations.

Runoff can be captured and stored for *indoor use* if properly treated and managed. A non-potable rainwater harvesting system cannot be directly connected to the potable plumbing of a building. The non-potable plumbing needs to be kept separate and properly labeled. With more extensive treatment, rainwater may be used for drinking purposes. The designer should seek professional guidance on the rules governing non-public potable water.

When a specific use for harvested rainwater cannot be identified or when the supply of harvested rainwater significantly exceeds demand, then a *hybrid system* using both water conservation and a delayed discharge to a down-gradient pervious area or another SMP can be considered.
4.5.2 Cistern Components

Figure 4.5-1: Surface Cistern with Typical Features

Pretreatment Component

Pretreatment systems capture trash, sediment, and/or other pollutants from stormwater runoff before delivery to the storage area. Pretreatment needs will vary significantly depending on the contributing drainage area composition and use. Pretreatment can include structures such as sumped and trapped inlets, sediment/grit chambers, media filters, inlet inserts, or other appropriate prefabricated or proprietary designs to remove sediment, floatables, and/or hydrocarbons from stormwater runoff prior to being conveyed to a cistern. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for more information on pretreatment systems.

The following pretreatment options are often used with cisterns that manage roof runoff:
Gutter screening – All larger debris (e.g., leaves and twigs) must be screened at the gutter, roof, or at the edge of the impervious surface.

Prefiltration devices – Prefiltration devices prevent smaller particles from entering the cistern. The choice of the proper type of prefiltration device depends on cistern configuration and rainfall patterns.

- **First flush diverters** route the first flow, or flush, of water from the catchment surface away from the cistern prior to entry into the tank. While gutter screens are effective at removing larger debris such as leaves and twigs, first flush diverters can be used to remove smaller contaminants such as dust, pollen, bacteria from insect and animal droppings, and other harmful contaminants. Options for first flush can include a standpipe with slow drainage and a floating ball that seals off the top of the diverter pipe, among others.

- **Roof washers** are placed just ahead of cisterns and are used to filter small debris from harvested rainwater. Roof washers use a small tank, usually between 25 and 50 gallons in size, with leaf strainers and a filter with openings as small as 30 microns. The filter functions to remove very small particulate matter from harvested rainwater. All roof washers must be cleaned on a regular basis.

- **Rainwater pre-filters** screen rainwater before it enters the cistern on a continuous flow basis. These screens are preferable to first flush diverters and roof washers because they have significantly higher collection efficiencies.

The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for more information on pretreatment systems.

**Inlet Control Component**

Inlet control systems convey and control the flow of stormwater from the contributing catchment area to a cistern. Inlet control needs will vary depending on the design of stormwater conveyance systems and the site layout. The designer is referred to Section 3.4.2 for guidance on stormwater conveyance system design. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for more information on inlet controls.

**Storage Area Component**

The storage component is the cistern, or tank, itself, which temporarily holds stormwater runoff before it can either be reused or be released downstream at a controlled rate, depending on the system design. Cistern capacities generally range from 50 to 50,000 gallons, but they can be as large as 100,000 gallons or more for larger projects. Multiple cisterns can be connected in series to balance water levels and to tailor to the storage volume needed.
Outlet Control Component

Outlet controls within a cistern control the amount of water that is stored for reuse and the rate at which the excess water is discharged from the cistern. These structures can provide a range of functions, including the following:

- Controlling how much water is stored for reuse;
- Meeting drain down time requirements;
- Controlling the rate of discharge from the SMP and limiting water surface elevations during various storm events; and/or
- Bypassing of flows from large storm events.

Outlet controls may include orifices and weirs. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for more information on outlet controls.

Inspection and Maintenance Access Component

Safe and easy inspection and maintenance access to all major components within a cistern is critical to ensuring long-term performance. Inspection and maintenance access structures provide a portal to subsurface structures within a cistern. They most commonly consist of a panel, port, or manhole. Access points provide access to subsurface cisterns, both for inspections and routine maintenance, and for pumping water out of subsurface cisterns in cases of failure or severe damage. Manholes provide access for maintenance personnel and equipment to perform maintenance and inspections. Cleanouts provide access for hoses and vacuum equipment. Observation wells provide access to the bottom of subsurface cisterns for performance inspections and monitoring. Access structures may also serve additional functions, such as joining subsurface pipes. The design of water distribution systems, internal plumbing systems, and water treatment systems required for rainwater harvesting end uses is beyond the scope of this Manual.
4.5.3 Cistern Design Standards

General Design Standards

1. The maximum allowable drain down/withdrawal time for any portion of storage intended to meet the Water Quality requirement is 72 hours.

2. Positive overflow must be provided for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year, 24-hour storm. Overflow structures and pipes must be designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm.

3. The minimum allowable freeboard above maximum ponding depth is four inches or the diameter of the outlet pipe, whichever is greater.

4. The soil bearing capacity or foundation upon which the cistern will be placed must be considered, as full cisterns can be very heavy. This is particularly important for above-ground cisterns, as significant
settling could cause the cistern to lean or be damaged.

5. Designers must consult the City’s Building and Plumbing Codes (administered by the City of Philadelphia Department of Licenses and Inspections [L&I]) to determine the allowable indoor uses and pipe labeling and routing (i.e., separate stud bays), and State and Federal codes for required treatment and management of harvested rainwater.

6. In cases where a municipal backup supply is used, rainwater harvesting systems must have backflow preventers or air gaps to keep non-potable harvested water separate from the potable water supply. Distribution and waste pipes, internal to the building, must be designated as such per building and plumbing codes (administered by L&I).

**Pretreatment Design Standards**

7. Pretreatment of runoff from all inlets is required to keep sediment, leaves, contaminants, and other debris from the system. The purpose of pretreatment is to maintain functionality and cleanliness of pumps and to significantly cut down on maintenance by preventing organic buildup in the cistern, thereby decreasing microbial food sources.

8. Acceptable form(s) of pretreatment include, at a minimum, sumps and traps for inlets, sump boxes with traps downstream of trench drains, and filter strips for overland flow. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for more information on design standards for pretreatment systems.

9. Screening:

   a. Gutters and downspouts must be fitted with leaf/debris screens along the entire length of the gutter leading to the cistern tank. Leaf/debris screens must be made from a corrosion-resistant material with screen openings in the range of 0.25 inches to 0.50 inches. Leaf screens must be inspected on a regular basis to prevent accumulated leaves and debris from clogging the gutter openings.

   b. All inlets and vents to a cistern must be protected by 1/6-inch stainless steel mesh screens, which keep insects, vermin, leaves and other debris from entering the cistern.

10. First-Flush Diverter:

    a. Approximately one to two gallons of water per 100 square feet of roof collection surface must be diverted to the first-flush chamber instead of the cistern tank.

    b. Once the first-flush chamber is full, the remainder of the stormwater is directed to the cistern tank. A slow release control valve or drip system is typically included in the design to empty the first-flush chamber automatically in between storm events.

    c. The first-flush diverter system must include an accessible cleanout.
Inlet Control Design Standards

11. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on design standards for inlet control systems.

Storage Area Design Standards

12. The storage area must provide adequate storage for the Water Quality Volume (WQv) between the overflow elevation and the controlling low flow orifice elevation. If the water reuse demand is less than the WQv, and only a portion of the WQv drains down or is withdrawn in 72 hours, only that portion of volume will be considered for compliance, and the remainder of the WQv must be managed by an additional SMP in series. The designer is referred to Section 3.2.4 for information on using SMPs in series. Any portion of the storage that will not drain down or be withdrawn within 72 hours must be excluded from the system’s storage volume estimation.

13. When SMPs are used in series, the storage areas for all SMPs must provide cumulative static storage for the WQv, but there is no minimum storage requirement for each individual SMP used in series.

14. Cisterns can be designed with additional storage beyond the WQv and with outlet controls that allow all remaining applicable Stormwater Regulations to be met.

15. Opportunities and areas where water can be reused to meet indoor use needs must be identified. The rate at which water can be reused must be estimated. If the process of reuse is proposed to meet the Water Quality requirement, the WQv must be used in the first 72 hours after the storm event. Detailed calculations to demonstrate the anticipated daily, 72-hour, and monthly water use must be provided. For toilet use, volume must be calculated based on the number of flushes per day multiplied by gallons per flush.

16. When cisterns are used to support on-site reuse, additional volume may be required (in addition to that required for Water Quality). A rough estimate may be obtained by performing a weekly water balance of rainfall and water reuse. Table 4.5-1 below lists average monthly rainfall amounts at the Philadelphia International Airport. The difference on a weekly basis between rainfall depth and water depth needed must be estimated. This deficit must be multiplied by the roof drainage area to obtain an estimate of the cistern volume needed. The designer may choose to do more rigorous analysis using a long-term daily or hourly rainfall record, or a drier than average year.
Table 4.5-1: Average Monthly Rainfall at the Philadelphia International Airport Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Average Precipitation (inches)</th>
<th>Average Temperature (°F)</th>
<th>Potential Evaporation (inches per month)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>42.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>61.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>66.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>58.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>46.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. Cisterns must be watertight and must be sealed using a water-safe, non-toxic substance.

18. Bedding and Foundations:
   a. Cistern storage areas must be adequately bedded with stone to prevent settling or subsidence.
   b. Bedding thickness must vary according to system requirements, but must not be less than six inches.
   c. Over-excavation and replacement of loose or unstable subsurface material may be required if these conditions are encountered. A geotechnical engineer or other appropriate design professional must be consulted for additional guidance.
   d. Foundations/footers must be provided as warranted by system loading, geotechnical conditions, and manufacturer's recommendations. Foundation designs must be performed by an appropriate design professional.
19. The storage design must account for the potential loading from vehicles, as appropriate, based on expected maximum active loading, including consideration for emergency vehicles.

**Outlet Control Design Standards**

20. Cisterns must provide for overflow or bypass of large storm events. The overflow from cisterns can occur through a hose, weir, pipe, or other mechanism. Overflow conveyance must have a capacity equal to or greater than the inflow pipe(s) and have a diameter and slope sufficient to drain the cistern while maintaining an adequate freeboard height. The overflow conveyance must be screened to prevent access to the cistern by small mammals and birds. The discharge from the overflow must be directed to an acceptable flow path that will not cause erosion. The outlet of the cistern to the pump is typically provided by a floating screened suction device on a hose.

21. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for additional information on design standards for outlet control systems.

**Inspection and Maintenance Access Design Standards**

22. Cleanouts, manholes, access panels and other access features must be provided to allow unobstructed and safe access to SMPs for routine maintenance and inspection of inflow, outflow, underdrains, and storage systems.

23. Access features for subsurface cisterns:
   a. Access features must be provided for all subsurface cisterns.
   b. A sufficient number of access points in the system must be provided to efficiently inspect and maintain the storage area.
   c. For cast-in-place vault systems, access features must consist of manholes or grated access panels or doors. Grated access panels are preferred to maintain airflow.
   d. For manufactured systems, the manufacturer's recommendations must be followed.
   e. Ladder access is required for vaults greater than four feet in height.
   f. The access opening must be installed in such a way as to prevent surface or groundwater from entering through the top of any fittings, and it must be secured/locked to prevent unwanted entry.
4.5.4 Cistern Material Standards

Pretreatment Material Standards

1. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for information on materials standards for pretreatment systems.

Inlet Control Material Standards

2. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on material standards for inlet control systems.

Storage Area Material Standards

3. Cisterns may be constructed of fiberglass, concrete, plastic, brick, or other materials. Subsurface cisterns may be poured concrete or prefabricated plastic tanks similar to septic tanks. Pre-manufactured tanks should have a watertight rating as issued by the tank manufacturer. Non-galvanized steel, wood, or other products prone to environmental corrosion/decay are not approved for use. Other material types may be approved for use on a case-by-case basis.

4. Proprietary products that store water in a variety of structures are also available. Some of these are designed to bear the weight of vehicles. With the addition of an impervious liner, many of the designs discussed in Section 4.4, Subsurface Infiltration, and Section 4.8, Subsurface Detention, can be modified to serve as reuse systems.

5. Cisterns must be opaque or otherwise shielded to prevent the growth of algae.

Outlet Control Material Standards

6. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on material standards for outlet control systems.

Inspection and Maintenance Access Material Standards

7. Cleanouts must be made of rigid material with a smooth interior having a minimum inner diameter of four inches.

8. The first-flush diverter system must include an accessible cleanout.

9. Serviceways must consist of manhole openings with lockable manhole covers. Depending on the size of the cistern, multiple serviceway openings are recommended to support inspection, repair, and cleaning.
4.5.5 Cistern Construction Guidance

Proper construction of cisterns is essential to ensure long-term functionality and reduce long-term maintenance needs. A standard construction sequence for proper cistern system installation is provided below. This can be modified to reflect different cistern system applications or expected site conditions.

1. Install temporary flow diversion.

2. Install cistern as per manufacturer’s guidelines or design professional’s specifications. For subsurface cisterns, the designer is referred to Construction Guidance in Section 4.8, Subsurface Detention.

3. Install downstream SMPs, if applicable.

4. Install outlet control systems as per manufacturer’s guidelines or design professional’s specifications. The designer is referred to Section 4.12 for Outlet Controls construction guidance.

5. Install pretreatment systems and inlet controls as per manufacturer’s guidelines or design professional’s specifications. Use temporary flow diversion or inlet protection to restrict runoff from unstabilized areas to reach the cistern. The designer is referred to Section 4.11 for Inlet Controls construction guidance.

6. Once site is permanently stabilized, remove temporary flow diversion and/or erosion and sediment control measures to allow stormwater flow to the cistern.

An example of a subsurface cistern installation in Philadelphia
4.5.6 Cistern Maintenance Guidance

Maintenance of cisterns focuses on the periodic removal of sediment and debris from pretreatment and storage areas. Sediment removal from tanks and pipes is typically conducted using vacuum or flushing systems. Guidance on the use and operation of vacuum or flushing sediment removal equipment is beyond the scope of this Manual; a maintenance professional should be contacted for additional details. As applicable, cistern maintenance procedures must meet Occupational Safety and Health Administration confined space entry requirements.

General recommended maintenance activities for cisterns are summarized in Table 4.5-2.

Table 4.5-2: Cistern Maintenance Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspect inlet structures, outlet structures, and storage areas for trash and sediment accumulation.</td>
<td>Monthly for the first year after installation to determine ongoing maintenance frequency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ongoing Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regularly clean out gutters, gutter screening, first-flush chamber, and catch basins to reduce sediment load to the cistern. Clean intermediate sump boxes, replace filters, and otherwise clean pretreatment areas in directly connected systems.</td>
<td>As needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove sediment and debris from cisterns according to the manufacturer’s recommendations or the site-specific maintenance plan.</td>
<td>As needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test sediment for toxicants in compliance with current disposal requirements if land uses in the catchment include commercial or industrial zones, or if indications of pollution are present.</td>
<td>As needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brush the inside surfaces and thoroughly disinfect.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior to freezing weather, to avoid structural damage perform winterization of cisterns as per manufacturer’s requirements or design professional’s specifications.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect cistern and control structures.</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove floating debris and accumulated petroleum products.</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain records of inspections and maintenance activity.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Periodic inspections and maintenance of cisterns must be conducted by a qualified professional. Maintenance requirements for cisterns that are part of rainwater harvesting systems vary according to reuse. Detailed
maintenance guidance for rainwater harvesting systems is beyond the scope of this Manual.

The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, and Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on maintenance guidance for pretreatment, inlet controls, and outlet controls.
4.6 Blue Roofs

Blue Roofs SMP One-Sheet - download a summary of this tool, with quick reference facts for clients and developers.
Blue Roofs

Description

Blue roofs, also known as controlled flow roof drain systems, are detention SMPs that provide temporary storage and slow release of rainwater on a rooftop. Blue roof systems are an effective practice for controlling runoff from buildings with flat or mildly sloped roof surfaces. On blue roofs, water is temporarily detained on the roof surface using rooftop check dams or roof drain restrictors. In all cases, outflow is controlled using orifices prior to discharge, which is typically directed to the building’s storm drains, scuppers, or downspouts.

Key Advantages

• Manage stormwater runoff without occupying surface-level space
• Well-suited for sites at which roofs make up a large fraction of the total impervious area and for sites with ground-level space constraints
• Easy to install if structural and waterproofing requirements are met
• Can cost less than other SMPs

Key Limitations

• Require regular inspection and maintenance of roof surface and roof drains
• Require strict adherence to regularly scheduled inspections because the maintenance needs are not easily visible
• May have limited storage capacity with slopes greater than 2%
• Offer limited benefit on sites where roof area makes up only a small fraction of the total impervious area
• Do not improve aesthetics or provide the ancillary environmental benefits associated with vegetated SMPs, such as habitat creation and improved air quality

DEVELOPMENT ATTRIBUTES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction Costs</th>
<th>MODERATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operations &amp; Maintenance Costs</td>
<td>LOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likeliness of Failure</td>
<td>MODERATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground-Level Encroachment</td>
<td>LOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Footprint Encroachment</td>
<td>LOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple Bottom Line Benefits</td>
<td>MODERATE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A description of each evaluated attribute can be found in the SMP Hierarchy Ranking Criteria in Section 3.2.4.
4.6.1 Blue Roof Introduction

Blue roofs, also known as controlled flow roof drain systems, are detention stormwater management practices (SMPs) that provide temporary storage and slow release of rainwater on a rooftop. Blue roof systems are an effective practice for controlling runoff from buildings with flat or mildly sloped roof surfaces. On blue roofs, water is temporarily detained on the roof surface using rooftop check dams or roof drain restrictors. In all cases, outflow is controlled using orifices prior to discharge, which is typically directed to the building’s storm drains, scuppers, or downspouts.

Since blue roofs function through detention and slow release alone, they neither add nor remove contaminants from stormwater; however, in a combined sewer area, they are acceptable pollutant-reducing practices for non-infiltrating Water Quality compliance. Blue roofs may also enable compliance with all remaining applicable Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations), depending on outlet control sizing and structural and feasibility constraints. The designer is referred to Section 3.2.4 for information on using SMPs in series.

The design of blue roofs is not limited to the examples shown within this text. Successful stormwater management plans will combine appropriate materials and designs specific to each site.

An example of a blue roof in Philadelphia
When Can Blue Roofs Be Used?

In combined sewer areas where infiltration is not feasible, blue roofs can be used to meet Water Quality release rate and pollutant-reducing requirements.

A blue roof can be considered for installation on a fully built-out or highly-constrained site. They can be installed on many types of roofs, including terraces, high-rise building roofs, and low podium or at-grade on-structure installations.

Blue roofs can also be installed as a Stormwater Retrofit on existing buildings with flat, mildly sloped, or terraced roofs after confirmation of adequate structural loading capacity, waterproofing, and protection of rooftop utilities.

Key Advantages of Blue Roofs

- Manage stormwater runoff without occupying surface-level space
- Well-suited for sites at which roofs make up a large fraction of the total impervious area and for sites with ground-level space constraints
- Typically require no additional sewer connections besides the ones already provided for the building
- Easy to install if structural and waterproofing requirements are met
- Readily coupled with other SMPs, such as green roofs
- Can cost less than other SMPs
- Require no excavation
- Can provide educational benefits, especially when used at public and/or highly visible sites such as schools, recreation centers, libraries, etc.

Key Limitations of Blue Roofs

- Require regular inspection and maintenance of roof surface and roof drains, especially following high winds, as algae and debris can develop around roof drains and limit the rate of discharge
- Require strict adherence to regularly scheduled inspections because the maintenance needs are not easily visible
- May have limited storage capacity with slopes greater than 2%, likely necessitating installation of roof check dams and/or terraces to meet release rate requirements
- May result in increased cost or limited Stormwater Retrofit opportunities for existing buildings and structures due to additional loading associated with ponded water on roof

- Offer limited benefit on sites where roof area makes up only a small fraction of the total impervious area

- Do not improve aesthetics or provide the ancillary environmental benefits associated with vegetated SMPs, such as habitat creation and improved air quality

**Key Design Considerations for Blue Roofs**

- Blue roof systems utilizing controlled flow roof drains generally require flat or nearly flat roofs (e.g., less than 2% slope). It may be feasible to use check dams when slopes are higher than 2%.

- All building mechanical systems, roof furniture, and other appurtenances installed on the roof should not be compromised by roof ponding during rain events and should not cause damage to the roof membrane.

- Blue roof drains should be located away from overhead trees, if possible, to prevent leaf litter that would result in the clogging of the drains and additional or prolonged ponding on the rooftop.

- In order to prevent damage to the waterproofing membrane, access to the roof should be limited to maintenance needs only. Pedestal pavers can be used to separate the blue roof from the walking surface.

- It is recommended that an easily accessible vantage point be created so as to facilitate visual inspection of the blue roof system after rain events. If the storage volume has not drained within the intended drain down time, a more thorough inspection of the roof surface and/or outlet controls should be performed.

**Blue Roof Types**

On roofs with a parapet, *roof drain restrictor systems* detain water on the roof, with eventual discharge to the existing roof drain.

*Roof check dam systems* detain rainwater on rooftops or sections of rooftops without a parapet to increase storage volumes over roof areas with greater than 2% slope. These dams create temporary ponding areas during rain events before slowly discharging to the roof drain.
4.6.2 Blue Roof Components

Figure 4.6-1: Blue Roof with Typical Features

Inlet Control Component

Blue roofs that receive direct (1:1) rainfall only do not have inlet controls. For blue roofs that receive runoff from adjacent roof directly connected impervious area (DCIA), including additional roof levels, inlet control systems convey and control the flow of stormwater from the contributing catchment area to the SMP. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for more information on inlet controls.

Storage Area Component

Blue roof storage areas temporarily hold stormwater until it can either evaporate or be released downstream at a controlled rate. The area dedicated to storage is dependent on the chosen blue roof system type.

Storage in roof drain restrictor systems is determined by the roof slope and geometry relative to the height of both the restrictors and parapets. The bulk volume occupied by all building mechanical systems, roof furniture, and appurtenances must also be factored into the storage volume calculations.

Storage in roof check dam systems is determined by the roof slope and associated area dedicated to ponding behind the dams. The bulk volume occupied by all building mechanical systems, roof furniture, and appurtenances must also be factored into the storage volume calculations.

Blue roof storage areas are underlain by a waterproofing membrane. Numerous waterproofing membrane
systems exist, including modified bitumen roofing (MBR), waterproof types of single-ply roofing, metal roof panels, spray polyurethane foam roofing, synthetic rubber membranes, thermoplastic membranes, and liquid-applied (including polyurethane-based and polymer-modified bituminous products) roofing. While high quality MBR systems (multiple MBR sheets tiled to reduce seam susceptibility) are suitable for blue roof usage, lower quality MBR systems (multiple layers of asphaltic sheets) are not recommended due to their seams that allow water to penetrate.

The durability and lack of seams achievable with a hot fluid applied, rubberized asphalt, fabric reinforced roofing system is well-suited for blue roofs. Cold liquid-applied systems are equally effective due to strict regulations on the use of the propane-fired devices for hot fluid systems.

**Outlet Control Component**

Outlet controls within a blue roof system can provide a range of functions, including the following:

- Meeting drain down time requirements;
- Controlling the rate of discharge from the SMP and limiting water surface elevations during various storm events; and/or
- Bypassing of flows from large storm events.

Outlet controls may include orifices, weirs, roof restrictors, risers, or impervious liners. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for more information on outlet controls.

Roof drain restrictor blue roofs use a roof drain restrictor that is placed over the roof drain. These devices restrict flow through an orifice within the drain assembly, causing temporary ponding on the roof. They are typically purchased commercially through a manufacturer. Alternatively, a manufacturer can customize an orifice size for a specific development. The number and sizing of weirs and orifices are based on a predetermined relationship between the water depth approaching the drain and the flow rate entering the drain. The overflow mechanism of the device determines the maximum ponding depth.

For roof check dam systems, perforated aluminum T-section dams have been used to retain and slow release rainwater. These inverted T-sections are weather sealed and secured to the roof structure, creating ponding areas behind the dam. Perforations in the dam allow for slow release. If not commercially available, these dams can be easily fabricated.

**Inspection and Maintenance Access Component**

Safe and easy inspection and maintenance access to all major components within a blue roof system is critical to ensuring long-term performance. Dependent on roof height and slope, blue roof inspection and maintenance access components may consist of permanent or temporary safety monitoring systems,
guardrail and safety net systems, warning line systems, and/or personal fall arrest systems. Inspection and maintenance access systems for blue roofs may also include long-term leak detection systems for locating and managing leaks.

### 4.6.3 Blue Roof Design Standards

**General Design Standards**

1. Structural loading must be considered for all blue roof designs, and blue roof design must be coordinated with a licensed structural engineer for both new building construction and retrofits to existing structures.

2. The maximum allowable surface ponding depth is four to six inches. This will depend on loading capacity of the roof; six inches represents roughly 32 pounds per square foot of dead load.

3. The maximum allowable drain down time is 72 hours.

4. Positive overflow must be provided for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. Overflow structures and pipes must be designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm.

5. Blue roof storage areas must be underlain by a waterproofing membrane.

**Inlet Control Design Standards**

6. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on design standards for inlet control systems.

**Storage Area Design Standards**

7. The storage system must provide adequate storage to control release rates to meet all applicable Stormwater Regulations. The designer is referred to Section 3.2.4 for information on using SMPs in series.

8. Designed storage capacity for blue roofs must account for structural and feasibility constraints. Connection to other SMPs can provide additional storage, if necessary.

9. A porosity of 0.40 must be used for ballast stone.

10. On roofs without ballast, designers must ensure that enough weight is provided to secure the waterproofing membrane. On roofs with ballast, designers must consider the depth and porosity of the ballast when calculating the potential storage volume.
Outlet Control Design Standards

11. Roof drain restrictors must be sized according to the desired release rate and ponding depth.

12. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on design standards for outlet control systems.

Inspection and Maintenance Access Design Standards

13. Safe access to blue roofs must be provided for periodic cleaning, inspection, and maintenance by trained building personnel. Easy access must be provided to each of the outlet controls, low-flow discharge points, and overflow connections to permit removal of debris under saturated conditions.

14. Seams, corners, penetrations, mounts or platforms for mechanical utilities, and any other areas of the roofing membrane where risk of leakage is highest, must be inspected for damage or failure and repaired in a manner consistent with the membrane material.

4.6.4 Blue Roof Material Standards

Inlet Control Material Standards

1. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on material standards for inlet control systems.

Storage Area Material Standards

2. Stone:
   a. Stone or gravel used for ballast within the stormwater storage area must be uniformly graded, clean-washed stone, either crushed or smooth. PWD defines "clean-washed" as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per the Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) T-11 wash loss test. AASHTO No. 3 and No. 57 stone can meet this specification.
   b. Stone size must exceed the mesh size of the outlet control screen or slots. Ballast stone typically falls within the size range of 3/8 inch to 2 inches.
   c. Ballast must meet all American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) D1863 requirements for mineral aggregate used on built-up roofs.
   d. Other materials may be allowable pending PWD approval.

3. Waterproof Membrane:
a. PVC, EPDM, and thermal polyolefin (TPO) are permitted.

b. All waterproof membranes must meet appropriate ASTM specifications. PVC membranes must meet ASTM D4434 requirements, EPDM membranes must meet ASTM D4637 requirements, and TPO membranes must meet ASTM D6878 requirements.

c. Waterproofing membrane must be fully waterproof with properly sealed seams, corners, and protrusions to prevent any intrusion of standing water above the membrane.

d. Roofing membranes must meet all building code requirements and guidelines of the City of Philadelphia.

**Outlet Control Material Standards**

4. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on material standards for outlet control systems.

---

**4.6.5 Blue Roof Construction Guidance**

Proper construction of blue roofs is essential to ensure long-term functionality and reduce long-term maintenance needs. Blue roof systems are best installed by experienced roofing contractors with expertise in installing flat roof membranes over new or existing roof structures. A standard construction sequence for proper blue roof system installation is provided below. This can be modified to reflect different blue roof system applications or expected site conditions.

1. Install waterproof membrane along the roof and parapet wall to a height at least six inches above the peak ponding elevation as per the SMP designs.

2. Inspect for any irregularities that will interfere with drainage.

3. Seal all edges, seams, corners, protrusions, and other anomalies in a watertight manner consistent with the installation specifications of the membrane manufacturer.

4. Install outlet controls in a manner consistent with the building and plumbing codes and guidelines of the City of Philadelphia. The designer is referred to Section 4.12 for information on sizing and installation of outlet control systems. Outlet systems must include a bypass/overflow mechanism to permit rapid discharge when the storage volume of the blue roof system is exceeded.

---

**4.6.6 Blue Roof Maintenance Guidance**

Maintenance of blue roof systems focuses on the periodic removal of sediment and debris from outlet and storage areas in order to prevent clogging and limit deterioration of the roof membrane. Maintenance
activities can generally be performed by individual building owners or site maintenance staff as needed. The contractor responsible for the installation of the rooftop system should be contacted immediately if it is not performing as designed.

Maintenance of roof assembly and waterproofing membrane will be dependent on the assembly type, age, and quality of roof components. As with any roof system, periodic inspections should be performed to assure that repair or replacement is not necessary.

Blue roof components are relatively easy to maintain due to their simplicity and ease of access. In the both roof check dam and roof drain restrictor systems, maintenance activities are readily conducted at the roof surface. All restrictors and ponded areas must be accessible for periodic inspection and cleaning.

Problems with a blue roof system generally fall into two categories: (1) the system drains too slowly, resulting in buildup of excess water on the roof for extended periods of time, bypasses of the controlled flow roof drains, or bypasses/overflows during small rainfall events; or (2) the system drains too quickly, due to leaking or other issues, exceeding the design drain down rate. If problems persist, a licensed professional should be consulted.

General recommended maintenance activities for blue roof systems are summarized in Table 4.6-1.

**Table 4.6-1. Blue Roof Maintenance Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspect outlet structures, and storage areas for trash and sediment accumulation.</td>
<td>Monthly for the first year after installation to determine ongoing maintenance frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing Maintenance Activity</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove debris from drainage outlets and outlet screens to prevent clogging.</td>
<td>During inspections or as needed to ensure performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove debris from secondary drainage/overflows.</td>
<td>During inspections or as needed to ensure performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove excessive buildup of sediment around the outlet controls or within the storage cells.</td>
<td>During inspections or as needed to ensure performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect for leaks.</td>
<td>During inspections or as needed to ensure performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Break up ice formation around outlets and overflows.</td>
<td>As needed during winter months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain records of all inspections and maintenance activity.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on maintenance guidance for outlet controls.
4.7 Ponds and Wet Basins

Ponds and Wet Basins SMP One-Sheet - download a summary of this tool, with quick reference facts for clients and developers.
Ponds & Wet Basins

Description

Ponds and wet basins are earthen depressions constructed with a substantial permanent water pool to provide both temporary and long-term storage of stormwater runoff, and they can be used to attenuate peak flows and provide Water Quality treatment through both pollutant removal and slow release. These SMPs attenuate peak flows through the use of an outlet control structure and provide storage capacity above the permanent pool, while water held within the system, including the permanent pool, is treated through a variety of physical, chemical, and biological processes. Wet basins can also achieve minimal volume reduction through evapotranspiration.

Key Advantages

• Can be effective at providing Water Quality requirement treatment and flow attenuation while also providing aesthetic amenities and wildlife habitat
• Can easily be converted from a dry detention basin
• Can contribute to better air quality and help reduce urban heat island impacts

Key Limitations

• Require a dedicated, large ground surface area
• May contain deep water, which can pose a safety hazard and may require fencing to restrict access
• Can sometimes attract geese and other wildlife that may conflict with the intended site use of surrounding areas
• Can provide a mosquito breeding habitat along shallow edges if not designed appropriately

DEVELOPMENT ATTRIBUTES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEVELOPMENT ATTRIBUTES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction Costs</td>
<td>LOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations &amp; Maintenance Costs</td>
<td>MODERATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likeliness of Failure</td>
<td>MODERATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground-Level Encroachment</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Footprint Encroachment</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple Bottom Line Benefits</td>
<td>MODERATE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMPLIANCE ATTRIBUTES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPLIANCE ATTRIBUTES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality Efluent Pollutant Load</td>
<td>MODERATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality Infiltration &amp; Volume Reduction</td>
<td>LOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality Evapotranspiration</td>
<td>MODERATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality Rate Control</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Protection / Flood Control/PHS Rate Control</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A description of each evaluated attribute can be found in the SMP Hierarchy Ranking Criteria in Section 3.2.4.
4.7.1 Pond and Wet Basin Introduction

Ponds and wet basins are earthen depressions constructed with a substantial permanent water pool to provide both temporary and long-term storage of stormwater runoff, and they can be used to attenuate peak flows and provide Water Quality treatment through both pollutant removal and slow release. These stormwater management practices (SMPs) attenuate peak flows through the use of an outlet control structure and provide storage capacity above the permanent pool, while water held within the system, including the permanent pool, is treated through a variety of physical, chemical, and biological processes. Wet basins can also achieve minimal volume reduction through evapotranspiration.

Wet basins are relatively effective at removing many common stormwater pollutants including suspended solids, heavy metals, total phosphorus, total nitrogen, and pathogens. The pollutant removal effectiveness varies by season and may be affected by the age of the wet basin. Detention basins are similar in function to ponds and wet basins with the exception that they lack these water quality benefits and are primarily used for peak rate control and extended detention.

Quick Tip

Required pond and wet basin design and material standards are denoted in this Section by easy-to-reference numerals.

Design of ponds and wet basins is not limited to the examples shown within this text. Successful stormwater management plans will combine appropriate materials and designs specific to each site.
When Can Ponds and Wet Basins Be Used?

Ponds and wet basins are capable of managing runoff in a variety of different settings, provided there is adequate space available for the SMP. They require a connection with shallow groundwater or a sufficient source of inflow to maintain the permanent pool.

Key Advantages of Ponds and Wet Basins

- Can be effective at providing Water Quality requirement treatment and flow attenuation while also providing aesthetic amenities and wildlife habitat
- Can easily be converted from a dry detention basin
- Can contribute to better air quality and help reduce urban heat island impacts
- Can provide educational benefits, especially when used at public and/or highly visible sites such as schools, recreation centers, libraries, etc.

Key Limitations of Ponds and Wet Basins

- Require a dedicated, large ground surface area
- May contain deep water, which can pose a safety hazard and may require fencing to restrict access
• Can sometimes attract geese and other wildlife that may conflict with the intended site use of surrounding areas

• Can provide a mosquito breeding habitat along shallow edges if not designed appropriately

**Key Design Considerations for Ponds and Wet Basins**

• A berm placed on the downslope side of a mild slope can function as a forebay, helping retain stormwater and increasing capacity without additional excavation. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for more information on forebays.

• Secondary benefits, such as wildlife viewing areas and walking paths, can be incorporated into wet basin designs to enhance their value.

• Robust and diverse vegetation should be used along the perimeter of the pond to provide a buffer from surrounding areas.

• Smart plant selection for the site should be a focus. It is critical that plant materials are appropriate for soil, hydrologic, light, and other site conditions. The designer is referred to the list of native species in Appendix I. Ponding depth, drain down time, sunlight, salt tolerance, and other conditions should be taken into consideration when selecting plants. Turf grass is generally not recommended but may be acceptable provided the designer can demonstrate that it meets all applicable requirements.

---

**4.7.2 Pond and Wet Basin Components**

*Figure 4.7-1: Ponds and Wet Basins with Typical Features*
Pretreatment Component

Pretreatment systems capture trash, sediment, and/or other pollutants from stormwater runoff before delivery to the storage area. Pretreatment needs will vary significantly depending on the contributing drainage area composition and use. Pretreatment can include structures such as sumped and trapped inlets, sediment/grit chambers or separators, media filters, inlet inserts, or other appropriate prefabricated or proprietary designs to remove sediment, floatables, and/or hydrocarbons from stormwater runoff prior to introduction to a pond or wet basin.

Pretreatment can also consist of filter strips, forebays, and swales. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for more information on pretreatment systems.

Inlet Control Component

Inlet control systems convey and control the flow of stormwater from the contributing catchment area to a pond or wet basin. Inlet control needs will vary depending on the design of stormwater conveyance systems and the site layout. The designer is referred to Section 3.4.2 for guidance on stormwater conveyance system design.

Inlet controls may include flow splitters, curbless design/curb openings, energy dissipaters, and inlets. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for more information on inlet controls.

Storage Area Component

Storage areas within ponds and wet basins temporarily hold stormwater runoff until it can either evaporate, be used by plants through evapotranspiration, or be released downstream at a controlled rate, depending on the system design. The storage component of a pond or wet basin is provided typically by either excavating an area to create a depression, or by constructing berms around a low-lying area to create an impoundment. Ponding time provides water quality benefits by allowing larger debris and sediment to settle out of the water.

Storage areas within ponds and wet basins are designed with aquatic/safety benches. An aquatic/safety bench is a shallow, graded area that extends inward from the perimeter of the permanent pool boundary. This bench provides valuable wildlife habitat area and helps to minimize safety risks associated with the permanent pool by providing a shallow perimeter zone.

A pond buffer should extend outward from the permanent pool boundary in order to enhance habitat potential, improve aesthetics, reduce water temperatures, and improve overall pond health.
Vegetation Component

Plant material in a pond or wet basin removes nutrients and stormwater pollutants through vegetative uptake and microbial community support, removes water through evapotranspiration, improves aesthetics, provides wildlife habitat, and helps to stabilize soil. Vegetation in and around a pond or wet basin also provides algal control and cooler water temperatures.

Outlet Control Component

Outlet controls within a pond or wet basin can provide a range of functions, including the following:

- Meeting drain down time requirements;
- Controlling the rate of discharge from the SMP and limiting water surface elevations during various storm events; and/or
- Bypassing of flows from large storm events.

Outlet controls may include orifices, weirs, risers, level spreaders, or impervious liners. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for more information on outlet controls.

Inspection and Maintenance Access Component

Safe and easy inspection and maintenance access to all major components within a pond or wet basin is critical to ensuring long-term performance. Mildly sloping, stabilized, graded areas can provide access to surface storage areas for heavy equipment, which may be needed for sediment removal.

4.7.3 Pond and Wet Basin Design Standards

General Design Standards

1. The maximum allowable drain down time is 72 hours.

2. Positive overflow must be provided for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year, 24-hour storm. Overflow structures and pipes must be designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm.

3. The minimum allowable freeboard between the peak storage elevation during the 100-year, 24-hour storm event and the emergency spillway invert elevation is one foot.

4. The minimum allowable distance between the emergency spillway crest elevation and the top-of-berm elevation is one foot.
5. The minimum allowable basin length-to-width ratio is 2:1.

6. The minimum allowable basin width is ten feet.

7. The minimum allowable sediment forebay length is ten feet.

8. The distance between the basin inflow and outflow points must be maximized.

9. A Natural Resources Conservation Service curve number of 100 must be used for the area below the water surface elevation, where required for hydrologic calculations.

10. All areas deeper than four feet must have two aquatic safety benches totaling 15 feet in width. One bench must be above the normal water surface elevation and extend up to the pond side slopes at a maximum slope of 10%. The other bench must be below the water surface extending into the pond at a 10% slope to a maximum depth of 18 inches.

11. A dewatering mechanism must be provided for facilities that are not in connection with groundwater.

**Pretreatment Design Standards**

12. Acceptable form(s) of pretreatment must be incorporated into design. Pretreatment of runoff from all inlets is required. At a minimum, this can be achieved through the use of sumps and traps for inlets, sump boxes with traps downstream of trench drains, and filter strips for overland flow.

13. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for more information on design standards for pretreatment systems.

**Inlet Control Design Standards**

14. To prevent erosion, energy dissipaters, such as riprap stone, must be placed at all locations of concentrated inflow. Riprap aprons must be designed, and stone sizing must be determined, in accordance with the riprap apron design procedures in the latest edition of the *Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual*.

15. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for more information on design standards for inlet control systems.

**Storage Area Design Standards**

16. The storage area must provide static storage for the Water Quality Volume (WQV) between the overflow elevation and the basin’s water surface. All permanent pool areas must be excluded from the SMP’s storage volume estimation. The minimum allowable ponding depth below the lowest outlet device is three inches.
17. Ponds and wet basins can be designed with additional storage beyond the WQv and with outlet controls that allow all remaining applicable Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations) to be met.

18. Maximum side slopes for open storage areas as follows:
   a. All - 2(H):1(V) (The recommended side slope is 3(H):1(V))
   b. Mowed- 4(H):1(V) to avoid “scalping” by mower blades

19. A minimum planting soil medium depth of 18 inches must be provided (under emergent planting zones only). The planting soil medium may be composed of on-site soils.

Vegetation Design Standards

20. Plantings must be designed to minimize the need for mowing, pruning, and irrigation.

21. Plants and trees that are appropriate for, and compatible with, the site conditions must be chosen. The designer is referred to Appendix I for plant lists.

22. Care must be taken to ensure that the ponding area depth is appropriate for the size and species of the plants selected.

23. The planting design must provide for at least 85% cover of the emergent vegetation zone (the area of the pond that is less than 18 inches deep) and buffer area.

24. A vegetated pond buffer must extend outward 25 feet from the permanent pool.

25. Ponds and wet basins must be planted with species tolerant of a range of inundation depths and periods. A wetland ecologist or other appropriate design professional should be consulted for guidance.

Outlet Control Design Standards

26. Energy dissipaters, such as riprap stone, must be placed at the end of the primary outlet to prevent erosion. Riprap aprons must be designed, and stone sizing must be determined, in accordance with the riprap apron design procedures in the latest edition of the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual.

27. The primary and low-flow outlets must be protected from clogging by an external trash rack.

28. The emergency spillway must not direct flow toward neighboring properties.

29. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on design standards for outlet control systems.
Inspection and Maintenance Access Design Standards

30. Stabilized vehicular access must be provided for sediment removal. Areas must be at least nine feet wide, have a maximum slope of 15%, and be stabilized as needed to provide load support for vehicles.

4.7.4 Pond and Wet Basin Material Standards

Pretreatment Material Standards

1. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for information on materials standards for pretreatment systems.

Inlet Control Material Standards

2. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for more information on material standards for inlet control systems.

Storage Area Material Standards

3. Planting Soil Medium:
   a. Hydrologic soil groups "C" and "D" are suitable, without modification, for underlying soils.
   b. If natural topsoil from the site is to be used, it must have at least 8% organic carbon content by weight in the A-horizon for sandy soils and 12% for other soil types.
   c. If planting soil is imported, it must be made up of equivalent proportions of organic and mineral materials.

Vegetation Material Standards

4. Trees and shrubs must be freshly dug and grown in accordance with good nursery practice.

5. Perennials, grass-like plants, and groundcover plants must be healthy, well-rooted specimens.

6. A native grass/wildflower seed mix can be used as an alternative to groundcover planting. Seed mix must be free of weed seeds.

7. Use of invasive plants is not permitted. All plants and trees must be appropriate and compatible with soil, hydrologic, light, and other site conditions. The designer is referred to Appendix I for plant lists.
Outlet Control Material Standards

8. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on material standards for outlet control systems.

4.7.5 Pond and Wet Basin Construction Guidance

Construction of ponds and wet basins often encompasses substantial excavation and grading activities that require careful oversight to ensure appropriate SMP function. Some general construction guidelines are as follows:

1. Pond excavation depths should account for any impervious liners, plant matter, and/or planting soil required.

2. The subsoil must be free of hard clods, stiff clay, hardpan, ashes, slag, construction debris, petroleum hydrocarbons, and other undesirable materials and must not be frozen or in a muddy state.

3. During all phases of work, including transport and on-site handling, the plant materials must be carefully handled and packed to prevent injury and/or desiccation of the plants. Plant material should be kept from freezing and kept moist, cool, and covered so as to protect the plants from any precipitation, wind, and/or sun. Plants must be watered to maintain moist soil and/or plant conditions until accepted.

An example of a wet basin installation in Philadelphia
4.7.6 Pond and Wet Basin Maintenance Guidance

Maintenance of ponds and wet basins focuses on the periodic removal of sediment and debris from pretreatment and storage areas and prevention of outlet control clogging.

General recommended maintenance activities for ponds and wet basins are summarized in Table 4.7-1.

**Table 4.7-1: Ponds and Wet Basins Maintenance Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water vegetation at the beginning of each day for eight weeks after planting is completed.</td>
<td>Daily for eight weeks after installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water vegetation regularly to ensure successful establishment.</td>
<td>Every four days during periods of four or more days without rain, June through August for the 24 months after installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect vegetation for signs of disease or distress.</td>
<td>Biweekly for the first year after installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect inlet controls, outlet structures, and storage areas for trash and sediment accumulation.</td>
<td>Monthly for the first year after installation to determine ongoing maintenance frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing Maintenance Activity</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove trash and debris from forebay, pond, and outlet structure.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove non-target/invasive vegetation.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassed areas require periodic prudent fertilizing, dethatching and soil conditioning.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees, shrubs, and other vegetative cover will require periodic maintenance such as fertilizing, pruning and pest control.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mow/trim detention basin vegetation, excluding aquatic bench and buffer.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat basin for mosquito larvae if stagnant water remains for longer than 72 hours.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dredge large volumes of sediment and organic debris from basin and forebay areas. Accumulated sediment must never occupy greater than 50% of the forebay volume.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At least once every five to ten years*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect outlet control structure for clogging.</td>
<td>Quarterly and after every storm greater than one inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect SMP for potential problems, including: subsidence, erosion, cracking, or tree growth on the embankment; damage to the emergency spillway; sediment accumulation around the outlet; inadequacy of the inlet/outlet channel erosion control measures; changes in the condition of the pilot channel; and erosion within the SMP and its banks.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain records of all inspections and maintenance activity.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The frequency of sediment removal depends on site conditions such as soil type and maintenance of site stabilization which influence the sediment load on the SMP.

The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, and Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on maintenance guidance for pretreatment, inlet control, and outlet control systems.
4.8 Subsurface Detention

Subsurface Detention SMP One-Sheet - download a summary of this tool, with quick reference facts for clients and developers.
Subsurface Detention

Description

Subsurface detention SMPs are underground structures that are used to temporarily detain and release stormwater. They can include vaults, stone storage, pipe storage, and plastic grid storage.

Key Advantages

- Manages stormwater runoff without occupying surface or rooftop space
- Can be sited, through flexible design options, beneath lawns, recreational areas, parking lots, buildings, or other impervious areas when space constraints exist
- Allows for easily adaptable footprints that can fit into almost any size space and be integrated into many different site layouts

Key Limitations

- May need to be combined with other SMPs to meet the Water Quality requirement
- Can be more costly and difficult to install and maintain than surface practices like bioretention SMPs
- Require strict adherence to regularly scheduled inspections because the maintenance needs are not easily visible
- Require additional maintenance costs due to access limitations and Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) requirements
- Does not improve aesthetics or provide the ancillary environmental benefits associated with vegetated SMPs, such as habitat creation and improved air quality

A description of each evaluated attribute can be found in the SMP Hierarchy Ranking Criteria in Section 3.2.4.
4.8.1 Subsurface Detention Introduction

Subsurface detention stormwater management practices (SMPs) are underground structures that are used to temporarily detain and release stormwater. They can include vaults, stone storage, pipe storage, and plastic grid storage.

Subsurface detention SMPs can be combined with other SMPs in series to meet the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations). The designer is referred to Section 3.2.4 for information on using SMPs in series.

Design of subsurface detention SMPs is not limited to the examples shown within this text. Successful stormwater management plans will combine appropriate materials and designs specific to each site.

**Quick Tip**

Required subsurface detention design and material standards are denoted in this Section by easy-to-reference numerals.

An example of a subsurface detention basin in Philadelphia

**When Can Subsurface Detention Be Used?**

Subsurface detention SMPs are suitable on sites where infiltration has been deemed infeasible and space constraints prevent the use of surface-level or rooftop SMPs. Provided that overburden loads and utility conflicts are evaluated, subsurface detention SMPs can be sited beneath lawns, recreational areas, parking lots, and other hardscape surfaces.
Key Advantages of Subsurface Detention

- Manages stormwater runoff without occupying surface or rooftop space
- Can be sited, through flexible design options, beneath lawns, recreational areas, parking lots, buildings, or other impervious areas when space constraints exist
- Allows for easily adaptable footprints that can fit into almost any size space and be integrated into many different site layouts

Key Limitations of Subsurface Detention

- May need to be combined with other SMPs to meet the Water Quality requirement
- Can be more costly and difficult to install and maintain than surface practices like bioretention SMPs
- Require strict adherence to regularly scheduled inspections because the maintenance needs are not easily visible
- Require additional maintenance costs due to access limitations and Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) requirements
- Does not improve aesthetics or provide the ancillary environmental benefits associated with vegetated SMPs, such as habitat creation and improved air quality

Key Design Considerations for Subsurface Detention

- Appropriate pretreatment of runoff should be provided to remove sediment and debris before discharging to a subsurface detention SMP. A pretreatment approach should be developed based on the SMP’s expected level of sediment loading and anticipated difficulty of sediment removal.

- Before using subsurface detention to comply with Water Quality release rate requirements, it must be adequately demonstrated that infiltration is not feasible on-site. The designer is referred to Section 3.3 for information on infiltration testing requirements.

- Subsurface chambers, crates, or arches can be used to increase void space and reduce SMP footprint; however, long-term maintenance should also be carefully considered when evaluating such systems. Subsurface vaults can be periodically cleaned using vacuum cleaning, whereas it is much more difficult to remove accumulated sediment from stone storage and grid storage systems.

- The SMP and maintenance access should be located in an area where maintenance and potential repairs can be conducted with minimal disturbance to surrounding uses.

- Areas of soil contamination or unstable soils should be avoided; however, in some cases, an impervious liner may be appropriate.
Subsurface Detention Types

Subsurface detention SMPs can be designed in a variety of different ways, but commonly fit into the following four categories:

*Underground storage vaults* are buried concrete, fiberglass, or polyethylene chambers that temporarily store and release stormwater. The designer is referred to Section 4.5, Cisterns, for more information on the use of underground storage SMPs for rainwater harvesting.

*Underground stone storage* consists of buried stone beds wrapped in geotextile that temporarily store and release stormwater. Stone storage beds provide the least amount of storage volume per unit area among the subsurface detention types. Removing sediment from underground stone storage is difficult, which necessitates effective pretreatment.

*Underground pipe and chamber storage* consists of perforated plastic or metal pipes, or pipe-like linear chambers, that are placed in a stone bed to provide more storage per unit volume and temporarily store and release stormwater. Various pipe dimensions and shapes can be used to optimize the storage volume to meet the specific site requirements.

*Underground plastic grid storage* consists of buried plastic structures that can be stacked and inter-connected to form various shapes and sizes. Grid systems can provide as much as 95% void space for storage of stormwater.

### 4.8.2 Subsurface Detention Components

**Figure 4.8-1: Subsurface Detention with Typical Features**

![Subsurface Detention Diagram](image)

**Pretreatment Component**

Pretreatment systems capture trash, sediment, and/or other pollutants from stormwater runoff before delivery to the storage area. Pretreatment needs will vary significantly depending on the contributing
drainage area composition and use. Pretreatment can include structures such as sumped and trapped inlets, sediment/grit chambers or separators, media filters, inlet inserts, or other appropriate prefabricated or proprietary designs to remove sediment, floatables, and/or hydrocarbons from stormwater runoff prior to being conveyed to a subsurface detention SMP.

Pretreatment can also consist of filter strips, forebays, and swales. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for more information on pretreatment systems.

**Inlet Control Component**

Inlet control systems convey and control the flow of stormwater from the contributing catchment area to a subsurface detention SMP. Inlet control needs will vary depending on the design of stormwater conveyance systems and the site layout. The designer is referred to Section 3.4.2 for guidance on stormwater conveyance system design.

Inlet controls may include flow splitters, curbless design/curb openings, energy dissipaters, and inlets. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for more information on inlet controls.

**Storage Area Component**

The storage component of a subsurface detention SMP is typically constructed of a stone-filled bed or trench, which may or may not incorporate pipes, arches, concrete vaults, crates, plastic grids, or other proprietary structures. The void spaces between the stones and/or structures store stormwater until it can be released downstream at a controlled rate.

A permanent pool of water may be incorporated to dissipate energy and improve the settling of particulate pollutants, in which case, the design may be referred to as a “wet underground detention SMP.”

**Outlet Control Component**

Outlet controls within a subsurface detention SMP can provide a range of functions, including the following:

- Meeting drain down time requirements;
- Controlling the rate of discharge from the SMP and limiting water surface elevations during various storm events; and
- Bypassing of flows from large storm events.

Outlet controls may include orifices, weirs, level spreaders, or low flow devices.

When coupled with the implementation of an acceptable pollutant-reducing practice, a subsurface detention
SMP can be used to achieve a slow release rate in order to fully comply with the Water Quality requirement on sites that cannot infiltrate in the combined sewer area. This ability to slowly release the discharge into PWD sewers or receiving waters can also be used to meet Channel Protection, Flood Control, and Public Health and Safety Release Rate requirements, if applicable.

Typically, release rates for slow release systems are met using small orifices or other rate control devices. Additionally, the outlet control structure may require design and maintenance measures to avoid clogging. To accommodate these design mandates, PWD offers a design option in the form of an Underdrain Orifice Standard Detail (Figure 4.8-2), which is also available to the designer as a downloadable CAD file.

**Figure 4.8-2: Underdrain Orifice Standard Detail**

The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for more information on outlet controls.

**Inspection and Maintenance Access Component**

Safe and easy inspection and maintenance access to all major components within a subsurface detention
SMP is critical to ensuring long-term performance. Inspection and maintenance access structures provide a portal to subsurface structures within a subsurface detention SMP. They most commonly consist of a panel, port, or manhole. Access points provide access to subsurface systems, both for inspections and routine maintenance, and for pumping water out of subsurface SMPs in cases of failure or severe damage. Manholes provide access for maintenance personnel and equipment to perform maintenance and inspections. Cleanouts provide access for hoses and vacuum equipment. Observation wells provide access to the bottom of subsurface systems for performance inspections and monitoring. Access structures may also serve additional functions, such as joining subsurface pipes.

### 4.8.3 Subsurface Detention Design Standards

#### General Design Standards

1. The maximum allowable drain down time is 72 hours.

2. Positive overflow must be provided for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. Overflow structures and pipes must be designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm.

3. Structural suitability for overburden support and traffic loading must be considered, where applicable.

#### Pretreatment Design Standards

4. Acceptable form(s) of pretreatment must be incorporated into design. Pretreatment of runoff from all inlets is required. At a minimum, this can be achieved through the use of sumps and traps for inlets, sump boxes with traps downstream of trench drains, and filter strips for overland flow.

5. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for more information on design standards for pretreatment systems.

#### Inlet Control Design Standards

6. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on design standards for inlet control systems.

#### Storage Area Design Standards

7. The storage area must provide adequate storage to control release rates to meet all applicable Stormwater Regulations. All permanent pool areas must be excluded from the SMP’s storage volume estimation.

8. Bedding and Foundations:
a. Pipe, vault, grid and chamber storage areas must be adequately bedded with stone to prevent settling or subsidence.

b. Bedding thickness must vary according to SMP requirements, but must not be less than six inches.

c. Over-excavation and replacement of loose or unstable subsurface material may be required if such conditions are encountered. A geotechnical engineer or other appropriate design professional should be consulted for additional guidance.

d. Foundations/footers must be provided as warranted by system loading, geotechnical conditions, and manufacturer's recommendations. Foundation designs must be performed by an appropriate design professional.

9. The storage design must account for potential loading from vehicles, as appropriate, based on expected maximum active loading, including consideration for emergency vehicles.

10. Subsurface detention SMPs must be designed with outlet controls that allow all applicable Stormwater Regulations to be met.

11. Porosity values for storage volume calculations are as follows:
   a. Soil media: 0.20
   b. Sand: 0.30
   c. Stone: 0.40
   d. Void space provided by linear chamber systems, plastic grids, or other related structures must be as specified by the manufacturer and noted in supporting documentation.

12. Stone must be separated from soil media by a geotextile or a pea gravel filter to prevent sand, silt, and sediment from entering the system.

**Outlet Control Design Standards**

13. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on design standards for outlet control systems.

14. Impervious liners should be avoided, but they may be necessary in areas where the threats of spills and/or groundwater contamination are likely. They must not be interrupted by structures within the basin footprint. Impervious liners must be continuous and extend completely up the sides of any structures that are located within the lined basin footprint to the ground surface. If additional liner material must be added to extend up the structures, the additional liner sections must be joined to the rest of the liner with an impervious seam per the manufacturers' recommendation.
15. Cleanouts, manholes, access panels, and other access features must be provided to allow unobstructed and safe access to SMPs for routine maintenance and inspection of inflow, outflow, underdrains, and storage systems.

16. Observation wells must be provided for systems that include stone storage and must meet the following requirements:
   a. The observation well must be placed at the invert of the stone bed.
   b. An observation well must be located near the center of the stone bed system to monitor the level and duration of water stored within the system (drain down time).
   c. Adequate inspection and maintenance access to the observation well must be provided.
   d. A manhole may be used in lieu of an observation well if the invert of the manhole is installed at or below the bottom of the SMP and the manhole is configured in such a way that stormwater can flow freely between the SMP and the manhole at the SMP’s invert.

17. Access features for subsurface detention SMPs:
   a. Access features must be provided for all underground storage SMPs that are not stone storage beds.
   b. A sufficient number of access points in the system must be provided to efficiently inspect and maintain the storage area.
   c. For cast-in-place vault systems, access features must consist of manholes or grated access panels or doors. Grated access panels are preferred to maintain airflow. A minimum of 50 square feet of grate area is recommended for permanent pool designs.
   d. For grid storage or other manufactured systems, the manufacturer’s recommendations must be followed.
   e. Ladder access is required for vaults greater than four feet in height.
   f. Header pipes, at minimum 36-inch diameter, connected to manholes at each corner of the subsurface detention SMP must be provided. Alternatively, smaller header pipes may be used if cleanouts are provided on the manifold/header pipe junction for each distribution pipe. The cleanouts must be on alternating sides of the SMP.
4.8.4 Subsurface Detention Material Standards

Pretreatment Material Standards

1. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for information on materials standards for pretreatment systems.

Inlet Control Material Standards

2. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on material standards for inlet control systems.

Storage Area Material Standards

3. Stone designed for stormwater storage must be uniformly graded, crushed, clean-washed stone. PWD defines "clean-washed" as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) T-11 wash loss test. AASHTO No. 3 and No. 57 stone can meet this specification.

4. Sand, if used, must be AASHTO M-6 or American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) C-33 sand and must have a grain size of 0.02 inches to 0.04 inches.

5. Storage Pipes:
   a. Pipe used within the subsurface detention SMP must have a minimum inner diameter of four inches.
   b. High-density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe must meet the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S.
   c. Any pipe materials outside the SMP are to meet City Plumbing Code Standards.

6. Geotextile must consist of polypropylene fibers and meet the following specifications (AASHTO Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended):
   a. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): ≥ 120 lbs
   b. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): ≥ 225 psi
   c. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): ≥ 95 gal/min/ft²
   d. UVResistance after 500 hrs (ASTM-D4355): ≥ 70%
   e. Heat-set or heat-calendared fabrics are not permitted.
Outlet Control Material Standards

7. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for additional information on material standards for outlet control systems.

Inspection and Maintenance Access Material Standards

8. Observation wells must consist of perforated plastic pipe with a minimum inner diameter of six inches.

9. Cleanouts must be made of rigid material with a smooth interior having a minimum inner diameter of four inches.

4.8.5 Subsurface Detention Construction Guidance

Proper construction of subsurface detention systems is essential to ensure long-term functionality and reduce long-term maintenance needs and costs. Subsurface detention SMPs are, by definition, buried; therefore, construction oversight is critical. At a minimum, verification of volumes, grades, and elevations must be performed prior to backfill.

1. Provide erosion and sedimentation control protection on the site such that construction runoff is directed away from the proposed subsurface detention SMP. Sediment deposited in a subsurface detention SMP during construction, particularly a stone bed system, can reduce system performance. The designer is referred to the latest edition of the *Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual* for information on design standards for erosion and sedimentation control practices.

2. Excavate subsurface detention area to proposed depth, providing appropriate shoring and sheeting for deep excavations.

3. Place impervious liner, if needed, ensuring continuous contact with subgrade.

4. If using a stone storage bed, place geotextile, ensuring adequate overlap of 16 inches, or pea gravel, and storage stone.
   a. Set the pipe or chamber storage, if proposed, during installation of the stone storage bed, according to the plans.
   b. Place geotextile in accordance with manufacturer’s standards and recommendations.
   c. Secure geotextile at least four feet outside bed.
   d. Place stone in six- to eight-inch lifts and lightly compact.
e. Confirm storage area dimensions and outlet control elevations prior to backfill.

5. If using a vault, pipe, or grid system, place geotextile or pea gravel, and stone base as described above.
   a. Place storage units. If using a manufactured system, install storage units in accordance with manufacturer’s recommendations.
   b. If using a cast-in-place vault system, perform form work, reinforcement, and concrete work in conformance with project specifications.
   c. Confirm the storage volume prior to backfill.

6. Confirm and document invert elevations and dimensions for all structures such as vaults and pipes prior to backfill.

7. Backfill to finished grade. Ensure backfill is properly compacted in accordance with specifications. Ensure backfill process does not disrupt pipe placement and configuration.

8. Structures such as inlet boxes, reinforced concrete boxes, inlet controls, and outlet controls must be constructed according to manufacturer’s guidelines or design professional’s guidance.

9. Once the site is permanently stabilized with vegetation, remove temporary erosion and sediment control measures.

An example of a subsurface detention basin installation in Philadelphia
4.8.6 Subsurface Detention Maintenance Guidance

Maintenance of subsurface detention SMPs requires the periodic removal of sediment and debris from pretreatment and storage areas and the prevention of outlet control clogging. Sediment removal from vaults, chambers, and pipes is typically conducted using vacuum or flushing systems. Guidance on the use and operation of vacuum or flushing sediment removal equipment is beyond the scope of this Manual; a maintenance professional should be contacted for additional details. As applicable, subsurface detention SMP maintenance procedures must meet OSHA confined space entry requirements.

General recommended maintenance activities for subsurface detention systems are summarized in Table 4.8-1.

Table 4.8-1: Subsurface Detention Maintenance Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspect erosion control and flow spreading devices until soil settlement and vegetative establishment of contributing areas has occurred.</td>
<td>Biweekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect inlet controls, outlet structures, and storage areas for trash and sediment accumulation.</td>
<td>Monthly for the first year after installation to determine ongoing maintenance frequency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ongoing Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regularly clean out gutters and catch basins to reduce sediment load to detention system. Clean intermediate sump boxes, replace filters, and otherwise clean pretreatment areas in directly connected systems.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove sediment and debris from subsurface detention SMP sedimentation chamber, as applicable, when the sediment zone is 3/4 full.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove sediment and debris from pipe/vault systems. Sediment depth is not to reach a maximum depth of four inches below the SMP's outlet invert elevation. Removal of sediment from grid systems must be per manufacturer's recommendations or as per the site-specific maintenance schedule.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect subsurface detention facility and control structures.</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove floating debris and accumulated petroleum products.</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain records of all inspections and maintenance activity.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, and Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on maintenance guidance for pretreatment, inlet controls, and outlet controls.
4.9 Media Filters

Media Filters SMP One-Sheet - download a summary of this tool, with quick reference facts for clients and developers.
Media Filters

Description

Media filters are structures or excavated areas containing a layer of sand, compost, organic material, peat, or other filter media. They reduce pollutant levels in stormwater runoff by filtering sediments, metals, hydrocarbons, and other pollutants. Filtered stormwater is released to a sewer, receiving water, or downstream SMP. Media filters are designed to allow higher rates of stormwater flow than traditional filters and enable smaller SMP footprints by allowing for faster filtration. Facilitating evapotranspiration, vegetated media filters are useful in meeting the Water Quality requirement when placed upstream of a noninfiltrating SMP. Non-vegetated media filters can assist in meeting the Water Quality requirement when placed upstream or downstream of a non-infiltrating SMP.

Key Advantages

- Have highly flexible designs and configurations that can be useful in meeting the Water Quality requirement where space-constrained, highly developed, or otherwise challenging locations prevent the use of traditional surface-level or rooftop SMPs and infiltration is not feasible
- Can be designed to be visible from the surface or completely subsurface, located beneath parking lots or other impervious areas

Key Limitations

- Do not offer, when non-vegetated, many of the ancillary benefits associated with surface vegetated SMPs, including aesthetic value, improved air quality, and habitat creation
- Do not reduce the volume of stormwater runoff like bioretention basins and green roofs do
- May have sizing requirements that result in large footprints due to filtration rates for filter media such as sand

A description of each evaluated attribute can be found in the SMP Hierarchy Ranking Criteria in Section 3.2.4.
4.9.1 Media Filter Introduction

Media filters (also referred to as “filters” in this Section) are structures or excavated areas containing a layer of sand, compost, organic material, peat, or other filter media. They reduce pollutant levels in stormwater runoff by filtering sediments, metals, hydrocarbons, and other pollutants. Filtered stormwater is then released to a sewer, receiving water, or downstream stormwater management practice (SMP). Media filters are designed to allow higher rates of stormwater flow than traditional filters. Sand and other rapid filter media enable smaller SMP footprints by allowing for faster filtration of stormwater.

Media filters can be combined with other SMPs in series to meet the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations). The designer is referred to Section 3.2.4 for information on using SMPs in series. Facilitating evapotranspiration, *vegetated media filters* are useful in meeting the Water Quality requirement when placed upstream of a non-infiltrating SMP. Non-vegetated *media filters* can assist in meeting the Water Quality requirement when placed upstream or downstream of a non-infiltrating SMP.

The design of media filters is not limited to the examples provided within this text. Successful stormwater management plans will combine appropriate materials and designs specific to each site. Other types of prefabricated or proprietary filters such as water quality inserts fitted within inlets are available, but they are only to be used as pretreatment and are not considered SMPs.

Filters are evaluated on a project-specific basis since site conditions, such as sediment loading and/or drainage area size, can impact a product’s ability to meet Stormwater Regulations. Third-party certification of all performance claims is strongly encouraged for all prefabricated and proprietary devices. Examples of third-party certification programs include the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) and the Washington State Department of Ecology. The NJDEP certification uses the New Jersey Center for Advanced Technology (NJCAT) verification as conducted according to the Technology Acceptance and Reciprocity Partnership (TARP) Protocol. Washington State Department of Ecology uses the Technology Assessment Protocol - Ecology (TAPE). In addition to all certifications and laboratory test data, all performance claims should be supported by field test data where possible. PWD does not endorse the use of specific third-party certification programs, and specific programs are mentioned as examples only. PWD has developed a list, accessible on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review website, of filter products that may be used to comply with the Stormwater Regulations. PWD allows the use of monitoring programs in lieu of, or in addition to, third-party certification.
When Can Media Filters Be Used?

Media filters can be used on sites where vegetated SMPs are impractical due to limited surface area or other constraints. They can assist applicants in meeting the Water Quality requirement where infiltration is not feasible. Filters may be used alone in separate sewer areas, or upstream or downstream of detention practices as part of a series approach in combined sewer areas, to meet multiple Stormwater Regulations.

Key Advantages of Media Filters

- Have highly flexible designs and configurations that can be useful in meeting the Water Quality requirement where space-constrained, highly developed, or otherwise challenging locations prevent the use of traditional surface-level or rooftop SMPs and infiltration is not feasible

- Can be designed to be visible from the surface or completely subsurface, located beneath parking lots or other impervious areas

Key Limitations of Media Filters

- Do not offer, when non-vegetated, many of the ancillary benefits associated with surface vegetated SMPs, including aesthetic value, improved air quality, and habitat creation

- Do not reduce the volume of stormwater runoff like bioretention basins and green roofs do
• May have sizing requirements that result in large footprints due to filtration rates for filter media such as sand

**Key Design Considerations for Media Filters**

• A primary design consideration for filters is site suitability. The use of a bioretention SMP should first be considered before selecting a media filter. Bioretention SMPs provide the same, or better, level of Water Quality treatment, provide a range of other economic and aesthetic benefits, and are typically easier to access and maintain.

• Philadelphia’s low temperatures are below freezing for approximately four months every year, and surface filtration may not function as well in the winter. Design options that allow direct subsurface discharge into filter media during cold weather may help overcome this condition.

• Filter media should be selected and sized to match the required rate of stormwater flow for the SMP. The designer should carefully consider the filtration rate of the media and the available storage volume in order to size the SMP.

• The maintenance access that is required for the filter system must be considered. Filter systems require frequent maintenance and may require different maintenance equipment than other SMPs.

• Proprietary media filters may inherently comply with many of the following design and material standards, in which case, submission of appropriate supporting documentation is critical.

---

**4.9.2 Media Filter Components**

*Figure 4.9-1: Media Filter with Typical Features*
Pretreatment Component

Pretreatment systems capture trash, sediment, and/or other pollutants from stormwater runoff before delivery to the storage area. Pretreatment needs will vary significantly depending on the contributing drainage area composition and use. Pretreatment can include structures such as sumped and trapped inlets, sediment/ grit chambers or separators, inlet inserts, or other appropriate prefabricated or proprietary designs to remove sediment, floatables, and/or hydrocarbons from stormwater runoff prior to being conveyed to a filter system.

Pretreatment can also consist of filter strips, forebays, and swales. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for more information on pretreatment systems.

Inlet Control Component

Inlet control systems convey and control the flow of stormwater from the contributing catchment area to a filter SMP. Inlet control needs will vary depending on the design of stormwater conveyance systems and the site layout. The designer is referred to Section 3.4.2 for guidance on stormwater conveyance system design.

Inlet controls may consist of flow splitters, curbless design/ curb openings, energy dissipaters, and inlets. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for more information on these types of inlet controls.

Storage Area Component

Storage areas within filter systems temporarily hold stormwater runoff before it can either pass through the filter media or be released downstream, depending on the system design and the size of the storm event. Storage for media filter systems can be located either above or below the ground surface. Surface storage is typically constructed by either excavating an area to create a depression or by erecting berms around a low-lying area to create an impoundment. Subsurface storage areas are typically constructed by excavating a trench or chamber below grade, lining it with concrete or another impermeable material, and constructing a cover over the storage area. Filter media, most typically sand, is contained within storage areas.

Outlet Control Component

Outlet controls in a filter system control the high water level in the SMP and regulate overflow, either into an existing drainage network or into another SMP. Outlet controls can provide a range of functions, including:

- Meeting drain down time requirements, and/or
- Bypassing of flows from large storm events.

Outlet controls may consist of orifices, weirs, underdrains, level spreaders, impervious liners, micro siphon
drain belts, or low flow devices. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for more information on these types of outlet controls.

**Inspection and Maintenance Access Component**

Safe and easy inspection and maintenance access to all major components in a media filter system is critical to ensuring long-term performance. Inspection and maintenance access structures provide a portal to subsurface structures within a filter system. They most commonly consist of a panel or manhole. Manholes or panels provide access for maintenance personnel and equipment to perform maintenance and inspections. Filter structures may require lift access or special equipment to perform the required maintenance. Large openings may be necessary to properly maintain the filters.

---

**4.9.3 Media Filter Design Standards**

**General Design Standards**

1. The following information must be submitted for each proposed media filter as part of the applicant’s Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Review Phase Submission Package. Preliminary consultations with PWD prior to submission are encouraged.
   a. Inflow and outflow event mean concentrations and percent removals for Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for sand/media filters (Designs must demonstrate a maximum effluent event mean concentration of 15 milligrams per liter for TSS at a point of analysis (POA) downstream of the SMP);
   b. Third-party certifications for proprietary media filters;
   c. Hydrologic and hydraulic model files, if applicable;
   d. Product specifications for proprietary media filters;
   e. Manufacturer’s guidelines for installation for proprietary media filters;
   f. Construction sequence; and
   g. Maintenance requirements, including product life and replacement schedule, if applicable.

   PWD will review media filter performance documentation submissions during the PCSMP Review Phase and will provide the applicant with comments or requests for additional information. All comments and requests for information must be addressed before PWD may issue approval.

2. The maximum allowable drain down time is 72 hours.

3. The filter footprint must be sized pursuant to filter media flow-through rate.
4. Positive overflow must be provided for large storm events. All systems must include overflow structures and pipes designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm event.

5. Filters without detention must be able to convey the ten-year, 24-hour storm event.

6. Filters with detention must be designed to safely store and/or convey the 100-year, 24-hour storm event.

Pretreatment Design Standards

7. For proprietary media filters, the manufacturer’s design guidance must be followed when determining appropriate pretreatment.

8. Acceptable form(s) of pretreatment must be incorporated into design. Pretreatment of runoff from all inlets is required. At a minimum, this can be achieved through the use of sumps and traps. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for more information on design standards for pretreatment systems.

Inlet Control Design Standards

9. For proprietary media filters, the manufacturer’s design guidance must be followed when configuring the inlet controls.

10. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on design standards for inlet control systems.

Storage Area Design Standards

11. For proprietary media filters, the manufacturer’s design guidance must be followed when sizing the filter.

12. The filter system must provide enough storage to allow the Water Quality storm to flow through the filter media. Upstream SMPs can be used to store this flow.

13. When SMPs are used in series, the storage areas for all SMPs must provide cumulative static storage for the Water Quality Volume (WQv), but there is no minimum storage requirement for each individual SMP used in series.

14. SMPs can be designed with additional storage beyond the WQv and with control structures that meet all remaining applicable Stormwater Regulations. Sand and peat media are acceptable for use in filters. The designer is referred to the material standards in this Section for details on these approved mixtures. Other media mixtures may be approved on a case-by-case basis by PWD.

15. Porosity values for storage volume calculations are as follows:
a. Soil media: 0.20

b. Sand: 0.30

c. Stone: 0.40

d. Porosity values of any proprietary rapid media should be obtained from the appropriate manufacturer.

16. Surface Area

a. Filters must have a minimum surface area as computed by the following equation:

\[ A_f = \frac{(WQv \times 0.8)}{k} \]

Where:

- \( A_f \) = surface area of the filter (square feet)
- \( WQv \) = Water Quality Volume, the 1.5-inch Water Quality Volume over directly connected impervious area (DCIA) (cubic feet); and
- \( k \) = saturated hydraulic conductivity of the filter media (feet per day)

b. When computing surface area, use a filtration rate of two inches per hour for sand and soil (accounting for the reduction in filtration rates for sand over time due to build-up of fine material)

c. Determination of filtration rate for proprietary or mixed media must be obtained from manufacturers or from evaluation of similar applications. High filtration rates at installation associated with some media types may yield small required surface area values. The designer must, however, account for the potential for filter systems to clog over time and must therefore adjust the assumed filtration rate to account for this.

17. The minimum allowable filter media depth is 18 inches (greater depths may be used but do not alter filter sizing requirements).

18. Stone cannot be used as filter media, but it can be used within filter systems to provide additional storage and must be provided as bedding for underdrains.

19. Bedding and Foundations:

a. Pipe, vault, grid and chamber storage areas must be adequately bedded with stone to prevent settling or subsidence.
b. Bedding thickness must vary according to system requirements, but must not be less than six inches.

c. Over-excavation and replacement of loose or unstable subsurface material may be required if such conditions are encountered. A geotechnical engineer or other appropriate design professional should be consulted for additional guidance.

d. Foundations/footers must be provided as warranted by system loading, geotechnical conditions, and manufacturer’s recommendations. Foundation designs must be performed by an appropriate design professional.

20. The storage design must account for potential loading from vehicles, as appropriate, based on expected maximum active loading, including consideration for emergency vehicles.

21. The system must have a level bottom and use a terraced system, if installed along a slope.

Outlet Control Design Standards

22. For proprietary media filters, the manufacturer’s design guidance must be followed when configuring the outlet controls.

23. Impervious liners are required for all filter systems not contained in impermeable structures. They must not be interrupted by structures within the filter footprint. Impervious liners must be continuous and extend completely up the sides of any structures that are located within the lined filter footprint to the ground surface. If additional liner material must be added to extend up the structures, the additional liner sections must be joined to the rest of the liner with an impervious seam per the manufacturers’ recommendation.

24. Underdrains must be provided for all non-infiltrating systems and must meet the following requirements:

   a. Underdrains must be surrounded by a sand layer or stone to filter sediment and facilitate drainage.

   b. The minimum allowable depth of a sand or stone filter layer above and beneath the underdrain is six inches.

   c. Underdrains must be surrounded by a geotextile fabric if sand is used.

25. For filters located in the separate sewer area, where infiltration is infeasible, flow through the underdrain may be modeled as exfiltration at a rate of two inches per hour for sand media and at an appropriate rate for other filter media, then routed through the underdrain system. This exfiltration flow must be routed through the primary outlet of the filter, not discarded from the stormwater model. Determination of filtration rate for proprietary or mixed media must be obtained from the manufacturer or from evaluation of similar applications.
26. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on design standards for outlet control systems.

**Inspection and Maintenance Access Design Standards**

27. For proprietary media filters, the manufacturer’s design guidance must be followed for inspection and maintenance access.

28. Manholes, access panels and other access features must be provided to allow unobstructed and safe access to SMPs for routine maintenance and inspection of inflow, outflow, underdrains, and storage systems.

29. Access features for underground storage SMPs within which filters may be contained:
   
   a. Access features must be provided for all underground storage SMPs that are not stone storage beds.

   b. A sufficient number of access points in the SMP must be provided to efficiently inspect and maintain the storage area.

   c. For cast-in-place vault systems, access features must consist of manholes or grated access panels or doors. Grated access panels are preferred to maintain airflow.

   d. Lifts or other equipment may be necessary for maintaining the filter media.

   e. Large access points may be required for maintaining/replacing the filter media.

   f. Ladder access is required for vaults greater than four feet in height.

---

**4.9.4 Media Filter Material Standards**

**Pretreatment Material Standards**

1. The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, for materials standards for pretreatment systems.

**Inlet Control Material Standards**

2. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for materials standards for inlet control systems.

**Storage Area Material Standards**

3. Stone
   
   a. Stone designed for stormwater storage must be uniformly graded, crushed, clean-washed stone.
PWD defines "clean-washed" as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) T-11 wash loss test. AASHTO No. 3 and No. 57 stone can meet this specification.

b. Stone must be separated from filter media by a geotextile or a pea gravel filter.

4. Sand

a. Sand used as filter media must be clean, medium to fine sand and have organic material meeting the specifications of AASHTO M-6 (grain size of 0.02 to 0.04 inches) or American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) C-33.

b. At a minimum, applicants must demonstrate that the sand filter is capable of generating a maximum effluent EMC of 15 milligrams per liter for TSS accumulated at a POA downstream of the SMP.

5. Other Filter Media

a. Prior to use of any prefabricated, proprietary, or mixed filter media, the designer must carefully review design specifications and vendor information to assess performance, maintenance, longevity, and third party verification.

b. Peat must have an ash content of less than 15%, a pH range of 3.3 to 5.2, and a loose bulk density range of 0.12 g/cc to 0.14 g/cc.

c. Filter media other than sand or peat may be used in certain cases, if approved for use by PWD. Approvals are granted on the basis of a case-by-case review by PWD based on information submitted by the applicant. At a minimum, applicants must demonstrate that the filter media is capable of generating a maximum effluent EMC of 15 milligrams per liter for TSS accumulated at a POA downstream of the SMP, meets all other filter design and water quality specifications set forth in this Section, and has a demonstrated record of high performance within urban settings.

6. Geotextile must consist of polypropylene fibers and meet the following specifications (AASHTO Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended):

a. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): ≥ 120 lbs

b. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): ≥ 225 psi

c. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): ≥ 95 gal/min/ft²

d. UV Resistance after 500 Hours (ASTM-D4355): ≥ 70%

e. Heat-set or heat-calendared fabrics are not permitted.
Outlet Control Material Standards

7. Underdrains must be made of continuously perforated high-density polyethylene (HDPE) plastic piping with a smooth interior and a minimum inner diameter of four inches. HDPE pipe must meet the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S.

8. Stone used for filter underdrains must be uniformly graded, clean-washed stone, either crushed or smooth. PWD defines "clean-washed" as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per the AASHTO T-11 wash loss test.

9. Sand, if used for filter underdrains, must be with AASHTO M-6 or American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) C-33 sand and must have a grain size of 0.02 inches to 0.04 inches.

10. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on material standards for outlet control systems.

4.9.5 Media Filter Construction Guidance

Proper construction of filter systems is essential to ensure long-term functionality and reduce long-term maintenance needs.

1. Provide erosion and sedimentation control protection on the site such that construction runoff is directed away from the proposed filter system. Sediment deposited in a filter system during construction, particularly a stone bed system, can reduce system performance. The designer is referred to the latest edition of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual for information on design standards for erosion and sedimentation control practices.

2. Excavate filter area to proposed depth, providing appropriate shoring and sheeting for deep excavations.

3. For excavated systems, place impermeable liner ensuring continuous contact with subgrade.

4. If using a stone storage bed in fill beneath the filter:
   a. Place geotextile, ensuring adequate overlap of 16 inches, or pea gravel, and storage stone.
      i. Set the underdrain during placement according to the plans.
      ii. Place geotextile in accordance with manufacturer’s standards and recommendations.
      iii. Secure geotextile at least four feet outside bed.
      iv. Place stone in six to eight inch lifts and lightly compact.
b. Confirm storage elevations prior to backfill.

5. If the filter is to be placed within a vault or concrete structure:
   a. Place stone base beneath vault.
   b. Place vault. If using a manufactured system, install vault in accordance with manufacturer’s recommendations.
   c. Perform form work, reinforcement, and concrete work in conformance with project specifications.
   d. Place geotextile, ensuring adequate overlap of 16 inches, or pea gravel, and storage stone.
      i. Set the underdrain for the filter during placement according to the plans.
      ii. Place geotextile in accordance with manufacturer’s standards and recommendations.
      iii. Secure geotextile at least four feet outside bed.
      iv. Place stone in six to eight inch lifts and lightly compact.

6. Place filter media in six-inch to eight-inch lifts within structure or excavated area, over the underdrain and storage stone and cover with debris screen, stone filter layer, or non-woven fabric.

7. Confirm and document invert elevations and dimensions for all structures such as vaults and pipes prior to backfill.

8. Backfill to finished grade. Ensure backfill is properly compacted in accordance with specifications. Ensure backfill process does not disrupt pipe placement and configuration.

9. Structures such as inlet boxes, reinforced concrete boxes, inlet controls, and outlet controls must be constructed according to manufacturer’s guidelines or design professional’s guidance.

10. Once site is permanently stabilized with vegetation, remove temporary erosion and sediment control measures.
4.9.6 Media Filter Maintenance Guidance

All areas of the filter should be inspected regularly and after significant storm events for ponding, sediment and/or debris accumulation, and damage. Corrective measures should be taken when ponding, sediment and/or debris accumulation, and/or damage occurs.

In areas where the potential exists for the discharge and accumulation of toxic pollutants (such as metals), filter media removed from filters must be handled and disposed of in accordance with all City, State, and Federal regulations.

General recommended maintenance activities and frequencies for media filters are summarized in Table 4.9-1 below.
Table 4.9-1: Media Filter Maintenance Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rake filter media surface for the removal of trash and debris from control openings.</td>
<td>As needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair leaks from the sedimentation chamber or deterioration of structural components.</td>
<td>As needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect filter for standing water (filter drainage is not optimal) and discoloration (organics or debris have clogged filter surface).</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove the top few inches of filter media and cultivate the surface when filter bed is clogged.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean out accumulated sediment from filter bed chamber.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean out accumulated sediment from sedimentation chamber.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain records of all inspections and maintenance activity.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the SMP design proposes modifications to the approved saturated hydraulic conductivity of media filters, appropriate modifications should be made to the maintenance schedule (Table 4.9-1) for the proposed management practice. For example, utilizing an increased filtration rate for sand is appropriate if the maintenance schedule includes increased frequency of sediment removal and replacement of filter media.

The designer is referred to Section 4.10, Pretreatment, Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, and Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for information on maintenance guidance for pretreatment, inlet controls, and outlet controls.
4.10 Pretreatment

4.10.1 Pretreatment Introduction

Pretreatment is critical to the design of stormwater management practices (SMPs). Properly designed pretreatment systems help to sustain required stormwater management function, extend service life, and reduce maintenance costs of SMPs. The primary goal of most pretreatment systems is to capture sediment, trash, and debris. This can be done using a variety of methods, but is most commonly achieved by decreasing peak stormwater velocities to allow sediment to settle or by filtering incoming stormwater through vegetation to remove sediment before it reaches a downstream SMP.

Pretreatment of runoff from all inlets is required. For all SMPs, the use of sumps and traps or hoods for inlets, and sump boxes with traps or hoods downstream of trench drains, is the minimum requirement. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for guidance on inlets. Pretreatment beyond these minimum requirements is recommended for SMPs with catchment areas that generate high sediment loads, such as roadways and parking lots. The designer should reference the pretreatment sections within the individual SMP Sections of Chapter 4 for SMP-specific guidance regarding pretreatment.

In the following Section, guidance is provided on three of the most commonly applied pretreatment practices. These consist of:

- Filter Strips,
- Forebays, and
- Swales.

The design of an effective pretreatment system may incorporate any number of these or other types of pretreatment systems, and the designer should not be limited by the guidance provided in this Manual. Successful pretreatment systems will combine appropriate materials and designs specific to each site.

Table 4.10-1 below provides guidance on the typical applicability of the types of pretreatment systems covered in this Section. Green indicates that the pretreatment type would typically be used with the SMP; yellow indicates that the pretreatment type may be used with the SMP in certain circumstances; and red indicates that the pretreatment type would not typically be used with the associated SMP. Filter strips are typically applicable for diffuse stormwater flow, while forebays and swales are typically applicable for
concentrated stormwater flow.

The pretreatment systems within this Section are not typically applicable for green roofs, blue roofs, and cisterns treating roof runoff. The designer is referred to the pretreatment sections within Section 4.5, for cistern pretreatment guidance.

Table 4.10-1: Pretreatment Applicability Guidance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SMP</th>
<th>Filter Strips</th>
<th>Forebays</th>
<th>Swales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bioinfiltration/</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioretention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponds and Wet</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface Infiltration</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface Detention</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media Filters</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous Pavement</td>
<td>Unypical</td>
<td>Unypical</td>
<td>Unypical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Roofs</td>
<td>Unypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisterns</td>
<td>Unypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Roofs</td>
<td>Unypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.10.2 Filter Strips

Filter strips consist of densely vegetated land that treats stormwater sheet flow from adjacent pervious and impervious areas. These systems function by reducing runoff velocity, trapping sediment and pollutants, and, in some cases, infiltrating a portion of the runoff into the ground. Filter strips are generally a sensible and cost-effective stormwater pretreatment option applicable to a variety of development sites, including roads and highways.

Design of filter strip SMPs is not limited to the examples shown within this text. Successful stormwater management plans will combine appropriate materials and designs specific to each site.
An example of filter strips in Philadelphia

When Can Filter Strips Be Used?

Filter strips are a pretreatment option typically applicable to bioinfiltration/bioretention basins and ponds and wet basins. Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, they may be applicable to subsurface infiltration, subsurface detention, and media filters.

Filter strips are typically used for pretreatment of diffuse sheet flow. They are a pretreatment option for SMPs in residential, commercial, and light industrial developments, and for roads, highways, and parking lots.

Key Advantages of Filter Strips

- Relatively simple structures that provide effective runoff pretreatment
- Effective at slowing runoff velocities, removing pollutant loads, and promoting infiltration of runoff produced by both impervious and pervious areas
- Extend the life of associated SMPs and decrease their hydraulic residence time
- Decrease the frequency of required maintenance of associated SMPs
Key Limitations of Filter Strips

- Require preservation and minimization of impacts leading to compaction and/or erosion
- Often require medium-to-large vegetated areas, making them often impractical in an ultra-urban setting

Key Design Considerations for Filter Strips

- Filter strip effectiveness may be enhanced by installing berms and retentive grading perpendicular to the flow path. A pervious berm and/or retentive grading allows for a reduction in both runoff velocity and volume, thus improving pollutant removal capabilities by providing a temporary (very shallow) ponded area.
- The use of existing vegetated areas that have surface features that disperse runoff is encouraged, as the use of these areas will also reduce overall site disturbance and soil compaction.
- Trees and shrubs may be allowed in the flow path if the filter strip exceeds the minimum length and width requirements specified in Table 4.10-2 below.
- The vegetation for filter strips may be comprised of turf grasses, meadow grasses, shrubs, and native vegetation (see Appendix I for plant lists). Approved native grass mixes are preferable. Seed shall be applied at the rates specified by the supplier.
- Turf grass is generally not recommended, but may be acceptable provided the designer can show it meets all requirements.
- Vegetation cover should be maintained at 85%. If vegetation is damaged, the damaged areas should be re-established in accordance with the original specifications or according to a new design approved by the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD). In all design cases where vegetation is to be established, the planting regime should be as dense as the soil conditions can sustain. This is especially true at the top portions of the filter strip where the highest sheet flow velocities are found. Soils that can sustain higher quantities and qualities of vegetation may need to be added to ensure the thick vegetative densities needed for sustainable filter strip performance. All vegetation deficiencies should be addressed without the use of fertilizers or pesticides, if possible.

Filter Strip Design and Material Standards

1. It is critical that plant materials are appropriate for soil, hydrologic, light, and other site conditions. The designer is referred to the list of native species in Appendix I for plant lists. Ponding depth, drain down time, sunlight, salt tolerance, soil infiltration capacities, pollution tolerances, root structure, and other conditions must be taken into consideration when selecting plants.
2. Concentrated flow can have an erosive effect that can damage the filter strip, rendering the strip ineffective. If discharge of concentrated flow to the filter strip is proposed, level spreading devices are required to provide uniform sheet flow. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for guidance on level spreaders.

3. Filter strips may not be used in high-use areas unless precautions are taken to minimize disturbance of the filter strip, such as signage, fences, and placement of sidewalks or paths to minimize pedestrian or vehicular traffic.

4. The maximum allowable flow path to a filter strip, without the installation of energy dissipaters and/or flow spreaders, is 75 feet for impervious ground cover and 150 feet for pervious ground cover.

5. The maximum contributing drainage area must be less than five acres and must not exceed a drainage area to filter strip area ratio of 6:1.

6. The maximum contributing drainage area slope must be less than 5%, unless energy dissipation and/or flow spreaders are provided up-gradient of the filter strip.

7. The filter strip slope must not exceed 8%. Slopes less than 5% are generally preferred. Filter strips with slopes that exceed 5% should implement check dams to encourage ponding and prevent scour and erosion of the filter strip area. The designer is referred to Section 4.10.5, Swales, for additional guidance on check dam design.

8. The slope (parallel to the flow path) of the top of the filter strip, after a flow spreading device, must be very small (less than 1%) and gradually increase to the designed value to protect from erosion and undermining of the device.

9. Plants must be established at the time of filter strip completion (at least three months after seeding). No runoff must be allowed to flow across the filter strip until the vegetation is established.

10. Filter strip length must be in accordance with Table 4.10-2 below.

**Table 4.10-2: Required Starting Design Values for Filter Strip Length**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strip Length Perpendicular to Flow Path</th>
<th>Largest feasible on-site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strip Length Parallel to Flow Path</td>
<td>Four feet* to 150 feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The minimum pretreatment filter strip value is based on the length of the receiving flow path. Figure 4.10-1 below shows how the minimum length requirement changes as both flow path and filter strip slope change.

11. For contributing flow paths less than 30 feet in length, Figure 4.10-1 below must be used to determine
the filter strip length. The filter strip length requirements reflected in Figure 4.10-1 are scaled from dimensions of a grassy vegetative swale for the same slope and flow conditions mentioned in Table 4.10-2.

**Figure 4.10-1**: Design Specifications for Narrow Pretreatment Filter Strips with Flow Paths Less Than 30 Feet in Length

![Filter Strip Specifications Diagram](Image)


12. For contributing flow paths greater than 30 feet in length, the required flow characteristics for maximum velocity and depth listed in Table 4.10-3 below must be used.

**Table 4.10-3**: Maximum Velocities and Water Depths for Filter Strip Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Velocities and Water Depths for Filter Strip Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Water Depth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values for both maximum velocity and water depth were taken from *US DOT Stormwater Best Management Practices in an Ultra-Urban Setting: Selection and Monitoring* and the *Seattle BMP Manual*. 
Filter Strip Construction Guidance

Guidance on typical construction sequencing to ensure proper installation and performance of filter strips is as follows:

1. Areas for filter strips must be clearly marked before any site work begins to avoid soil disturbance and compaction during construction.

2. In areas where soil is compacted, tilling to depths of 12 to 18 inches is necessary. A minimum of six inches of top soil must be added into the tilled soil column, and small trees and shrubs with capabilities for deep root penetrations should be introduced to maximize the infiltrative capacity of the soil.

3. Provide erosion and sedimentation control protection on the site such that construction runoff is directed away from the proposed filter strip location.

4. Complete site elevation and retentive grading, if proposed. Stabilize the soil disturbed within the limit of earth disturbance.

5. Install energy dissipaters and flow spreaders if required. The designer is referred to Section 4.12, Outlet Controls, for more detailed construction information.

6. Construct filter strip as specified in the design.

7. Seed and plant vegetation (plants, shrubs, and trees) as indicated on the plans and specifications.

8. Once site vegetation is stabilized, remove erosion and sediment control protection.

Filter Strip Maintenance Guidance

All areas of the filter strip should be inspected after significant storm events for ponding. Corrective measures should be taken when excessive ponding occurs.

General recommended maintenance activities for filter strips are summarized in Table 4.10-4.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspect erosion control and flow spreading devices until soil settlement and vegetative establishment of contributing areas has occurred.</td>
<td>Biweekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water vegetation at the end of each day for two weeks after planting is completed.</td>
<td>Daily for two weeks after installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water vegetation regularly to ensure successful establishment.</td>
<td>Every four days during periods of four or more days without rain, June through August for the 24 months after installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect vegetation for signs of disease or distress.</td>
<td>Biweekly for the first year after installation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ongoing Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mow and/or trim vegetation (not applicable to all filter strips). Filter strips that need mowing are to be cut to a height no less than four inches. Greater than five inches is preferred.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect all vegetated strip components expected to receive and/or trap debris and sediment for clogging, excessive debris, and sediment accumulation; remove sediment during dry periods.</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect vegetated areas for erosion, scour, and unwanted growth. This should be removed with minimum disruption to the planting soil bed and remaining vegetation.</td>
<td>Semiannually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect all level spreading devices for trapped sediment and flow spreading abilities. Remove sediment and correct grading and flow channels during dry periods.</td>
<td>Semiannually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain records of all inspections and maintenance activity.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When correcting grading of a flow spreading device, proper erosion and sediment control precautions should be used in the concentrated area of disturbance to ensure protection of the remaining portion of the filter.

Disturbance to filter strips should be minimal during maintenance. At no time should any vehicle be driven on the filter strip. In addition, foot traffic should be kept to a minimum.
If the filter strip is of the type that needs mowing, such as turf grass and possibly other native grasses, push mowers, not riding mowers, should be used. The filter strip should be mowed perpendicular to the flow path (however not exactly the same path every mowing) to prevent any erosion and scour due to channeling of flow in the maintenance depressions.

Filter strips are often used as a convenient area for snow storage. Therefore, filter strip vegetation should be salt-tolerant, and the project’s Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Schedule should include removal of sand build-up at the toes of the filter strip slope. If the filter strip cannot provide pretreatment in the winter due to snow storage or vegetation choice, other pretreatment should be provided.

### 4.10.3 Forebays

A forebay is a small impoundment designed to dissipate the energy of incoming runoff and allow for initial settling of coarse sediments. They are typically used for pretreatment of runoff prior to discharge into an SMP. Storage created within forebay systems is primarily intended to promote gross pollutant removal prior to introducing flow into a downstream water quality SMP. The forebay is typically isolated from the downstream SMP facility by an earthen, stone, or concrete berm which creates the outer limitations of the forebay.

In some cases, forebays may be constructed as separate structures, but often they are integrated into the design of larger SMPs. Since forebays are typically designed as surface features, surface forebays are the primary focus of this section. Storm drain piping or other conveyance practices may be aligned upstream of a forebay to discharge into one, or several, forebays, as appropriate for the particular site.

Design of forebays is not limited to the examples shown within this text. Successful stormwater management plans will combine appropriate materials and designs specific to each site.
When Can Forebays Be Used?

Forebays are a pretreatment option typically implemented with bioinfiltration/bioretention basins and ponds and wet basins. Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, they may be applicable to subsurface infiltration, subsurface detention, and media filters. Forebays are typically used for pretreatment at points of concentrated stormwater flow.

Key Advantages of Forebays

- Very effective at removing coarse sediment and debris from small frequent storm events
- Reduce the frequency of maintenance of the associated SMP
- Enhance pollutant removal capabilities of the associated SMP

Key Limitations of Forebays

- Take up space and expand the footprint of the associated SMP
- Generally ineffective at fine particulate removal
- Increase construction costs and required land area
- Require careful monitoring and removal of accumulated sediment, which can be visible and create aesthetic concerns

Key Design Considerations for Forebays

- A berm placed on the downslope side of a mild slope can be used to create a forebay without
additional excavation.

- As an alternative to an earthen basin, an underground structure may serve as a forebay; however, use of fully enclosed structures must allow accessibility for inspection and maintenance.

- Forebay systems can be vegetated, or may be lined with hard materials such as rock or concrete. Vegetation within forebays can help to improve aesthetics and assist with pollutant removal; however, high velocities and high rates of sedimentation within forebays can make vegetation survival difficult.

- Forebays should be installed in locations that are accessible by maintenance equipment and should be designed for ease of maintenance. Those constructed with a bottom made of concrete or other solid materials make sediment removal easier and more accessible by heavy machinery.

- Forebay sizing should consider expected level of sediment loading. Drainage area size and characteristics have an impact on the nature and frequency of maintenance activities and corresponding long-term performance. For example, large parking lots deliver more sediment to an SMP than roof areas and therefore require additional maintenance than systems that receive only roof runoff.

- Exposed earthen slopes and the bottom of the forebay should be stabilized using seed mixes appropriate for soils, mowing practices, and exposure to inundation.

- If the forebay is separated from the downstream SMP by an impervious barrier such as a concrete wall or weir, an outlet control structure may be required to drain the forebay. A designed overflow spillway section may be constructed on the top of the berm separating the forebay from the SMP to allow overflow to exit the forebay and enter the downstream SMP at non-erosive velocities.

**Forebay Design and Material Standards**

1. Forebays within large SMPs, such as ponds and wet basins, must contain 10% to 15% of the total permanent pool volume of the larger SMP.

2. For forebays within smaller SMPs such as bioinfiltration/bioretention basins, the storage volume should be sized to retain 0.25 inches of runoff per acre of contributing directly connected impervious area (DCIA), with an absolute minimum of 0.1 inch per impervious acre.

3. A berm, stone wall, or similar structure must physically separate the forebay from its associated SMP.

4. Inlet controls for forebays must include riprap aprons, stone placed in concrete, or some other type of energy dissipation device to rapidly reduce the inflow velocity for erosion/scour protection and to encourage settlement of suspended solids. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on design requirements for inlet control systems.

5. Permanent vertical markers constructed of durable materials must be installed within the forebay area to indicate the sediment depth.
6. Inspection and maintenance access must be provided to allow for periodic sediment removal; this is most commonly provided via stabilized and mildly sloping graded areas that can be accessed by heavy equipment.

7. Exit velocities from the forebay must be non-erosive. The designer is referred to the latest edition of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) Erosion and Sedimentation Control Program Manual for information on design standards for erosion and sedimentation control practices.

**Forebay Construction Guidance**

Guidance on typical construction sequencing to ensure proper installation and performance of forebays is as follows:

1. Install all temporary erosion and sedimentation controls. The area immediately adjacent to the forebay must be stabilized in accordance with the latest edition of the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual prior to SMP construction.

2. Prepare site for excavation and/or embankment construction.

3. All existing vegetation should remain, if feasible, and must only be removed if necessary for construction.

4. If excavation is required, clear the area to be excavated of all vegetation. Remove all tree roots, rocks, and boulders only in excavation area.

5. Excavate bottom of forebay to desired elevation (if necessary).

6. Install surrounding embankments and inlet and outlet control structures.

7. Grade subsoil in bottom of forebay and compact surrounding embankment areas and around inlet and outlet structures.

8. Apply and grade planting soil.

9. Seed, plant, and mulch according to Planting Plan.

**Forebay Maintenance Guidance**

All areas of the forebay should be inspected after significant storm events. Corrective measures must be taken if erosion or excessive debris accumulation occurs. General recommended maintenance activities for forebays are summarized in Table 4.10-5.
Table 4.10-5: Forebay Maintenance Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ongoing Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remove trash and debris.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove invasive plants.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassed areas require periodic prudent fertilizing, dethatching, and soil conditioning.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees, shrubs, and other vegetative cover will require periodic maintenance such as</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fertilizing, pruning, and pest control.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mow/trim forebay vegetation.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dredge sediment. Accumulated sediment must not occupy greater than 50% of the forebay</td>
<td>As Needed, but at least once</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volume.</td>
<td>every five years*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect forebay for potential problems including: subsidence, erosion, cracking, or tree</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growth on the stabilized overflow spillway embankment; damage to the spillway; sediment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accumulation; changes in the condition of the inflow; and erosion within the forebay and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>banks.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain records of all inspections and maintenance activity.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The frequency of sediment removal depends on site conditions such as soil type and maintenance of site stabilization which influence the sediment load on the basin.

In most cases, no specific limitations have been placed on disposal of sediments removed from forebays. Studies to date indicate that sediments are likely to meet toxicity limits and can be safely landfilled. It is the owner’s responsibility to ensure that the sediment is not contaminated. On-site sediment disposal is always preferable as long as the sediments are deposited away from the edge of the forebay to prevent their re-entry, and away from recreation areas where people could inhale resulting dust. Information regarding sediment disposal should be provided to the property owner by the design professional.

Sediments should be tested for toxicants in compliance with current disposal requirements if land uses in the drainage area are commercial or industrial, or if indications of pollution are seen or smelled.

4.10.4 Swales

A swale is an open channel vegetated with a combination of grasses and other herbaceous plants, shrubs, and/or trees that can reduce peak flow at the discharge point by increasing travel time and friction along the
flow path. A traditional swale typically provides conveyance and pretreatment to another SMP, such as a bioinfiltration/bioretention basin, and provides some infiltration and water quality benefits. Check dams can increase these functions by providing ponding areas where settling and infiltration can occur. As the number of check dams increases, a swale may resemble a series of bioinfiltration/bioretention basins while still being designed to convey peak flows. Swales planted with turf grass provide some of these functions, but turf grass is not as effective as deeper-rooted vegetation at decreasing peak flow rates, allowing infiltration, and controlling erosion. A swale can be more aesthetically pleasing than a concrete or rock-lined drainage system and is generally less expensive to construct. Runoff can enter the swale through a curb opening, pipe, weir, or other design, and it may flow off of a curbsless parking lot or road and down a swale slope in a diffuse manner.

When not used for conveyance and/or pretreatment, swales may be considered narrow bioinfiltration/bioretention basins, if designed as such. This Section only covers the design of swales as pretreatment systems; the designer is referred to Section 4.1, Bioinfiltration/Bioretention, for guidance on swales designed for Water Quality treatment.

Design of swales is not limited to the examples shown within this text. Successful stormwater management plans will combine appropriate materials and designs specific to each site.

![An example of a swale in Philadelphia](image)

**When Can Swales Be Used?**

Swales are pretreatment options typically applicable to bioinfiltration/bioretention basins and ponds and wet basins. Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, they may be applicable to
subsurface infiltration, subsurface detention, and media filters.

Swales are typically used for pretreatment and/or conveyance of concentrated stormwater flow. They may be applicable in many urban settings, including parking lots, commercial and light industrial facilities, and roads and highways (via median strips and shoulders). Swales can also be used in residential developments and constructed, with approved property agreements, parallel to the sidewalks and streets. Alternatively, they can collect stormwater from multiple properties and convey it to a shared SMP.

**Key Advantages of Swales**

- Allow for flexible design for both stormwater treatment and conveyance
- Can often be used in lieu of more expensive subsurface conveyance or rock-lined/concrete channels
- Can effectively balance storage, treatment, and infiltration with peak flow conveyance needs
- Can be designed to fit into many types of landscapes in an aesthetically pleasing manner
- Can effectively balance needs for infiltration and treatment during small storms with needs for conveyance during large storms

**Key Limitations of Swales**

- Generally distributed across a larger area than other SMPs
- May have limited opportunities for implementation due to the amount of open space available at the site

**Key Design Considerations for Swales**

- The first ponding area may be designed as a sediment forebay and function as a pretreatment practice for the remainder of the swale or downstream SMPs. Vegetated or stone filter strips are also options for pretreatment.
- The excavated channel itself provides the storage volume and conveyance capacity of the swale. An effective swale design will balance the need for infiltration and treatment during small storms with need for conveyance during large storms.
- The site’s natural topography should be considered when siting the swale; if possible, the swale should be located along contours and natural drainage pathways with slopes of 2% to 3%.
- A bottom channel width of two to eight feet is recommended.
- The soil provides a growing medium for plants and allows for infiltration. The growing medium may consist of amended in situ soils or imported soil.
• A crushed stone layer may be added beneath the soil to increase storage and promote infiltration. Stone will perform this function most effectively when placed beneath ponded areas.

• In some cases, an underdrain and piping system may be designed to prevent prolonged ponding of stormwater or to collect and convey water to another facility such as an infiltration trench. Underdrained systems may be appropriate in locations where conditions are not ideal for infiltration. The designer is referred to Section 3.3 for detail on minimum infiltration rates and infiltration testing requirements.

• Vegetation or ground cover within a swale should be suitable for expected velocities. For the swale flow path, native grass mixes are preferable. Native wildflowers, grasses, and ground covers, which can be designed to require mowing only once or twice annually, are preferred to turf and lawn areas.

• It is recommended that swale SMP designs include check dams. Ponding behind check dams provides storage, increases infiltration, increases travel time, reduces peak flows, and helps prevent erosion by dissipating energy.
  
  ○ Check dams can be constructed from concrete, stone, boulders, earth, or other materials.
  
  ○ If a stone check dam is designed to be overtopped, appropriate selection of aggregate will ensure stability during flooding events. In general, one stone size for a dam is recommended for ease of construction. However, two or more stone sizes may be used, provided a larger stone (e.g., R-4) is placed on the downstream side, since flows are concentrated at the exit channel of the weir. Several feet of smaller stone (e.g., American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials #57) can then be placed on the upstream side. Smaller stone may also be more appropriate at the base of the dam for constructability purposes.

  ○ Check dams should be evenly spaced and at least six inches high.

**Swale Design and Material Standards**

1. If a swale is being designed as a primary SMP, it must meet the applicable design requirements for bioinfiltration/bioretention basins, as well as the applicable requirements in this Section. The designer is referred to Section 4.1, Bioinfiltration/Bioretention, for guidance and design requirements.

2. Swales must convey the ten-year, 24-hour storm with a minimum of six inches of freeboard and a maximum depth of 18 inches. Flow over check dams may be estimated using a weir equation.

3. Swales must be designed to resist erosion. It is recommended that the swale convey the two-year, 24-hour storm without erosion. The latest edition of the *PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual* is recommended as a reference for these calculations. Soil mix, vegetation, and temporary or permanent stabilization measures must be adjusted as needed.

4. Plants must be established at the time of swale completion (at least three months after seeding).
5. Energy dissipaters must be evaluated for use at points of concentrated inflow. The designer is referred to Section 4.11, Inlet Controls, for information on the design of energy dissipaters.

6. It is critical that plant materials are appropriate for soil, hydrologic, light, and other site conditions. The designer is referred to the list of native species in Appendix I for plant lists. Ponding depth, drain down time, sunlight, salt tolerance, and other conditions must be taken into consideration when selecting plants. Turf grass is generally not recommended but may be acceptable provided the designer can show it meets all requirements.

7. Maximum side slopes for parabolic channel swales are as follows:
   a. All - 2(H):1(V) (The recommended side slope is 3(H):1(V))
   b. Mowed - 4(H):1(V) to avoid “scalping” by mower blades

8. Check dams intended to provide ponding in swale SMP designs must not be porous (i.e. composed of stone gabions), as water should be ponded behind each check dam and forced to infiltrate. If the swales are only being used for conveyance or to increase time of concentration, check dams may be porous.

**Swale Construction Guidance**

Guidance on typical construction sequencing to ensure proper installation and performance of swales is as follows:

1. To promote infiltration through swales, rock construction entrances must not be located on top of areas of proposed swale bottoms.

2. Heavy equipment exclusion zones must be established to avoid compaction of the swale’s bottom footprint during construction.

3. Begin vegetated swale construction only when the up-gradient site has been sufficiently stabilized and temporary erosion and sediment control measures are in place. Vegetated swales should be constructed and stabilized very early in the construction schedule, preferably before mass earthwork and paving increase the rate and volume of runoff. The designer is referred to the latest edition of the **PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual** for information on design standards for erosion and sedimentation control practices.

4. Rough grade the swale. Equipment must avoid excessive compaction and/or land disturbance. Excavating equipment should operate from the side of the swale and never on the bottom. If excavation leads to substantial compaction of the subgrade (where an infiltration trench is not proposed), 18 inches must be removed and replaced with a blend of topsoil and sand to promote infiltration and biological growth. At the very least, topsoil must be rototilled into the subgrade in order to penetrate the compacted zone and promote aeration and the formation of macropores. Following this, the area should be disked prior to final grading of topsoil.
5. Construct check dams, if required.

6. Fine grade the swale. Accurate grading is crucial for swales. Even the smallest non-conformities may compromise flow conditions.

7. Seed and vegetate according to final planting plans. Seeding with an annual turf grass is recommended to provide temporary stabilization. Plant the swale at a time of the year when successful establishment without irrigation is most likely. Provide temporary irrigation during any periods of little rain or drought. Vegetation should be established as soon as possible to prevent erosion and scour.

8. Concurrent with the previous step, stabilize freshly seeded swales with appropriate temporary or permanent soil stabilization methods, such as erosion control matting or blankets. If runoff velocities are high, consider sodding the swale or diverting runoff until vegetation is fully established. Erosion and sediment control methods must adhere to latest edition of the *PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual*.

9. Once the swale is sufficiently stabilized, remove temporary erosion and sediment controls. It is very important that the swale be stabilized before receiving stormwater flow. No runoff must be allowed to flow in the swale until grass is established.

**Swale Maintenance Guidance**

Swales should be inspected after significant storm events, and corrective measures should be taken if erosion or excessive debris accumulation occurs. General recommended maintenance activities for swales are summarized in Table 4.10-6.
### Table 4.10-6: Swale Maintenance Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ongoing Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treat or replace diseased trees and shrubs.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect soil and repair eroded areas.</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove litter and debris.</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear leaves and debris from overflow.</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect trees and shrubs to evaluate health.</td>
<td>Semiannually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prune trees and shrubs.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect for sediment build-up, erosion, vegetative conditions, etc.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect outlet control devices after several storms to ensure that they are functioning properly and that there are no erosion problems developing.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain records of all inspections and maintenance activity.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.11 Inlet Controls

4.11.1 Inlet Control Introduction

Inlet controls are the structures or landscape features that manage the flow into a stormwater management practice (SMP). Distribution piping, flow splitters, curbless design, curb openings, energy dissipaters, and inlets are all examples and elements of inlet controls. Inlet controls often serve an integral role in the pretreatment of stormwater entering an SMP by minimizing erosion potential or capturing sediment and gross solids. They restrict the volume and rate of flow introduced to an SMP while ensuring that flow is delivered to the SMP without causing erosion.

Design of inlet controls is not limited to the examples shown within this text. Successful stormwater management plans will combine appropriate materials and designs specific to each site.

Table 4.11-1 below is a guide to the inlet controls covered in this section, showing whether or not they are typically used in conjunction with various SMP practices. Green indicates that an inlet control would typically be used with an SMP; yellow indicates that the inlet control may be used with the associated SMP in certain circumstances; and red indicates that the inlet control would not typically be used with the associated SMP. An appropriate inlet control must be matched to the contributing drainage area and receiving SMP.
Table 4.11-1: Inlet Controls Applicability Guidance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SMP</th>
<th>Flow Splitters</th>
<th>Curbless Design / Curb Openings</th>
<th>Energy Dissipaters</th>
<th>Inlets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bioinfiltration/ Bioretention</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponds and Wet Basins</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface Infiltration</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Unypical</td>
<td>Unypical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisterns</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Unypical</td>
<td>Unypical</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface Detention</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Unypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media Filters</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous Pavement</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Roofs</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Roofs</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.11.2 Flow Splitters

Flow splitting devices are used to direct a fraction of runoff into an SMP while bypassing excess flows from larger events around the SMP into a bypass pipe or channel. The bypass typically connects to another SMP or to a receiving drainage system, depending on the design and management requirements. This type of inlet control can also serve as the positive overflow for the SMP.

Flow splitters can be constructed by installing bypass weirs in stormwater control structures such as inlets and manholes. On a larger scale, they can be constructed using concrete baffles in manholes.

**When Can Flow Splitters Be Used?**

Flow splitters are inlet controls that are typically applicable to bioinfiltration/bioretention basins, subsurface infiltration and detention SMPs, ponds and wet basins, and cisterns. Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, they may be applicable to media filters.

Flow splitters are used to divert required Water Quality Volume (WQv) to appropriate SMPs while allowing excess stormwater to pass to another SMP, storm sewer, or receiving water body. They may also be used to divert first flush stormwater to lower-maintenance surface SMPs while allowing excess flows to discharge to subsurface SMPs.
Key Advantages of Flow Splitters

- Divide runoff volume and divert it to different destinations to alleviate downstream flooding during a storm or to prevent a SMP from exceeding its designed capacity
- Reduce the cost of building an SMP by reducing the storage capacity needed to provide positive overflow
- Enhance SMP longevity by reducing the volume of runoff treatment and the amount of erosion, slope, and vegetation damage
- Separate the first flush volume, which contains most of the runoff pollutants, allowing it to be sent to more intensive treatment SMPs or be treated for a longer period of time without being diluted by additional runoff, which can be diverted downstream or to another SMP

Key Limitations of Flow Splitters

- Have the potential to cause flow reversal under certain circumstances (e.g., due to lack of backflow preventer or one-way valve) in which water will flow from an SMP back through the flow splitter

Key Design Considerations for Flow Splitters

- Flow splitters can be used as part of a connected system of SMPs to meet the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations). For example, a flow splitter can be used to divert portions of larger storm events from Water Quality requirement-meeting SMPs to SMPs better-suited to meet the Flood Control requirement.
- There are two basic considerations in the design of flow splitters: the elevation of the bypass weir and the capacity of the pipe to and from the SMP, which controls the maximum flow the SMP can receive and discharge.
Flow Splitter Design and Material Standards

1. The elevation of the bypass weir dictates the maximum elevation of the water in the SMP. The bypass elevation must be set, at minimum, at the design storage elevation in the SMP. Flow will then only start to bypass the SMP once it exceeds the design storage elevation of the SMP. The design storage elevation is the water surface elevation at which the SMP storage area contains the runoff volume from a design storm event (for example, the WQv or the ten-year, 24-hour storm).

2. Positive overflow must be provided for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year, 24-hour storm. Overflow structures and pipes must be designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm. The system should have enough capacity to transmit larger flows over the bypass weir without surcharging the structure.

3. Flow splitters must be designed with appropriate materials, taking flow velocities and exposure to the elements into consideration. Flow splitters are typically constructed from reinforced concrete, galvanized steel, or brick and mortar.

4. Flow splitters must be anchored to stormwater control structures using methods and materials appropriate to the structure’s environment, such as corrosion-resistant hardware or epoxy mortar.
4.11.3 Curbless Design/Curb Openings

Curbless designs allow stormwater to flow directly from the impervious source to the SMP. This type of design discourages the concentration of flow and reduces the energy of stormwater entering an SMP. Curbless designs are often used with bioretention basin islands or roadside swales.

Curb openings provide an alternative inlet control when a curbless design or the use of inlet structures is not possible. Bioinfiltration/bioretention and landscaped islands in curbed parking lots or roadways often use curb openings as inlet controls.

When Can Curbless Design/Curb Openings Be Used?

Curbless design/curb openings are inlet controls typically applicable to bioinfiltration/bioretention basins and ponds and wet basins. Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, they may be applicable to media filters.

Curbless design/curb openings can be implemented in a stormwater management design when standard inlet control and conveyance system structures are neither feasible, due to space or other constraints, nor desired.

Key Advantages of Curbless Design/Curb Openings

- Can reduce SMP depths and associated excavation and materials costs when chosen in lieu of inlets
• Can be implemented as a strategy to reduce the concentration of stormwater flows into the SMP, thus reducing erosion potential

**Key Limitations of Curbless Design/Curb Openings**

• Can result in limited control of bypass flows

• May not be appropriate for subsurface SMPs, large contributing drainage areas, contributing drainage areas with long flow paths over impervious areas, or along driveways or roadways where a sump condition is not feasible

**Key Design Considerations for Curbless Design/Curb Openings**

• A standard reference for designing traditional drainage systems is *U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydraulic Engineering Center Circular 22 (HEC-22)*.

• Curb openings should be sized appropriately for sump or on-grade conditions. Further design guidance may be found in the *Federal Highway Administration Urban Drainage Design Manual (FHWA-NHI-10-009)*, Section 4.4.4.2, Curb Opening Inlets.

• Curbless designs should be designed with appropriate non-erosive linings, such as biodegradable erosion control fabric, turf reinforcement mat, stone, or riprap, on all pervious downslope areas to the full width of the curb opening/curbless design. Riprap aprons or riprap basins placed downstream of a flow path are also acceptable, but are considered energy dissipaters, which are discussed in Section 4.11.4.

• Trench drains can be used to convey flow from curb openings across sidewalks.
Curbless Design/Curb Opening Design and Material Standards

1. If flow is to be introduced through curb openings, the pavement edge must be slightly higher than the elevation of the vegetated areas within the SMP.

2. Curbless design/curb openings must be designed to convey flow into an SMP without inducing erosive conditions. Integration of energy dissipaters is recommended where appropriate.

3. Curb openings must be designed to reduce bypass of gutter flow past the curb opening. This is a common problem with many curb openings that are oriented perpendicular to flow.

4. If curb openings are used to capture runoff, especially from driveways or roadways where the curb openings are not in a sump condition, verification that runoff from the one-year, 24-hour storm event will be captured by the curb opening must be provided.

5. Roadway materials and thicknesses must be able to withstand the appropriate loads at the edge and prevent undercutting.

6. Erosion control fabric must be designed in accordance with the channel design procedures in the latest edition of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual, or per the manufacturer’s specifications.
7. Curb openings are to be designed as gaps in otherwise continuous sections of concrete or granite curb conforming to the specifications of the *City of Philadelphia Department of Streets, Standard Construction Items (1997)*.

8. All subsurface portions of concrete or granite curb (i.e. below finished pavement grade) must be continuously installed within the extents of the curb opening.

9. Pedestrian fall safety must be considered where curb openings direct flow adjacent to or beneath sidewalks. The need for edge protection, such as railings or wheel stops, must be evaluated.

10. Curb openings must be appropriately sized to convey the design discharge. Curb openings are typically 12 to 48 inches wide. Curb openings must be at least eight inches wide to prevent clogging and for ease of maintenance.

### 4.11.4 Energy Dissipaters

Energy dissipaters are devices or practices designed to reduce the velocity, energy, and turbulence of flow. These structures can be employed when highly erosive velocities are encountered at the end of culverts or at the bottom of steep slopes where aesthetics are not a concern. Energy dissipaters include, but are not limited to, riprap aprons, riprap basins, and baffled outlets.

*Riprap aprons* are commonly used for energy dissipation due to their relatively low cost and ease of installation. A flat riprap apron can be used to prevent erosion at the transition from a pipe or box culvert outlet to a natural channel. Riprap aprons will provide adequate protection against erosive flows provided there is sufficient length and flare to dissipate energy by expanding the flow.

*A riprap outlet basin* is a pre-shaped scour hole lined with riprap that functions as an energy dissipater. Like a riprap apron, a riprap basin can be used to prevent erosion at the transition from a pipe or box culvert outlet to an earthen channel.

*Baffled outlets* are concrete or fiberglass boxes containing an alternating series of baffles and chambers. In addition to reducing flow velocity and energy, baffled outlets can effectively remove sediment, suspended particles, and associated pollutants from stormwater.
When Can Energy Dissipaters Be Used?

Energy dissipaters are inlet controls typically applicable to bioinfiltration/bioretention basins and ponds and wet basins. Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, they may be applicable to media filters. They can be used downstream of other inlet controls that concentrate stormwater flow, as well as on steeper slopes, to reduce erosion potential.

Key Advantages of Energy Dissipaters

- Reduce velocities of concentrated stormwater runoff
- Reduce erosion potential and allow for more efficient sediment removal efforts, reducing overall maintenance costs and improving SMP performance
- Prevent scour that may undermine the structure discharging concentrated stormwater runoff
- Prevent downslope erosion that may create gullies and scour holes

Key Limitations of Energy Dissipaters

- May increase erosion if not properly designed and installed
- May be difficult to install on some steeply sloping areas and in highly constrained sites
Key Design Considerations for Energy Dissipaters

- Select an appropriate energy dissipater type based on site characteristics such as slope, available area, and aesthetics.

- A key design issue is the interface between the end of the energy dissipater and the adjacent downstream area, which is typically vegetated. Vegetation should be well established at this interface. Turf reinforcement mat may be used at this interface to provide additional structure for vegetation.

- Vegetation/plantings can be used to obscure views of energy dissipation structures if aesthetics are a concern.

Figure 4.11-3: Riprap Apron with Typical Features

---

Energy Dissipater Design and Material Standards

1. Energy dissipaters must be used if flow is concentrated at the entrance to a surface SMP.

2. Riprap must be designed and sized in accordance with the riprap apron design procedures in the latest edition of the *PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual* or *U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydraulic Engineering Center Circular 14 (HEC-14)*. The designer is referred to HEC-14 for the design of alternate types of energy dissipaters, such as drop structures and stilling basins.
3. Riprap stone must be angular, graded stone aggregate meeting the specifications of *PennDOT Publication 408, Section 703.2, Coarse Aggregate, Type A.*

### 4.11.5 Inlets

Inlets, or catch basins, are structures within traditional stormwater collection systems where water is collected before it enters a pipe network. These structures can be designed with inlet pretreatment devices.

Inlet pretreatment devices are structural screens, hoods, traps, racks, bags, or suspended catch basin inserts inserted into the inlet to filter debris before it can enter the SMP’s distribution piping system. They offer a range of screening capacity. Hoods and trash racks, for example, offer a coarse level of protection, typically screening only large debris and/or floatables. Filter screens or bags, available in a variety of proprietary designs, may filter large sediment particles, in addition to floatables and large debris. The designer is referred to Section 4.10 for more information on Pretreatment.

![An example of an inlet in Philadelphia](image)

**When Can Inlets Be Used?**

Inlets are typically applicable to bioinfiltration/bioretention basins, subsurface infiltration and detention SMPs, and ponds and wet basins. Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, they may be applicable to cisterns and media filters.
Inlets may be used as an inflow device for any SMP where curb and gutter design is desired or required.

**Key Advantages of Inlets**

- Can provide a stable, relatively low-maintenance point for stormwater to enter an SMP
- Allow for pretreatment of stormwater runoff upstream of SMPs

**Key Limitations of Inlets**

- Can often result in deeper SMPs than those with curbless design/curb openings due to outlet pipe inverts
- May store and release re-suspended captured sediment during subsequent flows unless frequently maintained
- Can become a source of pollutants through resuspension unless frequently maintained
- Cannot effectively remove soluble pollutants or fine particles

**Key Design Considerations for Inlets**

- A standard reference for designing traditional drainage systems is *U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydraulic Engineering Center Circular 22 (HEC-22)*.

- Clogging of an SMP’s distribution piping system can be reduced by equipping upstream inlets with pretreatment devices such as structural screens, hoods, traps, racks, bags, or suspended catch basin inserts.
Inlet Design and Material Standards

1. Inlets must not be connected in series. Similarly, roof drainage systems must not be directly connected to inlets.

2. All inlets must include a sump and trap or sump and hood for pretreatment of stormwater runoff. The sump depth must be at least 15 inches below the bottom of the trap or at least 12 inches below the bottom of the hood.

3. If non-standard inlets are used to capture runoff, especially from driveways or roadways where the inlets are not in a sump condition, verification that runoff from the one-year storm event will be captured by the inlet must be provided.

4. Inlet spacing must be designed to prevent water from overtopping the curb and gutter or drainage ditch.

5. Inlets must be sized based on the size of the contributing drainage area, the amount of sediment expected from the discharging waters, the size and frequency of runoff events, and the amount of maintenance expected, recognizing that an undersized system will require more frequent maintenance.

6. The designer is referred to the City of Philadelphia Standard Details and Standard Specifications for Sewers booklet and the Philadelphia Plumbing Code, Section P-1001.7 for more design and material guidance.
Table 4.11-2 below is a schedule of recommended inspection and maintenance activities for inlets.

**Table 4.11-2: Inlet Maintenance Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ongoing Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspect inlets after several storms to ensure that they are functioning properly and that there are no erosion problems developing.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify and control source of sediment contamination when in situ soil is exposed or erosion channels are present.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect for sediment and debris build-up. Remove sediment build-up exceeding two inches in depth or if it begins to constrict the flow path.</td>
<td>Semiannually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean out leaves, trash, and debris.</td>
<td>Semiannually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain records of all inspections and maintenance activity.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.12 Outlet Controls

4.12.1 Outlet Control Introduction

Outlet controls regulate the release of stormwater from a stormwater management practice (SMP). Proper design and construction practices are crucial to outlet control performance, which is closely interconnected with SMP performance. They must be appropriately selected and sized for the storage component of the SMP. Small differences in outlet control parameters, such as dimensions and invert elevations, can have drastic effects on SMP outflow characteristics. Examples of outlet controls include orifices, weirs, risers, underdrains, level spreaders, impervious liners, micro siphon drain belts, and low flow devices.

Outlet controls can provide a range of functions including:

- Meeting peak flow requirements;
- Controlling the rate of discharge from the SMP during various storm events;
- Controlling the amount of water stored for infiltration;
- Meeting drain down requirements;
- Providing adequate retention time for Water Quality requirement treatment;
- Bypassing of larger flows (positive overflow) to prevent re-suspension of sediment, hydraulic overload, or erosion of management practices; and/or
- Reducing downstream erosion potential.

Outlet control structures typically consist of concrete boxes that contain one or more outlet controls such as orifices or weirs.

A multi-stage outlet control structure can be designed with multiple orifices and weirs at different elevations to meet varying Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations). A multi-stage outlet control structure may include a number of orifices for controlled flow and a positive overflow to quickly pass flow during extreme events.
Design of outlet controls is not limited to the examples shown within this text. Successful stormwater management plans will combine appropriate materials and designs specific to each site.

The following table is a guide to the outlet controls covered in this Section showing whether or not they are typically used in conjunction with various SMP practices. Green indicates that an outlet control would typically be used with an SMP; yellow indicates that the outlet control may be used with the associated SMP in certain circumstances; and red indicates that the outlet control would not typically be used with the associated SMP.
Table 4.12-1: Outlet Controls Applicability Guidance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SMP</th>
<th>Orifices</th>
<th>Weirs</th>
<th>Risers</th>
<th>Underdrains</th>
<th>Level Spreaders</th>
<th>Impervious Liners</th>
<th>Micro Siphon Drain Belts</th>
<th>Low Flow Devices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ponds and Wet Basins</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Unypical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioretention</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioinfiltration</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface Detention</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Typical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurface Infiltration</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Roofs</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media Filters</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisterns</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Roofs</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Typical</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous Pavement</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
<td>Unotypical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General Design Standards**

1. Outlet controls must be provided as necessary to regulate flow in order to meet all applicable release rate, drain down time, ponding depth, positive overflow, and other requirements.

2. Outlet controls must provide positive overflow for their associated SMP, allowing stormwater to flow out of the SMP when the water level reaches a maximum design elevation in a subsurface feature or a maximum ponding depth in a surface feature without surcharging the SMP. Positive overflow from an SMP can either flow to another SMP or to an approved point of discharge. Outlet control structures must be sized to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm event without surcharging the structure. The outlet controls must be designed to convey flows from the SMP up to the 100-year, 24-hour storm event without surcharging the SMP. If flow reaches the SMP via a flow splitter, this structure can provide the positive overflow. The designer is referred to the SMP Sections within this Chapter for SMP-specific design standards.

3. Outlet controls must be located so as to be easily and readily accessible for maintenance purposes.

4. All outlet control structures in combined sewer areas must include a sump and trap or sump and hood. The sump depth must be at least 15 inches below the bottom of the trap or at least 12 inches below the bottom of the hood, and the traps or hoods must be air-tight. The designer is referred to the City of
Philadelphia Water Department Standards Details and Standard Specifications for Sewers and the Philadelphia Plumbing Code Section P-1001.7 for additional guidance.

5. Ladder bars must be included within any outlet control structure.

6. Any manholes between outlet structures and sewer connections in combined sewer areas must have sanitary, non-vented covers.

**Outlet Control Construction Guidance**

Proper installation of outlet controls is essential to long-term function. Outlet controls must be installed per the following construction sequence:

1. Install all temporary erosion and sedimentation controls in the immediately adjacent work areas in accordance with the latest edition of the *Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual* prior to construction.

2. If excavation is required, clear the areas to be excavated of all vegetation. Remove all tree roots, rocks, and boulders in excavation areas.

3. Excavate areas to desired elevation (if necessary).

4. If using an underdrain, place filter fabric or pea gravel filter, then place the rock and set the underdrain according to the plans. Otherwise, prepare subgrade for outlet structures.

5. Install outlet controls according to plans. Outlet controls must be constructed in accordance with manufacturer’s guidelines or the design professional’s guidance. Outlet controls must comply with all applicable American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) testing methods as required by PWD.

6. Confirm invert elevations and dimensions of outlet controls prior to final backfill and compaction of surrounding areas.

7. Backfill and compact areas around outlet controls. Ensure backfill is properly compacted in accordance with specifications.

8. Once site vegetation is stabilized, remove erosion and sediment control measures.

**Outlet Control Maintenance Guidance**

General recommended maintenance activities for outlet controls are summarized in Table 4.12-2.
Table 4.12-2: Outlet Controls Maintenance Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ongoing Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspect outlet control structures after several storms to ensure that they are functioning properly and that there are no erosion problems developing.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify any sources of sediment contamination and control when in situ soil is exposed or erosion channels are present.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain and cut back vegetation directly surrounding outlet control structures if impairing function of SMP.</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean out leaves, trash, and debris, from all structures, such as grates and orifices (Note: consult with professional vacuum cleaning service if subsurface pipes, including underdrains, appear to be clogged).</td>
<td>As Needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect for sediment and debris build-up. Sediment build-up exceeding two inches in depth or that begins to constrict the flow path must be removed.</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain records of all inspections and maintenance activity.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.14.2 Orifices

An orifice is a circular or rectangular opening of a prescribed shape and size that allows a controlled rate of release for outflow from an SMP when the orifice is submerged.
When Can Orifices Be Used?

An orifice is an outlet control that is typically applicable to bioinfiltration/bioretention basins, subsurface infiltration and detention SMPs, ponds and wet basins, and blue roofs. Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, they may be applicable to cisterns and media filters.

Orifices are suitable for SMPs of any size and can be used in conjunction with other outlet controls, such as weirs, to meet the Stormwater Regulations, if necessary.

Key Advantages of Orifices

- Are simple, passive structures that rely on gravity flow
- Can be variously sized to provide rate control for a wide variety of applications
- Multiple orifices may be located at the same or different elevations, if necessary, to meet performance requirements
- Allow for controlled drain down times

Key Limitations of Orifices

- May become clogged with sediment and debris, particularly traditional orifices with small diameters
- May be difficult to access for maintenance purposes when placed below grade
- Can concentrate flow and may cause erosive velocities

**Key Design Considerations for Orifices**

- Multiple orifices may be necessary to meet the Stormwater Regulations.

- Sizing is dependent upon release rate requirements, maximum ponding depth, and drain down time requirements.

- The orifice size that is needed to meet a certain release rate can be increased by reducing the head over the orifice, which can be achieved by enlarging the SMP's footprint or adjusting overlying soil depths/cover for orifices below grade.

- Low flow devices can allow smaller release rates with larger orifices. The designer should explore all options before choosing a small orifice. The designer is referred to Section 4.12.9 for further information on low flow devices.

**Figure 4.12-1: Orifice with Typical Features**
Orifice Design and Material Standards

1. No *underdrain orifice* (i.e., that which is located at the capped end of an underdrain) may be smaller than 0.5 inches in diameter. No *traditional orifice* (i.e., that which is not part of an underdrain) may be smaller than one inch in diameter.

2. Trash racks must be provided for all orifices draining surface basins.

3. To prevent clogging, screening must be provided over any traditional orifice three inches in diameter or smaller. The dimensions of the openings within the screening must be half the diameter of the orifice. The screening should be separated from the orifice, not placed directly over the orifice. A minimum 12-inch sump must be provided beneath the invert of the orifice to prevent the collection of debris.

4. For any traditional orifice three inches in diameter or smaller, an outlet structure box with one manhole access lid on each side of the weir wall is required for maintenance access. Adequate space to perform maintenance on the orifice must be provided on each side of the weir wall; it is recommended that at least four feet by three feet of space be provided on each side of the weir wall.

5. Orifices must be designed and constructed of appropriate materials, taking flow velocities and exposure to the elements into consideration.

6. Suitable access must be provided to inspect and maintain all orifices.

---

4.12.3 Weirs

Weirs are engineered barriers/dams designed to control the release of stormwater from SMPs. Weirs can be located within surface SMPs or open channels or within outlet control structures. Typical weir shapes are rectangular, V-notch, and trapezoidal. Weir crests can be either sharp, such as a metal plate, or broad, such as an earthen spillway.

*Impermeable weirs* controlling overland flow paths, such as swales, are typically called check dams. Impermeable check dams control upstream stormwater ponding depths and can be used to promote infiltration and/or sedimentation.

*Permeable weirs* promote sedimentation by slowing flow velocities as water ponds behind the weir. Under low flow conditions, water ponds behind the permeable weir and slowly seeps through the openings between the permeable weir materials. Under high flow conditions, water flows both over and through the weir. Permeable weirs also provide a means of spreading runoff as it is discharged, helping to decrease concentrated flow and reduce velocities as the water travels downstream. A common type of permeable weir is a stone check dam, which is typically located within overland flow paths, such as swales.
Spillways are weir outlet controls that are designed to provide safe, positive overflow from SMPs that store water on the surface, such as bioinfiltration/bioretention basins and ponds and wet basins. Spillways are typically trapezoidal, earthen, broad-crested weirs lined with riprap that allow for controlled flow of water over an SMP storage berm during extreme events.

![An example of a weir in Philadelphia](image)

**When Can Weirs Be Used?**

A weir is an outlet control that is typically applicable to bioinfiltration/bioretention basins, subsurface infiltration and detention SMPs, and ponds and wet basins. Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, they may be applicable to blue roofs, cisterns, and media filters.

Weirs are suitable for SMPs of any size and can be used in conjunction with other outlet controls, such as orifices, to meet the Stormwater Regulations, if necessary. Sizing of weirs is dependent upon release rate requirements, maximum ponding depth, and drain down time requirements.

Weirs can be used to do the following:

- Increase storage within surface depressions such as swales;
- Encourage ponding in areas where settling of solids and infiltration through vegetation and soil media
can occur;

- Discharge overflow or bypass flow within an SMP to downstream conveyance systems; and/or
- Dissipate energy, reduce peak release rates, and control erosion.

A permeable weir is typically applicable to ponds and wet basins and swales. Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, permeable weirs may also be applicable to bioinfiltration/bioretention basins. On a variety of sites, they can act as a stone check dam, placed in a ditch or swale, and allow for water to flow through the weir, as opposed to an impermeable weir. Permeable weirs are most often used in large drainage areas within regional SMPs.

A spillway is typically applicable to bioinfiltration/bioretention basins and ponds and wet basins.

**Figure 4.12-2: Weir with Typical Features**

---

**Key Advantages of Weirs**

- Are easily maintained
- May be surface-level structures that can usually be more easily accessed and maintained than closed systems
Key Limitations of Weirs

- Can concentrate flow and may cause erosive velocities
- Are not typically an effective technology for providing controlled discharge of stormwater
- May become clogged over time, if permeable, and, once clogged, would likely require full replacement

Key Design Considerations for Weirs

- Permeable weirs can include decorative stone caps.
- One stone size for a stone check dam is recommended for ease of construction. However, if two or more stone sizes are used, a larger stone should be placed on the outer layer and downstream side, since flows are concentrated at the exit channel of the weir. Several feet of smaller stone can then be placed on the upstream side. Smaller stone may also be more appropriate at the base of the dam for constructability purposes.

**Figure 4.12-3: Permeable Weir with Typical Features**

---

Weir Design and Material Standards

1. Design of weirs must consider structural stability during extreme conditions, including flow velocities and upstream hydrostatic pressure from ponded water. Structural supports must be designed by a structural engineer.
2. Weirs must be designed and constructed with appropriate materials taking flow velocities and their exposure to the elements into consideration.

3. Impermeable Weirs
   a. When placed within swales, check dams must be evenly spaced and no more than six to 12 inches high.
   b. Check dams that provide ponding in swales and are designed for infiltration must not be porous, as water should be ponded behind each check dam and forced to infiltrate.

4. Permeable weirs must be avoided in areas that receive high sediment loads.

5. Spillways
   a. A minimum of one foot of freeboard must be provided between the ponding elevation during the 100-year, 24-hour storm event and the invert elevation of the emergency spillway.
   b. A minimum of one foot must be provided between the invert elevation of the emergency spillway and the top-of-berm elevation.
   c. All emergency spillways must be stabilized with stone, geotextile, or plant material that can withstand strong flows.
   d. Spillway flow must not be directed toward neighboring properties.

**Figure 4.12-4: Spillway with Typical Features**
4.12.4 Risers

Risers are vertical structures with a grated top that can be designed to control the amount of water ponded within an SMP and to provide positive overflow. Riser pipes are vertical pipes topped with a dome-shaped grate. Riser boxes are modified concrete boxes (outlet control structures) outfitted with inlet grates. Orifices may also be placed on the upstream face and sides of a riser box to create a multi-stage riser.

An example of a domed riser pipe in Philadelphia

When Can Risers Be Used?

A riser is an outlet control that is typically applicable to bioinfiltration/bioretention basins, and ponds and wet basins. Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, they may be applicable to blue roofs and green roofs. Risers can be used to control ponding depths and release water at a reduced rate. Risers can be used in conjunction with orifices, weirs, or underdrains, as necessary, to meet the Stormwater Regulations.

Key Advantages of Risers

- Provide flexible outlet controls, when multi-staged, to meet varying Stormwater Regulations simultaneously

Key Limitations of Risers

- Can be aesthetically displeasing
Key Design Considerations for Risers

- Concrete form liners and surrounding risers with vegetation can be used to improve aesthetics.

- Location of a riser is important to provide easy maintenance access, and risers should not be placed near inflow structures in order to avoid shortcutting of treatment.

**Figure 4.12-5: Riser Pipe with Typical Features**

---

Riser Design and Material Standards

1. Riser design must balance providing positive overflow with allowing for adequate static storage. Overflow must be provided at the maximum Water Quality storm ponding depth for all SMPs and, for bioinfiltration/bioretention basins, at the minimum height to provide sufficient static storage of the Water Quality Volume (WQv).

2. Riser pipes must be constructed of high-density polyethylene (HDPE) plastic, corrugated metal, concrete, or other weather resistant material.
3. Riser boxes must be constructed of precast or cast-in-place concrete with reinforcing as warranted. All concrete must be Class C, conforming to the specifications of the City of Philadelphia Department of Streets, Standard Construction Items (1997).

4. Trash racks or screens are required and must be constructed of durable, weather-resistant materials resistant to photo-degradation, weathering, oxidation, or other corrosive impacts.

4.12.5 Underdrains

Underdrains are typically perforated pipes in stone layers or trenches that intercept, collect, and convey stormwater that has percolated through soil, a suitable aggregate, and/or geotextile, in order to drain the SMP after a storm event, allowing its storage volume to be available for subsequent storms. Underdrains can be connected to an outlet control structure that then controls the ponding elevation or release rate through weirs and/or orifices.

When Can Underdrains Be Used?

An underdrain is an outlet control that is typically applicable to bioinfiltration/bioretention basins. Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, they may be applicable to porous pavement, subsurface infiltration and detention SMPs, and media filters.

Underdrains can be used to collect runoff from media storage beds for non-infiltrating SMPs. Underdrains can be used when sub-soils are not appropriate for infiltration (e.g., karst geology, massive structure, known contaminants, etc.).

Capped underdrains are required for all bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs. The designer is referred to Section 4.1 for design guidance on flow-regulating underdrains for bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs.

Key Advantages of Underdrains

- Can collect stormwater over a large surface area
- Allow for vegetative and media filtration prior to release of stormwater into downstream conveyance systems
- Allow for vegetated or filtering practices to be placed in areas where infiltration is infeasible

Key Limitations of Underdrains

- May require maintenance, inspection, and replacement that can be difficult since the systems are typically buried underneath stone and, sometimes, soil
• Can experience inhibited performance due to root intrusion, if not properly controlled

**Key Design Considerations for Underdrains**

• To help prevent or minimize the potential for root intrusion, trees with aggressive root systems should be located away from underdrains.

*Figure 4.12-6: Underdrain with Typical Features*

**Underdrain Design and Material Standards**

1. Capped underdrains are required for all bioinfiltration/bioretention SMPs. For bioinfiltration SMPs, the cap at the end located within the outlet control structure must be a solid cover to promote infiltration. For bioretention SMPs, the cap within the outlet control structure must be outfitted with an orifice, sized appropriately to meet all applicable release rate requirements.

2. Underdrains must be designed to be level (i.e., with no slope).

3. Underdrains must be made of continuously perforated HDPE plastic piping with a smooth interior and a minimum inner diameter of four inches. HDPE pipe must meet the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S.

4. Underdrains must be surrounded by a sand or stone layer to filter sediment and facilitate drainage.

5. The minimum allowable thickness of a sand or stone filter layer is six inches both above and beneath the underdrain.
6. To prevent clogging, underdrain pipes must be surrounded by geotextile fabric if a sand layer is used.

7. Stone surrounding an underdrain must be uniformly graded, crushed, clean-washed stone. PWD defines "clean-washed" as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per AASHTO T-11 wash loss test. AASHTO No. 3 and No. 57 stone can meet this specification.

8. Sand, if used, must be AASHTO M-6 or ASTM C-33 sand and must have a grain size of 0.02 inches to 0.04 inches.

9. Geotextile fabric must be placed between the stone layer and surrounding soil to prevent sediment contamination.

10. Geotextile must consist of polypropylene fibers and meet the following specifications (AASHTO Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended):
    a. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): ≥ 120 lbs
    b. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): ≥ 225 psi
    c. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): ≥ 95 gal/min/ft²
    d. UV Resistance after 500 hrs (ASTM-D4355): ≥ 70%
    e. Heat-set or heat-calendered fabrics are not permitted.

11. Cleanouts or maintenance access structures must be provided at the end of all underdrain pipes.

12. Cleanouts must be provided for all 90-degree bends, located upstream of complicated bends, and evenly spaced during straight pipe runs.

13. All intermediate cleanouts and domed riser pipe connections must be located upstream of the connected outlet control structure to allow for cleaning equipment to flush in the direction of the structure.

14. An anti-seep collar must be installed around outlet pipes passing through embankments. Anti-seep collars must be constructed in accordance with the latest edition of the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual.

---

### 4.12.6 Level Spreaders

Level spreaders are outlet controls that are designed to uniformly distribute concentrated flow over a large area. Level spreaders help reduce concentrated flow, thereby reducing erosion and increasing the design life of many SMPs.

All level spreader designs follow the same principles:
• Concentrated flow enters the spreader at a single point such as a pipe, swale, or curb opening.

• The flow is slowed, and energy is dissipated.

• The flow is distributed throughout a long, linear, shallow trench or behind a low berm.

• Water then flows over the berm or edge of trench uniformly along the entire length.

There are many types of level spreaders that can be selected based on the peak rate of inflow, the duration of use, and the site conditions. Examples of level spreaders include subsurface discharge through level perforated pipes (bubble-up level spreader), concrete curbs, half-sections of pipe, troughs, and surface discharge to plunge pools.

![An example of a level spreader in Philadelphia](image)

**When Can Level Spreaders Be Used?**

A level spreader is an outlet control that is typically applicable to bioinfiltration/bioretention basins, ponds and wet basins, and subsurface infiltration and detention SMPs. Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, they may be applicable to media filters. They are suitable as outlet controls for pretreatment structures such as forebays and at locations where SMPs cannot discharge to the City sewer and/or must outfall to open spaces. Plunge pools can be used in combination with concrete curbing and/or trough level spreaders.
Key Advantages of Level Spreaders

- Reduce velocities, reducing the potential for erosive conditions
- Eliminate the need for larger outlets and/or conveyance systems in some instances

Key Limitations of Level Spreaders

- Not effective for providing rate control
- Have strong failure potential if soils are not protected from compaction and settlement; performance is strongly influenced by relatively small changes in elevation
- Require a relatively flat grade and downslope pervious areas onto which the spread flow can be discharged
- Can require 100 feet in downstream length in certain scenarios
- May be rendered ineffective by high sediment load deposition on the surface

Key Design Considerations for Level Spreaders

- The depths of trough or pipe level spreaders will depend on the flow. If sediment or debris accumulates in the trough or pipe, it can be easily removed.
- Concrete troughs are generally a more expensive level spreader alternative; however, they are easy to maintain and typically have a longer design life.
- Long-term maintenance and replacement costs can be decreased with proper installation.

Figure 4.12-7: Level Spreader with Typical Features
Level Spreader Design and Material Standards

1. The following level spreader lengths are required by cover condition:
   a. Dense grass ground cover: 13 linear feet for every one cubic feet per second (cfs) of flow during the ten-year, 24-hour storm event
   b. Forested areas with no ground cover: 100 linear feet for every one cfs of flow during the ten-year, 24-hour storm event

2. Level spreaders must safely diffuse flows up to, and including, the 100-year, 24-hour storm event.

3. It is critical that the edge over which flow is distributed is exactly level. If there are small variations in height on the downstream lip, small rivulets will form. Experience suggests that variations of more than 0.25 inches can cause water to re-concentrate and potentially cause erosion downstream of the level spreader. The site selected for the installation of a level spreader must be a level grade (a constant horizontal elevation, to within +/- four inches).

4. The downslope side of the level spreader must be clear of debris. After construction, debris such as soil, wood, and other organic matter might accumulate immediately downstream of the level spreader. This effectively blocks water as it flows out of the level spreader, forcing it to re-concentrate.

5. The downstream side of the level spreader must be fully stabilized before the level spreader is installed. If a level spreader is installed above a disturbed area without sufficient vegetative cover or other ground cover such as mulch or construction matting, erosion rills will quickly form. Even sheet flow can cause significant downstream erosion on disturbed areas. The first three feet downslope of a level spreader must be stabilized with soil/turf reinforcement matting and grass or other approved vegetation.

6. Level spreaders cannot be constructed in newly deposited fill. Undisturbed earth is much more resistant to erosion than fill. Erosion is even likely to occur over a well-established young stand of grass planted on fill.

7. For level spreaders that do not direct discharge to a receiving stream or sewer, the minimum distance between the level spreader and any downslope property boundary must be 15 feet. If this requirement cannot be met, a drainage easement may be required.

8. For level spreaders that direct discharge to a receiving stream or sewer via overland flow, the maximum distance between the level spreader and any receiving stream or sewer must be 100 feet. Distances greater than 100 feet but less than 150 feet may be considered on a case-by-case basis for very mild slopes (less than or equal to 1%) and heavily vegetated (grassy) areas.

9. The first ten feet downslope of the level spreader must not exceed a slope of 4%.

10. Earthen berms must not be used as level spreaders due to the difficulty of grading a level edge within
acceptable tolerances.

11. Treated lumber must not be used as level spreaders due to issues with deformation and decomposition.

12. Geotextile-covered berms must not be used as level spreaders.

13. Concrete Curbs, Troughs, and Half-Pipes:
   a. Concrete curbs, troughs, and half-sections of pipe must be between four and 12 inches deep.
   b. Curbs and troughs must be constructed of Class C concrete or reinforced concrete, conforming to the specifications of the *City of Philadelphia Department of Streets, Standard Construction Items (1997)*.
   c. Half-pipes must be either Class C concrete or reinforced concrete, conforming to the specifications of the *City of Philadelphia Department of Streets, Standard Construction Items (1997)* or HDPE plastic meeting the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S.

14. Subsurface Discharge Through Level Perforated Pipes (Bubble-Up Level Spreaders)
   a. Perforated pipes must be between four and 12 inches in diameter. HDPE pipe must meet AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S standards.
   b. The pipes must be enveloped in uniformly graded, crushed, clean-washed stone. PWD defines "clean-washed" as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per AASHTO T-11 wash loss test. AASHTO No. 3 and No. 57 stone can meet this specification.
   c. Geotextile must be placed between the stone aggregate and soil.
   d. Geotextile must consist of polypropylene fibers and meet the following specifications (AASHTO Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended):
      i. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): ≥ 120 lbs
      ii. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): ≥ 225 psi
      iii. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): ≥ 95 gal/min/ft²
      iv. UV Resistance after 500 hrs (ASTM-D4355): ≥ 70%
      v. Heat-set or heat-calendared fabrics are not permitted.

5. Perforated pipes must include end treatments consisting of cleanouts, inlets, or manholes for maintenance purposes.
15. Surface Discharge to Plunge Pools
   a. Underlying soils within plunge pools must remain undisturbed, uncompacted, and protected from heavy equipment to preserve infiltration capacities.
   b. Riprap stone sizing must be determined in accordance with the riprap apron design procedures in the latest edition of the *PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual*.

### 4.12.7 Impervious Liners

Impervious, or impermeable, liners prevent water from crossing a system boundary such as infiltrating through the subgrade beneath an SMP. Impervious liners include, but are not limited to, compacted till liners, clay liners, geomembrane liners, and concrete liners.

![An example of an impervious liner installation in Philadelphia](image)

**When Can Impervious Liners Be Used?**

An impervious liner is an outlet control that is typically applicable to green roofs and blue roofs. Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, they may be applicable to bioretention basins, cisterns, ponds and wet basins, and subsurface detention SMPs. Impervious liners are only permitted in instances
where placement is over in situ soils in which infiltration is restricted due to geotechnical concerns, such as over contaminated soils and brownfields, or adjacent to structures.

**Key Advantages of Impervious Liners**

- Prevent infiltration in areas of contamination or adjacent to subsurface structures in need of protection from potential flooding or seepage

**Key Limitations of Impervious Liners**

- Not readily visible for maintenance inspections
- Typically require significant excavation to repair

**Key Design Considerations for Impervious Liners**

- Impervious liners are not required for all non-infiltrating SMPs. For example, even if the infiltration potential of underlying soils is limited due to low infiltration rates, bioretention SMPs must be constructed without impervious liners.
- Clay liners should be of an appropriate design as specified by a geotechnical engineer.

**Figure 4.12-8: Impervious Liner with Typical Features**

---

**Impervious Liner Design and Material Standards**

1. Impervious liners are required, as appropriate, to prevent infiltration into areas of soil contamination.
2. Impervious liners, if needed, must be installed to prevent infiltration SMPs from infiltrating stormwater within the zone of influence of any nearby sewers or sewer laterals. The zone of influence is defined by the area within a 1:1 (H:V) slope line from the outer edge of a sewer or sewer lateral.

3. All impervious liners must exhibit a permeability less than or equal to $10^{-6}$ cm/sec.

4. Impervious liners must be continuous and extend completely up the sides of any structures that are located within the lined basin footprint to the ground surface. If additional liner material must be added to extend up the structures, the additional liner sections must be joined to the rest of the liner with an impervious seam per the manufacturers’ recommendation.

5. Compacted Till Liners:
   a. The minimum allowable compacted till liner thickness is 18 inches (after compaction).
   b. Soil must be compacted to 95% minimum dry density, modified proctor method (ASTM D-1557).
   c. Soil must be placed in six-inch lifts.
   d. Soils to be used must meet the gradation in Table 4.12-3:

   Table 4.12-3: Compacted Till Liner Soil Gradation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sieve Size</th>
<th>Percent Passing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-inch</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>70-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#200</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Clay Liners
   a. The minimum allowable clay liner thickness is 12 inches (after compaction).
   b. Clay liners must conform to the specifications outlined in Table 4.12-4, per the Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington (2012):
### Table 4.12-4: Clay Liner Specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permeability</td>
<td>ASTM D-2434</td>
<td>cm/sec</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-6}$ max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasticity Index of Clay</td>
<td>ASTM D-423 &amp; D-424</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Not less than 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Limit of Clay</td>
<td>ASTM D-2216</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Not less than 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay Particles Passing</td>
<td>ASTM D-422</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Not less than 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay Compaction</td>
<td>ASTM D-2216</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>95% of Standard Proctor Density</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Geomembrane Liners

a. The minimum allowable geomembrane liner thickness is 30 mils.

b. Geomembrane liners must be ultraviolet-resistant.

c. Geomembrane liners must meet, or exceed, the strength properties outlined in Table 4.12-5, per *Volume 3 Stormwater Flow Control & Water Quality Treatment Technical Requirements Manual 2009*:

### Table 4.12-5: Geotextile Strength Properties for Impervious Liner Protection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geotextile Property</th>
<th>Test Method</th>
<th>Geotextile Property Requirements*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grab Tensile Strength, in machine and x-machine direction</td>
<td>ASTM D4632</td>
<td>$\geq 250$ lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grab Failure Strain, in machine and x-machine direction</td>
<td>ASTM D4632</td>
<td>$&gt;50%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seam Breaking Strength (if seams are present)</td>
<td>ASTM D4632 and ASTM D4884 (adapted for grab test)</td>
<td>$\geq 220$ lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puncture Resistance</td>
<td>ASTM D4833</td>
<td>$\geq 125$ lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tear Strength, in machine and x-machine direction</td>
<td>ASTM D4533</td>
<td>$\geq 90$ lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultraviolet (UV) Radiation</td>
<td>ASTM D4355</td>
<td>$\geq 50%$ strength stability retained, after 500 hrs. in weatherometer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. Concrete Liners:
   a. Concrete must be minimum five inches thick, Class A or better, with ordinary surface finish.
   b. When underlying soil is clay or if it has an unconfined compressive strength of 0.25 tons per square foot or less, the concrete must have a minimum six-inch compacted aggregate base composed of coarse sand and river stone, crushed stone, or equivalent, with diameter of 0.75 inch to one inch.

4.12.8 Micro Siphon Drain Belts

Micro siphon drain belts are drainage systems that use capillary pressure to wick water out of the soil or filter media and convey it through small siphon channels to collector drain pipes. They are typically flexible, extruded, PVC strips of variable widths with micro channels on one side. The belts can be installed at various depths within filter media or underlying soil, and their flexible shape can contour to the shape of the installed surface. Micro siphon drain belts may be used in combination with other techniques, such as layering of porous media to regulate outflow. They can also be connected to underdrains and used in conjunction with outlet control structures.

When Can Micro Siphon Drain Belts Be Used?

Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, micro siphon drain belts may be applicable to bioretention basins, subsurface detention SMPs, ponds and wet basins, green roofs, and media filters. Micro siphon drain belts can be used in conjunction with underdrains and impervious liners when infiltration is not feasible. For example, they can be used to collect and convey drainage from a large area to a central underdrain. They can also be used for applications in which water must be drawn away from foundations, retaining walls, or other boundaries.

Key Advantages of Micro Siphon Drain Belts

- Can meet very low release rate requirements
- Can collect stormwater over a large surface area
- Allow for vegetative and media filtration prior to the release of stormwater back into downstream conveyance systems
- Resist clogging, as the capillary action that pulls water into the micro channels does not transport solids
- Constructed of material that is both flexible and durable, making the belts easy to install and resistant
to compression or other degradation over time

**Key Limitations of Micro Siphon Drain Belts**

- Have limited system depth due to maximum allowable head
- Do not allow for inspection of system without excavation

**Key Design Considerations for Micro Siphon Drain Belts**

- Installation with the micro channels facing downward limits clogging of the micro channels, as gravity allows particulates to fall out when water is pulled into the micro siphon channels.
- To help prevent or minimize the potential for clogging by root intrusion, locate trees and plants with aggressive root systems away from micro siphon drain belts.

**Micro Siphon Drain Belt Design and Material Standards**

1. Micro siphon drain belts must connect to a downslope underdrain or collector pipe. The elevation of the belt in the immediate vicinity of the downslope connection must be at least four inches above the top of the underdrain or collector pipe.

2. The end of the micro siphon drain belt that is not connected to the collector pipe must be sealed to prevent the intrusion of solids or other clogging materials. The sealant must be suitable for use in submerged environments.

3. A minimum belt slope of 1% is required to ensure drainage, but a belt slope of 3% to 5% is recommended to maintain laminar flow within the micro channels.

4. Micro siphon drain belts must be installed in a layer of sand. Sand used must be ASTM C-33 aggregate concrete sand with grain size between 0.02 inches and 0.08 inches.

5. Manufacturer’s recommendations must be followed to determine the number, size, and specific configuration of belts required to provide adequate flow capacity for specific applications.

6. Micro siphon drain belts must be spaced around the underdrain or collector drain pipe at a maximum of alternating five-foot centers.

---

**4.12.9 Low Flow Devices**

Low flow devices are prefabricated or proprietary systems that regulate the discharge flow rate from SMPs. Types of low flow devices include, but are not limited to, floating or constant-head orifices and vortex outlet control devices. *Floating or constant-head orifices* regulate low flow discharges through the use of a floating intake structure. *Vortex outlet control devices* use induced helical flow to restrict flow rates.
Low flow devices are evaluated on a project-specific basis since site conditions, such as sediment loading and/or drainage area size, can impact a product’s ability to meet Stormwater Regulations. PWD has developed a list, accessible on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review website, of low flow devices that may be used to comply with the Stormwater Regulations.

![An example of a low flow device in Philadelphia](image)

**When Can Low Flow Devices Be Used?**

A low flow device is an outlet control that is typically applicable to subsurface detention SMPs. Depending on the site layout and stormwater conveyance design, low flow devices may be applicable to bioretention basins, cisterns, ponds and wet basins, green roofs, blue roofs, and media filters. A low flow device can be used to assist with meeting small release rate requirements, particularly for small drainage areas and sites with challenging design constraints for which the implementation of traditional slow release outlet devices, such as orifices, does not allow for compliance.

**Key Advantages of Low Flow Devices**

- Allow SMPs with footprint constraints to meet maximum release rate requirements
- Allow smaller release rates with larger orifice diameters than traditional orifice outlet controls, alleviating clogging and other operational concerns
- Accompanied by manufacturer’s readily available product specifications, design guidance, installation
considerations, and expected performance

Key Limitations of Low Flow Devices

- More difficult to customize to the particular requirements of individual sites because they are pre-engineered devices
- May require more intensive inspection and maintenance than more traditional, passive outlet controls

Key Design Considerations for Low Flow Devices

1. Design specifications and vendor information should be carefully reviewed to assess likely performance, maintenance, and longevity.

2. Products used within ultra-urban settings that have demonstrated a strong track record of performance should be prioritized for design.

3. The effective head on the orifice, release rate requirements, and its opening size should all be evaluated to determine an appropriate product based on manufacturer-provided performance curves.

Low Flow Device Design and Material Standards

1. The following information must be submitted for each proposed low flow device as part of the applicant’s Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Review Phase Submission Package. Preliminary consultations with PWD prior to submission are encouraged.
   a. Performance/discharge curves;
   b. Third-party certifications;
   c. Hydrologic and hydraulic model files, if applicable;
   d. Product specifications;
   e. Manufacturer’s guidelines for installation;
   f. Construction sequence; and
   g. Maintenance requirements, including product life and replacement schedule, if applicable. PWD will review low flow device performance documentation submissions during the PCSMP Review Phase and will provide the applicant with comments or requests for additional information. All comments and requests for information must be addressed before PWD may issue approval.

2. Appropriate design measures must be taken to prevent clogging for all orifices.

3. Suitable access must be provided to inspect and maintain all orifices.
5 Construction Guidance
5.0 Introduction

Site development and stormwater management practice (SMP) construction require careful execution and inspection to ensure that SMP elements function properly and that impacts of construction activities on the surrounding environment are minimized. Chapter 5, Construction Guidance, provides guidance for developers, engineers, and contractors on construction-related topics, including construction inspections (Section 5.1), commonly encountered construction issues (Section 5.2), and construction documentation (Section 5.3). Specific construction guidelines for each SMP are provided in Chapter 4.

The Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) provides construction oversight during SMP installation in order to verify that correct installation practices are used and to focus on the protection of infiltration areas from compaction by construction equipment. PWD construction oversight includes inspection reporting and enforcement activities.

Section 5.1 explains the purposes of construction inspections and provides guidance on the construction inspection process including PWD contact information, assignment of a PWD Stormwater Inspector (PWD Inspector), preconstruction meeting, documentation requirements during the construction process, final inspections, and post-construction submission requirements.

Section 5.2 includes common construction issues associated with Erosion and Sediment Control (E&S) and SMP installation in order to help minimize or avoid them. Section 5.2.1 describes common E&S-related construction issues including protection of City-owned inlets and other E&S-related construction issues. Section 5.2.2 describes common SMP-related construction issues including protection of infiltration areas, use of clean stone, pipe loading, and inspection and documentation. PWD requires contractors to submit construction documentation at the close of the project to ensure that the SMP and its elements were constructed in general accordance with the Approved Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP). Record Drawing(s) are required from the applicant for SMP verification and represent a key component of PWD’s compliance reporting.
5.1 Construction Inspection

Effective construction inspection addresses both Erosion and Sediment Control (E&S) and stormwater management practice (SMP) construction. To supplement construction oversight provided by the property owner and the designer, the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) inspects both E&S measures and SMP installation to verify that the site is maintained properly and correct installation practices are used. PWD staff inspects the site at several stages of the construction process, and inspections may occur both on a scheduled and a complaint-driven basis. An overview of the construction inspection process is shown in Figure 5.1-1.

**Figure 5.1-1: Overview of Construction Inspection Process**

PWD’s inspections serve a number of purposes. For instance, PWD must verify and document the installation of SMP elements prior to backfill in order to prevent costly repairs and/or re-excavation that may be needed either during or after construction. PWD’s inspections are also critical to ensure the long-term performance of SMPs and minimize future enforcement scenarios stemming from improper installation practices. PWD Inspectors verify that infiltration areas are correctly sized, shielded from sediment loading prior to site stabilization, and protected from compaction.

PWD Inspectors are authorized to access sites under authority provided by the Philadelphia Zoning Code (§14-306(1)(a)). PWD Inspectors will inspect the project site throughout construction.
5.1.1 Coordinating Inspections with Other PWD Units

Different PWD units may inspect multiple parts of construction projects (E&S, SMP installation, sewer connections, pumping, private cost construction, etc.). The following divisions can be contacted based on project needs:

**Table 5.1-1: PWD Unit Contact Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit Name</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
<th>Inspection Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Inspections</td>
<td>215-685-6387</td>
<td>E&amp;S Measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SMP Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Transport Records</td>
<td>215-685-6270</td>
<td>Water and Sewer Connections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Division</td>
<td>215-685-6345</td>
<td>Private Cost Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Waste</td>
<td>215-685-4908</td>
<td>Pumping of Stormwater</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stormwater Inspections must be contacted for all site development and SMP construction projects. PWD Water Transport Records (WTR) must be contacted for any inspections related to water and/or sewer connections. Written approval from WTR following the completion of certain sewer connection requirements will be required for project close-out. A permit is required for sewer tie-ins six inches in diameter or larger. Direct pumping of stormwater to a storm inlet or manhole is prohibited. The PWD Industrial Waste Unit should be contacted for all pumping needs, including permits. If the project includes the construction of public infrastructure using private money (Private Cost Project), the contractor must contact the PWD Construction Division to arrange an inspection at least seven days in advance of work. The designer is referred to Section 2.5 for additional information on the role of these units.
5.1.2 Preconstruction Processes

Assignment of PWD Inspector

Following Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) approval, project contacts supplied by the applicant will receive an email from Stormwater Inspections that assigns a PWD Inspector to the project. This PWD Inspector will be the main contact for E&S and SMP inspections. PWD will only email the project contacts that have been provided by the applicant at the time of PCSMP approval. As such, the email may be sent to the design engineer, but not the contractor, particularly if a contractor had not been selected at the time of PCSMP Review Phase submission. It is the responsibility of the applicant to ensure that all necessary parties have been notified that an inspector has been assigned. If unaware of the specific PWD Inspector for the project, the applicant should contact Stormwater Inspections.

Once the PCSMP Approval Letter is received, the applicant can obtain a Building Permit for the project from the City of Philadelphia Department of Licenses and Inspections (L&I), pending the receipt of other required approvals. PWD Stormwater Plan Review must be notified of any changes to the Approved E&S and PCSMP, layout, and/or materials prior to installation. Field changes may require new PWD and Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) approvals, in addition to approval by the design engineer. The designer is referred to Section 2.3.1, PCSMP Review Phase, for more information on field changes.

Preconstruction Meeting

After a Building Permit and a PA DEP National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit (if applicable) have been obtained, but prior to the start of construction activities, a preconstruction meeting must be held with the contractor, design engineer, an owner’s representative, and the PWD Inspector for any projects that have received a PCSMP approval. The PWD Inspector must be provided with at least seven days notification to schedule this meeting.

At the preconstruction meeting, the PWD Inspector will provide the contractor with the Construction Certification Forms (Appendix J) that were prepared for each SMP by the design engineer during the PCSMP Review Phase. The contractor must provide the PWD Inspector with an estimated schedule for the placement of geotextile, stone, storage media, piping, soil, etc.
5.1.3 Construction Processes

Once construction begins, the contractor must ensure that copies of the Approved PCSMP, E&S Plan, and NPDES Permit (if applicable) are available on-site at all times. The most recently PWD-approved versions of these plans must be used for SMP construction.

The contractor must provide at least three days' notice to the assigned PWD Inspector prior to the installation of any SMP elements. This is especially critical for subsurface system elements, as without proper inspection prior to backfill or closure of any SMP elements, the PWD Inspector may request re-excavation in order to verify correct installation.

Throughout construction observation, the PWD Inspector will regularly send out (via email) inspection reports that detail any deficiencies or issues observed related to SMP construction or E&S measures. PWD expects the contractor to respond to any issues in a timely fashion, and, depending on the type of issue, may provide a timeframe for the contractor to remediate the issue.

In instances where major E&S issues are observed, the PWD Inspector will issue a notice of violation to fix any E&S concerns with a re-inspection date listed. If these concerns are not addressed by the date of re-inspection, PWD will pursue a Stop Work Order that will remain in place until the project is brought back into compliance.

During any stage of work, if the PWD Inspector determines that SMPs are not being installed in accordance with the most recently Approved PCSMP, or that adequate E&S practices are not being implemented on-site, and the contractor is not responsive to such notice by PWD, the site may be subject to a Stop Work Order and/or other enforcement measures. This also applies to projects found to be disturbing earth without the appropriate approvals or inadequate E&S measures.

5.1.4 Final Inspection

A final inspection will be conducted by the PWD Inspector to confirm the constructed conditions of the site and general accordance with the Approved PCSMP prior to the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy or equivalent. PWD may request that L&I withhold the Certificate of Occupancy for any project with outstanding issues until these issues are adequately addressed. The contractor must be present on-site for completion of the final inspection. A Post-Construction Stormwater Management (PCSM) Final Inspection Report will be issued identifying the SMPs found to be complete as well as any deficiencies identified during the inspection. All deficiencies identified during PWD's final inspection and report must be addressed prior to any re-inspections.
Upon completion of PWD’s final inspection process, an updated PCSM Final Inspection Report will be issued indicating that all components of the SMP construction are complete and the as-built conditions of the site are in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP. Final inspection reporting and its conclusions are preliminary, and the final determination of site compliance will be based on the Record Drawing and Construction Certification Package for the site. The Record Drawing and Construction Certification Package should be provided at the time of the final inspection, if possible. Final inspection is required for issuance of a Record Drawing compliant letter for a project to be eligible for stormwater billing credit (Section 6.3).

5.1.5 Post-Construction Submissions

If not submitted during the final inspection, the project’s Record Drawing and Construction Certification Package must be submitted to PWD for review following the final inspection. Submitted Record Drawing(s) must also incorporate any constructed variations/discrepancies documented in the PCSM Final Inspection Report.

For PA DEP Notice of Termination (NOT) for NPDES Permits, PWD is required to sign-off on completion of the project prior to PA DEP issuance of the NOT. PWD will not sign-off without a PCSM Final Inspection Report deemed complete, a complete Construction Certification Package, and compliant Record Drawing(s).
5.2 Common Construction Issues

An understanding of common construction issues associated with Erosion and Sediment Control (E&S) measures and stormwater management practices (SMPs) is an important component of effective construction inspections and can help to minimize or avoid these issues. Avoiding these issues streamlines regulatory approval, minimizes negative impacts to the surrounding environment, promotes long-term SMP function, and reduces the cost and duration of construction activities.

5.2.1 Erosion and Sediment-Related Construction Issues

Proper E&S measures are required to keep sediment and pollutants out of existing and proposed sewer systems and, ultimately, Philadelphia’s waterways. The goals of E&S measures are to minimize the amount of erosion that takes place and to keep all sediment accumulation within the earth disturbance boundary. E&S measures are also critical to the protection of existing on-site infrastructure and to reducing the risk of downstream impacts like sediment blockages. Approved E&S measures must be installed and inspected prior to the start of any earth disturbance activities. These E&S measures must be maintained and functional throughout the duration of construction, until the site has been stabilized.

Protection of City-Owned Inlets

Any city-owned inlets that receive stormwater runoff from the construction site must be protected. Contractors need to pay special attention to inlets located at the lowest points, as these will likely receive the most sediment and need to be maintained the most frequently. City-owned inlet protection should not be the primary means of E&S, but an important safeguard to supplement keeping sediment on-site through continuous E&S maintenance, including prevention of sediment tracking off-site.

Additional Erosion and Sediment Issues

Silt fencing must be properly trenched into the ground and backfilled to properly control sediment run-off from any disturbed areas. E&S controls must also be placed around SMP elements to prevent sediment loading from any surrounding unstabilized, disturbed areas. Also, in order to prevent SMP clogging and off-site impacts, all SMP inlets and other on-site inlets must be protected for the full duration of construction activities and until the site has achieved final stabilization. Infiltration SMPs, such as bioinfiltration and subsurface infiltration basins, and filtration SMPs, such as bioretention basins and media filters, must not be used as sedimentation basins during construction.
5.2.2 Stormwater Management Practice-Related Construction Issues

Compaction or sedimentation within infiltration areas and the use of stone containing fines are among the most common construction issues that can affect the infiltration capacity, and thus the performance and suitability, of an SMP.

Protection of Infiltration Areas

Protection of infiltration areas is important because, with the exception of SMPs designed to function as disconnected impervious cover (DIC), infiltration SMPs are designed based on testing that is performed on undisturbed soils prior to installation. Compaction of these areas could change infiltration rates and cause the SMP to underperform, leading to the need for redesign and/or reconstruction of the SMP. For these reasons, soil compaction should be minimized, even in areas not proposed for infiltration SMPs, to the extent practicable. The best strategy to avoid compaction is to simply avoid equipment traffic within proposed infiltration areas, before, during, and after SMP construction. Where construction equipment traffic is necessary, timber matting or low pressure equipment must be used.

If compaction of infiltration areas is required due to site constraints, the contractor must scarify the ground surface to minimum depths of eight inches for minor compaction and 12 inches for major compaction within the infiltration footprint prior to SMP installation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirements for Protecting Infiltration Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do not use heavy equipment such as excavators, loaders, or dump trucks within the infiltration area, before or after excavation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish heavy equipment exclusion zones so that infiltration areas are clearly protected. Install orange construction fence or silt fence around the exclusion zones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep equipment out of the infiltration area to the maximum extent possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider equipment limitations and maneuverability during design to facilitate operation of equipment outside the infiltration area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not locate rock construction entrances on top of areas proposed for infiltration practices.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use of Clean Stone

Clean-washed stone must be used where indicated by SMP designs. Clean-washed stone must not only be delivered to the site in a clean state, but also stored, moved, and installed in a manner that does not introduce fines. Failure to install appropriately clean stone could impact infiltration performance and require redesign and/or reconstruction. The PWD Inspector may test a sample of stone at any time it is suspected of not meeting required standards. Stone will be tested using the AASHTO T-11 wash loss test and must have less than 0.5% wash loss.

Pipe Loading

Sufficient temporary cover of piping must be provided during all stages of construction, and heavy loads on these pipes must be avoided. Heavy loads can cause separation or damage to the pipe system that, while not necessarily readily apparent, could lead to long-term functionality and maintenance concerns. Any stone placed on top of piping must be placed gently (i.e., not dumped) to avoid these issues.

Inspection and Documentation

The contractor must ensure that all subsurface SMP elements have been inspected and fully documented (survey data, photos, etc.) prior to backfilling these areas to prevent corrective actions that could include re-excavation to affirm correct installation practices.
5.3 Construction Documentation

It is important, both for the property owner and for the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD), to ensure that all stormwater management practices (SMPs) are constructed in strict accordance with the Approved Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP). Even small variations in the characteristics of an SMP (e.g., footprint area, elevations, layer thicknesses, pipe sizing) can have significant effects on the SMP's ability to perform its designed stormwater management function. To verify that SMPs have been properly installed, a Construction Certification Package and Record Drawing(s) for all PCSMP components must be prepared and submitted to PWD at the conclusion of construction activities. The contractor must maintain copies of all books, records, and documents pertaining to PCSMP construction for a period of five years following completion of the contract.

5.3.1 Construction Certification Package

As previously discussed, the contractor must install all on-site SMPs, conveyance piping, structures, and any other feature associated with the stormwater management design in strict accordance with the Approved PCSMP. The Construction Certification Package provides PWD with documentation that SMPs have been properly installed.

Prior to Construction

SMP Construction Certification Forms are part of the Construction Certification Package. Prior to construction, an SMP Construction Certification Form must be prepared by the design engineer for each on-site SMP and provided to PWD for review as part of the PCSMP Review Phase (Section 2.3.1). A blank Construction Certification Package template, which houses the SMP Construction Certification Forms and instructions, can be found in Appendix J. Each Form must indicate the measurements that are most critical to the listed SMP’s ability to perform its designed function (e.g., elevations, outlet control sizes, surface areas, layer depths, etc.). It is recommended that the SMP Construction Certification Form(s) be included in the construction bid documents for the project to ensure that the selected contractor is aware of the requirement that the Forms be completed during construction. The project’s sequence of construction must identify all stages of SMP construction for which a registered professional must document the specific elevations and measurements found on the SMP Construction Certification Form(s). The applicant is referred to Section 5.3.2 for clarification on PWD Stormwater Plan Review’s definition of a “registered professional.” If a project field change necessitates a revision to any information on the submitted SMP Construction Certification Forms, they must be updated accordingly and submitted along with the PCSMP Field Change submission.
During Construction

During the preconstruction meeting, the PWD Inspector will provide copies of the Construction Certification Package, as prepared and customized by the engineer and found acceptable by PWD during the PCSMP Review Phase. In order to demonstrate that all SMPs are properly installed, a registered professional must complete the SMP Construction Certification Forms contained within the Construction Certification Package. Each measurement documented on the Forms must be dated and initialed by the registered professional who took, or whose designee took, the measurement. Once all of the required measurements have been appropriately documented, the registered professional must execute and date the Form. The contractor should not cover, backfill, or seal any SMP until the information required for the Record Drawing(s) and the Construction Certification Package has been acquired.

All elevations identified on the Forms must be documented as they are measured. These Forms must be on-site and available for PWD inspection at all times. Upon completion of construction, SMP Construction Certification Forms must be submitted to PWD as part of the Construction Certification Package, and the measurements documented on these Forms must be reflected on the Record Drawing(s), which are discussed in the next Section.

The Construction Certification Package provided to PWD must include electronic copies of receipts for materials that pertain to SMPs. The material receipts must clearly specify the types, qualities, and quantities of the materials purchased. The materials for which receipts are required may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Stone,
- Geotextile fabric,
- Perforated pipes,
- Subsurface storage units,
- Bioinfiltration/bioretention media,
- Filter media,
- Porous pavement,
- Impervious liners,
- Precast concrete structures,
- Traps or hoods,
• Vegetation or plantings, and

• Proprietary stormwater management systems/devices/components.

The Construction Certification Package must also include electronic copies of photographs documenting all SMP installations. The photographs must clearly depict the installation of all components of the SMP. This may include, but is not limited to, photographs of the following:

• Basin excavation,

• Subgrade preparation,

• Fabric or liner placement,

• Stone placement,

• Filter media placement,

• Pipe placement,

• Pipe perforation,

• Subsurface vault installation,

• Pretreatment system installation,

• Inlet control installation,

• Outlet control installation, and

• Landscaping.

Representative photographs of SMP installations are shown below.
An example of pipe diameter measurement during construction in Philadelphia

An example of SMP installation in Philadelphia
5.3.2 Record Drawings

Along with the Construction Certification Package, PWD requires that Record Drawing(s) be submitted at the close of the project to ensure that the SMPs and their elements were constructed in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP, and to document any field changes. Record Drawing(s) are required for SMP verification and are a key component of PWD’s compliance reporting.

Record Drawings are construction drawings revised to represent the site’s as-built conditions, including, at a minimum, all locations, dimensions, elevations, and materials as constructed and installed. PWD uses Record Drawing(s) to verify compliance of the constructed site with the PWD Stormwater Management Regulations (Stormwater Regulations) and to document and verify the quantity of stormwater managed on a site. If compliance issues were observed during construction, PWD may request that the City of Philadelphia Department of Licenses and Inspections (L&I) hold the Certificate of Occupancy until the Record Drawing Review Phase or final inspection is complete. It is critical that the Record Drawing(s) reflect any changes from the Approved PCSMP design, approved field change or otherwise, that may affect the performance of the SMPs.

It is important that the property owner/developer be aware of the Record Drawing requirements within this Manual and within the Stormwater Regulations, budget accordingly, and consider these requirements when issuing the project for construction bid.

To properly prepare Record Drawing(s), the contractor must keep the Approved PCSMP on-site at all times throughout the construction process and document all changes from the Approved PCSMP as they occur. PWD recommends marking up and tracking changes on an actual copy of the Approved PCSMP to simplify preparation of the Record Drawing(s). Using the Approved PCSMP as a base, the Record Drawing(s) should highlight information confirmed to be in accordance with the Approved PCSMP in yellow, and must identify any deviations in red ink. The Record Drawing(s) must be clear and legible.
Record Drawings may be prepared by registered professionals, which PWD Stormwater Plan Review defines as Professional Engineers, Registered Architects, Landscape Architects, Professional Land Surveyors, Professional Geologists, or Licensed Contractors. PA DEP may have different requirements concerning the types of professionals who may prepare Record Drawings. For projects that require a NPDES Permit, the applicant is strongly encouraged to refer to PA DEP’s requirements for Record Drawings before selecting a professional to prepare Record Drawing(s) for PWD. The preparer of the plan must provide the Record Drawing drafting date and prominently display his or her signature and professional seal, or, in the case of Licensed Contractors, his or her signature, printed name, business title, company name, and L&I Contractor License Number, all of which must be clearly labeled, on each Record Drawing plan sheet. The information provided on the Record Drawing(s) will be assumed to be correct unless it conflicts with any observations made by PWD staff during inspections/site visits.

Most non-residential and some condominium projects confirmed to be in compliance with the Stormwater Regulations through a Record Drawing review and final inspection may be eligible for credit against the stormwater portion of the property’s water/sewer/stormwater bill. The applicant is referred to Section 6.3 for more information on the Stormwater Credits Program.

For more information on Record Drawing Submission Package requirements and its submission and review process, the designer is referred to Section 2.3.1, Record Drawing Review Phase, and the Record Drawing Requirements table, Table E-8, in Appendix E. Samples which demonstrate how Approved PCSMP plan sheets should be marked-up in order to prepare Record Drawings are provided in Appendix K.
6 Post-Construction and Operations and Maintenance Guidance
6.0 Introduction

As with any building system, stormwater management practices (SMPs) require maintenance to ensure long-term function. Post-construction, it is the responsibility of the property owner to maintain all SMPs in perpetuity. As described in Chapter 4, SMPs consist of multiple components (e.g., inflow, conveyance, storage, outflow, and vegetation, etc.). Each of these components must be inspected and maintained regularly to properly function. By conducting routine maintenance, property owners identify and address minor maintenance tasks that ensure the proper functioning of an SMP and reduce the need for larger, more expensive repairs over time. If SMPs begin to fail, the subsequent increase in stormwater loading on the sewer systems may contribute to backups and combined sewer overflows into nearby rivers, which, in turn, can cause damage to aquatic life, endanger public health and safety, and violate State and Federal water quality laws.

Chapter 6, Post-Construction and Operations and Maintenance Guidance, provides guidance for the property owner on Operations and Maintenance (O&M) requirements in Section 6.1, and on post-construction SMP inspection in Section 6.2. Chapter 6 also provides information on stormwater credits, for which property owners may be eligible following SMP construction. Further information on the Stormwater Credits Program can be found in Section 6.3.
6.1 Operations and Maintenance

6.1.1 Maintenance Requirements for Property Owners

The property owner is responsible for performing long-term maintenance in accordance with the Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Agreement, the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) Stormwater Regulations (Stormwater Regulations), and Philadelphia Property Maintenance Code. In certain developments, a Homeowner’s Association (HOA) or Condominium Association may assume the responsibility for maintenance. In these instances, PWD recommends that the O&M responsibilities associated with stormwater management practices (SMPs) be incorporated in the declaration for the HOA or Condominium Association. Failure to properly maintain SMPs, or the unauthorized removal of any SMPs installed to achieve compliance with the Stormwater Regulations, will result in enforcement actions by PWD. Any proposed changes to SMPs, drainage configurations, or cover type must be approved by PWD to confirm that the change will not affect the property’s continued compliance with the Stormwater Regulations.

Required routine maintenance is SMP- and site-specific. However, typical routine maintenance tasks include the following:

- Removal of sediment and debris from inlets and outlet control structures, storage areas, and pipes;
- Establishment watering for new plantings (during the first two to three years after the initial planting);
- Emergency watering during prolonged dry periods;
- Removal of invasive plants or weed species;
- Mulching;
- Replacement of worn bolts, latches, and other appurtenances;
- Minor asphalt or concrete patching/repair;
- Minor erosion repairs including slope stabilization;
- Minor replanting, reseeding, and re-grading; and
- Pruning of trees and shrubs, as appropriate, prior to winter months.

These tasks are associated with SMPs that are generally in good condition and properly functioning. If SMPs are not properly functioning, more extensive maintenance or repairs may be needed, which may include full excavation, removal, and replacement of permanently clogged media or porous surfaces.
The designer is referred to Chapter 4 for specific maintenance guidance for individual SMPs. The maintenance guidelines included in Chapter 4 represent typical, basic maintenance tasks and frequencies for the SMPs in each of the sections. The process of choosing appropriate maintenance tasks and frequencies is both SMP-specific and site-specific. An SMP-specific, site-specific O&M Schedule, prepared by the designer and submitted to, and reviewed by, PWD during the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Review Phase, should be provided to and implemented by the property owner as a guide for long-term O&M of the SMPs on-site. Proper execution of routine maintenance tasks may require confined space entry and/or the use of specialized equipment. Property owners are responsible for safely conducting maintenance activities in accordance with applicable regulations and using appropriate equipment and properly trained personnel.

Property owners are expected to keep an inspection and maintenance log to document inspection and maintenance activity for each SMP. These logs can assist PWD at the time of PWD inspections and be used when applying for stormwater credit renewals (see Section 6.3).

For additional information on detailed maintenance recommendations, the applicant and designer are referred to the PWD Stormwater Retrofit Guidance Manual (2015 or later version).

### 6.1.2 Operations and Maintenance Agreements

An O&M Agreement between the property owner and PWD is a component of PCSMP approval and required of any project subject to the Stormwater Regulations. This Agreement requires the property owner to construct SMPs on the listed parcel(s) in strict accordance with the Approved PCSMP and to maintain the SMPs such that they will adequately perform their designed functions. It does not require the property owner to construct the SMPs if the development project associated with the SMPs does not commence or if no earth disturbance takes place. The Agreement is recorded against the property and runs with the land, if and when the property is sold or otherwise conveyed.

Operations and maintenance tasks should be implemented by the property owner according to the project’s O&M Schedule. O&M Schedules are SMP- and site-specific, and they must be prepared by the project’s designer and submitted to PWD as part of the PCSMP Review Phase (see Section 2.3.1) The O&M Schedule should be provided to, and implemented by, the property owner as a guide for long-term O&M of the SMPs on-site.

The standard O&M Agreement consists of:

- Agreement with signature pages;
- Signatory acknowledgement sections;
• Exhibit A, legal description(s) of the property(ies); and

• Exhibit B, a list of SMPs to be installed on the listed parcel(s).

The signatory(ies) for the property owner(s) must be authorized to bind the property owner(s) to legal agreements. The signatory acknowledgement sections must be notarized and serve to verify the identities of all parties signing the Agreement.

Exhibit A contains a metes-and-bounds description for each parcel, in its entirety, on which earth disturbance is proposed, while Exhibit B contains a listing of all the SMPs to be constructed on the listed parcel(s). Should amendments to the O&M Agreement become necessary after execution, the O&M Amendments will be sequentially numbered and will replace and supersede any and all of the project’s previous O&M Agreements and Amendments.
6.2 Stormwater Management Practice Inspection Guidance

6.2.1 PWD Inspections and Enforcement

As a part of the compliance obligations under agreements with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP), the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) is required to periodically inspect all stormwater management practices (SMPs) installed on private properties. Inspections will generally occur during normal business hours. During an inspection, PWD inspectors check to see that SMPs are being maintained in accordance with the Approved Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) and Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Agreement (see Section 6.1.2) and that all SMPs are functioning as designed. If PWD inspections reveal that an SMP is not functioning properly, PWD will notify the property owner and he or she will be required to bring the SMP back into compliance. If the property owner is not responsive to such notice, PWD may proceed with elevated enforcement measures, including fines, court action, and/or abatement proceedings. The property owner can avoid enforcement by implementing a robust SMP maintenance program as early as possible.

6.2.2 Property Owner Inspections

Frequent SMP inspections performed by the property owner are critical to identifying and remediating small maintenance issues before they have the potential to become large, costly repairs. Routine inspections should be performed by the property owner at least four times per year, preferably at the end of each season. Property owners, or individuals conducting inspections on the property owner’s behalf, must have a strong working knowledge and understanding of each SMP and its critical design components prior to conducting inspections (see Chapter 4). Property owners should perform additional inspections throughout the year, especially during and after large rain events, to ensure that SMPs are functioning as designed.

The primary purpose of an inspection is to make sure an SMP is properly functioning and, if not, to identify corrective actions that are required to restore proper function. A properly functioning SMP allows water to freely enter at each inflow point, collect within the storage areas, infiltrate into the soil or, depending on the design, freely drain through an outlet control structure to a downstream conveyance system. During inspections, owners are to note the following conditions:

- Emergency spillways and overflows are clear of debris;
- Plants within properly functioning SMPs are healthy and thriving;
- Bare soil or areas of active erosion are not present;
- Structures appear to be sound and in good condition, with no signs of settlement; and
• Storage areas are slowly draining after significant rain events (in no more than 72 hours) and are free of significant accumulations of sediment, debris, and trash that would substantially reduce the available storage volume.

If any of these conditions are not true, maintenance is most likely required. More detailed information on conducting inspections for different SMP types is found in Chapter 4 within each SMP section.

Owners should note that proper and thorough inspection may require special certifications for confined space entry and/or special equipment such as closed circuit television systems required to inspect pipes. It is the property owner’s responsibility to make sure that all inspections are conducted in a safe manner, according to applicable regulations, and using appropriate equipment.
6.3 Stormwater Credits Program

All properties within the city of Philadelphia are charged a monthly stormwater fee. To determine a property’s current monthly stormwater charge, the Philadelphia Water Department’s (PWD’s) Stormwater Map Viewer can be used. To assist non-residential, condominium, and multi-family residential customers (more than four dwelling units per parcel) in reducing their stormwater fees, PWD has implemented the Stormwater Credits Program. This program is administered by PWD Stormwater Billing and Incentives, and it provides financial incentives to customers who help the City meet its stormwater management goals by mitigating stormwater runoff using stormwater management practices (SMPs). Property owners who choose to install and maintain functioning SMPs may be eligible for stormwater credits. The Stormwater Credits Explorer can be used to estimate the stormwater credits savings for implementing SMPs. To apply for stormwater credits, a Stormwater Credits Application (Form B) must be completed after construction has concluded.

There is a general application fee; however, for any project that has an Approved PCSMP from PWD, the application fee is waived. Credits expire four years from their effective date. It is the property owner’s responsibility to submit a Stormwater Credits Renewal Application (Form C) at least 30 days before the expiration date. Records of inspections and maintenance activities are required to support credit renewal applications. Applicants are referred to Section 2.2.4 and the PWD Stormwater Retrofit Guidance Manual (2015 or latest version) for more comprehensive guidance.
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## Appendix Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendix</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Glossary</td>
<td>List of key words or terms included in the text of the Manual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Abbreviations</td>
<td>Compiled list and explanations of all abbreviations used in the Manual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. PWD Stormwater Regulations</td>
<td>The Stormwater Regulations, presented in Appendix C, have been developed in accordance with the Philadelphia Code §14-704(3), and they consist of four major Post-Construction Stormwater Management (PCSM) Requirements: Water Quality, Channel Protection, Flood Control, and Public Health and Safety (PHS) Release Rate. In addition, all earth disturbance activity must comply with the Erosion and Sediment Control (E&amp;S) requirements of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, as specified in 25 Pa. Code §102.4. The objectives of these requirements include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>♦ Reduce pollution in runoff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>♦ Recharge the groundwater table and increase stream base flows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>♦ Restore more natural site hydrology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>♦ Reduce combined sewer overflows (CSOs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>♦ Reduce the quantity, frequency and duration of CSOs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>♦ Protect stream channels and banks, fish habitat and infrastructure from erosion and sedimentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>♦ Reduce or prevent flooding in areas downstream of development sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Watershed Maps</td>
<td>Watershed location plays an important role in identifying how the Stormwater Regulations, specifically the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Requirements, are applied to a project. To determine a site’s watershed location using an address, the applicant can visit the Philadelphia Water Department’s “Find Your Watershed” tool. Once the location is determined, Appendix D may be used to evaluate the development site’s Flood Management District and sewershed. If he or she is unable to confirm either, the applicant should contact Stormwater Plan Review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Plan and Report Checklists</td>
<td>Section 2.3 provides Review Phase Submission Package checklists as well as detailed guidance on the submission process. Appendix E includes checklists itemizing the submittal requirements of plans and reports required for Review Phase Submission Packages. By ensuring that plans and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Design Guidance Checklists</td>
<td>The Philadelphia Water Department’s Stormwater Plan Review Design Guidance Checklists, contained in Appendix F, are a supplemental list of guidelines for Regulatory compliance, plan creation, hydrologic modeling and calculations, and the design of specific stormwater management practices. They are provided to assist in the formation of both sound, compliant stormwater management designs and complete Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) submissions. The designer should use the checklists as guidance during the design and calculation stages or as useful quality assurance/quality control checks prior to PCSMP Review Phase submission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. O&amp;M Agreement Information Worksheet and Infiltration Waiver</td>
<td>Appendix G contains both the Operations and Maintenance (O&amp;M) Agreement Information Worksheet (Worksheet 4) and the Infiltration Waiver Request Form. Worksheet 4 provides PWD with necessary information on all pertinent parcels to aid in the preparation of the project’s O&amp;M Agreement. If infiltration on a site is found to be infeasible, an Infiltration Waiver Request Form must be submitted to PWD for review. Submission of these documents is required as part of the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan Review Phase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Infiltration Testing Log</td>
<td>Appendix H contains a template log for documenting infiltration testing results. This Infiltration Testing Log includes guidance for documenting soil characteristics and is required to be completed and submitted as part of the Geotechnical Report during the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan Review Phase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Landscape Guidance</td>
<td>Detailed guidance and specifications for landscaping, including native and recommended non-invasive and prohibited non-native and invasive plant lists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Construction Certification Package</td>
<td>It is important, both for the property owner and for the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD), to ensure that all stormwater management practices (SMPs) are constructed in strict accordance with the Approved Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP). The Construction Certification Package (CCP) provides PWD with documentation that SMPs have been properly installed. Consisting of photographs, material receipts, and SMP Construction Certification Forms which must be customized by the design engineer prior to PCSMP approval, the CCP must be kept on-site and completed by a registered professional during construction. Appendix J contains a description of the required CCP documentation and a collection of customizable SMP Construction Certification Forms to be populated with key information during construction and installation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. Record Drawing Sample</td>
<td>Along with the Construction Certification Package, the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) requires that Record Drawing(s) be submitted at the close of the project to ensure that the stormwater management practices (SMPs) and their elements were constructed in general accordance with the Approved Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP), and to document any field changes. Record Drawing(s) are required for SMP verification and are a key component of PWD’s compliance reporting. Samples which demonstrate how Approved PCSMP plan sheets should be marked-up in order to prepare Record Drawings are provided in Appendix K.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. Glossary

**Applicant:** A property owner, developer, or other person or entity who has filed an application to the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) for approval to engage in or be exempt from any Regulated Activity at a Development Site in the City of Philadelphia.

**Combined Sewer Overflows (CSO):** A combined sewer overflow is an intermittent overflow or other untreated discharge from a municipal combined sewer system to the water of the Commonwealth occurring before the sewage treatment plant.

**Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan:** A preliminary stormwater management plan used by PWD Stormwater Plan Review to understand what is proposed at the project site, to confirm the proposed project limits of disturbance (LOD), and to assess the proposed stormwater management strategy. Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan requirements are described in Chapter 2 of this Manual.

**Demolition:** The razing or destruction, whether entirely or in significant part, of a building, structure, site, or object; including the removal of a building, structure, site, or object from its site or the removal or destruction of the façade or surface.

**Design Storm:** The magnitude and temporal distribution of precipitation from a storm event defined by probability of occurrence (e.g., five-year storm) and duration (e.g., 24 hours), used in the design and evaluation of stormwater management systems.

**Developer:** Any landowner, agent of such landowner, or tenant with the permission of such landowner, who makes or causes to be made a subdivision of land or land Development project prior to issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy.

**Development:** Any human-induced change to improved or unimproved real estate, whether public or private. Development encompasses, but is not limited to, New Development, Redevelopment, Demolition, and Stormwater Retrofit. It includes the entire Development Site, even when the project is performed in phases.

**Development Site:** The land area where any Development activities are planned, conducted, or maintained, regardless of individual parcel ownership. It includes contiguous areas of disturbance across Streets and other rights of way, or private streets and alleys, during any stage of or on any portion of a larger common
plan of development or sale.

**Diffused Drainage Discharge:** Drainage discharge not confined to a single point location or channel, such as sheet flow or shallow concentrated flow.

**Directly Connected Impervious Area (DCIA):** An Impervious Surface that is directly connected to the drainage system. DCIA generates surface runoff with a direct hydraulic connection to on-site drainage systems (e.g., inlets, curbs and gutters, pipes, etc.), PWD’s drainage systems, or stormwater management practices (SMPs) without flowing over pervious areas.

**Disconnected Impervious Cover (DIC):** Impervious cover from which runoff is directed toward pervious areas for management within the landscape.

**Earth Disturbance:** Any construction or other activity that disturbs the surface of land, including but not limited to, excavations, embankments, land development, subdivision development, and the moving, depositing, or storing of soil, rock, or earth. Other examples of earth disturbance in the context of PWD Stormwater Regulations are listed in Section 1.1.3.

**Erosion and Sediment (E&S) Control Plan:** A site-specific plan consisting of both drawings and a narrative that identifies measures to minimize accelerated erosion and sedimentation before, during, and after Earth Disturbance. E&S Plan requirements are described in Chapter 2 of this Manual.

**Evaporation and Transpiration (Evapotranspiration):** Evaporation is the process by which water changes from a liquid to gas. Transpiration is the process by which water moves through a plant and evaporates into the atmosphere from its leaves and exterior surfaces. The sum of evaporation and transpiration are commonly referred to as evapotranspiration.

**Existing Conditions:** Physical conditions on the site including land use, impervious surface, topography, vegetation, soils, and hydrology that exist on the site on the date the owner starts the development process.

**Groundwater Recharge:** The replenishment of existing natural underground water supplies from precipitation or overland flow without degrading groundwater quality.

**Hotspots:** Areas where land use or activities have contaminated the soil underlying the site such that infiltration of stormwater would likely cause groundwater contamination through leaching of the soil.

**Impervious Liner:** A physical barrier to prevent water from crossing a system boundary such as infiltrating through the subgrade beneath a stormwater management practice. Liners may include, but are not limited to, compacted till liners, clay liners, geomembrane liners, and concrete liners.

**Impervious Surface:** Any building, pavement, or other material that substantially bars the natural infiltration
of surface water into the soil.

**Infiltration:** The process by which water enters the soil from the ground surface and can be measured as a rate.

**Management District:** Sub-area delineations that determine peak rate attenuation requirements. A Development Site located in more than one Management District shall conform to the requirements of the district into which the site discharges.

**Manual:** The most recent version of the Philadelphia Stormwater Management Guidance Manual.

**New Development:** Development project on an unimproved tract of land where structures or impervious surfaces were removed before January 1, 1970.

**Non-Structural Design:** Stormwater management practices that incorporate, preserve, and protect existing natural features while promoting treatment, infiltration, evaporation, and transpiration of precipitation close to where it falls.

**Operation & Maintenance (O&M) Agreement:** An agreement or declaration which outlines the maintenance requirements associated with the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan.

**Pavement Disconnection:** A type of DIC and a reduction in DCIA when pavement runoff is directed to a vegetated area that allows for infiltration, filtration, and an increased time of concentration.

**Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP):** A complete stormwater management plan set as described in the PWD Stormwater Regulations and in this Manual. PCSMP requirements are described in Chapter 2 of this Manual.

**Predevelopment Condition:** For New Development and Redevelopment, the dominant land use for the previous ten years preceding the planned project.

**Record Drawings:** Construction drawings revised to represent the as-built conditions.

**Redevelopment:** Development on an improved tract of land that includes, but is not limited to, the demolition or removal of existing structures or impervious surfaces and replacement with new impervious surfaces. This includes replacement of impervious surfaces that have been removed on or after January 1, 1970.

**Registered Professional:** A licensed Professional Engineer, Registered Architect, Landscape Architect, Professional Land Surveyor, Professional Geologist, or Licensed Contractor registered in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
**Regulated Activity:** Development on a Development Site in the City of Philadelphia that results in an area of Earth Disturbance greater than or equal to 15,000 square feet, greater than or equal to 5,000 square feet in the Darby and Cobbs Creeks Watershed, or as otherwise required by local, State, or Federal requirements. The area of Earth Disturbance during the construction phase determines requirements for the erosion and sediment controls and post-construction stormwater management.

**Review Path:** A linear series of submission, review, and approval/exemption procedures the applicant will navigate to demonstrate a project’s compliance with, or exemption from, the PWD Stormwater Regulations.

**Review Phase:** A step in a Review Path. Each Review Path has one or more Phases. Each Phase corresponds to one or more submittals of information for PWD’s review.

**Roof Runoff Isolation:** The routing of runoff from non-vehicular roof area that is not commingled with untreated runoff.

**Roof disconnecting device:** A type of DIC and a reduction in DCIA when a roof downspout is directed to a vegetated area which allows for infiltration, filtration, and increased time of concentration.

**Sewershed:** An area of land, or catchment, which drains via storm drain infrastructure to a common outlet point.

**Site Assessment:** An investigation of the administrative and physical factors that shape the development and stormwater management plan for a proposed site. The assessment consists of three components – collection of background site factors, site factors inventory, and site factors analysis.

**Storage Volume:** The volume of stormwater runoff that can be held within the above-ground surface area and the pore spaces of any subsurface media or structure of a stormwater management practice.

**Stormwater Management Practice (SMP):** Any man-made or natural structure, system, landscape feature, channel, or improvement designed, constructed, installed, and/or used to detain, infiltrate, or otherwise control stormwater runoff quality, rate, or quantity.

**Stormwater Pretreatment:** Techniques employed to remove pollutants before they enter the SMP, including, but not limited to, the techniques listed as pretreatment in this Manual.

**Stormwater Retrofit:** The voluntary rehabilitation and/or installation of SMPs on a property to better manage stormwater runoff.

**Street:** Tract of land or part thereof with public access used for vehicular and/or pedestrian traffic, which is maintained by a City Agency, City Related Agency, other Government Agency, or a Non-Profit Organization Created by the City, as determined by the Department.
**Street Maintenance Activities:** Earth Disturbance activities within an existing Street as determined by the Department and described in the Manual Section 1.1.3.

**Tree Disconnection Credit:** A type of DIC and a reduction in DCIA when existing or newly proposed tree canopy from an approved species list extends over, or is in close proximity to, impervious area.

**Watershed:** An area of land that contains a common set of drainage pathways, streams, and rivers that all discharge to a single large body of water, such as a large river, lake, or ocean.
# B. Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td>American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANSI</td>
<td>American National Standards Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSE</td>
<td>American Society of Safety Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM</td>
<td>American Society of Testing and Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP</td>
<td>Best Management Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCP</td>
<td>Construction Certification Package</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCTV</td>
<td>Closed Circuit Television</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>Cast Iron Pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cfs</td>
<td>Cubic Feet per Second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERCLA</td>
<td>Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERM</td>
<td>Civil Engineering Reference Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>Curve Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO&amp;A</td>
<td>Consent Order and Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSO</td>
<td>Combined Sewer Overflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWA</td>
<td>Clean Water Act (1972)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCIA</td>
<td>Directly Connected Impervious Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC</td>
<td>Disconnected Impervious Cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOR</td>
<td>Department of Records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMC</td>
<td>Event Mean Concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMI</td>
<td>Electromagnetic Induction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E&amp;S</td>
<td>Erosion and Sediment Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPDM</td>
<td>Ethylene Propylene Diene Terpolymer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERSA</td>
<td>Existing Resources and Site Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>Evapotranspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLL</td>
<td>German Landscape Research, Development and Construction Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA</td>
<td>Federal Emergency Management Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GARP</td>
<td>Greened Acre Retrofit Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPR</td>
<td>Ground Penetrating Radar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSI</td>
<td>Green Stormwater Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>High-Density Polyethylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOA</td>
<td>Homeowners Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSG</td>
<td>Hydrologic Soil Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDF</td>
<td>Intensity-Duration-Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IWU</td>
<td>Industrial Waste Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEED</td>
<td>Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L&amp;I</td>
<td>Department of Licenses and Inspections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOD</td>
<td>Limit of Disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTCPU</td>
<td>Long Term Control Plan Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSC</td>
<td>Medium Specific Concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS4</td>
<td>Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJCAT</td>
<td>New Jersey Center for Advanced Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ DEP</td>
<td>New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPDES</td>
<td>National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA</td>
<td>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOI</td>
<td>Notice of Intent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOT</td>
<td>Notice of Termination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRCS</td>
<td>Natural Resources Conservation Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M</td>
<td>Operations and Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPA</td>
<td>Office of Property Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSHA</td>
<td>Occupational Safety and Health Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA DEP</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCPC</td>
<td>Philadelphia City Planning Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCSM</td>
<td>Post-Construction Stormwater Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCSMP</td>
<td>Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHS</td>
<td>Public Health and Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POA</td>
<td>Point of Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUD</td>
<td>Planned Unit Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVC</td>
<td>Polyvinyl Chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWD</td>
<td>Philadelphia Water Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCP</td>
<td>Reinforced Concrete Pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>Right-of-Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIU</td>
<td>Significant Industrial User</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMIP</td>
<td>Stormwater Management Incentive Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP</td>
<td>Stormwater Management Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPLP</td>
<td>Synthetic Precipitation Leachate Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>Standard Penetration Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPA</td>
<td>Steep Slope Protection Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWTR</td>
<td>Surface Water Treatment Rule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAPE</td>
<td>Technology Assessment Protocol - Ecology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARP</td>
<td>Technology Acceptance and Reciprocity Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>Total Suspended Solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMDL</td>
<td>Total Maximum Daily Load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPO</td>
<td>Thermal Polyolefin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA</td>
<td>United States Department of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USEPA</td>
<td>United States Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGBC</td>
<td>United States Green Building Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS</td>
<td>United States Geological Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCP</td>
<td>Vitrified Clay Pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WQv</td>
<td>Water Quality Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTR</td>
<td>Water Transport Records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWO</td>
<td>Wissahickon Watershed Overlay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZBA</td>
<td>Zoning Board of Adjustments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. PWD Stormwater Regulations

The Stormwater Regulations, presented in Appendix C, have been developed in accordance with the Philadelphia Code §14-704(3), and they consist of four major Post-Construction Stormwater Management (PCSM) Requirements: Water Quality, Channel Protection, Flood Control, and Public Health and Safety (PHS) Release Rate. In addition, all earth disturbance activity must comply with the Erosion and Sediment Control (E&S) requirements of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, as specified in 25 Pa. Code §102.4.

The objectives of these requirements include:

- Reduce pollution in runoff
- Recharge the groundwater table and increase stream base flows
- Restore more natural site hydrology
- Reduce combined sewer overflows (CSOs)
- Reduce the quantity, frequency and duration of CSOs
- Protect stream channels and banks, fish habitat and infrastructure from erosion and sedimentation
- Reduce or prevent flooding in areas downstream of development sites

Philadelphia Code Chapter 6: Stormwater
CHAPTER 6
STORMWATER

600.1 Definitions

(a) Applicant: Whenever used in this Chapter 6, a property owner, Developer, or other person or entity who has filed an application to the Department for approval to engage in or be exempt from any Regulated Activity at a Development Site in the City of Philadelphia.

(b) Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan: A preliminary stormwater management plan as described in these Regulations and in the Manual.

(c) Demolition: The razing or destruction, whether entirely or in significant part, of a building, structure, site or object; including the removal of a building, structure, site, or object from its site or the removal or destruction of the façade or surface.

(d) Design Storm: The magnitude and temporal distribution of precipitation from a storm event defined by probability of occurrence (e.g., five-year storm) and duration (e.g., 24-hours), used in the design and evaluation of stormwater management systems.

(e) Developer: Any landowner, agent of such landowner, or tenant with the permission of such landowner, who makes or causes to be made a subdivision of land or land Development project prior to issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy.

(f) Development: Any human-induced change to improved or unimproved real estate, whether public or private. As used in these Regulations, Development encompasses, but is not limited to, New Development, Redevelopment, Demolition, and Stormwater Retrofit. It includes the entire Development Site, even when the project is performed in phases.

(g) Development Site: The land area where any Development activities are planned, conducted, or maintained. It includes contiguous areas of disturbance including across public streets and other rights of way, and private streets and alleys, regardless of individual parcel ownership, on any portion or part, or during any stage, of a larger common plan of development or sale.

(h) Diffused Drainage Discharge: Drainage discharge not confined to a single point location or channel, such as sheet flow or shallow concentrated flow.

(i) Directly Connected Impervious Area (DCIA): An Impervious Surface that is directly connected to the drainage system.

(j) Earth Disturbance: Any construction or other activity that disturbs the surface of land including but not limited to, excavations, embankments, land development, subdivision development, and the moving, depositing, or storing of soil, rock or earth.

(k) Erosion and Sediment Control Plan: A site specific plan consisting of both drawings and a narrative that identifies measures to minimize accelerated erosion and sedimentation before, during and after Earth Disturbance.

(l) Groundwater Recharge: The replenishment of existing natural underground water supplies from precipitation or overland flow without degrading groundwater quality.

(m) Impervious Surface: Any building, pavement, or other material that impedes the natural infiltration of surface water into the soil.
(n) Management District: Sub-area delineations that determine peak rate attenuation requirements. A Development Site located in more than one Management District shall conform to the requirements of the district into which the site discharges.


(p) New Development: Development project on an unimproved tract of land where structures or impervious surfaces were removed before January 1, 1970.

(q) Operations & Maintenance Agreement (O & M Agreement): An agreement or declaration which outlines the maintenance requirements associated with the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan.

(r) Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP): A complete stormwater management plan set as described in these Regulations and in the Manual.

(s) Predevelopment Condition: For New Development and Redevelopment, Predevelopment shall be defined according to the procedures found in the Manual.

(t) Redevelopment: Development on an improved tract of land that includes, but is not limited to, the demolition or removal of existing structures or impervious surfaces and replacement with new impervious surfaces. This includes replacement of impervious surfaces that have been removed on or after January 1, 1970.

(u) Record Drawings: Construction drawings revised to represent the as-built conditions.

(v) Stormwater Management Practice (SMP): Any man-made or natural structure, system, landscape feature, channel, or improvement designed, constructed, installed, and/or used to detain, infiltrate, or otherwise control stormwater runoff quality, rate, or quantity.

(w) Stormwater Pretreatment: Techniques employed to remove pollutants before they enter the SMP, including, but not limited to, the techniques listed as pretreatment in the Manual.

(x) Stormwater Retrofit: The voluntary rehabilitation and/or installation of SMPs on a property to better manage stormwater runoff.

600.2 Regulated Activities

(a) A Regulated Activity under these Regulations is Development on a Development Site in the City of Philadelphia that results in an area of Earth Disturbance greater than or equal to 15,000 square feet, greater than or equal to 5,000 square feet in the Darby and Cobbs Creek Watershed, or as otherwise required by local, state, or federal requirements. The area of Earth Disturbance during the construction phase determines requirements for the erosion and sediment controls and post-construction stormwater management.

(b) The applicability of these Regulations is summarized in the Table of Applicable Stormwater Regulations in Philadelphia.

(c) These Regulations shall apply to the entire Development Site even if Development on that site is to take place in phases.

(d) Existing SMPs may be used on a Development Site if the SMPs meet all of the requirements of these Regulations.
600.3 Exemptions

(a) General Exemptions

The following cases are exempt from the specified requirements of these Regulations.

(1) Redevelopment that results in an area of Earth Disturbance less than one (1) acre is exempt from the requirements of Section 600.5(b), Channel Protection requirement.

(2) Redevelopment located in the Delaware Direct Watershed or the Lower Schuylkill Watershed is exempt from the requirements of Section 600.5(b), Channel Protection.

(3) Redevelopment that results in an area of Earth Disturbance greater than or equal to fifteen thousand (15,000) square feet that can demonstrate a twenty percent (20%) reduction in Impervious Surface from Predevelopment Conditions, is exempt from the requirements of Section 600.5(b), Channel Protection requirement and 600.5(c), Flood Control requirement.

(b) Exemption Responsibilities

An exemption shall not relieve the Applicant, Developer or property owner from implementing such measures as are necessary to protect public health, safety, property, water quality, and the environment.

(c) Emergency Exemption

Emergency maintenance work performed for the protection of public health and safety is exempt from the requirements of these Regulations. A written description of the scope and extent of any emergency work performed shall be submitted to the Department within two (2) calendar days of the commencement of the activity. If the Department finds that the work is not an emergency, then the work shall cease immediately and the requirements of these Regulations shall be addressed as applicable.

(d) Special Circumstances

If conditions exist that prevent the reasonable implementation of water quality and/or quantity control practices on site, upon written request by the property owner, the Department may at its sole discretion accept off-site stormwater management practices, retrofitting, stream restorations, or other practices that provide water quality and/or quantity control equal or greater than onsite practices for the volume which the Applicant has demonstrated to be infeasible to manage and treat on site.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table of Applicable Stormwater Regulations in Philadelphia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth Disturbance Associated with Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-14,999 sq. ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 600.5(a) Water Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redevelopment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 600.5(b) Channel Protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redevelopment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 600.5(c) Flood Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redevelopment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 600.6 Nonstructural Project Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redevelopment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 600.8 Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redevelopment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yes (Alternate Criteria) – requirements of section may be waived depending on post-development site conditions (See Sections 600.3(a)(2 and 3), 600.5(b) and 600.5(c) for further details).

N/A - Not Applicable, Development project is generally not subject to requirements of indicated Regulations section. If the proposed development results in stormwater discharge that exceeds stormwater system capacity, causes a combined sewer overflow, or degrades receiving waters, the design specifications presented in these Regulations may be applied to proposed development activities as warranted to protect public health, safety, or property.

Exempt – Development project is not subject to requirements of indicated Regulations section.

** - If the Development results in an area of Earth Disturbance greater than or equal to 5,000 square feet in the Darby and Cobbs Creek Watershed, the Development is subject to the requirements of indicated Regulations section.

Any local, state, or federal requirements still apply.
**600.4 Erosion and Sediment Control during Earth Disturbance**

(a) All Earth Disturbance must comply with the Erosion and Sediment Control requirements of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) as specified in 25 Pa. Code § 102.4.

(b) No Earth Disturbance greater than or equal to fifteen thousand (15,000) square feet and less than one (1) acre shall commence until the Department approves an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan conforming to the regulations of the PADEP.

**600.5 Post-Construction Stormwater Management Requirements**

(a) Water Quality: The Water Quality requirement is designed to recharge the groundwater table and to provide water quality treatment for stormwater runoff.

(1) The following formula shall be used to determine the water quality volume (WQv) in cubic feet of storage for the development site:

\[ WQv = \left( \frac{P}{12} \right) \times I \]

**Eqn: 600.1**

Where:

- \( WQv \) = Water Quality Volume (cubic feet)
- \( P = 1.5 \) inches
- \( I \) = DCIA within the limits of earth disturbance (square feet)

(2) In order to preserve or restore a more natural water balance on a Development Site, the water quality volume shall be infiltrated on site. A list of acceptable practices for infiltration is provided in the Manual.

(3) To determine if infiltration is appropriate on the Development Site, follow the Hotspot Investigation, Subsurface Stability, and Suitability of Infiltration procedures found in the Manual.

(4) If the soil investigation report demonstrates that the soil is unsuitable for infiltration, the Applicant shall follow the Infiltration Waiver Request procedure found in the Manual.

(5) Where it has been demonstrated, in accordance with section 600.5(a)(2) of these Regulations, that a portion or all of the water quality volume cannot be infiltrated on site, the water quality volume which cannot be infiltrated on site must be treated for water quality.

(6) Treatment of the water quality volume is attained differently in separate sewer areas and in combined sewer areas as specified in the Manual.

(b) Channel Protection: The Channel Protection requirement is designed to minimize accelerated channel erosion resulting from stormwater runoff from the Development Site.

(1) To meet the Channel Protection requirement, SMPs shall retain or detain the runoff from all DCIA within the limits of Earth Disturbance from a one-year, 24-hour Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Type II design storm in the proposed site condition such that the runoff takes a minimum of 24 hours and a maximum of 72 hours to drain from the facility.

(2) The infiltration and water quality volumes may be incorporated into the channel protection portion of the design provided the design meets all requirements concurrently.
(3) Design criteria and a list of SMPs for channel protection are included in the Manual.

(c) Flood Control

(1) To prevent flooding caused by extreme events, the City of Philadelphia is divided into Management Districts that require different levels of stormwater attenuation depending on location. Management Districts shall be determined for the Development Site using the maps provided in the Manual.

(A) The Table of Peak Runoff Rates for Management Districts lists the attenuation requirements for each Management District.

(B) A Development Site located in more than one Management District shall conform to the requirements of the district where the discharge point is located.

(d) Public Health and Safety Release Rate

(1) The Public Health and Safety Release Rate requirement is designed to minimize the impact of stormwater runoff from Development Sites to City infrastructure with capacity restrictions as identified by the Department.
Table of Peak Runoff Rates for Management Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Column A NRCS Type II 24-hour Design Storm applied to Proposed Condition</th>
<th>Column B NRCS Type II 24-hour Design Storm applied to Predevelopment Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2 – year, 5 – year, 10 – year, 25 – year, 50 – year, 100-year</td>
<td>1 - year, 5 - year, 10 - year, 25 - year, 50 – year, 100-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>2 – year, 5 – year, 10 – year, 25 – year, 50 – year, 100-year</td>
<td>1- year, 2 – year, 5 – year, 10 – year, 25 – year, 100-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>2 – year, 5 – year, 25 – year, 50 – year</td>
<td>1- year, 2 - year, 5 - year, 10- year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C*</td>
<td>Conditional Direct Discharge District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C – 1**</td>
<td>Conditional Direct Discharge District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SMPs shall be designed such that peak rates from Column A are less than or equal to Peak Rates from Column B. * In District C, a Development Site that can discharge directly without use of City infrastructure may do so without control of proposed conditions peak rate of runoff.

** In District C-1, a Development Site that can discharge directly to the Tookany/Tacony-Frankford main channel or major tributaries without the use of City infrastructure may do so without the control of proposed conditions peak rate of runoff greater than the 5-year storm.

Redevelopment located in the Delaware Direct Watershed or Lower Schuylkill Watershed, but situated outside of District C, that can discharge directly without the use of City infrastructure, may do so without the control of proposed conditions peak rate of runoff according to the procedures found in the Manual.

For Conditional Direct Discharge Districts, the proposed conditions peak rate of runoff for a Development Site that discharges to City infrastructure must be controlled to the Predevelopment Conditions peak rate as required in District A provisions for the specified Design Storms. The Predevelopment Condition shall be defined according to the procedures found in the Manual.
600.6 Nonstructural Project Design and Sequencing to Minimize Stormwater Impacts

(a) An Applicant is required to find practicable alternatives to the surface discharge of stormwater, the creation of impervious surfaces, and the degradation of Waters of the Commonwealth.

(b) All Applicants shall take all of the following steps in sequence to comply with Water Quality requirements of these Regulations. The goal of the sequence is to minimize the increases in stormwater runoff and impacts to water quality resulting from the proposed regulated activity.

(1) Prepare an Existing Resource and Site Analysis (ERSA) application, showing environmentally sensitive areas including, but not limited to: steep slopes, ponds, lakes, streams, suspected wetlands, hydric soils, vernal pools, any existing recharge areas, and any other requirements of the application as listed in the Manual.

(2) Prepare a Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan.

(3) Evaluate nonstructural stormwater management alternatives as described in the Manual.

(4) Use techniques in the Manual to minimize DCIA within the limits of Earth Disturbance.

(5) Design appropriate SMPs according to the Manual that:

(A) meet Water Quality requirement and provide for Stormwater Pretreatment prior to infiltration or water quality treatment in accordance with Section 600.5(a) of these Regulations and the Manual;

(B) meet Channel Protection requirement in accordance with Section 600.5(b) of these Regulations;

(C) meet Flood Control requirement for the appropriate Management District in accordance with Section 600.5(c) of these Regulations; and

(D) meet Public Health and Safety Release Rate requirement in accordance with Section 600.5(d) of these Regulations.

(6) Adjust the site design as needed to meet all requirements of these Regulations.

600.7 Requirements for the Design of SMPs

(a) General Requirements

(1) In order to provide for the protection of public health and safety and to more effectively manage stormwater in Philadelphia, all SMPs shall meet the requirements of these Regulations.

(2) The existing points of concentrated drainage that discharge onto adjacent land shall not be altered in any manner that could cause property damage without written permission of the owner of the adjacent land.

(3) The design of all SMPs shall incorporate sound engineering principles and practices as detailed in the Manual. The Department may reject any design that would result in the creation or continuation of a stormwater problem area.
(4) All stormwater runoff in excess of any volume infiltrated on site must be routed through a dedicated stormwater pipe and conveyed to the approved connection or point of discharge.

(5) Areas of existing diffused drainage discharge shall be subject to any applicable discharge criteria in the general direction of existing discharge, whether proposed to be concentrated or maintained as diffused drainage areas, except as otherwise provided by these Regulations. If diffused drainage discharge is proposed to be concentrated and discharged onto adjacent land, the Applicant must document that adequate downstream conveyance facilities exist to safely transport the concentrated discharge, or otherwise prove that no erosion, sedimentation, flooding or other impacts will result from the concentrated discharge.

(6) All SMPs shall incorporate maximum ponding and/or draw down requirements consistent with the Manual.

(7) Acceptable calculation methods for the design of SMPs are provided in the Manual.

600.8 PCSMP Requirements
(a) General Requirements
For any activities regulated by these Regulations and the Philadelphia Code Section §14-704(3):

(1) No zoning permit may be issued until the Water Department has approved a Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan.

(2) No Earth Disturbance may commence and no building permit may be issued until the Department has approved a PCSMP. The City may issue a Stop Work Order for projects that disturb earth without an approved PCSMP.

(b) Conceptual Approval
To initiate Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan review and obtain conceptual approval from the Department, the Applicant must complete the ERSA application using the process outlined in the Manual.

(c) PCSMP Approval
(1) The PCSMP shall include a general description of the Development project, project sequence, calculations, maps and plans as described in Section 600.6(b) of these Regulations. A list of required contents of the PCSMP is located in the Manual.

(2) For any activities that require state or federal permits, proof of application or approval of those permit(s) shall be included as part of the PCSMP.

(3) All PCSMP materials shall be submitted to the Department in accordance with submittal procedures as outlined in the Manual.

(d) Miscellaneous Stormwater Management Charges
Applicability and requirements for Stormwater Plan Review Fees and Stormwater Management Fee in Lieu are described in Section 308.0 of these Regulations.

(e) Project Expirations
Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan approval will expire after one year of no activity. PCSMP approval will expire after two years from the date of
issuance. An applicant may apply for extensions based on the procedures found in the Manual.

600.9 Permit Requirements by Other Government Entities

(a) Other government entities may require permits for certain regulated Earth Disturbance activities.
(b) Requirements for these permits must be met prior to commencement of Earth Disturbance.

600.10 Inspections

(a) The Department or its designee may inspect any phase of the installation of the SMPs.
(b) An onsite meeting between the Department and the Applicant is required prior to the start of Development.
(c) During any stage of the work, if the Department or its designee determines that any component of the PCSMP is not being installed as approved by the Department, the City shall issue a Stop Work Order preventing other on-site construction from proceeding until the deficiencies are corrected.
(d) A final inspection of all PCSMP components shall be conducted by the Department or its designee to confirm compliance with the approved PCSMP prior to the issuance of Certificate of Occupancy, or other equivalent issuance, or use of the Development Site.
(e) Record Drawings for all PCSMP components must be submitted to the Department within 90 days of the conclusion of Development activities.

600.11 Construction, Operations and Maintenance of SMPs

(a) No regulated Earth Disturbance activities shall commence until the Department has approved a PCSMP in accordance with the procedures set forth in these Regulations and the Manual.
(b) All SMPs shall be constructed in accordance with the approved PCSMP.
(c) Operation and Maintenance responsibilities are defined in the O & M Agreement. SMPs and other stormwater management controls shall be maintained by the property owner or designee to design function.
(d) There shall be no alteration or removal of any SMP or other stormwater management control required by an approved PCSMP and the O & M Agreement that disrupts the functionality of the approved PCSMP without the approval of the Department, and the property owner shall not allow the property to remain in a condition which does not conform to an approved PCSMP and O & M Agreement.
(e) The Department may accept or reject the operations and maintenance responsibility for any SMPs.
(f) The Department or its designee may inspect the long term operation of the SMPs and other stormwater management controls.

600.12 Stormwater Management Easements

(a) Stormwater management easements or rights of way are required for all areas used for off-site SMPs or stormwater conveyance, unless a waiver is granted by the Department.
(b) Stormwater management easements shall be provided by the property owner if necessary for access for inspections and maintenance, or for the preservation of stormwater runoff conveyance, infiltration, detention areas and/or other
stormwater controls and SMPs, by persons other than the property owner.

(c) The stormwater management easement and its purpose shall be specified when recorded in accordance with section 600.13 of these Regulations.

600.13 Recording of O & M Agreement, Stormwater Management Easements

(a) The owner of any land upon which SMPs will be placed, constructed or implemented as described in the PCSMP shall be responsible for the recording of the following documents with the Philadelphia Department of Records:

(1) The O & M Agreement, which shall be included as part of the PCSMP submitted under Section 600.8, and

(2) Easements under Section 600.12 of these Regulations, if applicable.

(b) All recordings shall be at the property owner’s expense.

600.14 Prohibited Discharges

(a) No person shall allow, or cause to allow, a discharge into the City’s separate storm sewer system that is not composed entirely of stormwater.

(b) In the event that the Department determines that any discharge to a storm sewer is not composed entirely of stormwater, the Department will notify the responsible person to immediately cease the discharge. The Department may pursue additional enforcement actions as described in City Code §13-603.

(c) Nothing in this Section shall affect a discharger’s responsibilities under state law.

600.15 Prohibited Connections

(a) The following connections are prohibited, except as otherwise provided:

(1) Any drain or conveyance, whether on the surface or subsurface, which allows any non-stormwater discharge including sewage, groundwater, process wastewater, and wash water, to enter the separate storm sewer system.

(2) Any connections to the storm drain system from indoor drains and sinks.

(3) Any drain or conveyance connected from a commercial or industrial land use to the separate storm sewer system that has not been documented in plans, maps, or equivalent records, and approved by the City.

600.16 Enforcement

(a) Whenever a property owner, Applicant, Developer, or other responsible party has engaged in conduct prohibited by, or failed to meet a requirement of this Chapter 6, the Department may order compliance by notifying the responsible party.

(b) Such notification shall set forth the nature of the violation(s) and establish a time limit for correction of the violation(s).

(c) Failure to comply within the time specified may subject the responsible party to any and all available penalties, including but not limited to a Stop Work Order, fines, a court order, and/or
abatement by the City. Such penalties shall be cumulative and shall not prevent the City from pursuing all remedies available in law or equity.

(d) The Department may withhold, suspend, or revoke any approvals for the Development Site upon discovery of the failure of the property owner, Applicant or Developer to comply with these Regulations.
D. Watershed Maps

Watershed location plays an important role in identifying how the Stormwater Regulations, specifically the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Requirements, are applied to a project. To determine a site's watershed location using an address, the applicant can visit the Philadelphia Water Department's "Find Your Watershed" tool. Once the location is determined, Appendix D may be used to evaluate the development site's Flood Management District and sewershed. If he or she is unable to confirm either, the applicant should contact Stormwater Plan Review.

- **Citywide Watershed Map**

- **Darby and Cobbs Creeks**
  - Collection System
  - Flood Management District

- **Delaware Direct**
  - North - Collection System
  - North - Flood Management District
  - South - Collection System-A
  - South - Collection System-B
  - South - Flood Management District-A
  - South - Flood Management District-B

- **Lower Schuylkill River**
  - Collection System-A
  - Collection System-B
  - Flood Management District-A
- Pennypack Creek
  - Collection System
  - Flood Management District

- Poquessing Creek
  - Collection System
  - Flood Management District

- Tookany/Tacony-Frankford
  - Collection System-A
  - Collection System-B
  - Flood Management District-A
  - Flood Management District-B

- Wissahickon Creek
  - Collection System
  - Flood Management District
E. Plan and Report Checklists

Section 2.3 provides Review Phase Submission Package checklists as well as detailed guidance on the submission process. Appendix E includes checklists itemizing the submittal requirements of plans and reports required for Review Phase Submission Packages. By ensuring that plans and reports meet the requirements identified in each checklist, the applicant can streamline his or her project's Review Phase.

Table E-1: General Plan Sheet Requirements

Table E-2: Existing Conditions Plan Requirements

Table E-3: Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan Requirements

Table E-4: Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Requirements

Table E-5: Standard Erosion and Sediment Control Notes

Table E-6: Standard Sequence of Construction Notes

Table E-7: Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan Report Requirements

Table E-8: Record Drawing Requirements
# Table E-1: General Plan Sheet Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Each plan sheet submitted to PWD must be legible and include the following items:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Project name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Revision date(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Title of plan sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Signature and seal of Registered Professional (dated) for Final Construction Drawings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Plan scale including measurable scale bar (1&quot; = 10', 20', 30', 40', 50', 60', or 100') (50' or less for Record Drawings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>North arrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Legend that clearly shows all symbols, line types, and hatchings used on the plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>All proposed changes or additions to existing conditions should be represented on the plan in a line weight heavier than that used for existing conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Street lines, street names, rights-of-way, and easements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table E-2: Existing Conditions Plan Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>General plan presentation requirements listed in Table E-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Name of owner and designer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Site address (must match current Philadelphia Office of Property Assessment (OPA) records)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Location map including site location within watershed(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Property lines, all metes, bounds, boundaries, dimensions, building lines, and setbacks (must match current OPA records)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Street lines, street names, lot names, easements, other land divisions, and their purposes and confirmed locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Location and boundaries of all existing rights-of-way, easements, cartway widths for all streets and private roads, and drainage rights-of-way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Location and size of all existing site features and impervious areas within 25 feet of the proposed earth disturbance even if those features are on an adjacent property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Location of all existing active and abandoned utilities (water, sewer, stormwater), including stormwater management practices above and below ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Identification of the nearest watercourses/water bodies (within 100 feet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Existing topography of site (contours, sub-basins, etc.) in two-foot contour intervals (minimum) on-site and on adjacent lands within 25 feet of the property line and on the full width of abutting public lands, and private rights-of-way and easement(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Identification of any special features of the site (natural depressions, natural berms, flood plains, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Identification of the type and extent of vegetation, and the location and species identification of any trees that measure greater than six inches diameter at breast height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Location and boundaries of proposed demolition, including all structures and pavement to be removed and all utilities to be capped or plugged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Location of any existing on-site disposal systems (septic tanks) and drain fields</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table E-3: Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>General plan presentation requirements listed in Table E-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Name of owner and designer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Site address (existing and proposed, if different)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Location map including site location within watershed(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Property lines, subdivision or lot consolidation lines, all metes, bounds, boundaries, dimensions, building lines and setbacks (if two or more lines (property lines, limits of disturbance (LOD) lines, right-of-way line, etc.) coincide at the same location or over one another on the plan, separate them on additional plan sheets)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Street lines, street names, lot names, easements, other land divisions, and their purposes and confirmed locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Location of boundaries of all existing and proposed rights-of-way, easements, cartway widths for all streets and private roads, and drainage rights-of-way to remain post-construction (any proposed changes to the City plan should also be noted with an ordinance number, if known)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Location/outline of all existing structures to remain within 25 feet of the limit of disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Location of all existing active and abandoned utilities (water, sewer, stormwater), including stormwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Existing topography of site (contours, sub-basins, etc.) in two-foot contour intervals (minimum) on-site and on adjacent lands within 25 feet of the property line and on the full width of abutting public lands, and private rights-of-way and easement(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Location of all right-of-way encroachments, such as egress wells, stairs, light poles, trees, building overhangs, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Delineation, labeling, and square footage of the proposed LOD, including all utility connections, stockpiles, and construction entrances within the LOD. The LOD should not only take into consideration proposed improvements, but also areas likely to be disturbed during construction, such as for the installation of erosion and sediment control measures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Proposed topography of site (distinguish between existing and proposed contours) in two-foot contour intervals (minimum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Identification of all proposed site improvements, such as buildings, basements, parking lots, driveways, landscaping, SMPs, drainage, etc., that should be distinguished from any existing features to remain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Location and dimensions of all existing and proposed driveways, curb cuts, and off-street parking lots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Proposed building lines with street setback lines and distances to other existing and proposed buildings if within 15 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Proposed lot lines and lot identification numbers, dimensions, and areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Delineation of impervious surfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Location of vegetation identified for preservation and planned landscape areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Location of all proposed water and fire utility connections, including proposed sizes, if known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Water meter/meter pit must be shown within 35 feet of the property/house/right-of-way line (show dimension from property line to metered structure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Include backflow prevention on the water line, when applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Location of all proposed sanitary sewer and stormwater connections, including proposed sizes, if known (sewer connections made directly into manholes are not permitted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Delineation of all proposed disconnected impervious cover within the LOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Identification of areas of proposed stormwater management, including locations, extent, and types of SMPs, as well as safe overflow connections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Location of all existing and proposed roof and yard drains and their connections to SMPs or sewer (connection points must be included within the LOD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>For all infiltration SMPs, identification of loading ratio not exceeding 16:1 for directly connected impervious area (DCIA) to infiltration area footprint of surface-vegetated SMPs, and not exceeding 8:1 for DCIA to infiltration area footprint of subsurface infiltration SMPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Extent and boundaries of 100-year floodplain in relation to the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Depiction of post-development hydrology of the site with flow lines and/or drainage areas including discharge points from property and type of discharge (diffused, concentrated, piped, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Location of any proposed on-site disposal systems (septic tanks) and drain fields</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table E-4: Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>General plan presentation requirements listed in Table E-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Name of owner and designer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Site address (existing and proposed, if different)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Location map including site location within watershed(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Property lines, subdivision or lot consolidation lines, all metes, bounds, boundaries, dimensions, building lines and setbacks (if two or more lines (property lines, limits of disturbance (LOD) lines, right-of-way line, etc.) coincide at the same location or over one another on the plan, separate them on additional plan sheets)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Street lines, street names, lot names, easements, other land divisions, and their purposes and confirmed locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Location of boundaries of all existing and proposed rights-of-way, easements, cartway widths for all streets and private roads, and drainage rights-of-way to remain post-construction (any proposed changes to the City plan should also be noted with an ordinance number, if known)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Location/outline of all existing structures to remain within 25 feet of the limit of disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Location of all existing utilities (water, sewer, stormwater), including stormwater management practices, to remain above and below ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Existing topography of site (contours, sub-basins, etc.) in two-foot contour intervals (minimum) on-site and on adjacent lands within 25 feet of the property line and on the full width of abutting public lands, and private rights-of-way and easement(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Location of all right-of-way encroachments, such as egress wells, stairs, light poles, trees, building overhangs, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Delineation, labeling, and square footage of the proposed LOD, including all utility connections, stockpiles, and construction entrances within the LOD. The LOD should not only take into consideration proposed improvements, but also areas likely to be disturbed during construction, such as for the installation of erosion and sediment control measures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Proposed topography of site (distinguish between existing and proposed contours) in two-foot contour intervals (minimum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Identification of all proposed site improvements, such as buildings, basements, parking lots, driveways, landscaping, SMPS, drainage, etc., that should be distinguished from any existing features to remain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Location and dimensions of all existing and proposed driveways, curb cuts, and off-street parking lots, with distances from lot lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Proposed building lines with street setback lines and distances to other existing and proposed buildings if within 15 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Proposed lot lines and lot identification numbers, dimensions, and areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Delineation of impervious surfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Location of vegetation identified for preservation and planned landscape areas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 20   | Location of all proposed water and fire utility connections, including proposed sizes, if known  
  - Water meter/meter pit must be shown within 35 feet of the property/house/right-of-way line (show dimension from property line to metered structure)  
  - Include backflow prevention on the water line, when applicable |
| 21   | Location of all proposed sanitary sewer and stormwater connections including proposed sizes, if known (sewer connections made directly into manholes are not permitted) |
| 22   | Extent and boundaries of 100-year floodplain in relation to the project |
| 23   | Depiction of post-development hydrology of the site with flow lines and/or drainage areas including discharge points from property and type of discharge (diffused, concentrated, piped, etc.) |
| 24   | Location of any proposed on-site disposal systems (septic tanks) and drain fields |
| 25   | Location of all proposed erosion and sediment control measures, including, but not limited to, inlet protection, silt fence, rock filter outlet, rock construction entrance, pumped water filter bag, concrete washout station, and stockpiles, which must be surrounded by silt fencing |
| 26   | Dimensions of rock construction entrance(s), which must be, at minimum, 50 feet in length and 20 feet in width |
| 27   | Tree protection fencing around existing trees proposed to remain |
| 28   | Geotextile or filter stone for erosion protection of soil beneath any proposed riprap |
| 29 | Objects of considerable mass (i.e. concrete blocks, sand bags, etc.) immediately downslope of any compost socks placed on paved surfaces (at same intervals as recommended by sock manufacturer for stakes) |
| 30 | The designer must prescribe dust control measures that are appropriate to the project |
| 31 | Standard construction details from the *PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Manual* (2012 or latest) for inlet protection, silt fence, rock filter outlet, rock construction entrance, pumped water filter bag, concrete washout station, and stockpile location (if any of these erosion and sediment control measures do not apply to the project site, justification must be provided as notes on the plan) |
| 32 | Standard Erosion and Sediment Control Notes listed in Table E-5, as applicable |
| 33 | Standard Sequence of Construction Notes listed in Table E-6, as applicable |
## Table E-5: Standard Erosion and Sediment Control Notes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>An Industrial Waste Permit will be required should pumping to City-owned infrastructure become necessary during construction. All pumping of water from any work area shall be done according to the procedure described in this plan, over undisturbed vegetated areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Inlet protection should be provided for all inlets owned by PWD that are located within one block of the project site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PWD is not responsible for any cleaning or repairs needed on City-owned infrastructure due to failure of any erosion and sediment control practices. <em>(applicant to indicate responsible party)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Inspection and maintenance of all erosion and sediment control best management practices shall occur on a weekly basis, before any anticipated precipitation events, and after all precipitation events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The maximum height for stockpiles areas shall be 20 feet. The maximum side slope for stockpile areas shall not exceed 2:1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The rock construction entrance thickness shall be constantly maintained on-site. A stockpile shall be maintained on-site for this purpose. At the end of each construction day, all sediment deposited on paved roadways shall be removed and returned to the construction site. In no case shall the sediment be washed, shoveled, or swept into any roadside ditch, storm sewer, or surface water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Filter fabric fence should be installed at level grade. Both ends of each fence section should be extended at least 8 feet upslope at 45 degrees to the main barrier alignment. Support stakes shall be spaced at a maximum of 8 feet. Sediment must be removed when accumulations reach ⅓ the above ground height of the filter fence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Any fence section which has been undermined or topped must be immediately replaced with a rock filter outlet. Sediment must be removed when accumulations reach 1/3 the height of the outlet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Erosion control blanketing shall be installed on all slopes 3H:1V or steeper within 50 feet of a surface water and on all other disturbed areas specified on the plan maps and/or detail sheets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Immediately upon discovering unforeseen circumstances posing the potential for accelerated erosion and/or sediment pollution, the operator shall implement appropriate best management practices to minimize the potential for erosion and sediment pollution and notify PWD and PA DEP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Until the site is stabilized, all E&amp;S BMPs shall be maintained properly. Maintenance shall include inspections of all E&amp;S BMPs prior to any anticipated storm event, after each runoff event and on a weekly basis. All preventative and remedial maintenance work, including clean out, repair, replacement, regrading, reseeding, remulching, and retopping, must be performed immediately. If the E&amp;S BMPs fail to perform as expected, replacement BMPs, or modifications of those installed, will be required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>All earth disturbances, including clearing and grubbing, as well as cuts and fills, shall be done in accordance with the approved E&amp;S Plan. A copy of the approved drawings must be available at the project site at all times. PWD shall be notified of any changes to the approved plan prior to implementation of those changes. PWD may require a written submittal of those changes for review and approval at its discretion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>At least three (3) days prior to starting any earth disturbance activities, or expanding into an area previously unmarked, the Pennsylvania One Call System Inc. shall be notified at 1-800-242-1776 for the location of existing underground utilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>All earth disturbance activities shall proceed in accordance with the sequence provided on the plan drawings. Deviation from that sequence must be approved in writing by PWD and the PA DEP prior to implementation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Areas to be filled are to be cleared, grubbed, and stripped of topsoil to remove trees, vegetation, roots, and other objectionable material.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Clearing, grubbing, and topsoil stripping shall be limited to those areas described in each stage of the construction sequence. General site clearing, grubbing, and topsoil stripping may not commence in any stage of the project until the E&amp;S BMPs specified by the BMP sequence for that stage have been installed and are functioning as described in this E&amp;S Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>At no time shall construction vehicles be allowed to enter areas outside the limit of disturbance boundaries shown on the plan maps. These areas must be clearly marked and fenced off before clearing and grubbing operations begin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>A log showing dates that E&amp;S BMPs were inspected as well as any deficiencies found and the date they were corrected shall be maintained on the site and be made available to PWD at the time of inspection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>All sediment removed from BMPs shall be disposed of in the following manner: <em>(applicant to describe disposal method)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Areas which are to be topsoiled shall be scarified to a minimum depth of three to five inches — six to 12 inches on compacted soils — prior to placement of topsoil. Areas to be vegetated shall have a minimum of four inches of topsoil in place prior to seeding and mulching. Fill outslopes shall have a minimum of two inches of topsoil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>All fills shall be compacted as required to reduce erosion, slippage, settlement, subsidence, or other related problems. Fill intended to support buildings, structures, and conduits, etc. shall be compacted in accordance with local requirements or codes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>All earthen fills shall be placed in compacted layers not to exceed nine inches in thickness.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 23 | Fill materials shall be free of frozen particles, brush, roots, sod, or other foreign or objectionable materials that
would interfere with or prevent construction of satisfactory fills.

24 Frozen materials or soft, mucky, or highly compressible materials shall not be incorporated into fills.

25 Fill shall not be placed on saturated or frozen surfaces.

26 Seeps or springs encountered during construction shall be handled in accordance with the standard and specification for subsurface drain or other approved method.

27 All graded areas shall be permanently stabilized immediately upon reaching finished grade. Cut slopes in competent bedrock and rock fills need not be vegetated. Seeded areas within 50 feet of a surface water, or as otherwise shown on the plan drawings, shall be blanketed according to the standards of this plan.

28 Immediately after earth disturbance activities cease in any area or subarea of the project, the operator shall stabilize all disturbed areas. During non-germinating months, mulch or protective blanketing shall be applied as described in the plan. Areas not at finished grade, which will be reactivated within one year, may be stabilized in accordance with the temporary stabilization specifications. Those areas which will not be reactivated within one year shall be stabilized in accordance with the permanent stabilization specifications.

29 Permanent stabilization is defined as a minimum uniform, perennial 70% vegetative cover or other permanent non-vegetative cover with a density sufficient to resist accelerated erosion. Cut and fill slopes shall be capable of resisting failure due to slumping, sliding, or other movements.

30 E&S BMPs shall remain functional as such until all areas tributary to them are permanently stabilized or until they are replaced by another BMP approved by PWD and PA DEP.

31 After final site stabilization has been achieved, temporary E&S BMPs must be removed or converted to permanent post-construction stormwater management practices. Areas disturbed during removal or conversion of the E&S BMPs shall be stabilized immediately. In order to ensure rapid revegetation of disturbed areas, such removal/conversions are to be done only during the germinating season.

32 Sediment basins and/or traps shall be kept free of all construction waste, wash water, and other debris having potential to clog the basin/trap outlet structures and/or pollute the surface waters. *(when applicable)*

33 During construction, the selected contractor is expected to follow the PCSMP approved by PWD. No change or deviation from the Approved PCSMP is permitted without prior approval from PWD.

34 All work associated with PWD water conveyance and sewer infrastructure shall be done in accordance with the City of Philadelphia Water Department “Water Main Standard Details and Corrosion Control Specifications”, 1985 edition, and “Standard Details and Standard Specifications For Sewers”, 1985 edition.

35 Contact PWD Water Transport Records (1101 Market Street, 2nd Floor, Phone: 215-685-6271) for additional approvals and permits required for all water services, meters, and connections to the existing and/or proposed PWD facilities.

36 All building materials and wastes shall be removed from the site and recycled or disposed of in accordance with the PADEP’s Solid Waste Management Regulations at 25 PA Code 260.1 et seq., 271.1, and 287.1 et seq. No building materials or wastes or unused building materials shall be burned, buried, dumped, or discharged at the site.
Table E-6: Standard Sequence of Construction Notes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>At least seven (7) days prior to any earth disturbance, the Inspections Coordinator of PWD (Office: 215-685-6387) must be called to schedule a preconstruction meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>At least three (3) days prior to (applicant to name each SMP) installation, the Inspections Coordinator of PWD (Office: 215-685-6387) must be called to schedule an inspection (for each SMP).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>All stone that makes up the (applicant to name each infiltration SMP) must remain free of sediment. If sediment enters the stone, the contractor may be required to remove the sediment and replace it with clean-washed stone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Upon completion of all earth disturbance activities and permanent stabilization of all disturbed areas, the owner and/or operator shall contact Inspections Coordinator of PWD (Office: 215-685-6387) for a final inspection prior to removal/conversion of the E&amp;S BMPs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>As soon as slopes, channels, ditches, and other disturbed areas reach final grade, they must be stabilized. Cessation of activity for four (4) days or longer requires temporary stabilization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The NPDES Notice of Termination (N.O.T.) must be submitted to PA DEP upon completion of construction (when applicable).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Water pumped from work areas should be treated for sediment removal prior to discharging to a &quot;surface water&quot; (when applicable).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table E-7: Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan Report Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCSMP Report Section*</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cover</strong></td>
<td>• Signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Introduction/Project Description** | • Project summary  
  • Stormwater management summary  
  ◦ Applicable PWD Stormwater Regulations  
  ◦ Applicable State and Federal regulatory/permit requirements  
  ◦ Existing site and drainage conditions summary |
| **Project Soils**     | • Soil survey map  
  • Hydrologic Soil Group discussion |
| **Stormwater Management** | • Stormwater management design methodology  
  ◦ Rainfall depths and distribution, if applicable (Section 3.4)  
  ◦ Runoff estimation method, if applicable (Section 3.4)  
  ◦ Flow and storage routing methods, if applicable (Section 3.4)  
  • Stormwater analysis summary and discussion  
  • Proposed stormwater management design  
  ◦ Proposed stormwater management practice (SMP) summaries  
  ◦ Infiltration summary  
  ◦ Proposed disconnected impervious cover (DIC) summaries |
| **Appendices**        | • Stormwater analysis calculations |
- Static storage calculations, if applicable (Section 3.4.1)
- Predevelopment and post-development Tc calculations, if applicable (Section 3.4.1)
- Predevelopment and post-development hydrologic modeling, if applicable (Section 3.4.1)
  - Model routing
  - Input parameters
  - Hydrograph summaries
  - Pond summaries
- Pipe capacity calculations

Data CD-ROM or Alternative
- Electronic copies of all submitted materials
- Hydrologic modeling input files, if applicable

The following items are required, but may be included in the PCSMP Report or submitted separately as part of the PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drainage Area Plan(s)</td>
<td>- Predevelopment and post-development drainage areas (including any off-site area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Location of point(s) of analysis (POA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Pertinent existing stormwater infrastructure necessary to define existing drainage conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Drainage Area Plan</td>
<td>- Predevelopment and post-development inlet drainage area delineations (including any roof leaders)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Calculated areas of drainage and time of concentration to each inlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Impervious and pervious cover and runoff coefficients within each drainage area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWD Stormwater Plan Review Worksheets (See Appendix G)</td>
<td>- Copy of ERSA Application previously submitted to PWD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- PDF printout of completed Online Technical Worksheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Worksheet 4: Operation and Maintenance Agreement Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geotechnical Report</td>
<td>- Signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Detailed description of testing procedure and materials/apparatus used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Weather information at time of testing and previous 24 hours (temperature, rainfall, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Engineer’s analysis and summary of all results including soil classification (in accordance with ASTM D2488) and site evaluation

Engineer’s affirmative or negative recommendation on feasibility of infiltration, with justification

Infiltration Testing and Soil Characterization Plan (including, but not limited to, topographic/existing features of the site showing location of test pits/borings and infiltration tests)

Field boring/test pit logs for soil profiling

Infiltration Testing Log (see Appendix H for template, which shows minimum level of information that must be provided)

Sieve analysis results per ASTM D422 and USCS classification per ASTM D2487 of each sample

Photographs of testing

A completed Infiltration Waiver Request Form (Appendix G), if infiltration is infeasible

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media Filter Design Documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Inflow and outflow event mean concentrations and percent removals for Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for sand/media filters (Designs must demonstrate a maximum effluent event mean concentration of 15 milligrams per liter for TSS at a POA downstream of the SMP)

Third-party certifications for proprietary media filters

Hydrologic and hydraulic model files, if applicable

Product specifications for proprietary media filters

Manufacturer’s guidelines for installation for proprietary media filters

Construction sequence

Maintenance requirements, including product life and replacement schedule, if applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low Flow Device Design Documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Performance/discharge curves

Third-party certifications

Hydrologic and hydraulic model files, if applicable

Product specifications

Manufacturer’s guidelines for installation

Construction sequence

Maintenance requirements, including product life and replacement schedule, if applicable
| **Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Schedules** | - Site-specific O&M Schedule for each SMP  
  - Provides for inspection of SMP, including routine maintenance, repair, and replacement (see maintenance guidelines in Chapter 4)  
  - Provides for report documenting each inspection and all SMP maintenance activities performed as a result of inspections |
| **Construction Certification Package with Customized SMP Construction Certification Forms (See Appendix J)** | - One site-specific SMP Construction Certification Form for each SMP |
| **Proof of Applicable State and/or Federal Permits** | - Typical permits (as applicable):  
  - Pennsylvania Code and Charter Chapter 102 NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase II Permit for Construction Activities  
  - Pennsylvania Code and Charter Chapter 105: Water Obstruction and Encroachment General and Joint Permits  
  - Other applicable permits (see Section 2.5, Section 2.6, and Section 2.7 for resources to assist in determining which permits may apply)  
  - Proof of issuance is required for PWD sign-off on a Building Permit; however, the applicant must only prove that they have applied for all applicable permits via copies of permit applications, application receipts, or notification letters from relevant agencies |

*The PCSMP Report section divisions/organization listed are not required, but are provided by PWD to aid the applicant in organizing the required inclusions in the PCSMP Report.*
Table E-8: Record Drawing Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>General plan presentation requirements listed in Table E-1 (The preparer of the Record Drawing(s) must prominently display their signature and professional seal, or, in the case of Licensed Contractors, their signature, printed name, business title, company name, and City of Philadelphia Department of Licenses and Inspections Contractor License Number, all of which must be clearly labeled, on each Record Drawing plan sheet.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Labeling on each document as “Project Record” with large, red letters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Record Drawing drafting date on each sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Drawing scale of 1”=50’ or less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Information confirmed to be in accordance with the Approved Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) highlighted in yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Information that deviates from the Approved PCSMP highlighted in red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Benchmark elevation, description, and location on each plan sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Horizontal variations greater than one foot shown dimensionally or through stations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Vertical elevation variations greater than 0.1 feet shown for all design elevations shown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Locations of all proposed stormwater management practices (SMPs) in plan view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Distance from lot lines to the constructed SMPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Locations of utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Spot grade elevations and/or contour lines at one-foot intervals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Stormwater flow direction arrows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Elevations across dam embankments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Elevations at the top of risers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Elevations at the invert and rim of all orifice openings in risers and control structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Elevations across emergency spillways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Elevations across the bottom of ponds (excluding wet ponds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Elevations for of all inlet and outlet controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Elevations at inverts of all pipes, swales, and drains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Measurements and invert elevations for all orifices, weirs, and other flow control devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Pipe and culvert material, length, size, and slope, inlet and outlet locations, and rim and invert elevations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Information for any energy dissipation measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Drainage areas for each SMP, if they differ from the Approved PCSMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Detail or cross-section of each SMP with all pertinent elevations labeled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
F. Design Guidance Checklists

The Philadelphia Water Department's Stormwater Plan Review Design Guidance Checklists contained in Appendix F are a supplemental list of guidelines for Regulatory compliance, plan creation, hydrologic modeling and calculations, and the design of specific stormwater management practices. They are provided to assist in the formation of both sound, compliant stormwater management designs and complete Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) submissions. The designer should use the checklists as guidance during the design and calculation stages or as useful quality assurance/quality control checks prior to PCSMP Review Phase submission.

F.1 Stormwater Regulation Compliance

F.2 Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan

F.3 Erosion and Sediment Control

F.4 Disconnected Impervious Cover

F.5 Infiltration Testing and Soil Assessment

F.6 Hydrologic Model and Calculation Methods

F.7 Bioinfiltration/Bioretention

F.8 Porous Pavement

F.9 Green Roofs

F.10 Subsurface Infiltration

F.11 Cisterns

F.12 Blue Roofs
F.13 Ponds and Wet Basins
F.14 Subsurface Detention
F.15 Media Filters
F.16 Pretreatment
F.17 Inlet Controls
F.18 Outlet Controls
F.1 Stormwater Regulation Compliance

F.1.1 Water Quality

1. Infiltrating Projects
   a. Verify that all DCIA within the project’s limits of earth disturbance is routed to an SMP. [Section 1.2.1; Section 3.4.1]
   b. Verify infiltration of the Water Quality Volume from all DCIA within the limits of earth disturbance. This is achieved by providing static storage of the Water Quality Volume below the lowest outlet elevation of each SMP. [Section 3.4.1]
   c. Verify that the SMP drains within the acceptable 72-hour period. [Section 1.2.1; Section 3.4.1]

2. Non-Infiltrating Projects Located in Combined Sewer Areas
   a. Verify that 100% of the Water Quality Volume is routed through an acceptable pollutant-reducing practice. Refer to Table 3.2-2 of the Manual for reference. [Section 1.2.1; Section 3.4.1]
   b. Verify that the hydrologic calculations include routing of the Water Quality storm event. [Section 1.2.1; Section 3.4.1]
   c. Verify that the release rate for the Water Quality Volume does not exceed 0.05 cfs per acre of DCIA. [Section 1.2.1; Section 3.4.1]
   d. Verify that the SMP drains within the acceptable 72-hour period. [Section 1.2.1; Section 3.4.1]

3. Non-Infiltrating Projects Not Located in Combined Sewer Areas
   a. Verify that 100% of the Water Quality Volume is routed through an acceptable pollutant-reducing practice. Refer to Table 3.2-2 of the Manual for reference. [Section 1.2.1; Section 3.4.1]
   b. Verify that the SMP drains within the acceptable 72-hour period. [Section 1.2.1; Section 3.4.1]

F.1.2 Channel Protection
1. Verify if the Channel Protection requirement is applicable.

   a. The project is exempt from Channel Protection if it is a Redevelopment project with less than one acre of earth disturbance. [Section 1.2.1]

   b. The project is exempt from Channel Protection if it is a Redevelopment project which reduces impervious area within the limits of earth disturbance (excluding public right-of-way) by at least 20%, based on a comparison of predevelopment impervious area to post-development DCIA. [Section 1.2.1]

   c. The project is exempt from Channel Protection if it is a Redevelopment project located in the Delaware Direct or Lower Schuylkill Watersheds. [Section 1.2.1]

2. Verify that runoff from all DCIA, within the project’s limits of earth disturbance, for the one-year, 24-hour storm event is released at a maximum rate of 0.24 cfs per acre of DCIA in no more than 72 hours. [Section 1.2.1; Section 3.4.1]

**F.1.3 Flood Control**

1. Verify if the Flood Control requirement is applicable.

   a. The project is exempt from Flood Control if it is a Redevelopment project that reduces impervious area within the limits of earth disturbance (excluding public right-of-way) by at least 20%, based on a comparison of predevelopment impervious area to post-development DCIA. [Section 1.2.1]

   b. The project is exempt from Flood Control if it is a Redevelopment project located in Flood Management District C that discharges directly to the Delaware Direct or Lower Schuylkill main channels without the use of City infrastructure. [Section 1.2.1]

   c. The project is exempt from Flood Control if it is a Redevelopment project located in District C-1 that discharges directly to the Tookany/Tacony-Frankford main channel or major tributaries without the use of City infrastructure. This exemption applies only to peak rates of runoff for storm events greater than the five-year storm. [Section 1.2.1]

   d. The project is exempt from Flood Control if it is a Redevelopment project located in the Delaware Direct Watershed or Lower Schuylkill Watershed, but situated outside of District C, that can discharge directly to the Delaware Direct or Lower Schuylkill main channels without the use of City infrastructure. [Section 1.2.1]

2. Verify that the project meets or reduces peak rates of runoff, as determined by its Flood Management District, from predevelopment to post-development conditions during certain storm events. Refer to Table 3.4-1 of the Manual for reference. [Section 1.2.1; Section 3.4.1]

**F.1.4 Public Health and Safety Release Rate**
1. If a Public Health and Safety (PHS) release rate applies to the project, verify that, for all areas within the project’s limit of earth disturbance (pervious and impervious, alike), the post-development peak runoff release rate does not exceed the project-specific PHS Release Rate requirement (cfs per acre of limit of disturbance) when routing the one-year through ten-year, 24-hour storm events. [Section 1.2.1]

**F.1.5 Expedited PCSMP Reviews**

1. Disconnection Green Review
   a. Verify that the project is a Redevelopment project that is exempt from the Channel Protection and Flood Control requirements. [Section 2.4.1]

   b. Verify that 95% or more of the post-construction impervious area within the project’s limits of earth disturbance is disconnected in accordance with Section 3.2.3. [Section 2.4.1]

   c. Verify that the project’s intent to qualify for a Disconnection Green Review is indicated on the submitted ERSA Application. [Section 2.4.1]

2. Surface Green Review
   a. Verify that 100% of post-construction impervious area within the project’s limits of earth disturbance is managed by disconnected impervious cover (DIC) and/or bioinfiltration/bioretention basins. [Section 2.4.2]

   b. Verify that the project's intent to qualify for a Disconnection Green Review is indicated on the submitted ERSA Application. [Section 2.4.2]
F.2 Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan

F.2.1 PCSMP Drawings

1. General
   a. Verify that all plans meet all of the PWD general plan sheet requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-1. [Section 2.3.1]
   b. Verify that all plans meet, at minimum, all of the Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-3. [Section 2.3.1]
   c. Verify that all plans, reports, and calculations are signed and sealed by a PA professional engineer. The first sheet of the plan set must have an original signature (not an electronic, scanned, or stamped copy) and seal from a Professional Engineer licensed in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The remaining plans may have a facsimile seal. [Section 2.3.1; Appendix E, Table E-1]
   d. Verify that final plans for construction are provided for the project. Only Final Construction Drawings will be considered for PCSMP Approval by PWD Stormwater Plan Review. [Section 2.3.1]
   e. Verify that a north arrow, legend, and scale are provided on plans. [Appendix E, Table E-1]
   f. Verify that the proposed building footprint is labeled. [Appendix E, Table E-1]
   g. Verify that all acronyms and symbols are identified in the plan legends. [Appendix E, Table E-1]
   h. Verify that the plan legend is consistent with the plan view. [Appendix E, Table E-1]
   i. Verify that all plan drawings are legible. [Section 2.3.1; Appendix E, Table E-1]

2. Existing Conditions Plan
   a. Verify that the Existing Conditions Plan meets all of the PWD general plan sheet requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-1. [Section 2.1.1]
b. Verify that the Existing Conditions Plan meets all of the specific requirements for Existing Conditions Plans listed in Appendix E, Table E-2. [Section 2.1.1]

3. Details

a. Verify that construction details are provided for all stormwater management practices. [Section 2.3.1]

b. Verify that a pipe connection detail is provided for the proposed connection(s) to the existing storm sewer(s). [Section 3.4.2]

c. Verify that dimensions of the proposed outlet control structure are provided. [Section 4.12.1, 6]

4. Drainage Area Plans

a. Verify that drainage boundaries are based on site topography and include the entire tributary area, including any off-site drainage, if applicable. [Section 3.4.1; Appendix E, Table E-7]

b. Verify that common points of analysis are chosen to compare predevelopment and post-development conditions. [Section 3.4.1; Appendix E, Table E-7]

c. Verify that points of analysis are clearly labeled on the plans and in the stormwater model. [Section 3.4.1; Appendix E, Table E-7]

d. Verify that pertinent existing stormwater infrastructure necessary to define the existing drainage conditions, including roof leaders, is shown. [Appendix E, Table E-7]

e. Verify that the inlet drainage area for each inlet, trench drain, yard drain, and/or area drain is indicated on the plans and that the following information is clearly labeled and accurate for each area: [Appendix E, Table E-7]

   i. Inlet drainage area

   ii. Inlet time of concentration

   iii. Impervious and pervious cover within each inlet drainage area

   iv. Runoff coefficient

f. Verify that the roof drainage area for each roof leader is indicated on the plans. [Appendix E, Table E-7]

F.2.2 Grading Design

1. Verify that the proposed grading is provided. [Section 2.3.1]

   a. Verify that there is positive slope away from the proposed buildings.
b. Verify that proposed contours are closed or tie in to the existing contours at the limit of earth disturbance.

c. Verify that spot grades are provided as necessary.

2. Verify that all DCIA within the project’s limit of earth disturbance is captured, especially at the site’s ingress and egress areas. [Section 1.2.1; Section 3.4.1]

F.2.3 Utilities and Storm Sewer Design

1. Verify that the length, material, size, and slope of all piping associated with stormwater conveyance and roof drainage systems are clearly labeled on the plans. [Section 3.4.2]

2. Verify that pipe lengths, slopes, and inverters are accurate. Compare pipe information to profiles, if provided, for consistency. [Section 3.4.2]

3. If roof runoff isolation is proposed as a non-infiltrating pollutant-reducing practice, verify that the runoff discharges into a combined sewer and that the runoff is routed from a non-vehicular roof area that is not commingled with untreated runoff. [Section 3.2.4]

4. Verify that no piping conflicts exist.[Section 3.4.2]

5. Verify that inlets are not connected in series. Wye connections, or similar, may be used to ensure that inlets are offline. [Section 3.4.2]

6. Verify that roof drainage systems do not tie directly into an inlet. [Section 3.4.2]

7. Verify the separation distance between all utility crossings. A minimum of 12 inches of vertical clearance is required when a sanitary sewer line crosses above a storm sewer line. The sanitary sewer must be encased in concrete if the clearance is less than 12 inches. [Section 3.4.2]

8. Verify that any manholes between outlet structures and sewer connections in combined sewer areas have sanitary (non-vented) covers. [Section 3.4.2]

9. Verify that a cleanout is provided for all 90-degree pipe bends in the storm sewer system and that a cleanout detail is provided on the plans. [Section 3.4.2]

10. If curb cuts or non-standard inlets are used to capture runoff, especially from driveways or roadways where the inlets are not in a sump condition, verify that the one-year, 24-hour storm event will be captured by the inlet. [Section 3.4.2]

11. Verify that the invert elevation(s) for the proposed connection(s) to the existing City sewer is/are specified. [Section 3.4.2]

12. Verify that the outlet culvert(s) is/are right-sized to minimize impacts on PWD infrastructure. [Section 3.4.2]
13. Verify that all stormwater conveyance pipe material is in compliance with the Philadelphia Plumbing Code. [Section 3.4.2]

14. Verify that all proposed connections to the City sewer will be reviewed by PWD Water Transport Records. Instructions for obtaining a sewer connection permit can be found on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review website. Refer to Section 2.5 for more information on Water Transport Records. [Section 3.4.2]

   a. Verify that commercial buildings and residential buildings with four or more stories have separate fire service connections.

   b. Verify that any sewer or water connection is made directly to the pipe and not directly to a manhole or street inlet.

   c. Verify that any sewer or water connection is made perpendicular to the pipe to which the connection is proposed.

   d. Verify that any sewer or water connection is smaller in diameter than the PWD pipe to which the connection is proposed. The minimum allowable sanitary sewer pipe diameter is 5 inches, and the minimum allowable storm and combined sewer pipe diameter is 6 inches.

   e. Verify that all PWD sewer and water mains to which connections are proposed are labeled with correct sizes and materials.

   f. Verify that, for MS4 separate sewer areas, sanitary sewer connections are made for sanitary laterals and storm sewer connections are made for storm sewer conveyance.

   g. Verify that for combined sewer areas, sanitary and storm sewer piping is kept separate on the subject property. Sanitary and storm sewers may be combined at the site’s property line for a single connection to the combined sewer. A fresh air inlet must be proposed on each pipe before combining pipes.

   h. Verify that connections are made to an active sewer or water main.

   i. Verify that connections are not made to an intercepting sewer or transmission main.

   j. Verify that connections are not made to a private sewer or water main or to existing lateral on an adjacent property.

   k. Verify that no structures, private drainage infrastructure (e.g., inlets, pipes, manholes, SMPs, etc.), or vertical encroachments are proposed within any public or drainage right-of-way.

   l. Verify that only RCP or rigid pipe connections are made to PWD infrastructure. Plastic pipe connections are not permitted.

   m. Verify that any sanitary lateral connection to a sanitary-only public sewer is smaller in diameter
than the house drain, and is in no case less than 5 inches in diameter.

n. Verify that any stormwater lateral is no smaller than 6 inches in diameter.

o. Verify that any combined sanitary and stormwater lateral is no smaller than 6 inches in diameter.

p. Verify that, when connected to a combined sanitary and stormwater public sewer, any separate sanitary and stormwater laterals within a drainage system are only combined after the approved house traps. Verify that the connection of the separate laterals are to the larger sized lateral.

15. Verify that Private Cost plans are submitted to the PWD Design Branch for review, if applicable. Refer to Section 2.5 for more information on Private Cost project requirements. [Section 2.5]

   a. Verify that all Private Cost work (i.e., extensions of PWD infrastructure, such as new sewer or water mains) or modifications to existing infrastructure (i.e., moving inlets, fire hydrants, etc.) are labeled on the plans.

   b. Verify that all PWD pipes to be abandoned are properly labeled on the plans.

   c. Verify that all City streets or drainage rights-of-way to be abandoned are properly labeled on the plans.

   d. Verify that all laterals and proposed Private Cost sewer or water mains are designed to flow by gravity.

16. Verify that a copy of the plans is submitted to the Department of Licenses and Inspections (L&I) for review if the project proposes an oil/water separator. Refer to Section 2.6 for more information on L&I permitting. [Section 2.6]

**F.2.4 PCSMP Report**

1. Verify that the PCSMP Report meets all of the specific PCSMP Report requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-7. [Section 2.3.1]

2. Verify that the PCSMP Report is signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer licensed in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. [Section 2.3.1; Appendix E, Table E-7]

3. Verify that the PWD Stormwater Plan Review Online Technical Worksheet is completed, as necessary, and submitted with the PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package. [Section 3.4.1; Appendix E, Table E-7]

4. Operations and Maintenance Agreement

   a. Verify that a site-specific Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Schedule is provided for each proposed SMP that meets the following minimum requirements: [Section 6.1.2; Appendix E, Table E-7]
i. Provides for inspection of the SMP, including routine maintenance, repair, and replacement

ii. Provides for report documenting each inspection and all SMP maintenance activities performed as a result of the inspections

b. Verify that a completed Worksheet 4 is provided. [Section 2.3.1; Appendix E, Table E-7]

   i. Verify that the listed property owner is consistent with the property owner named in Public Records.

   ii. Verify that the business title of the provided signatory is appropriate to the property owner business entity.

   iii. Verify that a legal description of the property is provided, both in a hard copy format and an electronically editable (Word document) format.

c. Verify that a copy of the Agreement of Sale, or similar documentation, is provided to demonstrate the current owner’s intent to convey the property to the developer, if applicable. [Worksheet 4]

d. Verify that documentation supporting a lot consolidation or subdivision plan is provided to demonstrate the intent to change the address of the current property, if applicable. [Worksheet 4]

5. Construction Certification Package

   a. Verify that a site-specific SMP Construction Certification Form is provided for each proposed SMP, customized by the project’s design professional and to be completed by a registered professional during construction. [Section 5.3.1; Appendix E, Table E-7]

   b. Verify that each SMP Construction Certification Form is customized to adequately record and verify all required measurements that are most critical to the listed SMP’s ability to perform its designed function (e.g., elevations, outlet control sizes, surface areas, layer depths, etc.) [Section 5.3.1]

6. Verify that proof of application for applicable State and Federal permits is submitted with the PCSMP Review Phase Submission Package. This can be in the form of copies of permit applications, application receipts, or notification letters from relevant agencies. Applicable State permits include, but are not limited to, a PA DEP NPDES Permit if one acre or more of earth disturbance activity is proposed. [Section 2.3.1, Appendix E, Table E-7]
F.3 Erosion and Sediment Control

F.3.1 E&S Plans

1. Verify that the E&S Plans meet all of the E&S Plan requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-4. [Section 2.3.1]

2. Verify that the E&S Plans include all standard E&S notes listed in Appendix E, Table E-5. [Section 2.3.1]

3. Verify that the boundaries of, and total area encompassed by, the limit of earth disturbance are clearly indicated on the plans and that the area is consistent with the area provided on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review Online Technical Worksheet. [Section 2.3.1; Appendix E, Table E-4]

4. Verify that the limit of disturbance includes all off-site storm and utility connections. [Appendix E, Table E-4]

5. If a PA DEP NPDES Permit has not been applied for, verify that the limit of disturbance remains less than one acre. Site disturbance limits within approximately 10% of one acre are more likely to reach or exceed one acre during construction. Therefore, PWD recommends applying for a PA DEP NPDES Permit in such a situation. Should a site inspection reveal more than one acre of earth disturbance, the site will be required to apply for a PA DEP NPDES Permit. The site will be subject to the enforcement actions outlined in the Stormwater Regulations until the applicant receives an approved NPDES Permit. [Section 2.3.1]

6. Verify that soil compaction has been minimized, even in areas not proposed for infiltration SMPs, to the extent practicable. [Section 5.2.2]

7. Verify that the E&S Plans propose, in plan view, the location of any orange construction fence or silt fence proposed to protect and mark infiltration areas. [Section 5.2.2]

8. Verify that inlet protection is provided for all inlets owned by PWD that are located within one block of the project site on the plans. [Appendix E, Table E-5]

9. Verify that the E&S Plans propose silt fence or compost sock along all downward-sloping areas of the project site’s perimeter. [Appendix E, Table E-4]
10. Verify that any proposed stockpile locations are clearly labeled on the plans. [Appendix E, Table E-4]

11. Verify that the E&S Plans propose silt fence surrounding any proposed stockpile areas. [Appendix E, Table E-4]

12. Verify the dimensions of the rock construction entrance. The minimum length is 50 feet, and the minimum width is 20 feet. [Appendix E, Table E-4]

13. Verify that the rock construction entrance is not located on top of any proposed infiltration practice. It may be necessary to phase the erosion and sediment control plan to avoid compaction of the infiltration area. [Section 5.2.2]

14. Verify that the E&S Plans propose tree protection fencing around existing trees that are proposed to remain and be used for tree disconnection credit. [Appendix E, Table E-4]

15. When compost socks are placed on paved surfaces, verify that the E&S Plans indicate that some objects of considerable mass (i.e. concrete blocks, sand bags, etc.) are to be used immediately downslope of the socks (at the same intervals as recommended by the sock manufacturer for stakes) in order to help hold them in place. [Appendix E, Table E-5]

16. Verify that the E&S Plans propose a concrete washout station. [Appendix E, Table E-4]

17. Verify that the E&S Plans propose dust control measures appropriate to the project. [Appendix E, Table E-4]

**F.3.2 Sequence of Construction**

1. Verify that the E&S Plans include all standard sequence of construction notes listed in Appendix E, Table E-6. [Section 2.3.1]

2. Verify that sequences of construction are provided for both overall construction and the construction of each proposed individual SMP. [Section 2.3.1]

3. Verify that the sequence of construction properly identifies all stages of SMP construction for which a registered professional must document the specific elevations and measurements found on the SMP Construction Certification Form(s) within the Construction Certification Package. [Section 5.3.1]

4. For soil amendments, verify that the following sequence of construction is clearly noted on the plans. [Section 3.3.6]

   a. Excavate two feet below the proposed infiltration bed invert elevation.

   b. Manually grade and scarify the existing soil surface. The bottom of the infiltration bed shall be at a level grade. The existing subgrade shall not be compacted or subject to excessive construction equipment.
c. Place geotextile filter fabric immediately after approval of subgrade preparation in accordance with manufacturer’s standards and recommendations.

d. Amend in-situ soil. [Provide instructions for amending the in-situ soil. Soil amendment media can include compost, mulch, manures, sand, and manufactured microbial solutions.] The project geotechnical engineer should be on-site to observe installation of soil amendments.

e. Place two feet of amended soil across the entire cross-section of the infiltration bed. Lightly compact each layer with light equipment, keeping equipment movement over storage bed subgrades to a minimum.

f. Perform infiltration testing of the amended soil layer. A minimum of three infiltration tests must be performed within the amended soil layer. The procedure used must be the double-ring infiltrometer test, soil sampling and characterization are also required, and all must be in compliance with the current Philadelphia Stormwater Management Guidance Manual. Prior to infiltration testing, PWD must be called (office: 215-685-6387) to schedule an observation. The engineer must provide a signed and sealed Geotechnical Report. All information must be submitted to PWD for review and approval before proceeding with construction. If soil amendments are installed, and the tested infiltration rate is determined to be outside of the PWD allowable range of 0.4 to ten inches per hour or varies significantly from the design infiltration rate, additional soil amendments and/or a system redesign will be required. Once the infiltration test results are reviewed and determined by PWD to be acceptable, proceed with installation of the infiltration practice.

g. Soil amendments shall not be compacted or subject to excessive construction prior to the placement of geotextile and stone bed.

h. Place geotextile and infiltration bed aggregate immediately after approval of soil amendment preparation to prevent accumulation of debris and sediment. Prevent runoff and sediment from entering the storage bed during the placement of the geotextile and aggregate bed.

i. Place geotextile in accordance with manufacturer’s standards and recommendations. Adjacent strips of filter fabric shall overlap a minimum of 16 inches. Fabric shall be secured at least four feet outside of bed.

j. Install aggregate course in lifts of six to eight inches. Lightly compact each layer with light equipment, keeping equipment movement over storage bed subgrades to a minimum. If proposed, install storage structures (e.g., pipes, arches, crates, etc.) during stone bed placement. Install aggregate to grades indicated on the drawings.

k. Complete surface grading above subsurface infiltration system, using suitable equipment to avoid excess compaction.

F.3.3 E&S Details
1. Verify that an inlet protection detail is provided on the plans. Verify that appropriate inlet protection details are provided for inlets in the public right-of-way. For roadways maintenance purposes, PWD does not allow inlet protection that includes stone or berms to be used in the public right-of-way. [Section 2.3.1; Appendix E, Table E-4]

2. Verify that details for silt fence and/or compost socks are provided on the plans. Refer to Standard Details #4-1 and 4-7 through 4-10 of the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual (2012 or latest) for guidance. [Section 2.3.1; Appendix E, Table E-4]

3. Verify that a rock filter outlet detail is provided on the plans. Refer to Standard Detail #4-6 of the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual (2012 or latest) for guidance. [Section 2.3.1; Appendix E, Table E-4]

4. Verify that a rock construction entrance detail is provided on the plans. Refer to Standard Details #3-1 and 3-2 of the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual (2012 or latest) for guidance. [Section 2.3.1; Appendix E, Table E-4]

5. Verify that a pumped water filter bag detail is provided on the plans. Refer to Standard Detail #3-16 of the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual (2012 or latest) for guidance. [Section 2.3.1; Appendix E, Table E-4]

6. Verify that a concrete washout station detail is provided on the plans. Refer to Standard Detail #3-18 of the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual (2012 or latest) for guidance. [Section 2.3.1; Appendix E, Table E-4]

7. If riprap is proposed, verify that the E&S Plans include a riprap detail which shows that geotextile or filter stone is provided for erosion protection of the soil beneath the riprap. Refer to Standard Details #9-1 through 9-3 of the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual (2012 or latest) for guidance. [Appendix E, Table E-4]
F.4 Disconnected Impervious Cover

F.4.1 Rooftop Disconnection

1. Verify that any proposed rooftop disconnection is clearly labeled on the plan. [Section 3.4.1]

2. Verify that the contributing area of rooftop to each disconnected discharge is 500 square feet or less. [Section 3.2.3]

3. Verify that the soil of the pervious area is not designated as a hydrologic soil group “D” or equivalent. [Section 3.2.3]

4. Verify that the overland flow path of the pervious area has a slope of 5% or less. [Section 3.2.3]

5. Verify the percentage of roof area being disconnected based on the flow length over pervious area. Refer to Table 3.2-1 of the Manual for appropriate DCIA reductions. [Section 3.2.3]

6. Verify consistency between the rooftop disconnection information provided on the plans and that which is provided on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review Online Technical Worksheet.

F.4.2 Pavement Disconnection

1. Verify that any proposed pavement disconnection is clearly labeled on the plan. [Section 3.4.1]

2. Verify that the contributing flow path over the impervious surface is no more than 75 feet. [Section 3.2.3]

3. Verify that the length of overland flow over pervious areas is greater than, or equal to, the length of the contributing flow path over impervious pavement. [Section 3.2.3]

4. Verify that the overland flow is non-concentrated sheet flow over a vegetated area (flow through a swale is not eligible for pavement disconnection credit). [Section 3.2.3]

5. Verify that the soil of the pervious area is not designated as a hydrologic soil group “D” or equivalent. [Section 3.2.3]
6. Verify that the contributing impervious area has a slope of 5% or less. [Section 3.2.3]

7. Verify that the overland flow path of the pervious area has a slope of 5% or less. [Section 3.2.3]

8. If discharge is concentrated at one or more discrete points, verify that no more than 1,000 square feet discharges to any one point. In addition, an erosion control measure, such as a gravel strip, is required for concentrated discharges. Erosion control measures are not required for non-concentrated discharges along the entire edge of pavement; however, there must be provisions for the establishment of vegetation along the pavement edge and temporary stabilization of the area until vegetation becomes established. [Section 3.2.3]

9. Verify consistency between the pavement disconnection information provided on the plans and that which is provided on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review Online Technical Worksheet.

**F.4.3 Tree Disconnection Credit**

1. Existing Tree Disconnection Credit
   a. Verify that any existing tree proposed to be used for disconnection is clearly labeled on the plan as such. [Section 3.4.1]
   
   b. Verify that the species of the existing trees proposed to be used for disconnection credit are provided and on the approved plant list in Appendix I. [Section 3.2.3]
   
   c. Verify that the caliper sizes of the existing trees proposed to be used for disconnection credit are provided and at least four-inch caliper. [Section 3.2.3]
   
   d. Verify that the canopies of existing trees proposed to be used for disconnection credit are field measured. Alternatively, verify that an annotated aerial photo clearly showing the existing tree canopy limits is provided. [Section 3.2.3]
   
   e. Verify that only impervious area located directly under the canopy area of any existing tree proposed to be used for disconnection credit is being considered disconnected. [Section 3.2.3]
   
   f. Verify that overlapping existing tree canopy area is not counted twice toward disconnection credit. [Section 3.2.3]
   
   g. Verify that the DCIA reduction credit for both new and existing trees is no greater than 25% of the total ground-level impervious area, unless the width of the impervious area is less than ten feet. Up to 100% of narrow impervious areas (e.g., sidewalks and trails) may be disconnected through the application of tree credits. [Section 3.2.3]
   
   h. Verify consistency between the existing tree disconnection credit information provided on the plans and that which is provided on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review Online Technical Worksheet.
2. New Tree Disconnection Credit

   a. Verify that any new tree proposed to be used for disconnection is clearly labeled on the plan as such. [Section 3.4.1]

   b. Verify that the proposed species of the new trees are provided and on the approved plant list in Appendix I. [Section 3.2.3]

   c. Verify that the new trees are proposed to be planted within ten feet of ground-level impervious area, within the limits of earth disturbance, and outside of the public right-of-way. [Section 3.2.3]

   d. Verify that the caliper sizes of new deciduous trees are provided and at least two-inch caliper. [Section 3.2.3]

   e. Verify that the heights of new evergreen trees are provided and at least six feet tall. [Section 3.2.3]

   f. Verify that the 100-square foot DCIA reduction is being applied to the impervious area adjacent to the tree. [Section 3.2.3]

   g. Verify that overlapping 100-square foot DCIA reduction areas corresponding to adjacent new trees are not being counted twice toward disconnection credit. [Section 3.2.3]

   h. Verify that the DCIA reduction credit for both new and existing trees is no greater than 25% of the total ground-level impervious area, unless the width of the impervious area is less than ten feet. Up to 100% of narrow impervious areas (e.g., sidewalks and trails) may be disconnected through the application of tree credits. [Section 3.2.3]

   i. Verify consistency between the new tree disconnection credit information provided on the plans and that which is provided on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review Online Technical Worksheet.

**F.4.4 Green Roof**

1. Verify that the green roof design meets all applicable Design Guidance Checklist standards noted in Appendix F.9, Green Roofs.

2. Verify consistency between the green roof disconnection area information provided on the plans and that which is provided on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review Online Technical Worksheet.

**F.4.5 Porous Pavement**

1. Verify that the porous pavement design meets all applicable Design Guidance Checklist standards noted in Appendix F.8, Porous Pavement.

2. Verify consistency between the porous pavement disconnection area information provided on the plans and that which is provided on the PWD Stormwater Plan Review Online Technical Worksheet.
F.5 Infiltration Testing and Soil Assessment

F.5.1 Soil Characterization

1. Verify that an Infiltration Testing and Soil Characterization Plan is provided with the submitted Geotechnical Report. [Section 3.3.1; Appendix E, Table E-7]

2. Verify that information on the soil stratum and groundwater for each SMP area is obtained and provided. The invert elevation of any infiltration SMP must be at least two feet above any limiting zone, such as groundwater, bedrock, or impermeable soils. [Section 3.3.2; Section 3.3.6; Appendix H]

3. For exploratory test pits, verify the following:
   a. For projects with 15,000 square feet or more of earth disturbance, verify that a minimum of two test pits are completed for each SMP footprint. For projects with less than 15,000 square feet of earth disturbance, verify that a minimum of one test pit is completed for each SMP footprint. [Section 3.3.2]
   b. Verify that at least one test pit for each SMP is excavated to a minimum depth of four feet below the proposed infiltration interface of the SMP, which is the lowest elevation where infiltration is proposed (the SMP bottom elevation), or until bedrock or fully saturated conditions are encountered. When conditions prevent the over-excavation of test pits to the minimum required depth, soil borings, in addition to the under-excavated test pits, are used in conjunction with double-ring infiltrometer testing to provide soil classification down to the required depths. [Section 3.3.2]

4. For hollow-stem augered boreholes (soil borings), verify the following:
   a. Verify that a minimum of one soil boring is conducted for each cased borehole infiltration test. [Section 3.3.2]
   b. Verify that all soil borings are advanced to a depth of six feet below the SMP bottom elevation or until auger refusal with continuous split spoon sampling. [Section 3.3.2]
   c. Verify that the inner tube used is no less than four inches in diameter. [Section 3.3.2]
d. Verify that all soil borings are conducted pursuant to the Hollow-Stem Augered Borehole Procedure provided in Section 3.3.4. [Section 3.3.4]

5. For soil sampling, verify the following:
   a. Verify that three soil samples are taken per acre of SMP footprint area, with a minimum of one soil sample per SMP. [Section 3.3.2]
   b. Verify that at least one soil sample is taken at an elevation within one vertical foot of the infiltration interface (SMP bottom elevation). [Section 3.3.2]
   c. Verify that at least one soil sample is taken from the location of an infiltration test and that a sieve analysis of the sample is conducted. [Section 3.3.2]
   d. Verify that the soil samples are classified according to ASTM D2487 (Standard Practice for Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes [Unified Soil Classification System]) and ASTM D2488 (Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils [Visual-Manual Procedure]). [Section 3.3.2]
   e. Verify that the soil samples undergo laboratory particle size analysis according to ASTM D422 (Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils). [Section 3.3.2]
   f. Verify that split spoon sampling, if performed, is completed in accordance with ASTM D1586 (Standard Test Method for SPT and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils). [Section 3.3.2]

**F.5.2 Infiltration Testing**

1. Verify that at least one test is conducted within one vertical foot of the proposed bottom elevation of infiltration for each SMP. [Section 3.3.3]

2. Verify that the infiltration tests are performed within 25 horizontal feet of each proposed infiltration SMP. [Section 3.3.3]

3. Verify that a presoak is performed for one hour immediately prior to infiltration testing. [Section 3.3.3]
   a. Verify that ten-minute measurement intervals are used between infiltration test readings when the drop in the water level during the last 30 minutes of the presoaking period is two inches or more. [Section 3.3.5]
   b. Verify that 30-minute measurement intervals are used between infiltration test readings when the drop in the water level during the last 30 minutes of the presoaking period is less than two inches. [Section 3.3.5]

4. Verify that either the double-ring infiltrometer or cased borehole testing method is used. [Section 3.3.3]

5. For the double-ring infiltrometer testing method, verify the following:
a. Verify that five infiltration tests are conducted per acre of SMP footprint and a minimum of three tests are conducted. [Section 3.3.3]

b. Verify that the diameter of the inner ring is no less than six inches. [Section 3.3.3]

c. Verify that test pits are excavated in order to conduct double-ring infiltrometer testing. [Section 3.3.2; Section 3.3.3]

d. Verify that no more than two double-ring infiltration tests are conducted within the same test pit. [Section 3.3.3]

e. Verify that all tests are conducted pursuant to the Double-Ring Infiltrometer testing procedure provided in Section 3.3.5. [Section 3.3.5]

6. For the cased borehole testing method, verify the following:

a. Verify that eight infiltration tests are conducted per acre of SMP footprint and a minimum of three tests are conducted. [Section 3.3.3]

b. Verify that the inner diameter of the casing is no less than four inches. [Section 3.3.3]

c. Verify that infiltration tests are not completed within the same borehole as the hollow-stem augered borehole soil characterization studies, but rather are completed no more than 25 feet away from the soil characterization borehole locations. [Section 3.3.2; Section 3.3.3]

d. Verify that all tests are conducted pursuant to the Cased Borehole testing procedure provided in Section 3.3.5. [Section 3.3.5]

7. Verify that a minimum of eight readings are completed, or a stabilized rate of drop is obtained, whichever occurs first. A stabilized rate of drop means a difference of 0.25 inch or less of drop between the highest and lowest readings of four consecutive readings. [Section 3.3.3, Section 3.3.5]

8. Verify that an Infiltration Testing Log is provided with the submitted Geotechnical Report. Refer to Appendix H for a blank template. [Section 3.3.4; Section 3.3.5]

**F.5.3 Evaluation of Infiltration Testing Results**

1. Verify that the highest infiltration rate from the test results for any SMP is discarded before calculation of the geometric mean of the tested infiltration rates when more than three tests are conducted for the SMP. [Section 3.3.6]

2. Verify that the geometric mean is used to determine the average of the tested infiltration rates. [Section 3.3.6]

3. Verify that a default value based on one decimal digit less than the smallest detectable reading for that particular test method/equipment is used in calculating the geometric mean when a measured rate of
zero inches per hour is obtained through testing. [Section 3.3.6]

4. Verify that the geometric mean of the tested infiltration rates is between 0.4 and ten inches per hour. Infiltration is to be considered infeasible in soils with tested infiltration rates of less than 0.4 inches per hour. Soils with tested infiltration rates in excess of ten inches per hour require soil amendments. [Section 3.3.6]

5. Verify that a factor of safety of two is applied to the geometric mean of the tested infiltration rates to obtain the SMP-specific design infiltration rate to be used for all further design and calculations. [Section 3.3.6]

6. Verify that a Geotechnical Report is submitted that meets all of the Geotechnical Report requirements listed in Appendix E, Table E-7. [Section 3.3.6]

7. Verify that an Infiltration Waiver Request Form is provided with the submitted Geotechnical Report when infiltration is found to be in-feasible. Refer to Appendix G for a blank Infiltration Waiver Request Form. [Section 3.3.6]

8. Verify that a copy of any Phase I or Phase II environmental site assessment prepared for the site is provided. [Section 3.1.1]

**F.5.4 Soil Amendments**

1. Verify that soil amendments are proposed for any infiltration practice with a tested infiltration rate in excess of ten inches per hour. [Section 3.3.6]

2. Verify that the soil amendments span the entire cross-section of the infiltrating SMP. [Section 3.3.6]

3. Verify that the soil amendments extend a minimum of two feet below the bottom elevation of the infiltrating SMP. [Section 3.3.6]

4. Verify that a geotextile filter fabric is installed between the in situ and amended soil layers. [Section 3.3.6]

5. Verify that a conservative infiltration rate is used in the stormwater routing calculations during the design of the SMP. [Section 3.3.6]

6. Verify that a soil amendment sequence of construction is provided on the plans pursuant to Appendix F.3.2, Sequence of Construction. [Section 3.3.6]
F.6 Hydrologic Model and Calculation Methods

F.6.1 Hydrologic Model

1. Verify that all DCIA within the project’s limits of earth disturbance is routed to an SMP. [Section 1.2.1; Section 3.4.1]

2. Verify that the modeled drainage areas are accurate and consistent with the plans’ drainage areas. [Section 3.4.1]

3. Verify that all SMP bypass areas within the project’s limit of earth disturbance are accounted for in the hydrologic calculations’ stormwater model. [Section 3.4.1]

4. Verify that the links are correct. A point of analysis (POA) must be determined for comparison of the predevelopment and post-development conditions. A POA may serve one or several drainage areas and/or SMPs. Multiple POAs must be identified for project sites with multiple points of discharge. Points of analysis should only be linked when they drain to the same sewershed or waterway. [Section 3.4.1]

5. Verify that the routing of devices within the stormwater model is provided and consistent with the plan’s proposed design. [Section 3.4.1]

6. Verify that the stormwater outlet controls configuration is correct and consistent with the plans. [Section 3.4.1]

7. Verify that runoff from pervious and impervious areas is calculated separately. Weighted curve number values between pervious and impervious areas are not acceptable. [Section 3.4.3]

8. Verify that the precipitation depths used for all design storm events are in accordance with the design rainfall data listed below, pursuant to PennDOT Drainage Manual, Chapter 7, Appendix A, Field Manual For Pennsylvania Design Rainfall Intensity Charts From NOAA Atlas 14 Version 3 Data (2010 or latest). [Section 3.4.3]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>1-year</th>
<th>2-year</th>
<th>5-year</th>
<th>10-year</th>
<th>25-year</th>
<th>50-year</th>
<th>100-year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>8.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Verify that the Manning’s n values used within the stormwater model are correct and consistent with the plans’ proposed pipe material. A Manning’s n value of 0.013 must be used for RCP, VCP, and CIP, and a value of 0.011 must be used for PVC and HDPE. [Section 3.4.3]

10. Verify that the stormwater model uses the minimum time step allowable by the implemented hydrologic software (which is 0.01 hours in HydroCAD and 1 minute in Hydraflow or a maximum of 0.01 hours. [Section 3.4.3]

11. Verify that the SMP storage provided is correct and consistent with the plans. A porosity of 0.20 for soil media, 0.30 for sand, and 0.40 for stone must be used. [Chapter 4]

**F.6.2 Runoff Estimation**

1. Verify that the appropriate NRCS Curve Number Method curve number values are used in the runoff estimation calculations. Refer to Table 3.4-2 of the Manual. [Section 3.4.1; Section 3.4.3]

2. When performing Water Quality slow release rate calculations for a project in a combined sewer area for which infiltration is not feasible, verify that a curve number of 98 is used with a precipitation depth of 1.7 inches when routing the Water Quality storm event. [Section 3.4.1]

3. When performing Flood Control calculations, verify that all non-forested pervious areas are considered meadow in good condition for predevelopment runoff calculations. Non-forested pervious area consists of the following cover types: meadow, grass/lawn, brush, gravel, dirt, porous pavements, and any combination of these cover types. [Section 3.4.1]

4. When performing Flood Control calculations for a Redevelopment project, verify that, in addition to any other pervious area, 20% of the existing impervious cover, when present, is considered meadow (good condition) for the predevelopment runoff calculations. [Section 3.4.1]

**F.6.3 Flow Routing**

1. Verify that time of concentration calculations are provided for all predevelopment areas. [Section 3.4.1]

2. Verify that the time of concentration paths are shown on the drainage area maps and are labeled with slopes, cover types, and lengths for each type of flow (sheet, shallow concentrated, etc.). [Section 3.4.1; Appendix E, Table E-7]
3. Verify that the time of concentration paths are shown from the hydraulically most distant point of the drainage area to a point of interest within the drainage area, and that the paths are perpendicular to each area’s contours. [Section 3.4.3]

4. Verify that the minimum post-development time of concentration used for any path is six minutes. [Section 3.4.1; Section 3.4.3]

5. Verify that the correct two-year design precipitation depth (P-2) is used in the sheet flow component of the time of concentration calculations. [Section 3.4.3]

6. Verify that the correct Manning’s n values (roughness coefficients) are used in the sheet flow component of the time of concentration calculations. Refer to Table 3.4-5 of the Manual. [Section 3.4.3]

7. Verify that a maximum sheet flow length of 100 feet is used if the flow is not concentrated. [Section 3.4.3]

**F.6.4 Stormwater Conveyance Pipe Capacity**

1. Verify that pipe capacity calculations are provided for all stormwater conveyance pipes that are not connected to the roof drainage system. [Section 3.4.2]

2. Verify that all storm sewer pipes are sized to have adequate capacity to safely convey the ten-year, 24-hour storm event without surcharging the crown of the pipe. [Section 3.4.2]

3. Verify the runoff coefficients used in the pipe capacity calculations. A runoff coefficient value of 0.35 must be used for pervious areas, and 0.95 must be used for impervious areas. [Section 3.4.2]

4. Verify the precipitation intensity used in the pipe capacity calculations. The precipitation intensity for a five-minute inlet concentration time in the ten-year storm event must be 6.96 inches per hour. [Section 3.4.2]

5. Verify that the Manning’s n values used with Manning’s Equation for calculating full channel pipe flow are correct and consistent with the plans. A Manning’s n value of 0.013 must be used for RCP, VCP, and CIP, and a value of 0.011 must be used for PVC and HDPE. [Section 3.4.2]

6. Verify that all roof drainage systems are sized pursuant to the Philadelphia Plumbing Code. [Section 3.4.2]
   a. Verify that the minimum size of a storm drain or any of its branches that drain a roof or area drain is three inches in diameter.
   
   b. Verify that the main roof drain has a slope that is greater than 1/8 inch per foot.
F.7 Bioinfiltration/Bioretention

F.7.1 Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Plan Standards

1. Verify that the plans include an appropriate sequence of construction that is specific to the construction of the bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP. Refer to Section 4.1.5 for guidance. [Section 2.3.1]

2. To avoid soil disturbance and compaction during construction, verify that the bioinfiltration area is proposed to be clearly marked before any site work begins. [Section 4.1.5, 2]

3. Verify that the plans include an appropriate cross-sectional detail for the bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP. [Section 2.3.1]

F.7.2 Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Design Standards

1. Verify that the SMP drains within the acceptable 72-hour period. [Section 4.1.3, 1]

2. Verify that the loading ratio of DCIA to the horizontal footprint of the bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP does not exceed 16:1. [Section 4.1.3, 2]

3. Verify that positive overflow is provided for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.1.3, 3]

4. Verify that overflow structures and pipes are designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.1.3, 3]

5. Verify that bioinfiltration SMPs are located at least ten feet from any adjacent private property line not abutting a public right-of-way street (unless a deed restriction is put in place extending at least ten feet from the perimeter of the infiltrating SMP). [Section 4.1.3, 4]

6. Verify that bioinfiltration SMPs are located at least ten feet from any building foundation. [Section 4.1.3, 5]

7. Verify that the invert elevation of a bioinfiltration SMP is at least two feet above any poorly infiltrating soils, seasonal high groundwater table, bedrock, or other limiting zone. [Section 4.1.3, 6b]

8. For hydrologic modeling, verify that the design infiltration rate is applied to the horizontal surface area (SMP footprint), not the wetted area. If necessary, for the purpose of meeting the Water Quality
requirement, infiltration can be assumed through the horizontal projection of the wetted area up to the Water Quality Volume (WQv) water surface elevation. [Section 4.1.3, 6c]

9. Verify that the soils underlying a bioinfiltration SMP are determined to be infiltration feasible. [Section 4.1.3, 6d]

10. Verify that any soils with test infiltration rates in excess of ten inches per hour are proposed to receive soil amendments. [Section 4.1.3, 6e]

11. Verify that pretreatment is provided for all runoff entering the bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP, including pretreatment of runoff from all inlets. At a minimum, this can be achieved through the use of sumps and traps for inlets, sump boxes with traps downstream of trench drains, and filter strips for overland flow. [Section 4.1.3, 8]

12. Verify that energy dissipaters, such as riprap stone, are proposed at all locations of concentrated inflow. [Section 4.1.3, 9]

13. Verify that the storage area for a bioinfiltration SMP provides static storage for the WQv between the bottom elevation of the SMP and the elevation of the lowest outlet, including the planting soil medium and stone storage void space. Bi infiltration basins may also be sized per the Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin Sizing Table (Table 4.1-4 of the Manual) to ensure that storage requirements are achieved. [Section 4.1.3, 11]

14. Verify that the storage area for a bioretention SMP provides adequate storage to control release rates to meet all applicable Stormwater Regulations. Void space in the soil and/or stone layers beneath the bioretention area surface may be considered part of the available volume of the SMP. Bioretention basins may also be sized per the Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin Sizing Table (Table 4.1-4 of the Manual) to ensure that storage and Water Quality release rate requirements are achieved. [Section 4.1.3, 12]

15. If the basin is sized per the Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin Sizing Table (Table 4.1-4 of the Manual), verify that the orifice diameter proposed is appropriate to the applicable DCIA drainage area range. [Section 4.1.3]

16. Verify that the maximum storage volume statically stored within the bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP without supporting documentation (defined below) is the runoff volume from the one-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.1.3, 13]

17. Verify that the maximum storage volume statically stored within the bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP with supporting documentation is the runoff volume from the ten-year, 24-hour storm event. Requirements for supporting documentation include a letter, signed and sealed by both the geotechnical and design engineer, indicating that the proposed design is recommended, with the following components acknowledged and considered. The designer is encouraged to contact PWD for further guidance when pursuing this design. [Section 4.1.3, 14]
a. A summary of the long-term impacts to the neighboring properties, including, but not limited to subsidence, change in basement moisture/water, and structural damage;

b. The location of the groundwater table;

c. References to other projects that have successfully infiltrated more than the one-year, 24-hour storm event; and

d. Rigorous pretreatment to promote longevity of the infiltration SMP.

18. Verify that, when SMPs are used in series, the storage areas for all SMPs provide cumulative static storage for the WQv. [Section 4.1.3, 15]

19. Verify that the side slopes for all open storage areas do not exceed 2(H):1(V) (the recommended side slope is 3(H):1(V)), and that the side slopes of all mowed areas do not exceed 4(H): 1(V) to avoid "scalping" by mower blades. [Section 4.1.3, 17]

20. Verify that the porosity values used for storage volume calculations are as follows: [Section 4.1.3, 18]
   
   a. Soil media: 0.20
   
   b. Sand: 0.30
   
   c. Stone: 0.40

21. Verify that the stone storage layer is separated from soil media by a geotextile or pea gravel filter to prevent sand, silt, and sediment from entering the SMP. [Section 4.1.3, 19]

22. Verify that the stone storage system for a bioinfiltration SMP has a level bottom or use a terraced system if installed along a slope. [Section 4.1.3, 20]

23. Verify that the planting soil medium has a minimum depth of two feet. [Section 4.1.3, 21]

24. Verify that any impervious liner, if necessary, is not interrupted by structures within the basin footprint. The plans must indicate that the impervious liner is to be continuous and extend completely up the sides of any structures that are located within the lined basin footprint to the ground surface. If additional liner material must be added to extend up the structures, the additional liner sections are to be joined to the rest of the liner with an impervious seam per the manufacturers’ recommendations. [Section 4.1.3, 24]

25. Verify that an underdrain is provided and that it meets the following requirements:

   a. Underdrains must be surrounded by a sand or stone layer to filter sediment and facilitate drainage. [Section 4.1.3, 25a]

   b. The minimum allowable depth of a sand or stone filter layer above and beneath the underdrain is six inches. [Section 4.1.3, 25b]
c. Underdrains must be surrounded by a geotextile fabric, if sand is used. [Section 4.1.3, 25c]

d. Underdrains for bioinfiltration basins must remain capped to facilitate infiltration into native soils. [Section 4.1.3, 25d]

e. For bioretention SMPs located in the combined sewer area where infiltration is infeasible, underdrains must be capped with an appropriately sized orifice to control release rates to meet all applicable Stormwater Regulations. Orifice diameter for flow-regulating underdrains may be determined based on the Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Basin Sizing Table (Table 4.1-4 of the Manual) for basins meeting the minimum requirements of the Standard Detail (Figure 4.1-4 of the Manual). [Section 4.1.3, 25e]

f. For bioretention SMPs located in the separate sewer area, where infiltration is infeasible, flow through the underdrain may be modeled as exfiltration at a rate of two inches per hour over the basin footprint. This exfiltration flow must be routed through the primary outlet of the bioretention area, not discarded from the stormwater model. [Section 4.1.3, 25f]

26. Verify that an adequate number of appropriately placed cleanouts, manholes, access panels and other access features are provided to allow unobstructed and safe access to the bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP for routine maintenance and inspection of inflow, outflow, underdrains, and storage systems. [Section 4.1.3, 27]

F.7.3 Bioinfiltration/Bioretention Material Standards

1. Verify that stone designed for stormwater storage is specified on the plans as being uniformly graded, crushed, clean-washed stone and that it is noted that PWD defines “clean-washed” as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per the AASHTO T-11 wash loss test. AASHTO No. 3 and No. 57 stone can meet this specification. [Section 4.1.4, 3]

2. Verify that sand, if proposed, is specified on the plans to be AASHTO M-6 or ASTM C-33 sand and to have a grain size of 0.02 inches to 0.04 inches. [Section 4.1.4, 4]

3. Verify that the planting soil medium is specified on the plans as meeting the following specifications:
   a. Planting soil should be a fertile, natural soil, free from large stones, roots, sticks, clods, plants, peat, sod, pockets of coarse sand, pavement and building debris, glass, noxious weeds including invasive species, infestations of undesirable organisms and disease causing pathogens, and other extraneous materials harmful to plant growth. [Section 4.1.4, 5b]
   b. The texture of planting soil should conform to the classification within the United States Department of Agriculture triangle for Sandy Loam or Loamy Sand. Planting soil should be a mixture of sand, silt, and clay particles as required to meet the classification. Ranges of particle size distribution, as determined by pipette method in compliance with ASTM F-1632, are as follows: [Section 4.1.4, 5c]
i. Sand (0.05 to 2.0 mm): 50 - 85%

ii. Silt (0.002 to 0.05mm): 40% maximum

iii. Clay (less than 0.002mm): 10% maximum

iv. Gravel (2.0 to 12.7 mm): 15% maximum

c. Planting soil should be screened and free of stones larger than a half-inch (12.7 millimeters) in any dimension. No more than 10% of the soil volume should be composed of soil peds greater than one inch. [Section 4.1.4, 5d]

d. Clods, or natural clumps of soils, greater than three inches in any dimension should be absent from the planting soil. Small clods ranging from one to three inches and peds, natural soil clumps under one inch in any dimension, may be present but should not make up more than 10% of the soil by volume. [Section 4.1.4, 5e]

e. The pH of the planting soil should have a range of 5.8 to 7.1. [Section 4.1.4, 5f]

f. Soluble salts should be less than 2.0 mmhos/cm (dS/m), typically as measured by 1:2 soil–water ratio basic soil salinity testing. Sodic soils (Exchangeable Sodium Percentage greater than 15 and/or Sodium Adsorption Ratio greater than 13) are not acceptable for use regardless of amendment. [Section 4.1.4, 5g]

g. Organic content of planting soil should have a range of 3% to 15%, by weight, as determined by loss on ignition (ASTM D2974). To adjust organic content, planting soil may be amended, prior to placing and final grading, with the addition of organic compost. [Section 4.1.4, 5h]

4. Verify that mulch is proposed and free of weeds and consisting of aged, double-shredded hardwood bark mulch or leaf mulch that has been shredded sufficiently to limit risk of matting, which can limit surface infiltration rates. [Section 4.1.4, 6]

5. Verify that geotextile is specified on the plans to consist of polypropylene fibers and to meet the following specifications (AASHTO Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended): [Section 4.1.4, 7]
   a. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): ≥ 120 lbs
   b. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): ≥ 225 psi
   c. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): ≥ 95 gal/min/ft²
   d. UV Resistance after 500 hrs (ASTM-D4355): ≥ 70%
   e. Heat-set or heat-calendared fabrics are not permitted

6. Verify that native grass/wildflower seed mix, if proposed as an alternative to groundcover planting, i
free of weed seeds. [Section 4.1.4, 10]

7. Verify that the proposed bioinfiltration/bioretention SMP plantings are indicated on the plans and are non-invasive. Refer to Appendix I for plant lists. [Section 4.1.4, 11]

8. Verify that the underdrain is made of continuously perforated high-density polyethylene (HDPE) plastic piping with a smooth interior and a minimum inner diameter of four inches. HDPE pipe must be specified on the plans to meet the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S. [Section 4.1.4, 12]

9. Verify that cleanouts are made of rigid material with a smooth interior having a minimum inner diameter of four inches. [Section 4.1.3, 14]
F.8 Porous Pavement

F.8.1 Porous Pavement Plan Standards

1. Verify that the plans include an appropriate sequence of construction that is specific to the construction of the porous pavement. Refer to Section 4.2.5 for guidance. [Section 2.3.1]

2. To avoid soil disturbance and compaction during construction, verify that the infiltration area is proposed to be clearly marked before any site work begins. [Section 4.2.5, 1]

3. Verify that the plans include an appropriate cross-sectional detail for the porous pavement. [Section 2.3.1]

F.8.2 Porous Pavement Design Standards

1. Verify the drainage area directed to any proposed porous pavement. The porous surface cannot receive any runoff in addition to the direct (1:1) rainfall onto it. For porous pavement over a structural SMP, the additional runoff must be conveyed directly to the underlying SMP. The porous surface over the structural SMP footprint must be considered, and modeled as, DCIA. The SMP beneath the porous pavement requires infiltration testing. [Section 4.2.1]

2. For porous pavement over a structural SMP, if infiltration is feasible, verify that the porous pavement design meets all Design Guidance Checklist design standards noted in Appendix F.10, Subsurface Infiltration. [Section 4.2.3, 2]

3. For porous pavement over a structural SMP, if infiltration is infeasible, verify that the porous pavement design meets all Design Guidance Checklist design standards noted in Appendix F.14, Subsurface Detention. [Section 4.2.3, 3]

4. For porous pavement DIC systems:
   a. Verify that the porous pavement DIC is installed on-site such that it does not create any areas of concentrated infiltration or discharge. [Section 4.2.3, 1a]
   
   b. Verify that the surface slope in any direction across porous pavement does not exceed 5%. [Section 4.2.3, 1b]

   c. Verify that the choker course depth is a minimum of two inches. [Section 4.2.3, 1c]
d. If an underdrain is proposed, verify that the first 1.5 inches of runoff are stored below the lowest invert of the underdrain. [Section 4.2.3, 1d]

e. Verify that an appropriate porous pavement curve number value is used when performing Flood Control calculations. [Section 4.2.3, 1e]

f. Verify that the stone storage bed depth is a minimum of eight inches, except when located beneath walkways or play surfaces, for which a depth of four inches is allowable. [Section 4.2.3, 6a]

g. Verify that stone is separated from soil media by a separation barrier, such as a geotextile or a pea gravel filter, to prevent sand, silt, and sediment from entering the system. [Section 4.2.3, 6b]

h. Verify that the stone storage system has a level bottom. Terraced systems may be used to maintain a level infiltration interface with native soil while accommodating significant grade changes. [Section 4.2.3, 6c]

5. Verify that pretreatment is provided for all runoff entering the porous pavement, including pretreatment of runoff from all inlets. At a minimum, this can be achieved through the use of sumps and traps for inlets and sump boxes with traps downstream of trench drains. [Section 4.2.3, 4]

6. Verify that, when SMPs are used in series, the storage areas for all SMPs provide cumulative static storage for the WQv. [Section 4.2.3, 9]

7. Verify that any impervious liner, if necessary, lines a minimal portion of the total porous area. If a significant area needs to be lined, porous pavement may not be an appropriate management strategy. [Section 4.2.3, 10]

8. Verify that underdrains, if proposed for porous pavement DIC systems, meet the following requirements:
   a. Underdrains must be surrounded by a sand or stone layer to filter sediment and facilitate drainage. [Section 4.2.3, 11a]
   b. The minimum allowable thickness of a sand or stone filter layer is six inches both above and beneath the underdrain. [Section 4.2.3, 11b]
   c. To prevent clogging, underdrain pipes must be surrounded by a geotextile fabric if a sand layer is used. [Section 4.2.3, 11c]

9. Verify that an adequate number of appropriately placed cleanouts, manholes, access panels and other access features are provided to allow unobstructed and safe access to the structural SMPs beneath porous pavement for routine maintenance and inspection of inflow, outflow, underdrains, and storage systems. [Section 4.2.3, 13]
10. Verify that an observation well is provided for a storage system that includes stone storage and that it meets the following requirements:
   a. The observation well must be placed at the invert of the stone bed. [Section 4.2.3, 14a]
   b. An observation well must be located near the center of the stone bed system to monitor the level and duration of water stored within the SMP (drain down time). [Section 4.2.3, 14b]
   c. Adequate inspection and maintenance access to the observation well must be provided. [Section 4.2.3, 14c]
   d. A manhole may be used in lieu of an observation well if the invert of the manhole is installed at or below the bottom of the SMP and the manhole is configured in such a way that stormwater can flow freely between the SMP and the manhole at the SMP’s invert. [Section 4.2.3, 14d]

11. Verify that access features are provided for all underground storage systems that are not stone storage beds. [Section 4.2.3, 15a]

12. Verify that a sufficient number of access points in the system are provided to efficiently inspect and maintain the infiltration area. [Section 4.2.3, 15b]

13. For cast-in-place vault systems, verify that access features consist of manholes or grated access panels or doors. Grated access panels are preferred to maintain airflow. [Section 4.2.3, 15c]

14. For grid storage or other manufactured systems, verify that the manufacturer’s recommendations are followed. [Section 4.2.3, 15d]

15. Verify that ladder access is proposed for vaults greater than four feet in height. [Section 4.2.3, 15e]

16. Verify that header pipes, at minimum 36-inch diameter, connected to manholes at each corner of the subsurface system are provided. Alternatively, smaller header pipes may be used if cleanouts are provided on the manifold/header pipe junction for each distribution pipe. The cleanouts must be on alternating sides of the SMP. [Section 4.2.3, 15f]

**F.8.3 Porous Pavement Material Standards**

1. Verify that porous bituminous asphalt, if proposed, is specified on the plans as meeting the following specifications: [Section 4.2.4, 2]
   a. Bituminous surface must be laid with a bituminous mix of 5.75% to 6% by weight dry aggregate.
   b. In accordance with American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) D6390, drain down of the binder must be no greater than 0.3%.
   c. Aggregate material in the asphalt must be clean, open-graded, and a minimum of 75% fractured with at least one fractured face by mechanical means of each individual particle larger than ¾-
inch, and it must have the following gradations:

![Table of Porous Asphalt Binder Course Aggregate Gradation]

### Porous Asphalt Binder Course Aggregate Gradation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. Standard Sieve Size</th>
<th>Percent Passing By Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1&quot;</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4&quot;</td>
<td>90-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2&quot;</td>
<td>80-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8&quot;</td>
<td>50-80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>10-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8</td>
<td>5-10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#40</td>
<td>3-8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#200</td>
<td>0-3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Table of Porous Asphalt Wearing Course Aggregate Gradation]

### Porous Asphalt Wearing Course Aggregate Gradation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. Standard Sieve Size</th>
<th>Percent Passing By Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/8&quot;</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2&quot;</td>
<td>95-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8&quot;</td>
<td>70-95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>20-40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8</td>
<td>10-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#40</td>
<td>0-8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#200</td>
<td>0-3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d. Neat asphalt binder modified with an elastomeric polymer to produce a binder meeting the requirements of PG 76-22 as specified in American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) MP-1. The elastomer polymer must be styrene-butadiene-styrene, or approved equal, applied at a rate of 3% by weight of the total binder.
e. Hydrated lime should be added at a dosage rate of 1% by weight of the total dry aggregate to mixes containing granite.
   
   i. Hydrated lime must meet the requirements of ASTM C 977.
   
   ii. The additive must be able to prevent the separation of the asphalt binder from the aggregate and achieve a required tensile strength ratio of at least 80% on the asphalt mix when tested in accordance with AASHTO T 283.
   
   iii. The asphaltic mix must be tested for its resistance to stripping by water in accordance with ASTM D-1664.
   
   iv. If the estimated coating area is not above 95%, anti-stripping agents must be added to the asphalt.
   
   f. The asphaltic mix must be tested for its resistance to stripping by water in accordance with ASTM D 3625. If the estimated coating area is not above 95%, anti-stripping agents must be added to the asphalt.
   
2. Verify that porous concrete, if proposed, is specified on the plans as meeting the following specifications: [Section 4.2.4, 3]
   
   a. Porous concrete must use Portland Cement Type I or II conforming to ASTM C 150 or Portland Cement Type IP or IS conforming to ASTM C 595.
   
   b. Aggregate must be No. 8 coarse aggregate (3/8-inch to No. 16) per ASTM C 33 or No. 89 coarse aggregate (3/8-inch to No. 50) per ASTM D 448.
   
   c. An aggregate/cement ratio range of 4:1 to 4.5:1 and a water/cement ratio range of 0.34 to 0.40 should produce porous pavement of satisfactory properties in regard to permeability, load carrying capacity, and durability characteristics.

3. Verify that permeable paver and grid systems, if proposed, are specified on the plans as meeting the following specifications: [Section 4.2.4, 4]
   
   a. Permeable paver and grid systems must conform to manufacturer specifications.
   
   b. The systems must have a minimum flow through rate of five inches per hour and a void percentage of no less than 10%.
   
   c. Gravel used in interlocking concrete pavers or plastic grid systems must be well-graded and washed to ensure permeability.

4. Verify that stone designed for stormwater storage, if proposed, is specified on the plans as being uniformly graded, crushed, clean-washed stone and that it is noted that PWD defines “clean-washed” as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per the AASHTO T-11 wash loss test.
AASHTO No. 3 and No. 57 stone can meet this specification. [Section 4.2.4, 6a]

5. Verify that all aggregates used within a porous pavement system meets the following requirements: [Section 4.2.4, 6b]
   a. Maximum wash loss: 0.5% per AASHTO T-11
   b. Minimum durability index: 35 per ASTM D3744
   c. Maximum abrasion: 10% for 100 revolutions and 50% for 500 revolutions per ASTM C131

6. Verify that all choker course aggregate meets the specifications of AASHTO No. 57 and meets the gradation listed in Table 4.2-3 of the Manual. [Section 4.2.4, 6c]

7. Verify that sand, if proposed, is specified on the plans to be AASHTO M-6 or ASTM C-33 sand and to have a grain size of 0.02 inches to 0.04 inches. [Section 4.2.4, 7]

8. Verify that storage chambers for porous pavement over a structural SMP, if proposed, are specified on the plans as meeting the following specifications: [Section 4.2.4, 8]
   a. Pipe used within a subsurface infiltration SMP must be continuously perforated and have a smooth interior with a minimum inner diameter of four inches.
   b. High-density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe, if proposed, must meet the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S.
   c. Any pipe materials outside the SMP are to meet City Plumbing Code Standards.

9. Verify that geotextile, if proposed, is specified on the plans to consist of polypropylene fibers and to meet the following specifications (AASHTO Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended): [Section 4.2.4, 9]
   a. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): \( \geq 120 \text{ lbs} \)
   b. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): \( \geq 225 \text{ psi} \)
   c. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): \( \geq 95 \text{ gal/min/ft}^2 \)
   d. UV Resistance after 500 hrs (ASTM-D4355): \( \geq 70\% \)
   e. Heat-set or heat-calendared fabrics are not permitted

10. Verify that underdrains, if proposed, are made of continuously perforated HDPE plastic piping with a smooth interior and a minimum inner diameter of four inches. HDPE pipe must be specified on the plans to meet the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S. [Section 4.2.4, 10]

11. Verify that observation wells are specified on the plans as consisting of perforated plastic pipe with a minimum inner diameter of six inches. [Section 4.2.4, 12]
12. Verify that cleanouts are made of rigid material with a smooth interior having a minimum inner diameter of four inches. [Section 4.2.4, 13]
F.9 Green Roofs

F.9.1 Green Roof Plan Standards

1. Verify that the plans include an appropriate sequence of construction that is specific to the construction of the green roof. Refer to Section 4.3.5 for guidance. [Section 2.3.1]

2. Verify that the plans include an appropriate cross-sectional detail for the green roof. [Section 2.3.1]

3. Verify that a roof drainage plan is provided and that the roof drainage is consistent with the green roof design. [Appendix E, Table E-7]

F.9.2 Green Roof Design Standards

1. Verify that runoff from impervious roof area onto the green roof is dispersed evenly across the green roof surface and passes through the growing medium either by sheet flow or a level spreading device. [Section 4.3.3, 1]

2. Verify that the flow path of runoff across the green roof surface is greater than or equal to the contributing DCIA length. [Section 4.3.3, 2]

3. Verify that structural loading is considered for the green roof design, and that the green roof design is coordinated with a licensed structural engineer for both new building construction and Stormwater Retrofits to existing structures. [Section 4.3.3, 3a]

4. If runoff estimation calculations are required, verify that the correct curve number for the proposed green roof is used in the calculations. Refer to Table 3.4-2 of the Manual. [Section 4.3.3, 4a]

5. If flow routing is required, verify that the rational coefficient used is 0.40. [Section 4.3.3, 4b]

6. If flow routing is required, verify that the time of concentration used is six minutes. [Section 4.3.3, 4c]

7. Verify that the total amount of impervious surfaces within the designated boundary of the green roof footprint does not exceed 1/3 of the combined area. [Section 4.3.3, 5]

8. If runoff is conveyed via piping, verify that a distribution piping manifold embedded in a gravel strip, along with an appropriate detail, is provided on the plans to dissipate energy and promote uniform flow. [Section 4.3.3, 7]
9. For green roofs that receive direct (1:1) rainfall only, verify the following:
   a. The minimum allowable thickness of the green roof growing medium is three inches. This can include both an upper finer-grained medium and a basal coarse granular green roof medium. [Section 4.3.3, 9a]
   b. Green roofs that meet minimum growing medium thickness requirements are permitted a DCIA reduction equal to the entire area of the green roof. [Section 4.3.3, 9b]

10. For green roofs that receive runoff from contributing impervious roof catchments, verify the following:
   a. Impervious roof areas that direct runoff onto the green roof cannot exceed 50% of the green roof area, which is equivalent to a maximum hydraulic impervious runoff loading ratio of 0.5:1. [Section 4.3.3, 10a]
   b. The minimum thickness of the green roof growing medium must be calculated as follows, where the “impervious roof area to green roof area” ratio is less than or equal to 0.50: [Section 4.3.3, 10b]

   Minimum thickness (in inches) of green roof growing medium = 3 inches + [3 * (Impervious roof area / Green roof area)]

   c. Green roofs that meet minimum growing medium thickness requirements are permitted a DCIA reduction equal to the entire area of the green roof. Impervious roof areas that drain to these green roofs can be also considered as disconnected impervious cover, and, thus, included in the green roof’s DCIA reduction. [Section 4.3.3, 10c]

   d. In areas that will receive tributary discharge, verify that the plans include specifications that demonstrate that the drainage layer is not a high-transmissivity drainage layer, defined as a layer with a transmissivity of 0.005 m²/s or greater (ASTM D4716). In general, this will exclude peg-style or egg-carton-style geosynthetic sheets. High-transmissivity drainage layers will allow runoff to effectively flow under the green roof, minimizing contact with medium and plant roots. Typical granular aggregate, or coarse granular green roof medium, with a grain-size distribution complying with ASTM gradation No. 7 will satisfy the requirement, as will also a variety of mats and composite drainage layer assemblies. [Section 4.3.3, 10d]

11. Verify that the plans indicate that the saturated permeability of the growing medium, in its compacted state [ASTM E2399], is not less than six inches per hour. [Section 4.3.3, 11]

12. Verify that a drainage layer is provided and that it prevents ponding of runoff in the growing medium during the ten-minute maximum rainfall rate associated with the one-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.3.3, 13]

13. Verify that the contributing area of rooftop to each disconnected discharge point is equal to, or less
14. Verify that details are provided on the plans that demonstrate that all drains and scuppers are covered and protected by an enclosure, typically a square or round chamber with a locking lid. These chambers are designed to prevent clogging of the drains by debris. [Section 4.3.3, 17]

15. Verify that the roof drainage system and the remainder of the site drainage system safely convey roof runoff to the storm sewer, combined sewer, or receiving water. [Section 4.3.3, 18]

16. Verify that the green roof is designed to allow for safe access and working conditions for green roof inspection and maintenance personnel. This access must be a permanent feature of the building, such as a pilot house, roof hatch, or exterior stairs to the green roof. Retractable, unsecured ladders should not be required for routine maintenance and inspections. The design may include other permanent personal safety measures. For green roofs, designers must specifically assess applicability to Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Fall Protection Safety Standards and the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) and American Society of Safety Engineers (ASSE) consensus-based fall protection standards. [Section 4.3.3, 20]

F.9.3 Green Roof Material Standards

1. Verify that the green roof growing medium is specified on the plans to be a lightweight mineral material with a minimum of organic material that meets the following specifications: [Section 4.3.4, 2]
   a. Moisture content at maximum water holding capacity (ASTM E2399 or FLL): ≥ 35%
   b. Porosity at maximum water holding capacity (ASTM E2399 or FLL): ≥ 6%
   c. Total organic matter (MSA): 3% to 8%
   d. pH (MSA): 6.5 to 8.0
   e. Soluble salts (DPTA saturated media extraction): ≤ 6 mmhos/cm
   f. Water permeability (ASTM E2399 or FLL): ≥ 0.5 in/min
   g. Grain-size distribution, as recommended by FLL
   h. The nutrients must be initially incorporated in the formulation of a suitable mix for the support of the specified plant materials.
   i. The medium must withstand freeze/thaw cycles.

2. Verify that geotextile is specified on the plans to consist of polypropylene fibers and to meet the following specifications (AASHTO Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended): [Section 4.3.4, 3]
   a. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): ≥ 120 lbs
b. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): ≥ 225 psi

c. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): ≥ 95 gal/min/ft²

d. UV Resistance after 500 hrs (ASTM-D4355): ≥ 70%

e. Heat-set or heat-calendared fabrics are not permitted

3. For vegetated cover assemblies with an overall thickness of five inches or greater, verify that the drainage layer is specified on the plans to meet the following specifications: [Section 4.3.4, 4b]
   a. Abrasion resistance (ASTM-C131-96): ≤ 25% loss
   
   b. Soundness (ASTM-C88): ≤ 5% loss
   
   c. Porosity (ASTM-C29): ≥ 25%
   
   d. Percent of particles passing 1/2-inch sieve (ASTM-C136): ≥ 75%
   
   e. The minimum thickness of the granular layer must be two inches. The granular layer may be installed in conjunction with a synthetic reservoir sheet.

4. Verify that all waterproof membranes meet appropriate ASTM specifications. PVC membranes must meet ASTM D4434 requirements, EPDM membranes must meet ASTM D4637 requirements, and TPO membranes must meet ASTM D6878 requirements. [Section 4.3.4, 5b]

5. Verify that all waterproofing membranes are fully waterproof with properly sealed seams, corners, and protrusions to prevent any intrusion of standing water above the membrane. [Section 4.3.4, 5c]

6. Verify that roofing membranes meet all building code requirements and guidelines of the City of Philadelphia. [Section 4.3.4, 5d]

7. Verify that the proposed green roof plantings are indicated on the plans and that the proposed plantings and are non-invasive. Refer to Appendix I for plant lists. [Section 4.3.4, 6]

8. Verify that sedum sarmentosum, also known as star sedum, gold moss, stringy stonecrop, or graveyard moss, is not proposed. [Section 4.3.4, 9]
F.10 Subsurface Infiltration

F.10.1 Subsurface Infiltration Plan Standards

1. Verify that the plans include an appropriate sequence of construction that is specific to the construction of the subsurface infiltration SMP. Refer to Section 4.4.5 for guidance. [Section 2.3.1]

2. To avoid soil disturbance and compaction during construction, verify that the infiltration area is proposed to be clearly marked before any site work begins. [Section 4.4.5, 1]

3. Verify that the plans include an appropriate cross-sectional detail for the subsurface infiltration SMP. [Section 2.3.1]

F.10.2 Subsurface Infiltration Design Standards

1. Verify that the SMP drains within the acceptable 72-hour period. [Section 4.4.3, 1]

2. Verify that the loading ratio of DCIA to the horizontal footprint of the subsurface infiltration SMP does not exceed 8:1. [Section 4.4.3, 2]

3. Verify that positive overflow is provided for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.4.3, 3]

4. Verify that overflow structures and pipes are designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.4.3, 3]

5. Verify that the subsurface infiltration SMP is located at least ten feet from any adjacent private property line not abutting a public right-of-way street (unless a deed restriction is put in place extending at least ten feet from the perimeter of the infiltrating SMP). [Section 4.4.3, 4]

6. Verify that the subsurface infiltration SMP is located at least ten feet from any building foundation. [Section 4.4.3, 5]

7. Verify that the invert elevation of the subsurface infiltration SMP is at least two feet above any poorly infiltrating soils, seasonal high groundwater table, bedrock, or other limiting zone. [Section 4.4.3, 6b]

8. For hydrologic modeling, verify that the design infiltration rate is applied to the horizontal surface area (SMP footprint), not the wetted area. [Section 4.4.3, 6c]
9. Verify that the soils underlying the subsurface infiltration SMP are determined to be infiltration feasible. [Section 4.4.3, 6d]

10. Verify that any soils with test infiltration rates in excess of ten inches per hour are proposed to receive soil amendments. [Section 4.4.3, 6e]

11. Verify that pretreatment is provided for all runoff entering the subsurface infiltration SMP, including pretreatment of runoff from all inlets. At a minimum, this can be achieved through the use of sumps and traps for inlets, sump boxes with traps downstream of trench drains, and filter strips for overland flow. [Section 4.4.3, 8]

12. Verify that the storage area provides static storage for the Water Quality Volume (WQv) between the bottom elevation of the subsurface infiltration SMP and the elevation of the lowest outlet, including storage voids. [Section 4.4.3, 11]

13. Verify that the maximum storage volume statically stored within the subsurface infiltration SMP without supporting documentation (defined below) is the runoff volume from the one-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.4.3, 12]

14. Verify that the maximum storage volume statically stored within the subsurface infiltration SMP with supporting documentation is the runoff volume from the ten-year, 24-hour storm event. Requirements for supporting documentation include a letter, signed and sealed by both the geotechnical and design engineer, indicating that the proposed design is recommended, with the following components acknowledged and considered. The designer is encouraged to contact PWD for further guidance when pursuing this design. [Section 4.4.3, 13]
   a. A summary of the long-term impacts to the neighboring properties, including, but not limited to subsidence, change in basement moisture/ water, and structural damage;
   b. The location of the groundwater table;
   c. References to other projects that have successfully infiltrated more than the one-year, 24-hour storm event; and
   d. Rigorous pretreatment to promote longevity of the infiltration SMP.

15. Verify that, when SMPs are used in series, the storage areas for all SMPs provide cumulative static storage for the WQv. [Section 4.4.3, 14]

16. Verify that void space provided by linear chamber systems, plastic grids, or other related structures is as specified by the manufacturer and noted in supporting documentation. [Section 4.4.3, 16]

17. Verify that pipe, vault, grid, and chamber storage areas are adequately bedded with stone to prevent settling or subsidence. [Section 4.4.3, 17a]
18. Verify that bedding thickness is not less than six inches. [Section 4.4.3, 17b]

19. Verify that foundations/footers are provided as warranted by system loading, geotechnical conditions, and manufacturer’s recommendations. Foundation designs must be performed by an appropriate design professional. [Section 4.4.3, 17d]

20. Verify that the storage design accounts for potential loading from vehicles, as appropriate, based on expected maximum active loading, including consideration for emergency vehicles. [Section 4.4.3, 18]

21. Verify that the porosity values used for storage volume calculations are as follows: [Section 4.4.3, 19]
   a. Soil media: 0.20
   b. Sand: 0.30
   c. Stone: 0.40

22. Verify that the stone storage layer, if proposed, is separated from soil media by a geotextile or pea gravel filter to prevent sand, silt, and sediment from entering the system. [Section 4.4.3, 20]

23. Verify that stone storage systems have a level bottom or use a terraced system if installed along a slope. [Section 4.4.3, 21]

24. Verify that an adequate number of appropriately placed cleanouts, manholes, access panels and other access features are provided to allow unobstructed and safe access to the subsurface infiltration SMP for routine maintenance and inspection of inflow, outflow, underdrains, and storage systems. [Section 4.4.3, 23]

25. Verify that an observation well is provided for a subsurface infiltration SMP that includes stone storage and that it meets the following requirements:
   a. The observation well must be placed at the invert of the stone bed. [Section 4.4.3, 24a]
   b. An observation well must be located near the center of the stone bed system to monitor the level and duration of water stored within the SMP (drain down time). [Section 4.4.3, 24b]
   c. Adequate inspection and maintenance access to the observation well must be provided. [Section 4.4.3, 24c]
   d. A manhole may be used in lieu of an observation well if the invert of the manhole is installed at or below the bottom of the SMP and the manhole is configured in such a way that stormwater can flow freely between the SMP and the manhole at the SMP’s invert. [Section 4.4.3, 24d]

26. Verify that access features are provided for any subsurface infiltration SMP that is not comprised of a stone storage bed. [Section 4.4.3, 25a]

27. Verify that a sufficient number of access points in the SMP are provided to efficiently inspect and
maintain the infiltration area. [Section 4.4.3, 25b]

28. For cast-in-place vault systems, verify that access features consist of manholes or grated access panels or doors. Grated access panels are preferred to maintain airflow. [Section 4.4.3, 25c]

29. For grid storage or other manufactured systems, verify that the manufacturer’s recommendations are followed. [Section 4.4.3, 25d]

30. Verify that ladder access is proposed for vaults greater than four feet in height. [Section 4.4.3, 25e]

31. Verify that header pipes, at minimum 36-inch diameter, connected to manholes at each corner of the subsurface infiltration SMP are provided. Alternatively, smaller header pipes may be used if cleanouts are provided on the manifold/header pipe junction for each distribution pipe. The cleanouts must be on alternating sides of the SMP. [Section 4.4.3, 25f]

F.10.3 Subsurface Infiltration Material Standards

1. Verify that stone designed for stormwater storage, if proposed, is specified on the plans as being uniformly graded, crushed, clean-washed stone and that it is noted that PWD defines “clean-washed” as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per the AASHTO T-11 wash loss test. AASHTO No. 3 and No. 57 stone can meet this specification. [Section 4.4.4, 3]

2. Verify that sand, if proposed, is specified on the plans to be AASHTO M-6 or ASTM C-33 sand and to have a grain size of 0.02 inches to 0.04 inches. [Section 4.4.4, 4]

3. Verify that storage pipe, if proposed, is specified on the plans as meeting the following specifications:
   a. Pipe used within the subsurface infiltration SMP must be continuously perforated and have a smooth interior with a minimum inner diameter of four inches. [Section 4.4.4, 5a]
   b. High-density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe, if proposed, must meet the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S. [Section 4.4.4, 5b]
   c. Any pipe materials outside the SMP are to meet City Plumbing Code Standards. [Section 4.4.4, 5c]

4. Verify that geotextile, if proposed, is specified on the plans to consist of polypropylene fibers and to meet the following specifications (AASHTO Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended): [Section 4.4.4, 6]
   a. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): ≥ 120 lbs
   b. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): ≥ 225 psi
   c. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): ≥ 95 gal/min/ft²
   d. UV Resistance after 500 hrs (ASTM-D4355): ≥ 70%
e. Heat-set or heat-calendared fabrics are not permitted

5. Verify that observation wells are specified on the plans as consisting of perforated plastic pipe with a minimum inner diameter of six inches. [Section 4.4.4, 8]

6. Verify that cleanouts are made of rigid material with a smooth interior having a minimum inner diameter of four inches. [Section 4.4.4, 9]
APPENDICES

F.11 Cisterns

F.11 Cisterns

F.11.1 Cistern Plan Standards

1. Verify that the plans include an appropriate sequence of construction that is specific to the construction of the cistern. Refer to Section 4.5.5 for guidance. [Section 2.3.1]

2. Verify that the plans include an appropriate cross-sectional detail for the cistern. [Section 2.3.1]

F.11.2 Cistern Design Standards

1. Verify that irrigation as a use for runoff stored in a cistern is not a proposed strategy for meeting the Stormwater Regulations. [Section 4.5.1]

2. Verify that the time for drain down/withdrawal from the cistern for any portion of storage intended to meet the Water Quality requirement is within the acceptable 72-hour period. If the water demand fluctuates seasonally, verify that the cistern drains within 72 hours based on usage in all seasons. [Section 4.5.3, 1]

3. Verify that positive overflow is provided for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.5.3, 2]

4. Verify that overflow structures and pipes are designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.5.3, 2]

5. Verify that the minimum allowable freeboard above maximum ponding depth is four inches or the diameter of the outlet pipe, whichever is greater. [Section 4.5.3, 3]

6. Verify that the proposed indoor uses and pipe labeling and routing (i.e., separate stud bays) are allowable per the City’s Building and Plumbing Codes (administered by the City of Philadelphia Department of Licenses and Inspections (L&I)). [Section 4.5.3, 5]

7. Verify that appropriate treatment and management of harvested rainwater is proposed per State and Federal codes. [Section 4.5.3, 5]

8. In cases where a municipal backup supply is used, verify that rainwater harvesting systems propose backflow preventers or air gaps to keep non-potable harvested water separate from the potable water
supply. Distribution and waste pipes, internal to the building, must be designated as such per building and plumbing codes (administered by L&I). [Section 4.5.3, 6]

9. Verify that pretreatment is provided for all runoff entering the cistern, including pretreatment of runoff from all inlets. At a minimum, this can be achieved through the use of sumps and traps for inlets, sump boxes with traps downstream of trench drains, and filter strips for overland flow. [Section 4.5.3, 8]

10. Verify that gutters and downspouts are fitted with leaf/debris screens along the entire length of the gutter leading to the cistern tank. Leaf/debris screens must be made from a corrosion-resistant material with screen openings in the range of 0.25 inches to 0.50 inches. Leaf screens must be inspected on a regular basis to prevent accumulated leaves and debris from clogging the gutter openings. [Section 4.5.3, 9a]

11. Verify that all inlets and vents to a cistern are protected by 1/6-inch stainless steel mesh screens, which keep insects, vermin, leaves and other debris from entering the cistern. [Section 4.5.3, 9b]

12. Verify that approximately one to two gallons of water per 100 square feet of roof collection surface are diverted to a first-flush chamber instead of the cistern tank. [Section 4.5.3, 10a]

13. Verify that, once the first-flush chamber is full, the remainder of the stormwater is directed to the cistern tank. A slow release control valve or drip system is typically included in the design to empty the first-flush chamber automatically in between storm events. [Section 4.5.3, 10b]

14. Verify that the first-flush diverter system includes an accessible cleanout. [Section 4.5.3, 10c]

15. Verify that the storage area provides adequate storage for the Water Quality Volume (WQv) between the overflow elevation and the controlling low flow orifice elevation. If the water reuse demand is less than the WQv, and only a portion of the WQv drains down or is withdrawn in 72 hours, only that portion of volume will be considered for compliance, and the remainder of the WQv must be managed by an additional SMP in series. Refer to Section 3.2.4 for information on using SMPs in series. Any portion of the storage that will not drain down or be withdrawn within 72 hours must be excluded from the system's storage volume estimation. [Section 4.5.3, 12]

16. Verify that, when SMPs are used in series, the storage areas for all SMPs provide cumulative static storage for the WQv. [Section 4.5.3, 13]

17. Verify that detailed calculations to demonstrate the anticipated daily, 72-hour, and monthly water use are provided. For toilet use, volume must be calculated based on the number of flushes per day multiplied by gallons per flush. [Section 4.5.3, 15]

18. If volume in excess of the WQv is proposed for on-site reuse and the volume is estimated by a weekly water balance of rainfall and water reuse, verify that the difference on a weekly basis between rainfall depth (in Table 4.5-1 of the Manual) and water depth is estimated. This deficit must be multiplied by the roof drainage area to obtain an estimate of the cistern volume needed. [Section 4.5.3, 16]
19. Verify that the cistern is watertight and sealed using a water-safe, non-toxic substance. [Section 4.5.3, 17]

20. Verify that cistern storage areas are adequately bedded with stone to prevent settling or subsidence. [Section 4.5.3, 18a]

21. Verify that bedding thickness is not less than six inches. [Section 4.5.3, 18b]

22. Verify that foundations/footers are provided as warranted by system loading, geotechnical conditions, and manufacturer’s recommendations. Foundation designs must be performed by an appropriate design professional. [Section 4.5.3, 18d]

23. Verify that the storage design for subsurface cisterns accounts for potential loading from vehicles, as appropriate, based on expected maximum active loading, including consideration for emergency vehicles. [Section 4.5.3, 19]

24. Verify that the overflow conveyance has a capacity equal to or greater than the inflow pipe(s) and has a diameter and slope sufficient to drain the cistern while maintaining an adequate freeboard height. [Section 4.5.3, 20]

25. Verify that the overflow conveyance is screened to prevent access to the cistern by small mammals and birds. [Section 4.5.3, 20]

26. Verify that the discharge from the overflow is directed to an acceptable flow path that will not cause erosion. [Section 4.5.3, 20]

27. Verify that an adequate number of appropriately placed cleanouts, manholes, access panels and other access features are provided to allow unobstructed and safe access to the cistern for routine maintenance and inspection of inflow, outflow, underdrains, and storage systems. [Section 4.5.3, 22]

28. Verify that access features are provided for all subsurface cisterns. [Section 4.5.3, 23a]

29. Verify that a sufficient number of access points in the subsurface cistern are provided to efficiently inspect and maintain the storage area. [Section 4.5.3, 23b]

30. For cast-in-place vault systems, verify that access features consist of manholes or grated access panels or doors. Grated access panels are preferred to maintain airflow. [Section 4.5.3, 23c]

31. For manufactured systems, verify that the manufacturer’s recommendations are followed. [Section 4.5.3, 23d]

32. Verify that ladder access is proposed for vaults greater than four feet in height. [Section 4.5.3, 23e]

33. Verify that the access opening for a subsurface cistern is installed in such a way as to prevent surface or groundwater from entering through the top of any fittings, and verify that it is secured/locked to prevent unwanted entry. [Section 4.5.3, 23f]
F.11.3 Cistern Material Standards

1. Verify that the cistern is not constructed of non-galvanized steel, wood, or other products prone to environmental corrosion/decay. [Section 4.5.4, 3]

2. Verify that the cistern is opaque or otherwise shielded to prevent the growth of algae. [Section 4.5.4, 5]

3. Verify that cleanouts are made of rigid material with a smooth interior having a minimum inner diameter of four inches. [Section 4.5.4, 7]

4. Verify that the first-flush diverter system includes an accessible cleanout. [Section 4.5.4, 8]

5. Verify that serviceways consist of manhole openings with lockable manhole covers. Depending on the size of the cistern, multiple serviceway openings are recommended to support inspection, repair, and cleaning. [Section 4.5.4, 9]
F.12 Blue Roofs

F.12.1 Blue Roof Plan Standards

1. Verify that the plans include an appropriate sequence of construction that is specific to the construction of the blue roof. Refer to Section 4.6.5 for guidance. [Section 2.3.1]

2. Verify that the plans include an appropriate cross-sectional detail for the blue roof. [Section 2.3.1]

3. Verify that a roof drainage plan is provided and that the roof drainage is consistent with the blue roof design. [Appendix E, Table E-7]

F.12.2 Blue Roof Design Standards

1. Verify that structural loading is considered for the blue roof design, and that the blue roof design is coordinated with a licensed structural engineer for both new building construction and Stormwater Retrofits to existing structures. [Section 4.6.3, 1]

2. Verify that the maximum surface ponding depth is four to six inches. [Section 4.6.3, 2]

3. Verify that the SMP drains within the acceptable 72-hour period. [Section 4.6.3, 3]

4. Verify that positive overflow is provided for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.6.3, 4]

5. Verify that overflow structures and pipes are designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.6.3, 4]

6. Verify that the blue roof storage area is underlain by a waterproofing membrane. [Section 4.6.3, 5]

7. Verify that the storage system provides adequate storage to control release rates to meet all applicable Stormwater Regulations. [Section 4.6.3, 7]

8. Verify that a porosity of 0.40 is used for ballast stone. [Section 4.6.3, 9]

9. For roofs without ballast, verify that enough weight is provided to secure the waterproofing membrane. [Section 4.6.3, 10]
10. For roofs with ballast, verify that the depth and porosity of the ballast are accounted for when calculating the potential storage volume. [Section 4.6.3, 10]

11. Verify that roof drain restrictors, if proposed, are sized according to the desired release rate and ponding depth. [Section 4.6.3, 11]

12. Verify that safe access to the blue roof is provided for periodic cleaning, inspection, and maintenance by trained building personnel. Easy access must be provided to each of the outlet controls, low-flow discharge points, and overflow connections to permit removal of debris under saturated conditions. [Section 4.6.3, 13]

**F.12.3 Blue Roof Material Standards**

1. Verify that stone or gravel used for ballast within the stormwater storage area, if proposed, is specified on the plans as being uniformly graded, clean-washed stone, either crushed or smooth, and that it is noted that PWD defines “clean-washed” as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per the AASHTO T-11 wash loss test. AASHTO No. 3 and No. 57 stone can meet this specification. [Section 4.6.4, 2a]

2. Verify that the size of the stone, if proposed, does not exceed the mesh size of the outlet control screen or slots. Ballast stone typically falls within the size range of 3/8 inch to two inches. [Section 4.6.4, 2b]

3. Verify that ballast, if proposed, meets all American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) D1863 requirements for mineral aggregate used on built-up roofs. [Section 4.6.4, 2c]

4. Verify that all waterproof membranes meet appropriate ASTM specifications. PVC membranes must meet ASTM D4434 requirements, EPDM membranes must meet ASTM D4637 requirements, and TPO membranes must meet ASTM D6878 requirements. [Section 4.6.4, 3b]

5. Verify that all waterproofing membranes are fully waterproof with properly sealed seams, corners, and protrusions to prevent any intrusion of standing water above the membrane. [Section 4.6.4, 3c]

6. Verify that roofing membranes meet all building code requirements and guidelines of the City of Philadelphia. [Section 4.6.4, 3d]
F.13 Ponds and Wet Basins

F.13.1 Pond and Wet Basin Plan Standards

1. Verify that the plans include an appropriate sequence of construction that is specific to the construction of the pond or wet basin. Refer to Section 4.7.5 for guidance. [Section 2.3.1]

2. Verify that the plans include an appropriate cross-sectional detail for the pond or wet basin. [Section 2.3.1]

F.13.2 Pond and Wet Basin Design Standards

1. Verify that the SMP drains within the acceptable 72-hour period. [Section 4.7.3, 1]

2. Verify that positive overflow is provided for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.7.3, 2]

3. Verify that overflow structures and pipes are designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.7.3, 2]

4. Verify that the freeboard between the peak storage elevation during the 100-year storm, 24-hour storm event and the emergency spillway invert elevation is a minimum of one foot. [Section 4.7.3, 3]

5. Verify that the distance between the emergency spillway crest elevation and the top-of-berm elevation is a minimum of one foot. [Section 4.7.3, 4]

6. Verify that the basin length-to-width ratio is a minimum of 2:1. [Section 4.7.3, 5]

7. Verify that the basin has a minimum width of ten feet. [Section 4.7.3, 6]

8. Verify that the sediment forebay has a minimum length of ten feet. [Section 4.7.3, 7]

9. Verify that the distance between the basin inflow and outflow points is maximized. [Section 4.7.3, 8]

10. Verify that a curve number of 98 is used for the area below the water surface elevation, where required for hydrologic calculations. [Section 4.7.3, 9]

11. Verify that all areas deeper than four feet are equipped with two aquatic safety benches totaling 15 feet
in width. One bench must be above the normal water surface elevation and extend up to the pond side slopes at a maximum slope of 10%. The other bench must be below the water surface extending into the pond at a 10% slope to a maximum depth of 18 inches. [Section 4.7.3, 10]

12. Verify that a dewatering mechanism is proposed for facilities that are not in connection with groundwater. [Section 4.7.3, 11]

13. Verify that pretreatment is provided for all runoff entering the pond or wet basin, including pretreatment of runoff from all inlets. At a minimum, this can be achieved through the use of sumps and traps for inlets, sump boxes with traps downstream of trench drains, and filter strips for overland flow. [Section 4.7.3, 12]

14. Verify that energy dissipaters, such as riprap stone, are proposed at all locations of concentrated inflow. [Section 4.7.3, 14]

15. Verify that the storage area provides static storage for the Water Quality Volume (WQv) between the overflow elevation and the basin’s water surface. All permanent pool areas must be excluded from the SMP’s storage volume estimation. [Section 4.7.3, 16]

16. Verify that the side slopes for all open storage areas do not exceed 2(H):1(V) (the recommended side slope is 3(H):1(V)), and that the side slopes of all mowed areas do not exceed 4(H): 1(V) to avoid “scalping” by mower blades. [Section 4.7.3, 18]

17. Verify that a minimum planting soil medium depth of 18 inches is provided under emergent planting zones. [Section 4.7.3, 19]

18. Verify that the planting design provides for at least 85% cover of the emergent vegetation zone (the area of the pond that is less than 18 inches deep) and buffer area. [Section 4.7.3, 23]

19. Verify that a vegetated pond buffer extends outward 25 feet from the permanent pool. [Section 4.7.3, 24]

20. Verify that energy dissipaters, such as riprap stone, are placed at the end of the primary outlet to prevent erosion. [Section 4.7.3, 26]

21. Verify that the primary and low-flow outlets are protected from clogging by an external trash rack. [Section 4.7.3, 27]

22. Verify that the emergency spillway does not direct flow toward neighboring properties. [Section 4.7.3, 28]

23. Verify that stabilized vehicular access is provided for sediment removal. Areas must be at least nine feet wide, have a maximum slope of 15%, and be stabilized as needed to provide load support for vehicles. [Section 4.7.3, 30]
F.13.3 Pond and Wet Basin Material Standards

1. Verify that the planting soil medium is specified on the plans as meeting the following specifications: [Section 4.7.4, 3]
   a. Hydrologic soil groups “C” and “D” are suitable, without modification, for underlying soils.
   b. If natural topsoil from the site is to be used, it must have at least 8% organic carbon content by weight in the A-horizon for sandy soils and 12% for other soil types.
   c. If planting soil is imported, it must be made up of equivalent proportions of organic and mineral materials.

2. Verify that native grass/wildflower seed mix, if proposed as an alternative to groundcover planting, is free of weed seeds. [Section 4.7.4, 6]

3. Verify that the proposed pond or wet basin plantings are indicated on the plans and are non-invasive. Refer to Appendix I for plant lists. [Section 4.7.4, 7]
F.14 Subsurface Detention

F.14.1 Subsurface Detention Plan Standards

1. Verify that the plans include an appropriate sequence of construction that is specific to the construction of the subsurface detention SMP. Refer to Section 4.8.5 for guidance. [Section 2.3.1]

2. Verify that the plans include an appropriate cross-sectional detail for the subsurface detention SMP. [Section 2.3.1]

F.14.2 Subsurface Detention Design Standards

1. Verify that the SMP drains within the acceptable 72-hour period. [Section 4.8.3, 1]

2. Verify that positive overflow is provided for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.8.3, 2]

3. Verify that overflow structures and pipes are designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.8.3, 2]

4. Verify that pretreatment is provided for all runoff entering the subsurface detention SMP, including pretreatment of runoff from all inlets. At a minimum, this can be achieved through the use of sumps and traps for inlets, sump boxes with traps downstream of trench drains, and filter strips for overland flow. [Section 4.8.3, 4]

5. Verify that the storage area provides adequate storage to control release rates to meet all applicable Stormwater Regulations. All permanent pool areas must be excluded from the SMP’s storage volume estimation. [Section 4.8.3, 7]

6. Verify that pipe, vault, grid and chamber storage areas are adequately bedded with stone to prevent settling or subsidence. [Section 4.8.3, 8a]

7. Verify that bedding thickness is not less than six inches. [Section 4.8.3, 8b]

8. Verify that foundations/footers are provided as warranted by system loading, geotechnical conditions, and manufacturer’s recommendations. Foundation designs must be performed by an appropriate design professional. [Section 4.8.3, 8d]
9. Verify that the storage design accounts for potential loading from vehicles, as appropriate, based on expected maximum active loading, including consideration for emergency vehicles. [Section 4.8.3, 9]

10. Verify that the porosity values used for storage volume calculations are as follows: [Section 4.8.3, 11]
    a. Soil media: 0.20
    b. Sand: 0.30
    c. Stone 0.40
    d. Void space provided by linear chamber systems, plastic grids, or other related structures must be as specified by the manufacturer and noted in supporting documentation.

11. Verify that the stone storage layer, if proposed, is separated from soil media by a geotextile or pea gravel filter to prevent sand, silt, and sediment from entering the system. [Section 4.8.3, 12]

12. Verify that any impervious liner, if necessary, is not interrupted by structures within the basin footprint. The plans must indicate that the impervious liner is to be continuous and extend completely up the sides of any structures that are located within the lined basin footprint to the ground surface. If additional liner material must be added to extend up the structures, the additional liner sections are to be joined to the rest of the liner with an impervious seam per the manufacturers’ recommendations. [Section 4.8.3, 14]

13. Verify that an adequate number of appropriately placed cleanouts, manholes, access panels, and other access features are provided to allow unobstructed and safe access to the subsurface detention SMP for routine maintenance and inspection of inflow, outflow, underdrains, and storage systems. [Section 4.8.3, 15]

14. Verify that an observation well is provided for a subsurface detention SMP that includes stone storage and that it meets the following requirements:
    a. The observation well must be placed at the invert of the stone bed. [Section 4.8.3, 16a]
    b. An observation well must be located near the center of the stone bed system to monitor the level and duration of water stored within the system (drain down time). [Section 4.8.3, 16b]
    c. Adequate inspection and maintenance access to the observation well must be provided. [Section 4.8.3, 16c]
    d. A manhole may be used in lieu of an observation well if the invert of the manhole is installed at or below the bottom of the SMP and the manhole is configured in such a way that stormwater can flow freely between the SMP and the manhole at the SMP’s invert. [Section 4.8.3, 16d]

15. Verify that access features are provided for any subsurface detention SMP that is not comprised of a stone storage bed. [Section 4.8.3, 17a]
16. Verify that a sufficient number of access points in the SMP are provided to efficiently inspect and maintain the storage area. [Section 4.8.3, 17b]

17. For cast-in-place vault systems, verify that access features consist of manholes or grated access panels or doors. Grated access panels are preferred to maintain airflow. A minimum of 50 square feet of grate area is recommended for permanent pool designs. [Section 4.8.3, 17c]

18. For grid storage or other manufactured systems, verify that the manufacturer’s recommendations are followed. [Section 4.8.3, 17d]

19. Verify that ladder access is provided for vaults greater than four feet in height. [Section 4.8.3, 17e]

20. Verify that header pipes, at minimum 36-inch in diameter, connected to manholes at each corner of the subsurface detention SMP are provided. Alternatively, smaller header pipes may be used if cleanouts are provided on the manifold/header pipe junction for each distribution pipe. The cleanouts must be on alternating sides of the SMP. [Section 4.8.3, 17f]

**F.14.3 Subsurface Detention Material Standards**

1. Verify that stone designed for stormwater storage, if proposed, is specified on the plans as being uniformly graded, crushed, clean-washed stone, and that it is noted that PWD defines “clean-washed” as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per the AASHTO T-11 wash loss test. AASHTO No. 3 and AASHTO No. 57 stones can meet this specification. [Section 4.8.4, 3]

2. Verify that sand, if proposed, is specified on the plans to be AASHTO M-6 or ASTM C-33 sand and to have a grain size of 0.02 inches to 0.04 inches. [Section 4.8.4, 4]

3. Verify that storage pipe, if proposed, is specified on the plans as meeting the following specifications:
   a. Pipe used within the subsurface detention SMP must have a minimum inner diameter of four inches. [Section 4.8.4, 5a]
   b. High-density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe must meet the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S. [Section 4.8.4, 5b]
   c. Any pipe materials outside the SMP are to meet the City Plumbing Code Standards. [Section 4.8.4, 5c]

4. Verify that geotextile, if proposed, is specified on the plans to consist of polypropylene fibers and to meet the following specifications (AASHTO Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended): [Section 4.8.4, 6]
   a. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): ≥ 120 lbs
   b. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): ≥ 225 psi
c. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): ≥ 95 gal/min/ft²

d. UV Resistance after 500 hrs (ASTM-D4355): ≥ 70%

e. Heat-set or heat-calendared fabrics are not permitted

5. Verify that observation wells are specified on the plans as consisting of perforated plastic pipe with a minimum inner diameter of six inches. [Section 4.8.4, 8]

6. Verify that cleanouts are made of rigid material with a smooth interior having a minimum inner diameter of four inches. [Section 4.8.4, 9]
F.15 Media Filters

F.15.1 Media Filter Plan Standards

1. Verify that the plans include an appropriate sequence of construction that is specific to the construction of the media filter. Refer to Section 4.9.5 for guidance. [Section 2.3.1]

2. Verify that the plans include an appropriate cross-sectional detail for the media filter. [Section 2.3.1]

F.15.2 Media Filter Design Standards

1. Verify that the following information is submitted for each proposed media filter as part of the applicant’s Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Review Phase Submission Package: [Section 4.9.3, 1]
   a. Inflow and outflow event mean concentrations and percent removals for Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for sand/media filters (Designs must demonstrate a maximum effluent event mean concentration (EMC) of 15 milligrams per liter for TSS at a point of analysis (POA) downstream of the SMP);
   b. Third-party certifications for proprietary media filters;
   c. Hydrologic and hydraulic model files, if applicable;
   d. Product specifications for proprietary media filters;
   e. Manufacturer’s guidelines for installation for proprietary media filters;
   f. Construction sequence; and
   g. Maintenance requirements, including product life and replacement schedule, if applicable.

2. For proprietary media filters, verify the following:
   a. Verify that the manufacturer’s design guidance for appropriate pretreatment is followed. [Section 4.9.3, 7]
   b. Verify that the manufacturer’s design guidance for inlet control configuration is followed. [Section 4.9.3, 9]
c. Verify that the manufacturer's design guidance for filter sizing is followed. [Section 4.9.3, 11]

d. Verify that the manufacturer’s design guidance for outlet control configuration is followed. [Section 4.9.3, 22]

e. Verify that the manufacturer’s design guidance for inspection and maintenance access is followed [Section 4.9.3, 27]

3. Verify that the SMP drains within the acceptable 72-hour period. [Section 4.9.3, 2]

4. Verify that the filter footprint is sized pursuant to the filter media flow-through rate. [Section 4.9.3, 3]

5. Verify that positive overflow is provided for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.9.3, 4]

6. Verify that overflow structures and pipes are designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.9.3, 4]

7. Verify that proposed filters without detention are able to convey the ten-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.9.3, 5]

8. Verify that proposed filters with detention are designed to safely store and/or convey the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.9.3, 6]

9. Verify that pretreatment is provided for all runoff entering the media filter, including pretreatment of runoff from all inlets. At a minimum, this can be achieved through the use of sumps and traps. [Section 4.9.3, 8]

10. Verify that the filter system provides enough storage to allow the Water Quality storm to flow through the filter media. Upstream SMPs can be used to store this flow. [Section 4.9.3, 12]

11. Verify that, when SMPs are used in series, the storage areas for all SMPs provide cumulative static storage for the Water Quality Volume (WQv). [Section 4.9.3, 13]

12. Verify that the porosity values used for storage volume calculations are as follows: [Section 4.9.3, 15]
    a. Soil media: 0.20
    b. Sand: 0.30
    c. Stone: 0.40
    d. Porosity values of any proprietary rapid media should be obtained from the appropriate manufacturer.

13. Verify that filters have a minimum surface area as computed by the following equation: [Section 4.9.3, 16a]
\[ A_f = \frac{(WQv \times 0.8)}{k} \]

Where:
- \( A_f \) = surface area of the filter (square feet);
- \( WQv \) = Water Quality Volume, the 1.5-inch Water Quality Volume over directly connected impervious area (DCIA) (cubic feet); and
- \( k \) = saturated hydraulic conductivity of the filter media (feet per day)

14. Verify that a filtration rate of two inches per hour for sand and soil is used when computing surface area (accounting for the reduction in filtration rates for sand over time due to build-up of fine material). [Section 4.9.3, 16b]

15. Verify that the determination of filtration rate for proprietary or mixed media is obtained from manufacturers or from evaluation of similar applications. High filtration rates at installation associated with some media types may yield small required surface area values. Verify that the assumed infiltration rate accounts for the potential for filter systems to clog over time. [Section 4.9.3, 16c]

16. Verify that the filter media depth is a minimum of 18 inches (greater depths may be used but do not alter filter sizing requirements). [Section 4.9.3, 17]

17. Verify that stone is not used as filter media. It can be used within filter systems to provide additional storage. [Section 4.9.3, 18]

18. Verify that pipe, vault, grid, and chamber storage areas are adequately bedded with stone to prevent settling or subsidence. [Section 4.9.3, 19a]

19. Verify that bedding thickness is not less than six inches. [Section 4.9.3, 19b]

20. Verify that foundations/footers are provided as warranted by system loading, geotechnical conditions, and manufacturer’s recommendations. Foundation designs must be performed by an appropriate design professional. [Section 4.9.3, 19d]

21. Verify that the storage design accounts for potential loading from vehicles, as appropriate, based on expected maximum active loading, including consideration for emergency vehicles. [Section 4.9.3, 20]

22. Verify that the system has a level bottom and uses a terraced system, if installed along a slope. [Section 4.9.3, 21]

23. Verify that impervious liners are provided for all filter systems not contained in impermeable structures. [Section 4.9.3, 23]

24. Verify that any impervious liner, if necessary, is not interrupted by structures within the filter footprint.
The plans must indicate that the impervious liner is to be continuous and extend completely up the sides of any structures that are located within the lined filter footprint to the ground surface. If additional liner material must be added to extend up the structures, the additional liner sections are to be joined to the rest of the liner with an impervious seam per the manufacturers’ recommendations. [Section 4.9.3, 23]

25. Verify that an underdrain is provided for any non-infiltrating system and that it meets the following requirements:
   
   a. Underdrains must be surrounded by a sand layer or stone to filter sediment and facilitate drainage. [Section 4.9.3, 24a]
   
   b. The minimum allowable depth of a sand or stone filter layer above and beneath the underdrain is six inches. [Section 4.9.3, 24b]
   
   c. Underdrains must be surrounded by a geotextile fabric if sand is used. [Section 4.9.3, 24c]

26. For filters located in the separate sewer area, where infiltration is infeasible, flow through the underdrain may be modeled as exfiltration at a rate of two inches per hour for sand media and at an appropriate rate for other filter media, then routed through the underdrain system. Verify that this exfiltration flow is routed through the primary outlet of the filter, not discarded from the stormwater model. Determination of filtration rate for proprietary or mixed media must be obtained from the manufacturer or from evaluation of similar applications. [Section 4.9.3, 25]

27. Verify that an adequate number of appropriately placed manholes, access panels and other access features are provided to allow unobstructed and safe access to the media filter for routine maintenance and inspection of inflow, outflow, underdrains, and storage systems. [Section 4.9.3, 28]

28. Verify that access features are provided for underground storage SMPs within which filters are contained and that are not stone storage beds. [Section 4.9.3, 29a]

29. Verify that a sufficient number of access points in the SMP are provided to efficiently inspect and maintain the storage area. [Section 4.9.3, 29b]

30. For cast-in-place vault systems within which filters are contained, verify that access features consist of manholes or grated access panels or doors. Grated access panels are preferred to maintain airflow. [Section 4.9.3, 29c]

31. Verify that ladder access is proposed for vaults, within which filters are contained, greater than four feet in height. [Section 4.9.3, 29f]

**F.15.3 Media Filter Material Standards**

1. Verify that stone, if proposed, designed for stormwater storage is specified on the plans as being uniformly graded, crushed, clean-washed stone and that it is noted that PWD defines “clean-washed”
as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per the AASHTO T-11 wash loss test. AASHTO No. 3 and No. 57 stone can meet this specification. [Section 4.9.4, 3a]

2. Verify that stone, if proposed, is separated from filter media by a geotextile or a pea gravel filter. [Section 4.9.4, 3b]

3. Verify that sand used as filter media, if proposed, is specified on the plans to be clean, medium to fine sand, and to have organic material meeting the specifications of AASHTO M-6 or ASTM C-33 sand and a grain size of 0.02 inches to 0.04 inches. [Section 4.9.4, 4a]

4. Verify that sand used as filter media, if proposed, is capable of generating a maximum effluent EMC of 15 milligrams per liter for TSS accumulated at a POA downstream of the SMP. [Section 4.9.4, 4b]

5. Verify that peat, if proposed, has an ash content of less than 15%, a pH range of 3.3 to 5.2, and a loose bulk density range of 0.12 g/cc to 0.14 g/cc. [Section 4.9.4, 5b]

6. Verify that any filter media other than sand or peat is capable of generating a maximum effluent EMC of 15 milligrams per liter for TSS accumulated at a POA downstream of the SMP, meets all other filter design and water quality specifications set forth in Section 4.9, and has a demonstrated record of high performance within urban settings. [Section 4.9.4, 5c]

7. Verify that geotextile, if proposed, is specified on the plans to consist of polypropylene fibers and to meet the following specifications (AASHTO Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended): [Section 4.9.4, 6]
   - a. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): ≥ 120 lbs
   - b. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): ≥ 225 psi
   - c. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): ≥ 95 gal/min/ft²
   - d. UV Resistance after 500 hrs (ASTM-D4355): ≥ 70%
   - e. Heat-set or heat-calendared fabrics are not permitted

8. Verify that underdrains, if proposed, are made of continuously perforated HDPE plastic piping with a smooth interior and a minimum inner diameter of four inches. HDPE pipe must be specified on the plans to meet the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S. [Section 4.9.4, 7]
F.16 Pretreatment

F.16.1 Filter Strip Design and Material Standards

1. Verify that the plans include an appropriate sequence of construction that is specific to the construction of the filter strip. Refer to Section 4.10.2 for guidance. [Section 2.3.1]

2. If discharge of concentrated flow to the filter strip is proposed, verify that a level spreading device is proposed to provide uniform sheet flow. [Section 4.10.2, 2]

3. If filter strips are proposed in high-use areas, verify that precautions are taken to minimize disturbance of the filter strip, such as signage fences, and placement of sidewalks or paths to minimize pedestrian or vehicular traffic. [Section 4.10.2, 3]

4. If energy dissipators and/or flow spreaders are not proposed to be installed with the filter strip, verify that the flow path to the filter strip does not exceed 75 feet for impervious ground cover or 150 feet for pervious ground cover. [Section 4.10.2, 4]

5. Verify that the contributing drainage area does not exceed five acres and does not exceed a drainage area to filter strip area ratio of 6:1. [Section 4.10.2, 5]

6. If no energy dissipators and/or flow spreaders are provided up-gradient of the filter strip, verify that the slope of the contributing drainage area to the filter strip does not exceed 5%. [Section 4.10.2, 6]

7. Verify that the slope of the filter strip does not exceed 8%. Slopes less than 5% are generally preferred. Filter strips with slopes that exceed 5% should implement check dams to encourage ponding and prevent scour and erosion of the filter strip area. [Section 4.10.2, 7]

8. Verify that the slope (parallel to the flow path) of the top of the filter strip, after a flow spreading device, is less than 1% and gradually increases to the designed value to protect from erosion and undermining of the device. [Section 4.10.2, 8]

9. Verify that the plans indicate that plants must be established at the time of filter strip completion (at least three months after seeding), and that runoff must not be allowed to flow across the filter strip until the vegetation is established. [Section 4.10.2, 9]

10. Verify that the filter strip length is in accordance with Table 4.10-2 of the Manual. [Section 4.10.2, 10]
11. For contributing flow paths less than 30 feet in length, verify that the filter strip length is in accordance with Figure 4.10-1 of the Manual. [Section 4.10.2, 11]

12. For contributing flow paths greater than 30 feet in length, verify that the filter strip meets the required flow characteristics for maximum velocity and depth listed in Table 4.10-3 of the Manual. [Section 4.10.2, 12]

**F.16.2 Forebay Design and Material Standards**

1. Verify that the plans include an appropriate sequence of construction that is specific to the construction of the forebay. Refer to Section 4.10.3 for guidance. [Section 2.3.1]

2. For forebays within large SMPs such as ponds and wet basins, verify that the forebay contains 10% to 15% of the total permanent pool volume of the larger SMP. [Section 4.10.3, 1]

3. For forebays within smaller SMPs such as bioinfiltration/bioretention basins, verify that the storage volume is sized to retain 0.25 inches of runoff per acre of contributing directly connected impervious area (DCIA), with an absolute minimum of 0.1 inch per impervious acre. [Section 4.10.3, 2]

4. Verify that the plans include a berm, stone wall, or similar structure to physically separate the forebay from its associated SMP. [Section 4.10.3, 3]

5. Verify that the plans include inlet controls for the forebay, including riprap aprons, stone placed in concrete, or some other type of energy dissipation device to rapidly reduce the inflow velocity for erosion/scour protection and to encourage settlement of suspended solids. [Section 4.10.3, 4]

6. Verify that the plans indicate that permanent vertical markers constructed of durable materials are to be installed within the forebay area to indicate the sediment depth. [Section 4.10.3, 5]

7. Verify that adequate inspection and maintenance access is provided to allow for periodic sediment removal; this is most commonly provided via stabilized and mildly sloping graded areas that can be accessed by heavy equipment. [Section 4.10.3, 6]

8. Verify that exit velocities from the forebay are non-erosive. Refer to the latest edition of the *Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual* for information on design standards for erosion and sedimentation control practices. [Section 4.10.3, 7]

**F.16.3 Swale Design and Material Standards**

1. Verify that the plans include an appropriate sequence of construction that is specific to the construction of the swale. Refer to Section 4.10.4 for guidance. [Section 2.3.1]

2. If a swale is designed as a primary SMP, verify that the swale meets all Design Guidance Checklist design standards noted in Appendix F.7, Bioinfiltration/Bioretention, as well as all applicable swale
3. Verify that the swale can convey the ten-year, 24-hour storm event with a minimum of six inches of freeboard and a maximum depth of 18 inches. Flow over check dams may be estimated using a weir equation. [Section 4.10.4, 2]

4. Verify that the swale is designed to resist erosion. It is recommended that the swale convey the two-year, 24-hour storm event without erosion. The latest edition of the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual is recommended as a reference for these calculations. Verify that soil mix, vegetation, and temporary or permanent stabilization measures are adjusted as needed. [Section 4.10.4, 3]

5. Verify that the plans indicate that plants must be established at the time of swale completion (at least three months after seeding). [Section 4.10.4, 4]

6. Verify that energy dissipaters are provided at points of concentrated inflow into the swale. [Section 4.10.4, 5]

7. Verify that the side slopes for all parabolic channel swales do not exceed 2(H):1(V) (the recommended side slope is 3(H):1(V)), and that the side slopes of all mowed areas do not exceed 4(H): 1(V) to avoid "scalping" by mower blades. [Section 4.10.4, 7]

8. Verify that check dams intended to provide ponding in swale SMP designs are not porous, as water should be ponded behind each check dam and forced to infiltrate. If the swales are only being used for conveyance or to increase time of concentration, etc., check dams may be porous. [Section 4.10.4, 8]
F.17 Inlet Controls

1. Verify that the bypass elevation is set, at minimum, at the design storage elevation in the SMP. Flow will then only start to bypass the SMP once it exceeds the design storage elevation of the SMP. The design storage elevation is the water surface elevation at which the SMP storage area contains the runoff volume from a design storm event (for example, the WQv or the 10-year, 24-hour storm). [Section 4.11.2, 1]

2. Verify that positive overflow is provided for large storm events, up to and including the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.11.2, 2]

3. Verify that overflow structures and pipes are designed to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm event. The system should have enough capacity to transmit larger flows over the bypass weir without surcharging the structure. [Section 4.11.2, 2]

F.17.2 Curbless Design/Curb Opening Design and Material Standards

1. If flow is to be introduced through curb openings, verify that the pavement edge is slightly higher than the elevation of the vegetated areas within the SMP. [Section 4.11.3, 1]

2. Verify that curbless design/curb openings are designed to convey flow into an SMP without inducing erosive conditions. Integration of energy dissipaters is recommended where appropriate. [Section 4.11.3, 2]

3. Verify that curb openings are designed to reduce bypass of gutter flow past the curb opening. This is a common problem with many curb openings that are oriented perpendicular to flow. [Section 4.11.3, 3]

4. If curb openings are used to capture runoff, especially from driveways or roadways where the curb openings are not in a sump condition, verify that documentation that runoff from the one-year, 24-hour storm event will be captured by the curb opening is provided. [Section 4.11.3, 4]

5. Verify that erosion control fabric, if proposed, is designed in accordance with the channel design procedures in the latest edition of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA DEP) Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual, or per the manufacturer’s specifications.
6. Verify that curb openings are designed as gaps in otherwise continuous sections of concrete or granite curb conforming to the specifications of the City of Philadelphia Department of Streets, Standard Construction Items (1997). [Section 4.11.3, 7]

7. Verify that all subsurface portions of concrete or granite curb (i.e. below finished pavement grade) are continuously installed within the extents of the curb opening. [Section 4.11.3, 8]

8. Verify that curb openings are appropriately sized to convey the design discharge. Curb openings are typically 12 to 48 inches wide. Verify that curb openings are at least eight inches wide to prevent clogging and for ease of maintenance. [Section 4.11.3, 10]

F.17.3 Energy Dissipater Design and Material Standards

1. Verify that an energy dissipater is proposed if flow is concentrated at the entrance to a surface SMP. [Section 4.11.4, 1]

2. Verify that riprap is designed and sized in accordance with the riprap apron design procedures in the latest edition of the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual or U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydraulic Engineering Center Circular 14 (HEC-14). [Section 4.11.4, 2]

3. Verify that riprap stone is angular, graded stone aggregate meeting the specifications of PennDOT Publication 408, Section 703.2, Coarse Aggregate, Type A. [Section 4.11.4, 3]

F.17.4 Inlet Design and Material Standards

1. Verify that inlets are not connected in series. Similarly, roof drainage systems must not be directly connected to inlets. [Section 4.11.5, 1]

2. Verify that all inlets include a sump and trap or sump and hood for pretreatment of stormwater runoff. The sump depth must be at least 15 inches below the bottom of the trap or at least 12 inches below the bottom of the hood. [Section 4.11.5, 2]

3. If non-standard inlets are used to capture runoff, especially from driveways or roadways where the inlets are not in a sump condition, verify that documentation that runoff from the one-year, 24-hour storm event will be captured by the inlet is provided. [Section 4.11.5, 3]

4. Verify that inlet spacing is designed to prevent water from overtopping the curb and gutter or drainage ditch. [Section 4.11.5, 4]

5. Verify that inlets are sized based on the size of the contributing drainage area, the amount of sediment expected from the discharging waters, the size and frequency of runoff events, and the amount of maintenance expected, recognizing that an undersized system will require more frequent maintenance. [Section 4.11.5, 5]
F.18 Outlet Controls

F.18.1 General Design Standards

1. Verify that outlet controls provide positive overflow for their associated SMP, allowing stormwater to flow out of the SMP when the water level reaches a maximum design elevation in a subsurface feature or a maximum ponding depth in a surface feature without surcharging the SMP. Positive overflow from an SMP can either flow to another SMP or to an approved point of discharge. [Section 4.12.1, 2]

2. Verify that outlet control structures are sized to convey at least the ten-year, 24-hour storm event without surcharging the structure. [Section 4.12.1, 2]

3. Verify that the outlet controls are designed to convey flows from the SMP up to the 100-year, 24-hour storm event without surcharging the SMP. If flow reaches the SMP via a flow splitter, this structure can provide the positive overflow. [Section 4.12.1, 2]

4. Verify that outlet controls are located so as to be easily and readily accessible for maintenance purposes. [Section 4.12.1, 3]

5. Verify that all outlet control structures in combined sewer areas include a sump and trap or sump and hood. The sump depth must be at least 15 inches below the bottom of the trap or at least 12 inches below the bottom of the hood, and the traps or hoods must be air-tight. [Section 4.12.1, 4]

6. Verify that ladder bars are included within all outlet control structures. [Section 4.12.1, 5]

7. Verify that any manholes between outlet structures and sewer connections in combined sewer areas have sanitary, non-vented covers. [Section 4.12.1, 6]

F.18.2 Orifice Design and Material Standards

1. Verify that the orifice diameter for a traditional orifice (i.e., that which is not part of an underdrain) is no smaller than one inch. [Section 4.12.2, 1]

2. Verify that the orifice diameter for an underdrain orifice (i.e., that which is located at the capped end of an underdrain) is no smaller than 0.5 inch. [Section 4.12.2, 1]

3. Verify that a trash rack is provided for any orifice draining surface basins. [Section 4.12.2, 2]
4. For any traditional orifice three inches in diameter or smaller, verify the following:

   a. To prevent clogging, verify that screening is provided over the orifice. The dimensions of the openings within the screening must be half the diameter of the orifice. The screening should be separated from the orifice, not placed directly over the orifice. A minimum 12-inch sump must be provided beneath the invert of the orifice to prevent the collection of debris. [Section 4.12.2, 3]

   b. Verify that an outlet structure box with one manhole access lid on each side of the weir wall is proposed for maintenance access. Adequate space to perform maintenance on the orifice must be provided on each side of the weir wall; it is recommended that at least four feet by three feet of space be provided on each side of the weir wall [Section 4.12.2, 4]

5. Verify that suitable access is provided to inspect and maintain all orifices. [Section 4.12.2, 6]

**F.18.3 Weir Design and Material Standards**

1. For impermeable weirs, verify the following:

   a. Verify that check dams, when placed within swales, are evenly spaced and no more than six to 12 inches high. [Section 4.12.3, 3a]

   b. Verify that check dams that provide ponding in swales and are designed for infiltration are not porous, as water should be ponded behind each check dam and forced to infiltrate. [Section 4.12.3, 3b]

2. Verify that permeable weirs are not proposed in areas that receive high sediment loads. [Section 4.12.3, 4]

3. For spillways, verify the following:

   a. Verify that a minimum of one foot of freeboard is provided between the ponding elevation during the 100-year, 24-hour storm event and the invert elevation of the emergency spillway. [Section 4.12.3, 5a]

   b. Verify that a minimum of one foot is provided between the invert elevation of the emergency spillway and the top-of-berm elevation. [Section 4.12.3, 5b]

   c. Verify that all emergency spillways are stabilized with stone, geotextile, or plant material that can withstand strong flows. [Section 4.12.3, 5c]

   d. Verify that spillway flow is not directed toward neighboring properties. [Section 4.12.3, 5d]

**F.18.4 Riser Design and Material Standards**

1. Verify that riser design balances providing positive overflow with allowing for adequate static storage. Overflow must be provided at the maximum Water Quality storm ponding depth for all SMPs and, for
bioinfiltration/bioretenion basins, at the minimum height to provide sufficient static storage of the Water Quality Volume (WQv). [Section 4.12.4, 1]

2. Verify that riser pipes are specified on the plans to be constructed of high-density polyethylene (HDPE) plastic, corrugated metal, concrete, or other weather resistant material. [Section 4.12.4, 2]

3. Verify that riser boxes are constructed of precast or cast-in-place concrete with reinforcing as warranted. All concrete must be specified on the plans to be Class C, conforming to the specifications of the City of Philadelphia Department of Streets, Standard Construction Items (1997). [Section 4.12.4, 3]

4. Verify that trash racks or screens are proposed with the riser and that they are specified on the plans to be constructed of durable, weather-resistant materials resistant to photo-degradation, weathering, oxidation, or other corrosive impacts. [Section 4.12.4, 4]

**F.18.5 Underdrain Design and Material Standards**

1. Verify that capped underdrains are provided for all proposed bioinfiltration/bioretenion basins. For bioinfiltration SMPs, the cap at the end located within the outlet control structure must be a solid cover to promote infiltration. For bioretention SMPs, the cap within the outlet control structure must be outfitted with an orifice, sized appropriately to meet all applicable release rate requirements. [Section 4.12.5, 1]

2. Verify that all underdrains are designed to be level (i.e., with no slope). [Section 4.12.5, 2]

3. Verify that all underdrains are constructed of continuously perforated HDPE plastic piping with a smooth interior and a minimum inner diameter of four inches. HDPE pipe must be specified on the plans to meet the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S. [Section 4.12.5, 3]

4. Verify that all underdrains are surrounded by a sand or stone layer to filter sediment and facilitate drainage. [Section 4.12.5, 4]

5. Verify that the sand or stone layer surrounding the underdrain is specified on the plans to be at least six inches both above and beneath the underdrain. [Section 4.12.5, 5]

6. If a sand layer is proposed, verify that the underdrain is surrounded by geotextile fabric to prevent clogging. [Section 4.12.5, 6]

7. Verify that stone surrounding an underdrain is specified on the plans as being uniformly graded, crushed, clean-washed stone and that it is noted that PWD defines “clean-washed” as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per the AASHTO T-11 wash loss test. AASHTO No. 3 and No. 57 stone can meet this specification. [Section 4.12.5, 7]

8. Verify that sand, if proposed, is specified on the plans to be AASHTO M-6 or ASTM C-33 sand and to have a grain size of 0.02 inches to 0.04 inches. [Section 4.12.5, 8]
9. Verify that geotextile fabric is placed between the stone layer and surrounding soil to prevent sediment contamination. [Section 4.12.5, 9]

10. Verify that geotextile is specified on the plans to consist of polypropylene fibers and to meet the following specifications (AASHTO Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended): [Section 4.12.5, 10]
   a. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): ≥ 120 lbs
   b. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): ≥ 225 psi
   c. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): ≥ 95 gal/min/ft²
   d. UV Resistance after 500 hrs (ASTM-D4355): ≥ 70%
   e. Heat-set or heat-calendared fabrics are not permitted

11. Verify that cleanouts or maintenance access structures are provided at the end of all underdrain pipes and that a cleanout detail is provided on the plans. [Section 4.12.5, 11]

12. Verify that cleanouts are provided for all 90-degree bends, located upstream of complicated bends, and evenly spaced during straight pipe runs and that a cleanout detail is provided on the plans. [Section 4.12.5, 12]

13. Verify that all intermediate cleanouts and domed riser pipe connections are located upstream of the connected outlet control structure to allow for cleaning equipment to flush in the direction of the structure. [Section 4.12.5, 13]

14. Verify that an anti-seep collar is installed around outlet pipes passing through embankments. Anti-seep collars must be constructed in accordance with the latest edition of the PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual. [Section 4.12.5, 14]

F.18.6 Level Spreader Design and Material Standards

1. Verify the level spreader length. Level spreader length for a dense grass ground cover condition must be 13 linear feet for every one cubic feet per second (cfs) of flow during the ten-year, 24-hour storm event. Level spreader length for forested areas with no ground cover must be 100 linear feet for every one cfs of flow during the ten-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.12.6, 1]

2. Verify that all level spreaders are designed to safely diffuse flows up to, and including, the 100-year, 24-hour storm event. [Section 4.12.6, 2]

3. Verify that the edge of the level spreader over which flow is distributed is specified on the plans to be exactly level. If there are small variations in height on the downstream lip, small rivulets will form. Experience suggests that variations of more than 0.25 inch can cause water to re-concentrate and potentially cause erosion downstream of the level spreader. The site selected for the installation of a level spreader must be a level grade (a constant horizontal elevation, to within +/- four inches). [Section
4. Verify that the downslope side of the level spreader is clear of debris. [Section 4.12.6, 4]

5. Verify that the first three feet downslope of the level spreader is stabilized with soil/turf reinforcement matting and grass or other approved vegetation and that matting specifications are provided on the plans. [Section 4.12.6, 5]

6. Verify that level spreaders are not constructed in newly deposited fill. [Section 4.12.6, 6]

7. For level spreaders that do not direct discharge to a receiving stream or sewer, verify that the distance between the level spreader and any downslope property boundary is no less than 15 feet. If this requirement cannot be met, a drainage easement may be required. [Section 4.12.6, 7]

8. For level spreaders that direct discharge to a receiving stream or sewer via overland flow, verify that the distance between the level spreader and any receiving stream or sewer is no greater than 100 feet. Distances greater than 100 feet but less than 150 feet may be considered on a case-by-case basis for very mild slopes (less than or equal to 1%) and heavily vegetated (grassy) areas. [Section 4.12.6, 8]

9. Verify that the first ten feet downslope of the level spreader does not exceed a slope of 4%. [Section 4.12.6, 9]

10. Verify that earthen berms, treated lumber, and geotextile-covered berms are not used as level spreaders. [Section 4.12.6, 10, 11, 12]

11. For concrete curbs, troughs, and half-pipes, verify the following:
   a. Verify that concrete curbs, troughs, and half-sections of pipe are between four and 12 inches deep. [Section 4.12.6, 13a]
   b. Verify that curbs and troughs are specified on the plans to be constructed of Class C concrete or reinforced concrete, conforming to the specifications of the City of Philadelphia Department of Streets, Standard Construction Items (1997). [Section 4.12.6, 13b]
   c. Verify that half-pipes are specified on the plans to be either Class C concrete or reinforced concrete, conforming to the specifications of the City of Philadelphia Department of Streets, Standard Construction Items (1997) or HDPE plastic meeting the specifications of AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S. [Section 4.12.6, 13c]

12. For subsurface discharge through level perforated pipes (bubble-up level spreaders), verify the following:
   a. Verify that perforated pipes are between four and 12 inches in diameter. HDPE pipe must be specified on the plans to meet AASHTO M252, Type S or AASHTO M294, Type S standards. [Section 4.12.6, 14a]
   b. Verify that the pipes are enveloped in stone and that the stone is specified on the plans as being
uniformly graded, crushed, clean-washed stone and that it is noted that PWD defines “clean-washed” as having less than 0.5% wash loss, by mass, when tested per the AASHTO T-11 wash loss test. AASHTO No. 3 and No. 57 stone can meet this specification. [Section 4.12.6, 14b]

c. Verify that geotextile is placed between the stone aggregate and soil. [Section 4.12.6, 14c]

d. Verify that geotextile is specified on the plans to consist of polypropylene fibers and to meet the following specifications (AASHTO Class 1 or Class 2 geotextile is recommended): [Section 4.12.6, 14d]

   i. Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM-D4632): ≥ 120 lbs

   ii. Mullen Burst Strength (ASTM-D3786): ≥ 225 psi

   iii. Flow Rate (ASTM-D4491): ≥ 95 gal/min/ft²

   iv. UV Resistance after 500 hrs (ASTM-D4355): ≥ 70%

   v. Heat-set or heat-calendared fabrics are not permitted

13. For surface discharge to plunge pools, verify the following:

   a. Verify that the plans specify that underlying soils within plunge pools remain undisturbed, uncompacted, and protected from heavy equipment to preserve infiltration capacities. [Section 4.12.6, 15a]

   b. Verify that riprap stone is sized in accordance with the riprap apron design procedures in the latest edition of the *PA DEP Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control Program Manual*. [Section 4.12.6, 15b]

**F.18.7 Impervious Liner Design and Material Standards**

1. Verify that the impervious liner is specified on the plans to have a permeability of less than, or equal to, \(10^6 \text{ cm/sec}\). [Section 4.12.7, 3]

2. Verify that the plans indicate that the impervious liner is to be continuous and extend completely up the sides of any structures that are located within the lined basin footprint to the ground surface. If additional liner material must be added to extend up the structures, the additional liner sections are to be joined to the rest of the liner with an impervious seam per the manufacturers’ recommendation. [Section 4.12.7, 4]

3. For compacted till liners, verify the following:

   a. Verify that the compacted till liner thickness is no less than 18 inches (after compaction). [Section 4.12.7, 5a]
b. Verify that soil is compacted to 95% minimum dry density, modified proctor method (ASTM D-1557). [Section 4.12.7, 5b]

c. Verify that soil is placed in six-inch lifts. [Section 4.12.7, 5c]

d. Verify that the proposed soils are specified on the plans as meeting the gradation listed in Table 4.12-3 of the Manual. [Section 4.12.7, 5d]

4. For clay liners, verify the following:
   
a. Verify that the clay liner thickness is no less than 12 inches (after compaction). [Section 4.12.7, 6a]

   b. Verify that the clay liner is specified on the plans as meeting the specifications listed in Table 4.12-4 of the Manual. [Section 4.12.7, 6b]

5. For geomembrane liners, verify the following:
   
a. Verify that the geomembrane liner thickness is specified on the plans to be no less than 30 mils. [Section 4.12.7, 7a]

   b. Verify that the geomembrane liner is specified on the plans to be ultraviolet-resistant. [Section 4.12.7, 7b]

   c. Verify that the geomembrane liner is specified on the plans to meet, or exceed, the strength properties listed in Table 4.12-5 of the Manual. [Section 4.12.7, 7c]

6. For concrete liners, verify the following:
   
a. Verify that the concrete is no less than five inches thick, Class A or better, with ordinary surface finish. [Section 4.12.7, 8a]

   b. When underlying soil is clay or if it has an unconfined compressive strength of 0.25 ton per square foot or less, verify that the concrete has a minimum six-inch compacted aggregate base composed of coarse sand and river stone, crushed stone, or equivalent, with diameter of 0.75 inch to one inch. [Section 4.12.7, 8b]

F.18.8 Micro Siphon Drain Belt Design and Material Standards

1. Verify that the micro siphon drain belt connects to a downslope underdrain or collector pipe and that the elevation of the belt in the immediate vicinity of the downslope connection is at least four inches above the top of the underdrain or collector pipe. [Section 4.12.8, 1]

2. Verify that the end of the micro siphon drain belt that is not connected to the collector pipe is sealed to prevent the intrusion of solids or other clogging materials. The sealant must be suitable for use in submerged environments. [Section 4.12.8, 2]

3. Verify that a minimum belt slope of 1% is proposed. A belt slope of 3% to 5% is recommended to
maintain laminar flow within the micro channels. [Section 4.12.8, 3]

4. Verify that the micro siphon drain belt is proposed to be installed in a layer of sand. [Section 4.12.8, 4]

5. Verify that sand is specified on the plans to be ASTM C-33 aggregate concrete sand and to have a grain size of 0.02 inches to 0.08 inches. [Section 4.12.8, 4]

6. Verify that manufacturer’s recommendations are followed to determine the number, size, and specific configuration of belts required to provide adequate flow capacity for specific applications. [Section 4.12.8, 5]

7. Verify that the micro siphon drain belt is spaced around the underdrain or collector drain pipe at a maximum of alternating five-foot centers. [Section 4.12.8, 6]

**F.18.9 Low Flow Device Design and Material Standards**

1. Verify that the following information is submitted for each proposed low flow device as part of the applicant’s Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Review Phase Submission Package. [Section 4.12.9, 1]
   
   a. Performance/discharge curves;
   
   b. Third-party certifications;
   
   c. Hydrologic and hydraulic model files, if applicable;
   
   d. Product specifications;
   
   e. Manufacturer’s guidelines for installation;
   
   f. Construction sequence; and
   
   g. Maintenance requirements, including product life and replacement schedule, if applicable.

2. Verify that appropriate design measures are taken to prevent clogging for all orifices. [Section 4.12.9, 2]

3. Verify that suitable access is provided to inspect and maintain all orifices. [Section 4.12.9, 3]
G. O&M Agreement Information Worksheet and Infiltration Waiver

The PWD Stormwater Plan Review Online Technical Worksheet, which is designed to standardize and summarize the results of design calculations, is a required part of each Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) Review Phase Submission Package and must be completed online here.

This Appendix G contains both the Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Agreement Information Worksheet (Worksheet 4) and the Infiltration Waiver Request Form. Worksheet 4 provides PWD with necessary information on all pertinent parcels to aid in the preparation of the project's O&M Agreement. If infiltration on a site is found to be infeasible, an Infiltration Waiver Request Form must be submitted to PWD for review. Submission of these documents is required as part of the PCSMP Review Phase.

O&M Agreement Information Worksheet (PDF) (updated 6/3/2016)

Infiltration Waiver Request Form (PDF)
Legal Address(es) of Property(s) Under Development:

1. Please provide the address for each property under development as they appear according to Office of Property Assessment (OPA) Records. Attach additional sheets as needed. Please note that OPA parcels may be different from mailing addresses. If a consolidation or subdivision is proposed, please refer to the Lot Consolidation or Sub-division section below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPA Account #</th>
<th>Street Address</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPA Address 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phila., PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPA Address 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phila., PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPA Address 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phila., PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPA Address 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phila., PA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Registered Owner(s) of the Property:

2. Please name all Owner(s) as listed on the current property deed(s). If ownership has recently changed and city records, which can be confirmed at www.phila.gov/water/swmap or www.phila.gov/opa, do not match the current deed information then please provide a copy of the current deed(s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Owner</th>
<th>Official name(s) of individual(s) or business(es)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signatory Name</td>
<td>Please provide the full name of the individual who will be signing the O&amp;M Agreement as/on behalf of the owner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signatory Business Title</td>
<td>Corporations: President or Vice President Limited Partnerships (LPs): General Partner, or if a corporation is the general partner, then President or VP of the corporation Limited Liability Companies (LLCs): Member or Manager, depending on how the LLC is managed For all others: List the business title, and submit a letter of authorization for the signatory confirming his/her ability to bind the owner organization in legal agreements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mailing Address

Address of the signatory, to whom PWD will return the signed/recording agreements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Phone #

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E-mail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Lot Consolidation or Sub-division: (If applicable)

3. If you plan to consolidate or subdivide the parcel(s) under development, please submit a list of the proposed new address(es) and OPA account number(s). Please also submit documentation from the City of intent to change the address(es) and documentation from the OPA of the new OPA account number(s).

Legal Description of the Property(s):

4. Please submit a metes-and-bounds description for each property under development with reference to the property address. All property descriptions provided must describe full parcels. When consolidating or subdividing an existing parcel(s), please provide the legal description for each parcel with reference to its proposed new property address. Please note that the legal property description must be submitted in an editable format, such as a Word document, from which PWD can copy text and paste it directly into the O&M Agreement. PDFs or photo files (such as jpeg, bitmap, etc.) will not be accepted.
An infiltration waiver request form is required for projects where infiltration is not feasible for the management of stormwater. In addition to this Worksheet, supporting documentation included a signed and sealed Geotechnical Report must be submitted. Refer to Section 3.3.6 of the Manual for more information on Infiltration Waivers.

1. Is the project area a stormwater hotspot?

2. Indicate the type(s) of infiltration test(s) performed.

3. Were infiltration tests performed within 25 feet of the proposed stormwater management practice(s)? If no, additional infiltration testing may be required.

4. Were infiltration tests performed within one foot of the proposed bed bottom elevation? If no, additional infiltration testing may be required.

5. List tested infiltration rates below (before applying a factor of safety).

   (Submit infiltration testing logs, calculations, methodology and testing location plan. Please note an SMP must have a geometric mean tested infiltration rate of at least 0.4 inches per hour in order for infiltration to be considered feasible. Soil amendments are required for SMPs with geometric mean tested infiltration rates in excess of 10 inches per hour.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Location (ID, label etc)</th>
<th>Measured Infiltration Rate (inches/hour)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Please explain why subsurface conditions under the stormwater management area not suitable for infiltration:
H. Infiltration Testing Log

Appendix H contains a template log for documenting infiltration testing results. This Infiltration Testing Log includes guidance for documenting soil characteristics and is required to be completed and submitted as part of the Geotechnical Report during the Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan Review Phase.

Infiltration Testing Log Template (XLSX)

Infiltration Testing Log Template (PDF)
## PWD Stormwater Plan Review Infiltration Testing Log

**Project Name:**

**Date:**

**Project Address:**

**Weather:**

**Testing Company:**

**Tester’s Name:**

**Phone Number:**

**Email Address:**

**Test Number:**

**Test Pit/Boring Hole Number:**

**Test Depth (feet):**

**Surface Elevation (feet):**

**Test Method:**

**Instrument Diameter (inches):**

### Soil Characterization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (feet):</th>
<th>Soil Texture:</th>
<th>Limiting Layers Type and Depth (feet):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Presoak

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Infiltration Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time:</th>
<th>Time Interval (10 or 30 minutes):</th>
<th>Measurement, (feet):</th>
<th>Drop in water level, (feet):</th>
<th>Infiltration rate (inches per hour):</th>
<th>Remarks:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stabilized Infiltration Testing Rate (inches per hour):**
I. Landscape Guidance

Native plant species are recommended over exotic foreign species because they are well adapted to local climate conditions. This will result in less replacement and maintenance, while supporting the local ecology.

A list of herbaceous trees, shrubs, and plants native to Philadelphia and Pennsylvania and suitable for planting in stormwater management facilities are included in Table I-1. The list is intended as a guide for general planting purposes and planning considerations. Knowledgeable landscape designers and nursery suppliers may provide additional information for considering specific conditions for successful plant establishment and accounting for the variable nature of stormwater hydrology. Because individual plants often have unique growing requirements difficult to convey in a general listing, it will be necessary to perform additional research to obtain specific information on the plant species proposed in order to ensure successful plant establishment.

Table I-1 lists native and recommended plants, trees, shrubs, and grasses and is organized by Type and Latin name. Additional information given for each species includes: Common name, National Wetland Indicator Status, hydrologic zone, inundation tolerance, drought tolerance, salt tolerance, mature canopy spread, mature height, light requirements, nativity, commercial availability, and notes to provide guidance for application and selection. For example, some trees are well-suited to landscaped areas that will receive stormwater runoff, while others may not tolerate the additional moisture.

National Wetland Indicator Status

The National Wetland Indicator Status (from Region 1, Reed, 1988) has been included to show “the estimated probability of a species occurring in wetlands versus non-wetlands” (Reed, 1988). Reed defines the indicator categories as follows:

- Obligate wetland (OBL): Plants which nearly always (more than 99% of the time) occur in wetlands under natural conditions.

- Facultative Wetland (FACW): Plants which usually occur in wetlands (from 67 to 99% of the time), but occasionally are found in non-wetlands.

- Facultative (FAC): Plants which are equally likely to occur in wetlands and non-wetlands, and are
found in wetlands from 34 to 66% of the time.

- Facultative Upland (FACU): Plants which usually occur in non-wetlands (from 67 to 99% of the time), but occasionally are found in wetlands.

- Upland (UPL): Plants which almost always (more than 99% of the time) occur in non-wetlands under natural conditions.

- A given indicator status shown with a “+” or a “−” means that the species is more (+) or less (-) often found in wetlands than other plants with the same indicator status without the “+” or “−” designation.

**Hydrologic Zones**

For planting within a stormwater management practice (SMP), it is necessary to determine what hydrologic zones will be created within the SMP. Hydrologic zones describe the degree to which an area is inundated by water (see Figure 4.1-3 for an example of hydrologic zones in a bioinfiltration/bioretention basin). Plants have differing tolerances to inundation, and, as an aid to landscape designers, these tolerance levels have been divided into six zones and corresponding appropriate plant species have been identified. In Table 1-1, each plant species has a corresponding hydrologic zone provided to indicate the most suitable planting location for successful establishment. While the most common zones for planting are listed in parentheses, the listing of additional zones indicates that a plant may survive over a broad range of hydrologic conditions. Just as plants may, on occasion, be found outside of their hardiness zone, they may also be found outside of their hydrologic zone. Additionally, hydrologic conditions in an SMP may fluctuate in unpredictable ways; thus the use of plants capable of tolerating wide varieties of hydrologic conditions greatly increases a successful planting. Conversely, plants suited for specific hydrologic conditions may perish when hydrologic conditions fluctuate, thus exposing the soil and increasing the chance for erosion.

**Inundation Tolerance**

Since the Wetland Indicator Status alone does not provide an indication of the depth or duration of flooding that a plant will tolerate, the “Inundation Tolerance” column is designed to provide further guidance. If a plant is capable of withstanding permanent saturation, the depth of this saturation is listed (for example, “saturated” indicates the soil can be moist at all times, “sat, 0-6” indicates that the species can survive in constantly moist soil conditions with up to six inches of standing water). Conversely, a plant may only tolerate seasonal inundation – such as after a storm event – or may not tolerate inundation at all. This type of plant would be well-suited for an SMP that is expected to drain quickly or in the drier zones of the SMP.

**Drought Tolerance (N=none; L=low; M=medium; H=high)**

The drought tolerance column is meant to provide a way for SMP designers to select appropriate native plants that can survive in hot summer conditions, with a minimum of irrigation. Drought tolerance is defined as the relative tolerance of the plant to drought conditions compared to other plants in the same region.
(USDA, 2005).

**Salt Tolerance (N=none; L=low; M=medium; H=high; U=unknown)**

This column ranks the relative tolerance of a species to salt content in the soil. If U (unknown) is displayed, no research was found for that particular species.

**Mature Canopy Spread**

This column gives the SMP designer a rough estimate of the diameter (or spread) of a tree species’ branching when it has matured. This information indicates what the light conditions will be like beneath the tree for understory plantings; how much space should be left open between the tree planting pit and any vertical structures, such as buildings; how far apart the trees should be planted; and it gives an idea, along with the mature height of the species, of the tree’s growth habit. The mature canopy spread also provides a rough idea for how much leaf surface area will be available to intercept stormwater before it reaches the ground.

**Mature Height**

This column provides the approximate mature height of plant species in optimal growing conditions. This height may be reduced dramatically in the urban environment where light, space, and other factors may not be as readily available as in a forest or field setting. However, by providing as much space as possible for a plant to grow and by choosing appropriate species for a planting area, improved – if not optimal – growing conditions can be achieved. For example, a tree planted in a sidewalk pit measuring four feet by four feet may only reach half its mature height, while a tree planted in a four-foot-wide “trough” style planting bed will grow taller and live longer, because it will have greater access to air and water.

**Light Requirement**

The light requirements for each species are listed as ranges between full shade and full sun. At the bottom of the range – full shade – plants thrive in conditions where they receive filtered, or dappled, light for the entire day (such as under an oak tree). In the middle of the range are plants that grow best in part shade, where they are in full shade for two to three hours during midday. Plants that require full sun should be sited so that they receive five or more hours of direct sun during the growing season. Some plants requiring full sun may still do well in a part shade environment, depending on the quality and duration of the light the plants receive when they are not in the shade.

**Nativity**

A native plant is an indigenous species that occurred in the region prior to settlement by the Europeans. In this column, each species is located within a range of nativity to Philadelphia. Plants known to have existed in Philadelphia County are native to Philadelphia, while a wider geographic range lists plants native to the state,
but not necessarily to the county. The widest geographic range lists a few species native to the United States, but not necessarily to Pennsylvania. The plants listed that are not specifically native to Philadelphia are included because of their demonstrated success within SMPs.

**Commercial Availability (C=container; P=plug; S=seed)**

Wildflower and grass species often come in a form known as a plug. These are often grown and sold in trays of 50 of the same species. They are essentially very small container plants, with a root/soil mass about an inch wide and two to four inches long. Most species available in plug form are also sold as seed. Often, a combination of plugs and seed will be used to establish a SMP quickly and provide immediate visual interest and stabilization.

Container-grown plants include trees, shrubs, wildflowers, ferns, grasses, and sedges. This is an excellent alternative to the far more expensive balled-and-burlapped (B&B) form of trees and shrubs, although the size of the tree is almost always smaller. Nurseries often provide a few container sizes for each species.

**Notes**

PWD has included recommendations for street trees in the notes section of the native plants list and the recommended non-invasive plants, trees, shrubs, and grasses list to assist designers in selection of vegetation most appropriate for the harsh conditions which are often associated in close proximity to streets. It is likely that most of these areas will be hot in summer months until the trees become established.

**Table I-1: Native and Recommended Non-invasive Plants**

*Table I-1 is too large to display in the browser. Download a PDF copy.*

**Prohibited Non-native and Invasive Plants**

Invasive non-native plants reproduce rapidly, degrade, and take over natural ecosystems, and have few, if any, natural controls to keep them in check. Brought in to new areas by people for a specific purpose or by accident, these species have characteristics that allow them to grow out of control and usually favor disturbed sites like areas of new construction. Under no circumstance should they be planted in a SMP. Because of appealing characteristics, some of these plants are available for sale, and care should be taken not to purchase them. Additionally, the ability to identify and remove them before they can establish themselves is important, as they almost always invade due to their gregarious reproductive strategies. They can be especially hard to get rid of once they take hold. Table I-2 lists common invaders for the Mid-Atlantic region.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Latin Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Native Habitats *</th>
<th>Hydrologic Zone **</th>
<th>Inundation Tolerance</th>
<th>Drought Tolerance (N=none; L=low; M=medium; H=high; U=unknown)</th>
<th>Salt Tolerance (N=none; L=low; M=medium; H=high; U=unknown)</th>
<th>Mature Canopy Spread</th>
<th>Mature Height</th>
<th>Light Requirement</th>
<th>Nativity</th>
<th>Commercial Availability (C=container; P=plug; S=seed)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fern</td>
<td>Adiantum pedatum</td>
<td>maidenhair fern</td>
<td>FAC- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0-1' partial shade to full shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>slightly acidic soils</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fern</td>
<td>Asplenium pinnatifolium</td>
<td>hayscented fern</td>
<td>FACU- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-2' partial shade to full shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>groundcover; grows easily in poor soils</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fern</td>
<td>Dryopteris marginalis</td>
<td>marginal wood fern</td>
<td>FACW (3, 4, 5)</td>
<td>saturated</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-2' partial shade to full shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>very shade tolerant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fern</td>
<td>Nestegis setosa</td>
<td>cinnamon fern</td>
<td>FACU- 2, 3, 4</td>
<td>saturated</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2-4' partial shade to full shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>acidic soils</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fern</td>
<td>Osmunda cinnamomea</td>
<td>cinnamon fern</td>
<td>FACW (2, 3, 4)</td>
<td>saturated</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-2' partial shade to full shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>groundcover; acidic soils</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fern</td>
<td>Osmunda regalis</td>
<td>royal fern</td>
<td>OBL 2, 3, 4</td>
<td>saturated</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2-4' partial shade to full shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>groundcover; acid soils</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fern</td>
<td>Polystichum acrostichoides</td>
<td>christmas fern</td>
<td>FACU- 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-2' partial shade to full shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>groundcover; evergreen; silvery fiddleheads</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fern</td>
<td>Thelypteris noveboracensis</td>
<td>new york fern</td>
<td>FAC- 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>saturated</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-2' partial shade to full shade</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>groundcover; delicate fronds spread rapidly in moist areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Achillea millefolium</td>
<td>yarrow</td>
<td>FAC- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-3' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>attractive white, globular flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Agastache rupestris</td>
<td>threadleaf giant hyssop</td>
<td>FACU- 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-2' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>evergreen, adaptable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Asarum cernuum</td>
<td>nodding onion</td>
<td>FACU- 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1-2' partial shade to full shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>groundcover; semi-evergreen; spreads rapidly; small purple/brown flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Asclepias incarnata</td>
<td>swamp milkweed</td>
<td>OBL 2, 3, 4</td>
<td>saturated</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2-6' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>pink-purple flowers in several umbels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Asclepias syriaca</td>
<td>common milkweed</td>
<td>FACU- 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2-6' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>fragrant brownish-pink flowers in umbels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Asclepias tuberosa</td>
<td>butterfly weed</td>
<td>FAC- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2-6' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>bright orange flowers in umbels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Asclepias urticaefolia</td>
<td>swamp milkweed</td>
<td>FACU- 3, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-2' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>showy blue flowers, shrub-like; nitrogen fixer; adaptable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Baptisia australis</td>
<td>blue false indigo</td>
<td>FAC- 1, 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-2' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>sandy, acidic soils; tall purple flowers; dark blue-green leaves</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Bidens aristosa</td>
<td>tickseed sunflower</td>
<td>FACW- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-2' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>showy yellow flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Bidens frondosa</td>
<td>beggar's-ticks</td>
<td>FACW- 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2-6' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>many flowers crowded together into a head</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Bidens laevis</td>
<td>white deadnettle</td>
<td>FACW- 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2-6' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>showy white flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Bidens frondosa</td>
<td>bur marigold</td>
<td>OBL 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-2' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>many flowers crowded together into a head</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Bidens frondosa</td>
<td>beggar's-ticks</td>
<td>FACW- 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-2' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>showy yellow flowers; found on stream banks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Biscutella nivea</td>
<td>white orache</td>
<td>FACW- 1, 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2-6' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>showy white flowers, found on stream banks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Campanula glutinosa</td>
<td>forage speedwell</td>
<td>FACU- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-3' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>showy yellow flowers; fragrant flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Chamaecrista fasciculata</td>
<td>sweet false indigo</td>
<td>FAC- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2-6' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>attractive white, globular flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Chelone glabra</td>
<td>white turtlehead</td>
<td>FACU- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2-6' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>attractive white, globular flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Chelone obliqua</td>
<td>butterfly weed</td>
<td>FACU- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2-6' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>attractive white, globular flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Chelone ligustica</td>
<td>mare's tail</td>
<td>FACU- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2-6' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>attractive white, globular flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Chelone obliqua</td>
<td>butterfly weed</td>
<td>FACU- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2-6' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>attractive white, globular flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Chelone ligustica</td>
<td>mare's tail</td>
<td>FACU- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2-6' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>attractive white, globular flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Chelone obliqua</td>
<td>butterfly weed</td>
<td>FACU- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2-6' full sun to partial shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>attractive white, globular flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Light Requirement</td>
<td>Mature Height</td>
<td>Nativity</td>
<td>Commercial Availability</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysogonum virginianum</td>
<td>green and gold</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1’</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>groundscover; golden daisy-like flowers continue until frost; spreads easily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convolvulus racemosus</td>
<td>black smokemist</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3-8’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>woodland edge plant, white, wand-like blossoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornus sericea</td>
<td>red osier dogwood</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5, 6</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-3’</td>
<td>Full Sun</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decnebra paniculata</td>
<td>wild bleeding heart</td>
<td>3, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1-3’</td>
<td>part shade-shade</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>moosed of finely-cut foliage; delicate pink scars</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedurante media</td>
<td>shooting star</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>3, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1-2’</td>
<td>part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>redding white, pink, or lilac flowers in a terminal umbel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinacea purpurea</td>
<td>eastern purple coneflower</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>4’</td>
<td>part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>white flowers in large, branching clusters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupatorium coelestinum</td>
<td>mist flower</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>groundscover; blue flowers on petal foliage; spreads easily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupatorium forskohlii</td>
<td>Joe-pye-weed</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1-3’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>many white flowers in large, branching clusters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupatorium hyssopifolium</td>
<td>hyssopleaf thoroughwort</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1-4’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>white flowers in flat-topped terminal clusters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupatorium macrocephalum</td>
<td>spotted Joe-pye-weed</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>light purple flowers; attracts butterflies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupatorium perfoliatum</td>
<td>boneset</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>2, 3, 4</td>
<td>autumn</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2-3’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>clusters of grayish-white flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupatorium purpureum</td>
<td>Joe-pye-weed</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>1, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1-3’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>vanilla-scented flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eupatorium rugosum</td>
<td>white snakeroot</td>
<td>3, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1-4’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>white flowers in large clusters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurybia divaricata</td>
<td>white wood aster</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1-2’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>white rays, center yellow and red-capped-pupules</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaillardia aristata, x grandiflora</td>
<td>blanketflower</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>6’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>prokes well-flushed moist soils, feasty clusters of blossoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geum alleppicum, x grandiflorum</td>
<td>Blanketflower</td>
<td>M/A</td>
<td>5, 6</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1’</td>
<td>1-3’</td>
<td>Full Sun</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geranium sanguineum</td>
<td>wild geranium</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3-5’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>showy yellow daisy-like flowers; moist meadows, stream banks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus angustifolius</td>
<td>swamp sunflower</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>6-8’</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>yellow flowers with maroon centers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus giganteus</td>
<td>swamp sunflower</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3-10’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>yellow flowers on red-purple stems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus tuberosus</td>
<td>sunflower</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2-3’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>pale yellow cone-shaped rays with yellow disks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexacra amercana</td>
<td>alderleaf</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1-1.5’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>groundscover; white airy flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus moscheutos</td>
<td>swamp mallow</td>
<td>OB</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>sat., 0-12”</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3-7’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>large pink, purplish, or white flowers with a dark center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helenium autumnale</td>
<td>queen of the prairie</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>6-9’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>prokes well-flushed moist soils, feasty clusters of blossoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaillardia aristata, x grandiflora</td>
<td>blanketflower</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>6’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>prokes well-flushed moist soils, feasty clusters of blossoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heuchera americana</td>
<td>alumroot</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1’</td>
<td>1-3’</td>
<td>Full Sun</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heuchera americana</td>
<td>wild geranium</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3-5’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>showy yellow daisy-like flowers; moist meadows, stream banks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus angustifolius</td>
<td>swamp sunflower</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>6-8’</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>yellow flowers with maroon centers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus giganteus</td>
<td>swamp sunflower</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3-10’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>yellow flowers on red-purple stems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helianthus tuberosus</td>
<td>sunflower</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2-3’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>pale yellow cone-shaped rays with yellow disks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexacra americana</td>
<td>alderleaf</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1-1.5’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>groundscover; white airy flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus moscheutos</td>
<td>swamp mallow</td>
<td>OB</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>sat., 0-12”</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3-7’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>large pink, purplish, or white flowers with a dark center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heuchera americana</td>
<td>wild geranium</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1’</td>
<td>1-3’</td>
<td>Full Sun</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juncus effusus</td>
<td>blue-flax</td>
<td>OB</td>
<td>2, 3, 4</td>
<td>sat., 0-12”</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3-7’</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>blue-flax flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptospermum scoparium</td>
<td>spread-leaved heather</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>6-8’</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>easy to grow, tall spikes of lavender blooms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liriope spicata</td>
<td>en-scape</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>3-8’</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>redding flowers, orange or orange-red with spots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobelia cardinalis</td>
<td>cardinal flower</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3-8’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>brillian scarlet red flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobelia siphilitica</td>
<td>great-blue lobelia</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3-8’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S.</td>
<td>blue-white flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macleodanthus racemosus</td>
<td>false-solemner’s seal</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1-3’</td>
<td>shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>creamy-white flowers in branched, pyramidal clusters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentha verticillata</td>
<td>virginia bluebell</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>3, 4</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1-2’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>woodland flower, entire plant dies back by mid-summer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mimulus ringens</td>
<td>allegheny monkey-flower</td>
<td>OB</td>
<td>3, 4</td>
<td>sat.</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1-3’</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>blue-white flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Latin Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>National Wetland Indicator</td>
<td>Migration Tolerance</td>
<td>Fish Tolerance (Long, Camp, Migratory, Upstream)</td>
<td>Native Nutrient</td>
<td>Mature Height</td>
<td>Light Requirement</td>
<td>Nursery</td>
<td>Commercial Availability (Container, Plug, Seed)</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Monarda didyma</td>
<td>wild bergamot</td>
<td>UPL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>pink to lavender flowers, scented leaves</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Monarda fistulosa</td>
<td>wild bergamot</td>
<td>UPL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>bright yellow flowers in spikes on racemes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Monarda punctata</td>
<td>horsemint</td>
<td>UPL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>pink, showy bracts and yellow flowers with purple spots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Oenothera fruticosa</td>
<td>sundrops</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>emergent aquatic; resembles an arrowhead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Peltandra virginica</td>
<td>white arrowhead</td>
<td>OBL</td>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td>sat, (0-1)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>white wide-petal fringed tubular flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Physostegia virginiana</td>
<td>obedient plant</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>tall graceful plant with pink tubular flowers; very tolerant shade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Polygonatum polyanthum</td>
<td>pennsylvania smartweed</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>sat, (0-6)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>pink bloom color</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Polygonatum biflorum</td>
<td>solomon's seal</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1-7</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>groundcover; evergreen; fine mat; good for foundations and rocky areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Physostegia virginiana</td>
<td>obedient plant</td>
<td>FAC+</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>groundcover; evergreen; fine mat; good for foundations and rocky areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Phlox maculata</td>
<td>wild sweet-william</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>magenta pink flower clusters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Phlox subulata</td>
<td>moss phlox</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>groundcover; evergreen; fine mat; good for foundations and rocky areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Physostegia virginiana</td>
<td>obedient plant</td>
<td>FAC+</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>groundcover; evergreen; fine mat; good for foundations and rocky areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Symphyotrichum novae-angliae</td>
<td>new england aster</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>yellow flowers with white goldenrod</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Symphyotrichum novi-belgii</td>
<td>new york aster</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>yellow flowers with white goldenrod</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix I
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Latin Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>National Wildlife Habitat *</th>
<th>Hydrologic Zone **</th>
<th>Drought Tolerance/Climate, Zone of Occurrence, Altitude, Temperature</th>
<th>Salt Tolerance (Grows, Tolerates, Requires, Upset), U=unknown)</th>
<th>Light Requirement</th>
<th>Nativity</th>
<th>Commercial Availability/Forecast, P=Plugs, S=Seed</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Tiarella cordifolia</td>
<td>Tiarella</td>
<td>FAC- 3, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>full sun-full shade</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Tradescantia virginiana</td>
<td>spiderwort</td>
<td>FACU 3, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Fallopia americana</td>
<td>wild celery</td>
<td>OBL 1</td>
<td>sat, 1'-2'</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Forstera Aemula</td>
<td>blue vervain</td>
<td>FAC-W- 3, 4</td>
<td>saturated</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2-0</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td>Typha novae-angliae</td>
<td>new york reedmace</td>
<td>FAC-W- 3, 4</td>
<td>saturated</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Alliaria petiolata</td>
<td>garlic nani</td>
<td>PAK 3, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Andropogon gerardii</td>
<td>big bluestem</td>
<td>FAC-W- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Andropogon virginicus</td>
<td>broom sedge</td>
<td>FACU 3, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Chaenactis lanata</td>
<td>rice oats</td>
<td>FACU 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Deschampsia cespitosa</td>
<td>povery grass</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Distichlis spicata</td>
<td>salt meadow</td>
<td>FAC-W- 3, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Elymus canadensis</td>
<td>cananda rye</td>
<td>FACU 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Elymus virginicus</td>
<td>virginia wild rye</td>
<td>FAC-W- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>part shade-shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Eleocharis palustris</td>
<td>reed canary-grass</td>
<td>UPL 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Glyceria grandis</td>
<td>american mannagrass</td>
<td>OBL 2, 3, 4</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>in 3-5'</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Glyceria trianae</td>
<td>foxtail mannagrass</td>
<td>OBL 2, 3, 4</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2-4'</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Hordeum jubatum</td>
<td>foxtail barley</td>
<td>PAK 3, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Leersia oryzoides</td>
<td>rice cutgrass</td>
<td>OBL 3, 4</td>
<td>sat, 0'-6'</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Panicum dichotomiflorum</td>
<td>smooth panic-grass</td>
<td>FAC-W- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Panicum virginatum</td>
<td>switch-grass</td>
<td>FAC-W- 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Schizachyrium scoparium</td>
<td>little bluestem</td>
<td>FACU 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Sorghastrum nutans</td>
<td>indian grass</td>
<td>UPL 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3-7'</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Sporobolus heterolepis</td>
<td>prairie dropseed</td>
<td>UPL 4,5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2-7'</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>Full Sun</td>
<td>US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Typha latifolia</td>
<td>broad-leaved cattail</td>
<td>OBL 1 (2, 3)</td>
<td>sat, 0'-6'</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>to 6'</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Typha angustifolia</td>
<td>narrow-leaved cattail</td>
<td>OBL 1 (2, 3)</td>
<td>sat, 0'-6'</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>to 6'</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>Zizania aquatica</td>
<td>wild rice</td>
<td>OBL 2, 3, 4</td>
<td>saturated</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>to 9'</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td>Alisma subcordatum</td>
<td>southern water-plantain</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>sat, 0-1'</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>to 4'</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td>Ceratophyllum demersum</td>
<td>pond-sedge</td>
<td>FACU 2 (3, 4)</td>
<td>saturated</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>in 4'</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P,C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td>Carex virgata</td>
<td>sweet sedge</td>
<td>OBL 2 (3, 4)</td>
<td>saturated</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>in 3-5'</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P,C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td>Carex pensylvanica</td>
<td>pennsylvania sedge</td>
<td>OBL 3, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1-1.5'</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P,S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Latin Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>National Wetland Indicator</td>
<td>Hydrologic Zone **</td>
<td>Inundation Tolerance</td>
<td>Light Requirement</td>
<td>Nativty</td>
<td>Commercial Availability</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td>Carex scoparia</td>
<td>broom sedge</td>
<td>FACW 3 (4, 5)</td>
<td>sat, 0-6”</td>
<td>N L</td>
<td>1-3”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S green flowers in clusters at the top of the stem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td>Carex stricta</td>
<td>tussock sedge</td>
<td>OBEL 1 (2, 3, 4)</td>
<td>sat, 0-6”</td>
<td>L N</td>
<td>to 3”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.C emergent aquatic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td>Carex vulpinoidea</td>
<td>fern sedge</td>
<td>OBEL 1, 2 (3, 4)</td>
<td>sat, 0-6”</td>
<td>L N</td>
<td>to 3”</td>
<td>full sun-part</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.C need heads resemble a tortoise tail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td>Juncus effusus</td>
<td>self-nutled</td>
<td>FACW 3 (2, 3, 4)</td>
<td>sat, 0-6”</td>
<td>M L</td>
<td>2-3”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.C clump forming</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td>Juncus gerardii</td>
<td>black-grass</td>
<td>FACW 3, 4</td>
<td>sat, 0-6”</td>
<td>N H</td>
<td>1-2”</td>
<td>full sun-part</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.C perplexus to burgundy flower clusters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td>Patrinia scabiosifolia</td>
<td>picket-fence</td>
<td>OBL 1, 2, 3</td>
<td>sat, 0-6”</td>
<td>N K</td>
<td>1-3”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>N emergent aquatic, heart-shaped leaves, purple flowers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td>Schoenoplectus (Scirpus) paungens</td>
<td>three-square</td>
<td>FACW 3 (2, 3, 4)</td>
<td>sat, 0-6”</td>
<td>N H</td>
<td>1-3”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S good bank stabilizer; arrow control; spreads easily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td>Carex tenuiseta</td>
<td>wool-grass</td>
<td>FACW 3 (2, 3, 4)</td>
<td>saturated</td>
<td>L N</td>
<td>to 6”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.C forms dense tussocks; large interference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td>Carex polyphyllus</td>
<td>tufts</td>
<td>OBEL 2, 3, 4</td>
<td>saturated</td>
<td>N U</td>
<td>to 6”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>S numerous leaves along stem, flowers at the top of the stem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td>Sparganium americanum</td>
<td>bur-reed</td>
<td>OBEL 1 (2, 3, 4)</td>
<td>sat, 0-6”</td>
<td>N N</td>
<td>1-3”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.C emergent aquatic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Alnus serrulata</td>
<td>smooth alder</td>
<td>OBEL 1, 2, 3</td>
<td>sat, 0-12”</td>
<td>L N</td>
<td>6-10”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C stabilizes stream banks, root fix nitrogen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Amelanchier canadensis</td>
<td>service-berry</td>
<td>FAC 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L H</td>
<td>12-20”</td>
<td>full sun-part</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C compaction sensitive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Aronia arbutifolia</td>
<td>service-berry</td>
<td>FAC 3 (4, 5)</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L M</td>
<td>3-6”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C compaction resistant, bank stabilizer, white flowers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Aronia melanocarpa</td>
<td>black chokeberry</td>
<td>FAC 3 (4, 5)</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M M</td>
<td>3-6”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C compaction tolerant, white flowers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Baccharis halimifolia</td>
<td>groundsel-tree</td>
<td>FAC 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L M</td>
<td>6-12”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C compaction resistant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Berlandiera occidentalis</td>
<td>buttonbrush</td>
<td>OBEL 1 (2, 3, 4)</td>
<td>sat, 0-3”</td>
<td>M M</td>
<td>4-6”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C compaction tolerant, interesting flowers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Betula nigrae</td>
<td>fringe tree</td>
<td>FAC + 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M M</td>
<td>12-15”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C white, pendulous, fragrant flowers; gold fall color; very adaptable; acidic soils</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Clethra alnifolia</td>
<td>small peppermint</td>
<td>FAC + 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L M</td>
<td>4-9”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C compaction tolerant, acidic soils</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Compania peregrina</td>
<td>swamp-fir</td>
<td>FAC + 4</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>H N</td>
<td>4-6”</td>
<td>2-3”</td>
<td>full sun-part</td>
<td>Pennsylvania C very adaptable; aromatic leaves; nitrogen fixer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Cornus alnifolia</td>
<td>red chokeberry</td>
<td>FACW 3 (4, 5)</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L M</td>
<td>3-6”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C compaction tolerant, bank stabilizer, red bark flowers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Cornus racemosa</td>
<td>gray chokeberry</td>
<td>FACW + 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M M</td>
<td>18-20”</td>
<td>full sun-part</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C compaction tolerant, very adaptable, white flowers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Cornus sericea</td>
<td>red-osier bluebell</td>
<td>FACW + 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L N</td>
<td>8-12”</td>
<td>7-9”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C compaction tolerant, stream bank stabilizer, red bark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Crataegus peregrina</td>
<td>bush honeysuckle</td>
<td>FACU 4 (3, 5)</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M N</td>
<td>8-13”</td>
<td>8-10”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C compaction tolerant, red berries persist through winter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Delphinium frutescens</td>
<td>bush cineraria</td>
<td>N/A 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>H H</td>
<td>3-5’</td>
<td>2-4”</td>
<td>Full Sun-Part Shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Dicræa cistacea</td>
<td>scarlet cypress</td>
<td>FAC 4, 5 sections</td>
<td>L L</td>
<td>1-4”</td>
<td>1-4”</td>
<td>Full Sun-Part Shade</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Eriocaulon americanum</td>
<td>heart's-afire</td>
<td>FAC 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>N N</td>
<td>4-6”</td>
<td>4-6”</td>
<td>full sun-part</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C small brown flowers; sphaeridia heart; green stems and winter interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Hypericum ossicariun</td>
<td>purple flower</td>
<td>FAC 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>N N</td>
<td>4-6”</td>
<td>4-6”</td>
<td>full sun-part</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C small brown flowers; sphaeridia heart; green stems and winter interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Ilex verticillata</td>
<td>winterberry</td>
<td>FACW 3 (4, 5)</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L M</td>
<td>3-6”</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>P.S creamy white flowers; dry to moist soil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Itea virginica</td>
<td>virginia sweet spire</td>
<td>OBEL 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L N</td>
<td>3-5’</td>
<td>sun-shade</td>
<td>Pennsylvania C fragrant white flowers; good fall color</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Latin Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>National Wetland Indicator</td>
<td>Hydric Code **</td>
<td>Installation Tolerance</td>
<td>Soil Tolerance (Wet, Regular, Moist, High, Very High)</td>
<td>Mature Crown Speed</td>
<td>Mature Height</td>
<td>Light Requirement</td>
<td>Note</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Juniperus communis</td>
<td>juniper</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Liriodendron tulipifera</td>
<td>swamp white</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Lonicera sempervirens</td>
<td>full sun-part</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Myrica pensylvanica</td>
<td>mounded shrub</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</td>
<td>virginia creeper</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Physocarpus opulifolius</td>
<td>Physocarpus</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Rhododendron maximum</td>
<td>迅速生长</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Rhododendron periclymenoides</td>
<td>天然</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Salix discolor</td>
<td>pussy-willow</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Salix sericea</td>
<td>silky willow</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Vaccinium angustifolium</td>
<td>low-bush blueberry</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Viburnum dentatum</td>
<td>southern arrowwood</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Viburnum opulus</td>
<td>early low blueberry</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Viburnum prunifolium</td>
<td>maple-leaved viburnum</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Viburnum rhytidophyllum</td>
<td>northern arrowwood</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Viburnum rhytidophyllum</td>
<td>northern arrowwood</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L L L M M M</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Commercial Availability: C=container; P=plug; S=seed

**Hydric Code: L=low, M=medium, H=high

---
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Appendix I
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Latin Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>National Wildfire Hazard*</th>
<th>Hydrologic Zone**</th>
<th>Inundation Tolerance</th>
<th>Salt Tolerance (None, Close, Medium, High, Unadvised)</th>
<th>Mature Canopy Spread</th>
<th>Mature Height</th>
<th>Light Requirements</th>
<th>Nativity</th>
<th>Commercial Availability</th>
<th>C=container; P=plug; S=seed</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Viburnum nudum</td>
<td>possum haw</td>
<td>OBL, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>white flowers, glossy leaves; high wildlife value; compaction resistant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Viburnum prunifolium</td>
<td>black haw</td>
<td>FACU, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>white flowers; black berries; very adaptable; high wildlife value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Viburnum trilobium</td>
<td>american cranberry</td>
<td>FACW, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>8-12</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>white flowers; edible red berries; most to boggy soil; high wildlife value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Acer rubrum</td>
<td>red maple</td>
<td>FACW, 3 (4), 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>tolerant of many soils; tolerates acidic soil; rapid growth; suitable street tree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Acer saccharinum</td>
<td>sugar maple</td>
<td>FACW, 3 (4), 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>very tolerant of poor soils</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Amelanchier laevis</td>
<td>smooth serviceberry</td>
<td>FAC, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>unusual maroon flower; very large leaves; moist soil; compaction sensitive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Aronia melanocarpa</td>
<td>paw paw</td>
<td>FACU+, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>sensitive to soil compaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Broussais cuneata</td>
<td>swamp birch</td>
<td>FACU, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>40-60</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>sensitive to soil compaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Broussais nigra</td>
<td>river birch</td>
<td>FACW, 3 (4), 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>good bank stabilizer; acidic soils</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Berula propinquifolia</td>
<td>gray birch</td>
<td>FAC, 3 (4), 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>poor soils, short lived tree, early successional species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Carpinus caroliniana</td>
<td>hornbeam</td>
<td>FAC, 3 (4), 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction sensitive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Larix laricina</td>
<td>balsam fir</td>
<td>FACU, 3, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>dry, moist, or wet soil; high wildlife value; good fall color; compaction tolerant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Larix laricina</td>
<td>eastern larch</td>
<td>FACU, 3, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction sensitive, warm south-facing slopes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Liriodendron tulipifera</td>
<td>tulip poplar</td>
<td>FACU, 4 (5), 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction tolerant, distinctive peeling bark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Celtis ocidentalis</td>
<td>hackberry</td>
<td>FACU, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>tolerant of soil moisture; shallow root system; good street tree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Cercis canadensis</td>
<td>eastern redbud</td>
<td>FACU, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>good in acidic soils; nitrogen fixer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Chamaecyparis thyoides</td>
<td>atlantic white cedar</td>
<td>OBL, 3 (4), 5</td>
<td>unadvised</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>part sun-shade</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>usually found in areas with fluctuating water tables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Crataegus florida</td>
<td>flowering dogwood</td>
<td>FACU, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>upright branch form; tree; ornamental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Crataegus crus-galli</td>
<td>cockspur hawthorn</td>
<td>FAC, 3, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>keeps berries all winter; tolerant of many soils</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Crataegus prunifolia</td>
<td>smooth hawthorn</td>
<td>FAC, 3, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>white flowers; adaptable; high wildlife value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Fagus grandifolia</td>
<td>common beech</td>
<td>FACU, 3, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>white flowers; adaptable; high wildlife value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Fraxinus americana</td>
<td>white ash</td>
<td>FACU, 4 (5), 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>well-drained soil; compaction tolerant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Fraxinus pennsylvonica</td>
<td>green ash</td>
<td>FACW, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>good bank stabilizer, compaction resistant, good street tree, but may develop surface roots with age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Gleditsia triacanthos</td>
<td>honeylocust</td>
<td>FACU, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>good bank stabilizer, compaction resistant, good street tree, but may develop surface roots with age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Hoplias sosnowskyi</td>
<td>balsam poplar</td>
<td>FACU, 3 (4), 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction tolerant, very tolerant of many soils; balsam poplar bark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Magnolia virginiana</td>
<td>magnolia</td>
<td>FACW, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>hard and fire tolerant, outstanding fall color; good street tree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Nyssa sylvatica</td>
<td>tupelo</td>
<td>FAC, 3 (4), 5</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>heat and compaction sensitive; rapid growth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Myrica pensylvanica</td>
<td>swamp mangrove</td>
<td>FACW, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compact, use in acidic soil, attractive, fragrant flowers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true</td>
<td>Ailanthus altissima</td>
<td>ailanthus</td>
<td>FAC, 2 (3), 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction tolerant; heat resistant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Latin Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>National Wetland Indicator</td>
<td>Hydrologic Zone</td>
<td>Inundation Tolerance</td>
<td>Drought Tolerance (Season, Cover, Management, High, Low, U)</td>
<td>Light Requirement</td>
<td>Mature Canopy Spread</td>
<td>Mature Height</td>
<td>Nativity</td>
<td>Commercial Availability (C=container; P=plug; S=seed)</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Pinus rigida</td>
<td>pitch pine</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>50-100</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>acidic soils; compaction sensitive; heat resistant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Pinus strobus</td>
<td>white pine</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>heat and compaction sensitive; long-lived; tolerant of poor soils</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Pinus virginiana</td>
<td>scrub pine</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>10-30</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>tolerant of poor sterile soils; beautiful golden foliage in winter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Platanus occidentalis</td>
<td>sycamore</td>
<td>FACW-</td>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>75-100</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction resistant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Populus deltoides</td>
<td>cottonwood</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>75-100</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction resistant; pioneer species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Populus grandidentata</td>
<td>large-toothed aspen</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>35-50</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction sensitive; pioneer species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Populus tremuloides</td>
<td>quaking aspen</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction sensitive; pioneer species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Prunus serotina</td>
<td>black cherry</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>30-60</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction intolerant; pioneer species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Quercus alba</td>
<td>white oak</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>50-75</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction very sensitive; acidic soils; long lived</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Quercus bicolor</td>
<td>swamp white oak</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>2, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction resistant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Quercus coerulescens</td>
<td>scarlet oak</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>3, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction intolerant; long lived; nice fall color</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Quercus garryana</td>
<td>southern red oak</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>75-100</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>high winter wildlife value; found in dry to moist woods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Quercus marilandica</td>
<td>blackjack oak</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>3, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>75-100</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>very flood intolerant; compaction sensitive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Quercus palustris</td>
<td>pin oak</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>(1, 4, 5, 6)</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>40-60</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>acidic, well-drained soils; compaction resistant; good street tree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Quercus phellos</td>
<td>willow oak</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>(1, 4, 5, 6)</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>25-50</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>acidic soils; tolerates poor drainage; compaction tolerant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Quercus rubra</td>
<td>red oak</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>3, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>50-80</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>acidic soils; compaction and pollution tolerant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Quercus velutina</td>
<td>black oak</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>3, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>40-60</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction intolerant; acidic soils</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Salix alba</td>
<td>black willow</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>32, 31, 4</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction resistant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Salix amygdaloides</td>
<td>sweet willow</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction intolerant; pioneer species; flood intolerant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Tilia americana</td>
<td>basswood</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>14, 32, 6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>30-60</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>compaction sensitive; fragrant pale yellow spring flowers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Ulmus americana</td>
<td>American elm</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>(1, 4, 5, 6)</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>50-75</td>
<td>full sun</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>rare due to Dutch elm disease; new resistant stock; graceful vase form</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>Ulmus rubra</td>
<td>slippery elm</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>(1, 4, 5, 6)</td>
<td>seasonal</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>50-75</td>
<td>full sun-part shade</td>
<td>Philadelphia County</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>seeds are eaten by birds and small mammals; shade tolerant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
** NATIONAL WETLAND INDICATOR (probability of occurring in a wetland):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OBL</td>
<td>Obligate wetland species</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACW</td>
<td>Facultative wetland species</td>
<td>67-99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAC</td>
<td>Facultative species</td>
<td>34-66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACU</td>
<td>Facultative upland species</td>
<td>1-33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPL</td>
<td>Upland species</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(+): Indicates that the species occurs in the higher portion of the range
(-): Indicates that the species occurs in the lower portion of the range

Those species with no wetland indicator are virtually intolerant of flooding or prolonged soil saturation during the growing season.

** HYDROLOGIC ZONES**

**Zone 1: Open water - Permanent pool (12 inches - 6 feet)**
This zone is best colonized by submergent plants, if at all. This deep water zone is not usually planted for several reasons: there are few species that can grow in this zone, and many are not commercially available; open water areas provide unique habitat, and deep water aquatic plants may clog the stormwater facility outlet structure. The benefits of planting in this zone include the absorption of nutrients in the water column, enhanced sediment deposition, improved oxidation, and the creation of additional habitat.

**Zone 2: Shallow water terrace/Aquatic bench (6 inches - 1 foot)**
This zone offers ideal conditions for a wide variety of emergent wetland plants. These areas typically fringe the ponding area and are permanently inundated.

**Zone 3: BMP Fringe - Low marsh (0-6 inches regular inundation)**
This zone is typically the shoreline of a pond or wetland - its width determined by the design slope. This zone is usually inundated except during periods of drought and is the interface between the emergent wetland plantings and the upland plantings. Plants must be able to withstand periods of inundation as well as periods of drought and should have some capacity for slope stabilization.

**Zone 4: BMP Fringe - High Marsh (periodic inundation, saturated soils)**
This zone extends upslope from zone 3 and may be inundated after storms. It constitutes the majority of the temporary extended detention area. Plants selected should be able to withstand periodic inundation after storms as well as significant drought during the summer.

**Zone 5: Floodplain terrace (infrequent inundation, temporarily saturated soils)**
Zone 5 is infrequently saturated by floodwaters that quickly recede in a day or less. Plants should be able to withstand infrequent inundation as well as drought and should offer some slope stabilization.

**Zone 6: Upland (never inundated)**
This zone extends above the maximum design water surface elevation. Plant selection should be based on local soil and light conditions, and on the amount of available space for plantings.
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- Website: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service: PLANTS Database http://plants.usda.gov/
- Website: The Kemper Center for Home Gardening: PlantFinder http://www.mobot.org/gardeninghelp/plantfinder/Alpha.asp
- Website: Plants of the North: http://www.mnstate.edu/nr/forests/north.html
- Website: NC State University Plant Factsheets http://www.ncr.s.b.c.ea.n/3/etric/13/3c/73/7e3r/53c3273.htm
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Latin Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Availability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td><em>Hemerocallis fulva</em></td>
<td>Common daylily</td>
<td>commercially available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td><em>Alliaria petiolata</em></td>
<td>Garlic mustard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td><em>Polygonum cuspidatum</em></td>
<td>Japanese knotweed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td><em>Ranunculus ficaria</em></td>
<td>Lesser celadine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td><em>Lythrum salicaria</em></td>
<td>Purple loosertrife</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td><em>Cirsium arvense</em></td>
<td>Canada thistle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td><em>Lespedeza cuneata</em></td>
<td>Chinese lespedeza</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td><em>Heracleum mantegazzianum</em></td>
<td>Giant hogweed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td><em>Murdannia keisak</em></td>
<td>Marsh dewflower</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forb</td>
<td><em>Centaurea biebersteinii</em></td>
<td>Spotted knapweed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td><em>Bambusa, Phyllostachys, Pseudosassa</em></td>
<td>Bamboo</td>
<td>commercially available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td><em>Microstegium vimineum</em></td>
<td>Japanese stiltgrass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td><em>Miscanthus sinensis</em></td>
<td>Chinese silvergrass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td><em>Phragmites australis</em></td>
<td>Common reed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass-like</td>
<td><em>Arundo donax</em></td>
<td>Giant reed - wild cane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td><em>Berberis thunbergii</em></td>
<td>Japanese barberry</td>
<td>commercially available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td><em>Ligustrum spp.</em></td>
<td>Privets</td>
<td>commercially available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td><em>Euonymus alata</em></td>
<td>Winged burning bush</td>
<td>commercially available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td><em>Buddleja davidii</em></td>
<td>Butterfly bush</td>
<td>commercially available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td><em>Spiraea japonica</em></td>
<td>Japanese spiraea - Japanese meadowsweet</td>
<td>commercially available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td><em>Elaeagnus umbellata</em></td>
<td>Autumn olive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Lonicera spp.</td>
<td>Bush honeysuckles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Rosa multiflora</td>
<td>Mulitflora rose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Rubus phoenicosius</td>
<td>Wineberry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Rhodotypos scandens</td>
<td>Jetbead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Pyrus calleryana ‘Bradford’</td>
<td>Bradford pear</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Acer platanoides</td>
<td>Norway maple</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Quercus acutissima</td>
<td>Sawtooth oak</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Paulownia tomentosa</td>
<td>Princess tree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Ailanthus altissima</td>
<td>Tree of Heaven</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Albizia julibrissin</td>
<td>Silk tree - mimosa tree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Broussonetia papyriforma</td>
<td>Paper mulberry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Morus alba</td>
<td>White mulberry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vine</td>
<td>Hedera helix</td>
<td>English Ivy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vine</td>
<td>Wisteria sinensis, W. floribunda</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wisteria, exotic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vine</td>
<td>Eunonymus fortunei</td>
<td>Creeping euonymus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vine</td>
<td>Lonicera japonica</td>
<td>Japanese honeysuckle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vine</td>
<td>Pueraria montana v. lobata</td>
<td>Kudzu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vine</td>
<td>Polygonum perfoliatum</td>
<td>Mile-a-minute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vine</td>
<td>Celastrus orbiculatus</td>
<td>Oriental bittersweet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vine</td>
<td>Ampelopsis brevipedunculata</td>
<td>Porcelain berry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vine</td>
<td>Akebia quinata</td>
<td>Five-leaved akebia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vine</td>
<td>Cynanchum louiseae</td>
<td>Louis’ swallowwort</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
J. Construction Certification Package

It is important, both for the property owner and for the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD), to ensure that all stormwater management practices (SMPs) are constructed in strict accordance with the Approved Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP). The Construction Certification Package (CCP) provides PWD with documentation that SMPs have been properly installed. Consisting of photographs, material receipts, and SMP Construction Certification Forms which must be customized by the design engineer prior to PCSMP approval, the CCP must be kept on-site and completed by a registered professional during construction. Appendix J contains a description of the required CCP documentation and a collection of customizable SMP Construction Certification Forms to be populated with key information during construction and installation.

Construction Certification Package (DOCX)

Construction Certification Package (PDF)
CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION PACKAGE

Purpose:

The intent of this Construction Certification Package is to ensure that acceptable documentation is maintained during the construction of stormwater management practices (SMPs). For general information and design requirements for different types of SMPs, please refer to Chapter 4 of the PWD Stormwater Management Guidance Manual.

General Construction Requirements:

During construction, the contractor must ensure that a copy of the Approved Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP) and Erosion and Sediment Control (E&S) Plan are available on-site at all times.

Prior to any earth disturbance activities, a preconstruction meeting must be held. All parties including, but not limited to, the property owner’s representative, the design professional, the contractor, the PWD Inspector, and state and municipal authorities are required to attend. As part of the preconstruction meeting, a time table for the project’s construction sequence stages must be provided. The PWD Inspector must be contacted at least seven (7) days prior to the date of the preconstruction meeting to ensure that appropriate staff can be present. If at least seven (7) days’ notice is not provided, PWD cannot guarantee that the preconstruction meeting will be held on the requested date. Further, earth disturbance activities should not commence until the preconstruction meeting is held.

The PWD Inspector must be notified at least three (3) days prior to the start of construction of any SMP elements. If the required notice is not provided, the project site may be subject to the enforcement actions outlined in the Stormwater Regulations. Any SMP, or portion of a SMP, that is constructed without prior notice to PWD or without the PWD Inspector present on-site may be required to be removed and reconstructed.

SMP Construction Certification Forms:

The Construction Certification Package contains a separate SMP Construction Certification Form for each SMP. Please note that the items identified within these forms represent the minimum documentation required. Additional documentation may be deemed necessary by PWD staff on a project-specific basis.

SMP Construction Certification Forms must be prepared by the project’s design professional, reviewed by PWD during the PCSMP Review Phase, and completed by one of the following registered professionals during construction: Professional Engineer, Registered Architect, Landscape Architect, Professional Land Surveyor, Professional Geologist, or Licensed Contractor. The registered professional who completes each SMP Construction Certification Form must complete the “Registered Professional” section of that form. These registered professionals may also prepare and submit Record Drawings to PWD. Please note that PA DEP may have different requirements regarding the types of professionals who may prepare Record Drawings. For projects that require a NPDES Permit, the applicant is strongly encouraged to refer to PA DEP’s requirements.
requirements for Record Drawings before selecting a professional to prepare Record Drawing(s) for PWD.

Each SMP Construction Certification Form must indicate the measurements that are most critical to the listed SMP’s ability to perform its designed function (e.g., elevations, outlet control sizes, surface areas, layer depths, etc.). It is recommended that the SMP Construction Certification Form(s) be included in the construction bid documents for the project to ensure that the selected contractor is aware of the requirement that the Forms be completed during construction. The project’s sequence of construction will identify all stages of SMP construction for which a registered professional must document the specific elevations and measurements found on the SMP Construction Certification Forms.

Each measurement documented on the Forms must be dated and initialed by the registered professional who took, or whose designee took, the measurement. Once all of the required measurements have been appropriately documented, the registered professional must execute and date the Form. The contractor should not cover, backfill, or seal any SMP until the information required for the Record Drawing(s) and the Construction Certification Package has been acquired.

**Supplementary Documentation:**

Electronic copies of all photos, material receipts, reports, and certifications required within the SMP Construction Certification Forms (at minimum) must be provided to PWD. Material receipts must clearly specify the types, qualities, and quantities of the materials purchased.

**Construction Certification Package Submission:**

The Construction Certification Package must include electronic copies of all completed SMP Construction Certification Forms and all supplementary documentation required by the Forms. The Construction Certification Package must be submitted to PWD along with the project’s Record Drawing(s) once construction is completed, all of which will be reviewed as part of the project’s Record Drawing Review Phase. Please refer to Section 2.3.1 of the PWD Stormwater Management Guidance Manual for more information regarding the Record Drawing Review Phase. The measurements/specifications required to be documented on the SMP Construction Certification Forms must be reflected on the project’s Record Drawing(s). Refer to the Record Drawing Requirements document, provided by PWD at the project preconstruction meeting, for a summary of what information must be reflected on the Record Drawing(s) and how this information must be documented. More detailed information on Record Drawings can be found in Section 5.3.2 of the PWD Stormwater Management Guidance Manual.
SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM

Bioinfiltration/Bioretention (Page 1 of 2)

Project Name: ___________________ PWD Tracking Number: _________________________

SMP Label (on plans):

1. Contact the project’s assigned PWD Inspector at least three (3) days prior to the start of construction of any SMP, including excavation of SMPs and soil testing if applicable. SMP installation must be observed by the PWD Inspector.
2. Provide the PWD Inspector with an estimated schedule for the placement of any of the following: geotextile, stone, storage media, piping, soil, etc.
3. Provide the following information about the SMP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Documents</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for clean washed stone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for geotextile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for underdrain/pipes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for planting soil medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for vegetation and/or seeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for impervious liner, if required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Reports and Certifications</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Report demonstrating that stone was washed per AASHTO T-11 Standards (&lt;0.5 % wash loss)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planting soil medium certifications demonstrating mixture components and organic content per plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Photos</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) verifying that the system location is staked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) showing the system protected from sediment and compaction during constructions (E&amp;S controls around the basin)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the bottom of the flat, scarified, non-compact ed subgrade soils before installation of geotextile (required if bioinfiltration system)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing bottom of the basin after installation of geotextile (or impervious liner if required)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing installation of stone and perforated pipe(s)/underdrain within system, if required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) showing installation of stone storage layer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing connection of underdrain to overflow system, if required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing installation of geotextile/filter layer above stone drainage layer, if required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing basin after installation of planting soil medium and fine grading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM

**Bioinfiltration/Bioretention (Page 2 of 2)**

### Required Photos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Photos showing the bioretention area after planting of vegetation (pre-stabilized)
- Photos showing the bioretention area after planting of vegetation (stabilized)
- Photos showing outlet structure, including internal weirs and/or orifices, and outlet pipes (with tape measure as size reference)

### Required Measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Measurement</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level Planting Surface Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level Bottom (Interface with In Situ Soils) Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planting Soil Medium Depth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone Storage Layer Depth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of Sand/Stone Filter Layer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underdrain Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underdrain Orifice Diameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overflow Riser/Grate Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Outflow Pipe Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Orifice Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Orifice Diameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Weir Length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Weir Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By signing this form, the registered professional indicated below certifies that they, or a designee, were present during the required measurements associated with the SMP listed above, and that, to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief, these measurements are in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP.

Please note that the measurements listed above must be reflected on the project’s Record Drawing(s). The Project’s Construction Certification Package, which must be submitted with the Record Drawing(s), must include digital copies of all SMP Construction Certification Forms (one per SMP) and digital copies of the material receipts and photographs required on these forms.

## Registered Professional

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name (Print)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>License Type &amp; Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Revised: 7/1/2015 (Version 3)*
SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM

Porous Pavement (i.e. Asphalt, Concrete, Pavers, etc.)

(Project 1 of 2)

Project Name: ___________________  PWD Tracking Number: _________________________

SMP Label (on plans): __________________________________________________________

1. Contact the project’s assigned PWD Inspector at least three (3) days prior to the start of construction of any SMP, including excavation of SMPs and soil testing if applicable. SMP installation must be observed by the PWD Inspector.

2. Provide the PWD Inspector with an estimated schedule for the placement of any of the following: geotextile, stone, storage media, piping, soil, etc.

3. Provide the following information about the SMP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Documents</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for clean washed stone subbase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for clean washed choker coarse stone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for geotextile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for porous pavers (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for batch amounts of porous asphalt/concrete (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for underdrain/pipes, if required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Reports and Certifications</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Report demonstrating that stone was washed per AASHTO T-11 Standards (&lt;0.5 % wash loss)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Photos</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing excavation protected from sediment during construction (E&amp;S controls around the proposed porous area)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the bottom of the flat, scarified, non-compacted excavation before installation of geotextile (where applicable, show terracing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the bottom of the basin after installation of geotextile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the placement of clean washed stone subbase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the placement of underdrain/pipes and connection to overflow, if required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the installed porous surface (i.e., porous asphalt, concrete, or pavers)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revised: 7/1/2015 (Version 3)
SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM
Porous Pavement (i.e. Asphalt, Concrete, Pavers, etc.)
(Page 2 of 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Measurement</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level Bottom (Interface with In Situ Soils/Soil Amendments) Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level Bottom Surface Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Surface Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overflow Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of Choker Course</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of Aggregate Storage Layer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underdrain Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Orifice Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Orifice Diameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Weir Length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Weir Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By signing this form, the registered professional indicated below certifies that they, or a designee, were present during the required measurements associated with the SMP listed above, and that, to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief, these measurements are in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP.

Please note that the measurements listed above must be reflected on the project’s Record Drawing(s). The Project’s Construction Certification Package, which must be submitted with the Record Drawing(s), must include digital copies of all SMP Construction Certification Forms (one per SMP) and digital copies of the material receipts and photographs required on these forms.

**Registered Professional**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name (Print)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>License Type &amp; Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revised: 7/1/2015 (Version 3)
SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM

Project Name: ___________________ PWD Tracking Number: _________________________

SMP Label (on plans):

1. Contact the project’s assigned PWD Inspector at least three (3) days prior to the start of construction of any SMP. SMP installation must be observed by the PWD Inspector.
2. Provide the PWD Inspector with an estimated schedule for the placement of any of the following: liners, piping, drainage layer, soil media, and plantings.
3. Provide the following information about the SMP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Documents</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for green roof materials (geotextile fabric, waterproofing/root barrier membrane, drainage layer, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for growing medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for plantings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Reports and Certifications</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Growing medium certifications demonstrating mixture components and organic content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Photos</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the roof after the installation of impervious liners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the installation of drainage layer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the installation of the growing medium (showing thickness measurements)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing entire roof area after installation of vegetation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM

Green Roof (Page 2 of 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Measurement</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green Roof Surface Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growing Medium Thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage Layer Thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By signing this form, the registered professional indicated below certifies that they, or a designee, were present during the required measurements associated with the SMP listed above, and that, to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief, these measurements are in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP.

Please note that the measurements listed above must be reflected on the project’s Record Drawing(s). The Project’s Construction Certification Package, which must be submitted with the Record Drawing(s), must include digital copies of all SMP Construction Certification Forms (one per SMP) and digital copies of the material receipts and photographs required on these forms.

**Registered Professional**

______________________________    ________________    _______________________
Signature                          Date               Name (Print)

______________________________    _______________________
Company                           License Type & Number

Revised: 7/1/2015 (Version 3)
SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM

Subsurface Infiltration (Page 1 of 2)

Project Name: ___________________ PWD Tracking Number: _________________________

SMP Label (on plans):

1. Contact the project’s assigned PWD Inspector at least three (3) days prior to the start of construction of any SMP, including excavation of SMPs and soil testing if applicable. SMP installation must be observed by the PWD Inspector.

2. Provide the PWD Inspector with an estimated schedule for the placement of any of the following: geotextile, stone, storage media, piping, soil, etc.

3. Provide the following information about the SMP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Documents</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional's Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for clean washed stone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for geotextile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for perforated pipes or storage units</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for soil amendments, if required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Reports and Certifications</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Report demonstrating that stone was washed per AASHTO T-11 Standards (&lt; 0.5% wash loss)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltration Testing Report for soil amendments, if required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Photos</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) showing basin protected from sediment and compaction during construction (E&amp;S controls around the basin)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) verifying that the system location is staked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) showing the bottom of the flat, scarified, non-compacted basin before installation of geotextile or pea gravel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) showing the bottom of the basin after installation of geotextile or pea gravel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing outlet structure, including internal weirs and/or orifices, and outlet pipes (with tape measure as size reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) showing the installation of perforated pipes/storage units (tops showing with stone filled around them)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) showing installation of observation well(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) showing the completed installation with stone to finished bed elevation and geotextile in place (prior to backfill)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM

*Subsurface Infiltration (Page 2 of 2)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Measurement</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level Bottom Elevation (Interface with In Situ Soils/Soil Amendments)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level Bottom Footprint Surface Area (Length and Width)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top of Stone Storage Layer Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invert of Modular Storage Devices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage/Distribution Pipe Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basin Outflow Pipe Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation Well Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Bottom Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Outflow Pipe Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Orifice Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Orifice Diameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Weir Length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Weir Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By signing this form, the registered professional indicated below certifies that they, or a designee, were present during the required measurements associated with the SMP listed above, and that, to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief, these measurements are in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP.

Please note that the measurements listed above must be reflected on the project’s Record Drawing(s). The Project’s Construction Certification Package, which must be submitted with the Record Drawing(s), must include digital copies of all SMP Construction Certification Forms (one per SMP) and digital copies of the material receipts and photographs required on these forms.

**Registered Professional**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name (Print)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>License Type &amp; Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Name: ___________________ PWD Tracking Number: _________________________

SMP Label (on plans):__________________________________________________________

1. Contact the project’s assigned PWD Inspector at least three (3) days prior to the start of construction of any SMP, including installation of a cistern. SMP installation must be observed by the PWD Inspector.

2. Provide the following information about the SMP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Documents</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for cistern tank, including model number (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for slow release mechanism or pump</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Photos</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) of debris screening from roof connection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) of installed cistern</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) of slow release mechanism or pump</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) of overflow (with tape measure as size reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Measurement/Specification</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Measurement/Specification</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cistern Volume</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overflow Elevation/Height</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overflow Orifice Diameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By signing this form, the registered professional indicated below certifies that they, or a designee, verified the manufacturer’s specifications for the SMP listed above, and that, to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief, these specifications are in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP.

Please note that the specifications listed above must be reflected on the project’s Record Drawing(s). The Project’s Construction Certification Package, which must be submitted with the Record Drawing(s), must include digital copies of all SMP Construction Certification Forms (one per SMP) and digital copies of the material receipts and photographs required on these forms.

**Registered Professional**

__________________________  __________________________  __________________________
Signature  Date  Name (Print)

__________________________  __________________________
Company  License Type & Number
SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM

Blue Roof (Page 1 of 2)

Project Name: ___________________ PWD Tracking Number: _________________________

SMP Label (on plans):

1. Contact the project’s assigned PWD Inspector at least three (3) days prior to the start of construction of any SMP. SMP installation must be observed by the PWD Inspector.
2. Provide the PWD Inspector with an estimated schedule for the placement of any of the following: liners, piping, drainage layer, soil media, and plantings.
3. Provide the following information about the SMP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Documents</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for blue roof materials (geotextile fabric, waterproofing membrane, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for clean washed stone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Photos</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the roof after the installation of waterproofing membrane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the installation of check dams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing roof drain restrictor prior to installation, if required (with tape measure as size reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the installation of stone ballast, if required (showing thickness measurements)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing entire roof area when installation is complete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM

*Blue Roof (Page 2 of 2)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Measurement</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Storage Area Footprint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Check Dam Height</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballast Stone Depth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Drain Riser Height</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Drain Riser Diameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Drain Restrictor Orifice Diameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By signing this form, the registered professional indicated below certifies that they, or a designee, were present during the required measurements associated with the SMP listed above, and that, to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief, these measurements are in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP.

Please note that the measurements listed above must be reflected on the project’s Record Drawing(s). The Project’s Construction Certification Package, which must be submitted with the Record Drawing(s), must include digital copies of all SMP Construction Certification Forms (one per SMP) and digital copies of the material receipts and photographs required on these forms.

**Registered Professional**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name (Print)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>License Type &amp; Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM
Ponds and Wet Basins (Page 1 of 2)

Project Name: ___________________ PWD Tracking Number: _________________________

SMP Label (on plans): __________________________________________________________

1. Contact the project’s assigned PWD Inspector at least three (3) days prior to the start of construction of any SMP, including excavation of SMPs and soil testing if applicable. SMP installation must be observed by the PWD Inspector.

2. Provide the PWD Inspector with an estimated schedule for the placement of any of the following: geotextile, impervious liner, stone, storage media, piping, soil, etc.

3. Provide the following information about the SMP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Documents</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for vegetation and/or seeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for impervious liner, if required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Photos</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) showing basin protected from sediment and compaction during construction (E&amp;S controls around the basin)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) verifying that the system location is staked, if required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing final grading of basin, including the bottom, berm, side slopes, and spillway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the basin after planting of vegetation (pre-stabilized)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the basin after planting of vegetation (stabilized)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing outlet structure, including internal weirs and/or orifices, and outlet pipes (with tape measure as size reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing orifice protection, if required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing completed spillway (with tape measure as size reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM

*Ponds and Wet Basins (Page 2 of 2)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Measurement</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level Planting Media Surface Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level Bottom (Interface with In Situ Soils/Soil Amendments) Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outflow Pipe Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overflow Riser/Grate Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Orifice Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Orifice Diameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Weir Length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Weir Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spillway Invert Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spillway Width</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By signing this form, the registered professional indicated below certifies that they, or a designee, were present during the required measurements associated with the SMP listed above, and that, to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief, these measurements are in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP.

Please note that the measurements listed above must be reflected on the project’s Record Drawing(s). The Project’s Construction Certification Package, which must be submitted with the Record Drawing(s), must include digital copies of all SMP Construction Certification Forms (one per SMP) and digital copies of the material receipts and photographs required on these forms.

**Registered Professional**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name (Print)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>License Type &amp; Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM
Subsurface Detention (Page 1 of 2)

Project Name: ___________________  PWD Tracking Number: _________________________

SMP Label (on plans):

1. Contact the project’s assigned PWD Inspector at least three (3) days prior to the start of construction of any SMP, including excavation of SMPs and soil testing if applicable. SMP installation must be observed by the PWD Inspector.

2. Provide the PWD Inspector with an estimated schedule for the placement of any of the following: geotextile, stone, storage media, piping, soil, etc.

3. Provide the following information about the SMP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Documents</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional's Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for pipes or storage units</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for vault structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for clean washed stone, if required per plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for geotextile, if required per plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for impervious liner, if required per plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Photos</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the bottom of the basin before installation of geotextile and/or impervious liner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the bottom of the basin after installation of geotextile and/or impervious liner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the installation of pipes/storage units (tops showing with stone filled around them)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the installation of the vault structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing outlet structure, including internal weirs and/or orifices, and outlet pipes (with tape measure as size reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing orifice protection, if required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) showing installation of observation well(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) showing the completed installation with stone to finished bed elevation and geotextile in place (prior to backfill)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM**  
*Subsurface Detention (Page 2 of 2)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Measurement</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bottom Footprint Surface Area (Length and Width)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom of Stone Storage Layer Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top of Stone Storage Layer Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation Well Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage/Distribution Pipe Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invert of Modular Storage Devices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invert of Vault Structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outflow Pipe Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Bottom Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Outflow Pipe Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Orifice Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Orifice Diameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Weir Length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Structure Weir Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By signing this form, the registered professional indicated below certifies that they, or a designee, were present during the required measurements associated with the SMP listed above, and that, to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief, these measurements are in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP.

Please note that the measurements listed above must be reflected on the project’s Record Drawing(s). The Project’s Construction Certification Package, which must be submitted with the Record Drawing(s), must include digital copies of all SMP Construction Certification Forms (one per SMP) and digital copies of the material receipts and photographs required on these forms.

**Registered Professional**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name (Print)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>License Type &amp; Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM

Media Filter (Page 1 of 2)

Project Name: ___________________ PWD Tracking Number: _________________________

SMP Label (on plans):

1. Contact the project’s assigned PWD Inspector at least three (3) days prior to the start of construction of any SMP, including installation of any water quality device. SMP installation must be observed by the PWD Inspector.

2. Provide the PWD Inspector with an estimated schedule for the placement of any of the following: geotextile, stone, filter media, underdrain piping, etc.

3. Provide the following information about the SMP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Documents</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for the containment structure for the media filter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for filter media</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for underdrain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for stone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Reports and Certifications</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Report demonstrating that stone was washed per AASHTO T-11 Standards (&lt; 0.5% wash loss)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Photos</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) of the containment structure for the media filter (include internal views)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) of weir plate installation (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) of stone installation, including underdrain placement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) of filter media installation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM**  
*Media Filter (Page 2 of 2)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Measurement</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter Media Footprint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter Media Depth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone Depth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underdrain Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflow Pipe Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outflow Pipe Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weir Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weir Length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated Pollutant Removal Efficiency (TSS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Cartridges (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By signing this form, the registered professional indicated below certifies that they, or a designee, verified the manufacturer’s specifications listed for the SMP listed above, and that, to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief, these specifications are in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP.

Please note that the specifications listed above must be reflected on the project’s Record Drawing(s). The Project’s Construction Certification Package, which must be submitted with the Record Drawing(s), must include digital copies of all SMP Construction Certification Forms (one per SMP) and digital copies of the material receipts and photographs required on these forms.

**Registered Professional**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name (Print)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>License Type &amp; Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM
Sumped/Trapped Structures (i.e. Inlets, Sumped Manholes, Outlet Structures, etc.)
(Page 1 of 2)

Project Name: ___________________ PWD Tracking Number: _________________________

1. Contact the project’s assigned PWD Inspector at least three (3) days prior to the start of construction of any SMP, including excavation of SMPs. SMP installation must be observed by the PWD Inspector.
2. Provide the PWD Inspector with an estimated schedule for the planned installation of the inlet, so that the Inspector can visit while structures inside the inlet are visible.
3. Provide the following information about the SMP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Documents</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for precast concrete inlets/drains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for inlet/drain grates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for hoods/traps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for installed precast structures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing sumps and traps (if required per plans) installed correctly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Photos</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing sump depth (with tape measure as size reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing traps installed correctly (including grouting)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revised: 7/1/2015 (Version 3)
SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM

Sumped/Trapped Structures (i.e. Inlets, Sumped Manholes, Outlet Structures, etc.)

(Page 2 of 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component (Plan Label)</th>
<th>Sump Installed (Yes/No)</th>
<th>Hood/Trap Installed (Yes/No)</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inlets Listed Individually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trench Drains Listed Individually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yard/Area Drains Listed Individually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manholes Listed Individually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Control Structures Listed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By signing this form, the registered professional indicated below certifies that they, or a designee, were present during the installation of the required components listed above, and that, to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief, these components are in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP.

Please note that the information listed above must be reflected on the project’s Record Drawing(s). The Project’s Construction Certification Package, which must be submitted with the Record Drawing(s), must include digital copies of all SMP Construction Certification Forms (one per SMP) and digital copies of the material receipts and photographs required on these forms.

**Registered Professional**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name (Print)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>License Type &amp; Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM

Level Spreader (Page 1 of 2)

Project Name: ___________________ PWD Tracking Number: _________________________

SMP Label (on plans):
______________________________________________________________

1. Contact the project’s assigned PWD Inspector at least three (3) days prior to the start of construction of any SMP, including excavation of SMPs and soil testing if applicable. SMP installation must be observed by the PWD Inspector.
2. Provide the PWD Inspector with an estimated schedule for the placement of any of the following: geotextile, stone, storage media, piping, soil, etc.
3. Provide the following information about the SMP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Documents</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional's Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for stone (clean washed stone, if required)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for geotextile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for distribution pipes used in level spreader (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for vegetation/seeding, if required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Photos</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Registered Professional's Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing depth of excavation bottom to inflow pipe invert and excavation bottom to overflow lip (with tape measure as size reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing placement of geotextile within the excavation (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the placement of clean washed stone and perforated piping (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the placement of turf reinforcement matting or geotextile fabric downstream of level spreader</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the area downstream of the level spreader pre-stabilized without vegetation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photos showing the area downstream of the level spreader stabilized with vegetation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM

*Level Spreader (Page 2 of 2)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Measurement</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level Spreader Surface Area (Length and Width)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level Spreader Depth/Height</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone Depth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution Pipe Invert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level Discharge Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level Discharge Width</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By signing this form, the registered professional indicated below certifies that they, or a designee, were present during the required measurements associated with the SMP listed above, and that, to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief, these measurements are in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP.

Please note that the measurements listed above must be reflected on the project’s Record Drawing(s). The Project’s Construction Certification Package, which must be submitted with the Record Drawing(s), must include digital copies of all SMP Construction Certification Forms (one per SMP) and digital copies of the material receipts and photographs required on these forms.

### Registered Professional

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name (Print)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>License Type &amp; Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
SMP CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION FORM

Low Flow Devices (Page 1 of 1)

Project Name: ___________________ PWD Tracking Number: _________________________

SMP Label (on plans):

1. Contact the project’s assigned PWD Inspector at least three (3) days prior to the start of construction of any SMP, including installation of any water quality device. SMP installation must be observed by the PWD Inspector.
2. Provide the following information about the SMP:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Documents</th>
<th>Receipt #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for the containment structure for the Water Quality Device</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of receipts for proprietary device, including model number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Photos</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) of the containment structure for the proprietary device (include internal views)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo(s) of installed proprietary device</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Specification</th>
<th>Photo #</th>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Registered Professional’s Initials &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated Water Quality Flow Rate (cfs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Flow Rate with Positive Overflow (cfs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By signing this form, the registered professional indicated below certifies that they, or a designee, verified the manufacturer’s specifications for the SMP listed above, and that, to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief, these specifications are in general accordance with the Approved PCSMP.

Please note that the specifications listed above must be reflected on the project’s Record Drawing(s). The Project’s Construction Certification Package, which must be submitted with the Record Drawing(s), must include digital copies of all SMP Construction Certification Forms (one per SMP) and digital copies of the material receipts and photographs required on these forms.

Registered Professional

________________________________________
Signature                  Date               Name (Print)

________________________________________
Company                     License Type & Number
K. Record Drawing Sample

Along with the Construction Certification Package, the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) requires that Record Drawing(s) be submitted at the close of the project to ensure that the stormwater management practices (SMPs) and their elements were constructed in general accordance with the Approved Post-Construction Stormwater Management Plan (PCSMP), and to document any field changes. Record Drawing(s) are required for SMP verification and are a key component of PWD's compliance reporting. Samples which demonstrate how Approved PCSMP plan sheets should be marked-up in order to prepare Record Drawings are provided in Appendix K.

Record Drawing Sample (PDF)

Record Drawing Sample Construction Details (PDF)
GRAVEL USED IN INTERLOCKING CONCRETE PAVERS OR PLASTIC GRID SYSTEMS MUST BE WELL GRADED AND WASHED TO ENSURE PERMEABILITY. THE SYSTEMS SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM FLOW THROUGH RATE OF 5 IN/HR AND A VOID PERCENTAGE OF NO LESS THAN 10%. PERMEABLE PAVER AND GRID SYSTEMS SHALL CONFORM TO MANUFACTURER SPECIFICATIONS.

AN AGGREGATE/CEMENT RATIO RANGE OF 4:1 TO 4.5:1 AND A WATER/CEMENT RATIO RANGE OF 0.34 TO 0.40 SHOULD PRODUCE PERVIOUS PAVEMENT OF SATISFACTORY QUALITY. AGGREGATE SHALL BE NO. 8 COARSE AGGREGATE. POROUS CONCRETE SHALL UTILIZE PORTLAND CEMENT TYPE I OR II CONFORMING TO ASTM C 150 OR PORTLAND CEMENT TYPE IP OR IS CONFORMING TO ASTM C 595.

5. POROUS CONCRETE:
   - HEAT-SET OR HEAT CALENDARED FABRICS ARE NOT PERMITTED.
   - THE ASPHALTIC MIX SHALL BE TESTED FOR ITS RESISTANCE TO STRIPPING BY WATER IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM D-3625. IF THE ESTIMATED COATING AREA IS NOT ABOVE 95% OF THE PLAN AREA, THE VEGETATION SYSTEMS ARE NOT ACCEPTABLE FOR USE REGARDLESS OF AMENDMENT.
   - UV RESISTANCE AFTER 500 HRS. (ASTM-D4355) > OR = 70%
   - GRAB TENSILE STRENGTH (ASTM-D4632) > OR = 120 LBS.

7. ALL AGGREGATE WITHIN THE STONE STORAGE BED SHALL BE CLEAN-WASHED, DEFINED AS HAVING LESS THAN 0.5% WASH LOSS, BY MASS, WHEN TESTED PER THE AASHTO T-11 WASH LOST TEST.

3. CLODS, OR NATURAL CLUMPS OF SOILS, GREATER THAN THREE INCHES (3") IN ANY DIMENSION SHOULD BE ABSENT FROM THE PLANTING SOIL. SMALL CLODS RANGING FROM ONE TO THREE INCHES (1-3") AND PEDS, NATURAL SOIL CLUMPS UNDER ONE INCH (1") IN ANY DIMENSION, MAY BE PRESENT BUT SHOULD NOT MAKE UP MORE THAN TEN PERCENT (10%) OF THE SOIL BY VOLUME.

1. TEXTURE OF PLANTING SOIL SHOULD CONFORM TO THE CLASSIFICATION WITHIN THE USDA TRIANGLE FOR SANDY LOAM OR LOAMY SAND. PLANTING MATERIAL AND MEET THE FOLLOWING SPECIFICATIONS:
   - DISTRIBUTION, AS DETERMINED BY PIPETTE METHOD IN COMPLIANCE WITH ASTM F-1632:
     - SAND (0.05 TO 2.0 mm) 40% MAXIMUM
     - SILT (0.002 TO 0.05 mm) 40% MAXIMUM
     - CLAY (0.0002 TO 0.002 mm) 20% MAXIMUM
   - SOLUBLE SALTS SHOULD BE LESS THAN 2.0 mmhos/cm (dS/m), TYPICALLY AS MEASURED BY 1:2 SOIL-WATER RATIO BASIC SOIL SALINITY TESTING.
   - ADDED ORGANIC COMPOST.
   - BULK DENSITY 90 TO 100% (100% = 1.41 g/cc)
   - MOISTURE CONTENT 15 TO 20% (20% = 8.5%
   - MULLEN BURST STRENGTH (ASTM-D3786) > OR = 225 LBS.
   - UNCOMPACTED SUBGRADE
   - SILT (0.002 TO 0.05 mm) 40% MAXIMUM
   - CLEAN-WASHED STONE, REFER TO NOTES BELOW
   - CLODS RANGING FROM ONE TO THREE INCHES (1-3") AND PEDS, NATURAL SOIL CLUMPS UNDER ONE INCH (1") IN ANY DIMENSION, MAY BE PRESENT BUT SHOULD NOT MAKE UP MORE THAN TEN PERCENT (10%) OF THE SOIL BY VOLUME.
   - FULL OVERLAP OF GEOTEXTILE

2. GEOTEXTILE SHALL CONSIST OF POLYPROPYLENE FIBERS AND MEET THE FOLLOWING SPECIFICATIONS:
   - MECHANICAL MEANS OF EACH INDIVIDUAL PARTICLE LARGER THAN 0.3%. AGGREGATE MATERIAL IN THE ASPHALT SHALL BE CLEAN, OPEN-GRADED, AND A MINIMUM OF 75% FRACTURED WITH AT LEAST ONE FRACTURED FACE BY DETERMINATION OF THE CALENDARING MACHINE.

70-90% OF THE SAND STORAGE BED BY VOLUME SHOULDN'T HAVE MORE THAN 0.3% FRACTURED PARTICLES. CLODS RANGING FROM ONE TO THREE INCHES (1-3") AND PEDS, NATURAL SOIL CLUMPS UNDER ONE INCH (1") IN ANY DIMENSION, MAY BE PRESENT BUT SHOULD NOT MAKE UP MORE THAN TEN PERCENT (10%) OF THE SOIL BY VOLUME.